

www.bjcancer.com

Letter to the Editor

Lymphatic vessel density and prognosis in cutaneous melanoma

O Straume¹ and LA Akslen*,1

¹Department of Pathology, The Gade Institute, Haukeland University Hospital, N-5021 Bergen, Norway

British Journal of Cancer (2004) **91,** 1224–1225. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602122 www.bjcancer.com Published online 17 August 2004 © 2004 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

Recent studies have focused on the possible prognostic importance of lymphatic vessel density (LVD) in malignant melanoma, and we have read the paper by Shields *et al* (2004) with great interest. Based on their data, the authors suggest that the assessment of lymphatic vessel density (LVD) might give prognostic information in cutaneous melanoma. As they point out, some conclusions of their study might, in part, be in discordance with our own report (Straume *et al*, 2003), and we would therefore like to discuss some aspects of their work.

So far, relatively few survival studies on lymphangiogenesis have been published. In 2001, Birner et al (2001) presented a study on cervical cancer, and later our group published the first survival study of melanomas, based on 175 cases of aggressive vertical growth phase melanoma of the nodular type (median thickness 3.6 mm) (Straume et al, 2003). The results of both these studies indicate that increased LVD is associated with improved patient survival. More recently, however, Valencak et al (2004) published a prognostic study of 120 melanoma patients showing a weak association between increased LVD and reduced survival, although in univariate analysis only. In a study by Dadras et al (2003), based on the selection of 19 nonmetastatic melanoma patients and 18 patients with early lymph node metastasis, intratumour LVD was found to be increased in the metastatic group. In the study of Shields et al, including 21 melanoma cases (eight nonmetastatic, mean thickness 2.3 mm, and 11 metastatic, mean thickness 3.4 mm), LVD was also higher in tumours that developed metastases. Interestingly, LVD tended to decrease with increasing tumour thickness (their Table 2). No follow-up information (time to events) was presented. Whereas these three studies (Dadras et al, 2003; Shields et al, 2004; Valencak et al, 2004) seem to imply that increased LVD is associated with tumour progression and poor prognosis, the contrary was found in our own study (Straume et al, 2003). What could explain this difference?

Several explanations might be considered. We agree with Shields *et al* that the 'hot spot' technique, originally described by Weidner *et al* (1991) for the estimation of angiogenesis, might be more applicable for blood microvascular capillaries, and this approach is more subjective. The authors discuss whether some of the differences in our results could be attributed to this fact. Although our two studies showed comparable values of mean epi/peritumoral LVD (10.0 *vs* 14.3 mm⁻²), we wanted to address this issue

and selected 19 lethal and 21 nonlethal melanoma cases from our series (Straume et al, 2003), and tumours were matched for thickness. Lymphatic vessels were counted along the complete tumour border in consecutive high power fields (HPF, \times 400), and vessels more than 1 HPF away from the invasive border were not counted. We found that the median absolute LVD (LVD-ABS) was 5.4 vessels mm⁻² compared with 12.5 mm⁻² by the 'hot spot' technique (LVD-HS) (7.0 in nonlethal cases, 3.4 in lethal cases), and LVD-ABS and LVD-HS were highly correlated (linear regression R = 0.74, P < 0.001 for continuous variables, and Pearson χ^2 R = 0.7, P < 0.001 when categorised between lymphatic vessel rich or poor cases). Most importantly, the analysis of both overall survival (melanoma deaths only) and recurrence-free survival showed the same association for both LVD-ABS and LVD-HS, that is, improved survival by increased LVD-ABS (log rank P = 0.005, n = 40) and increased LVD-HS (log rank P < 0.0001, n = 169). These data indicate that the difference in results cannot be explained by the difference in counting technique only. Still, we agree that LVD-ABS might be more appropriate and more easily standardised than LVD-HS, as suggested by Shields.

In the study by Dadras *et al* (2003), 18 metastatic and 19 nonmetastatic melanomas were included (mean thickness 2.5 mm, 68% Clark 4–5; mainly (76%) superficial spreading melanomas). The median peritumoral LVD (12.8 mm⁻²) was almost identical with our own findings (12.5 mm⁻²), suggesting that both methods (Dadras: computer-assisted morphometric analysis; Straume: counting in 'hot spot' areas a.m. Weidner) gave similar results. Even if low reproducibility is considered an important problem of vessel counting (Hlatky *et al*, 2002), this method is frequently used in translational angiogenesis research; other methods, including morphometry, have not proved to be superior.

The study of Valencak *et al* (2004) included 120 cases of cutaneous melanoma with follow-up information (median thickness not given; 18% of patients were Clark 0-2, 45% were Clark 4-5; in comparison, 90% were Clark 4-5 in our own study). By staining for podoplanin, and using the 'hot spot' method of counting, the mean LVD was 11.3 per field (field size not given), and this is probably considerably higher than in the other studies. Increased LVD was associated with decreased survival, but the difference was only modest and did not persist in multivariate analysis, in contrast to what we found (Straume *et al*, 2003). The use of different markers could be one explanation for the findings, although LVD by LYVE-1 and podoplanin staining was significantly correlated in our previous study. Also, the sensitivity and specificity of the LYVE-1 antibody were evaluated in a recent study by Akishima *et al* (2004).



It should be pointed out that our series (Straume et al, 2003) consisted of thicker and more advanced primary melanomas than the other series, consisting of vertical growth phase melanomas only. This could be an important explanation why the relationship between LVD and progression/prognosis appears to be different. Interestingly, we found a significant association between increased LVD and lymphocytic infiltration, which is a favourable prognostic factor for cutaneous melanomas, and a similar relationship with lymphatic vascular area was found by Dadras et al (2003). This points to a relationship between lymphatic vessels and an antitumour immune response.

The studies of Dadras et al and Shields et al have included a low number of pre-selected cases and might not be classified as prognostic factor studies according to the criteria of Simon and Altman (1994). For instance, Dadras et al did not present prognostic information on LVD, but found that relative lymphatic vessel area was a prognostic factor, although their cut-points appear to be selected on the basis of a relationship with a strong predictor of outcome, that is, lymph node metastases (their Figure 6A). The 'prognostic' information from these studies should therefore be interpreted with great care. A summary of studies of clinical end points according to lymphatic markers in human cancers has recently been given by Padera et al (2003).

The results of animal studies have suggested that increased lymphangiogenesis may be important for the frequency of lymphatic metastasis, and the recent identification of novel lymphangiogenic factors, like VEGF-C and VEGF-D, has increased the focus on lymphangiogenesis considerably (Kaipainen et al, 1995; Achen et al, 1998; Skobe et al, 2001; Stacker et al, 2001). Still, the evidence provided in the literature is not sufficient to conclude that active lymphangiogenesis play an important role in human cancer. As for the difference between studies on cutaneous melanoma, the balance between LVD as a marker of lymphatic spread, and its association with lymphocytic infiltration and antitumour immune activity, might differ between early (thinner) and late stage (thicker) melanomas. Also, the relative contribution of lymphogenic and hematogenic metastases may be different between these tumour subgroups. We feel that more survival studies, following the criteria of Simon and Altman (1994), are needed before the estimation of lymphangiogenesis is applicable to clinical decision making and prognostication in melanoma patients.

REFERENCES

- Achen MG, Jeltsch M, Kukk E, Makinen T, Vitali A, Wilks AF, Alitalo K, Stacker SA (1998) Vascular endothelial growth factor D (VEGF-D) is a ligand for the tyrosine kinases VEGF receptor 2 (Flk1) and VEGF receptor 3 (Flt4). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 548-553
- Akishima Y, Ito K, Zhang L, Ishikawa Y, Orikasa H, Kiguchi H, Akasaka Y, Komiyama K, Ishii T (2004) Immunohistochemical detection of human small lymphatic vessels under normal and pathological conditions using the LYVE-1 antibody. Virchows Arch 444: 153-157
- Birner P, Schindl M, Obermair A, Breitenecker G, Kowalski H, Oberhuber G (2001) Lymphatic microvessel density as a novel prognostic factor in early-stage invasive cervical cancer. Int J Cancer 95: 29-33
- Dadras SS, Paul T, Bertoncini J, Brown LF, Muzikansky A, Jackson DG, Ellwanger U, Garbe C, Mihm MC, Detmar M (2003) Tumor lymphangiogenesis: a novel prognostic indicator for cutaneous melanoma metastasis and survival. Am J Pathol 162: 1951 - 1960
- Hlatky L, Hahnfeldt P, Folkman J (2002) Clinical application of antiangiogenic therapy: microvessel density, what it does and doesn't tell us. J Natl Cancer Inst 94: 883-893
- Kaipainen A, Korhonen J, Mustonen T, van Hinsbergh VW, Fang GH, Dumont D, Breitman M, Alitalo K (1995) Expression of the fms-like tyrosine kinase 4 gene becomes restricted to lymphatic endothelium during development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 3566-3570
- Padera TP, Boucher Y, Jain RK (2003) Correspondence re: S. Maula et al, intratumoral lymphatics are essential for the metastatic spread and

- prognosis in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Res. 63: 1920-1926, (Cancer Res 63: 8555-8556; author reply 8558)
- Shields JD, Borsetti M, Rigby H, Harper SJ, Mortimer PS, Levick JR, Orlando A, Bates DO (2004) Lymphatic density and metastatic spread in human malignant melanoma. Br J Cancer 90: 693 - 700
- Simon R, Altman DG (1994) Statistical aspects of prognostic factor studies in oncology. Br J Cancer 69: 979-985
- Skobe M, Hawighorst T, Jackson DG, Prevo R, Janes L, Velasco P, Riccardi L, Alitalo K, Claffey K, Detmar M (2001) Induction of tumor lymphangiogenesis by VEGF-C promotes breast cancer metastasis. *Nat* Med 7: 192-198
- Stacker SA, Caesar C, Baldwin ME, Thornton GE, Williams RA, Prevo R, Jackson DG, Nishikawa Si S, Kubo H, Achen MG (2001) VEGF-D promotes the metastatic spread of tumor cells via the lymphatics. Nat Med 7: 186 - 191
- Straume O, Jackson DG, Akslen LA (2003) Independent prognostic impact of lymphatic vessel density and presence of low-grade lymphangiogenesis in cutaneous melanoma. Clin Cancer Res 9: 250-256
- Valencak J, Heere-Ress E, Kopp T, Schoppmann SF, Kittler H, Pehamberger H (2004) Selective immunohistochemical staining shows significant prognostic influence of lymphatic and blood vessels in patients with malignant melanoma. Eur J Cancer 40: 358 - 364
- Weidner N, Semple JP, Welch WR, Folkman J (1991) Tumor angiogenesis and metastasis - correlation in invasive breast carcinoma. N Engl J Med 324: 1-8