ARTICLE

Open Access

Replication of MERS and SARS coronaviruses in bat cells offers insights to their ancestral origins

Susanna K. P. Lau^{1,2,3,4}, Rachel Y. Y. Fan², Hayes K. H. Luk², Longchao Zhu², Joshua Fung², Kenneth S. M. Li², Emily Y. M. Wong², Syed Shakeel Ahmed², Jasper F. W. Chan^{1,2,3,4}, Raven K. H. Kok^{1,2,3,4}, Kwok-Hung Chan^{1,2,3,4}, Ulrich Wernery⁵, Kwok-Yung Yuen^{1,2,3,4} and Patrick C. Y. Woo^{1,2,3,4}

Abstract

Previous findings of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)-related viruses in bats, and the ability of Tylonycteris-BatCoV HKU4 spike protein to utilize MERS-CoV receptor, human dipeptidyl peptidase 4 hDPP4, suggest a bat ancestral origin of MERS-CoV. We developed 12 primary bat cell lines from seven bat species, including Tylonycteris pachypus, Pipistrellus abramus and Rhinolophus sinicus (hosts of Tylonycteris-BatCoV HKU4, Pipistrellus-BatCoV HKU5, and SARS-related-CoV respectively), and tested their susceptibilities to MERS-CoVs, SARS-CoV, and human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E). Five cell lines, including P. abramus and R. sinicus but not T. pachypus cells, were susceptible to human MERS-CoV EMC/2012. However, three tested camel MERS-CoV strains showed different infectivities, with only two strains capable of infecting three and one cell lines respectively. SARS-CoV can only replicate in R. sinicus cells, while HCoV-229E cannot replicate in any bat cells. Bat dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) sequences were closely related to those of human and non-human primates but distinct from dromedary DPP4 sequence. Critical residues for binding to MERS-CoV spike protein were mostly conserved in bat DPP4. DPP4 was expressed in the five bat cells susceptible to MERS-CoV, with significantly higher mRNA expression levels than those in non-susceptible cells (P = 0.0174), supporting that DPP4 expression is critical for MERS-CoV infection in bats. However, overexpression of T. pachypus DPP4 failed to confer MERS-CoV susceptibility in T. pachypus cells, suggesting other cellular factors in determining viral replication. The broad cellular tropism of MERS-CoV should prompt further exploration of host diversity of related viruses to identify its ancestral origin.

Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are important pathogens in animals and humans, responsible for a variety of respiratory, enteric, hepatic, and neurological diseases. They are now classified into four genera, *Alphacoronavirus*,

Correspondence: Susanna K. P. Lau (skplau@hku.hk) or

Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus, and Deltacoronavirus, with Betacoronavirus further divided into lineages A to D¹⁻⁴. Humans are infected by six CoVs, including human CoV 229E (HCoV-229E) and human CoV NL63 (HCoV-NL63) belonging to Alphacoronavirus; human CoV OC43 (HCoV-OC43) and human CoV HKU1 (HCoV HKU1) belonging to Betacoronavirus lineage A; Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-related CoV (SARSr-CoV) belonging to Betacoronavirus lineage B; and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome CoV (MERS-CoV) belonging to Betacoronavirus lineage C⁵⁻¹². The emergence potential of CoVs is believed to be related to their tendency for mutation and recombination, allowing the

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Patrick C. Y. Woo (pcywoo@hku.hk)

¹State Key Laboratory of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

²Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

These authors contributed equally: Susanna K. P. Lau, Rachel Y. Y. Fan, Hayes K. H. Luk

[©] The Author(s) 2018

generation of new viruses being able to adapt to new hosts $^{3,13-18}$.

Bats are an important reservoir of alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses, which may jump to other animals or humans to cause new epidemics^{2,19}. For example, SARS-CoV is likely a recombinant virus originated from horseshoe bats as the primary reservoir and palm civet as the intermediate host^{16,20–25}. Since the SARS epidemic, numerous other novel CoVs from humans or animals have been discovered^{2,26–30}, allowing a better understanding of the evolutionary origin of emerging CoVs.

Although dromedary camels are now known to be the immediate animal source of the recent MERS epidemic, evolutionary origin of MERS-CoV the remains obscure^{31,32}. When the virus was first discovered, it was found to be closely related to Tylonycteris bat CoV HKU4 (Ty-BatCoV HKU4) and Pipistrellus bat CoV HKU5 (Pi-BatCoV HKU5) previously discovered in lesser bamboo bat (Tylonycteris pachypus) and Japanese pipistrelle (Pipistrellus abramus) respectively in Hong Kong^{3,11,33,34}. Five other lineage C betacoronaviruses closely related to MERS-CoV were subsequently detected in bats, including BtVs-BetaCoV/SC2013, Hypsugo BatCoV HKU25 from China bats, and coronavirus Neoromicia/PML-PHE1/RSA/2011 (NeoCoV), BtCoVNeo5038/KZN/RSA/2015, and BatCoV PREDICT/PDF-2180 from African bats^{35–39}. Besides bats, a lineage C betacoronavirus, Erinaceus CoV VMC/DEU, subsequently defined as a novel species, Hedgehog coronavirus 1, has also been discovered in European hedgehogs, a group of animals being phylogenetically closely related to bats⁴⁰. While none of these animal viruses represent the immediate ancestor of MERS-CoV, the spike protein of Ty-BatCoV HKU4 was most closely related to that of MERS-CoV, and was shown to utilize the MERS-CoV receptor, human dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (hDPP4) or CD26, for cell entry^{41,42}. Previous studies have also shown that MERS-CoV was able to infect bat cell lines and Jamaican fruit bats^{43,44}. These findings suggest that bats may be the primary host of the ancestor of MERS-CoV.

Although MERS-CoV has been shown to replicate in various animal cell lines including bat cells^{43–48}, the broad tissue tropism was mainly demonstrated using type strain EMC/2012, and no comparison was made between different MERS-CoV strains. Moreover, cells from the bat hosts of MERS-CoV-related viruses, such as *T. pachypus* and *P. abramus* which harbor Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU5, respectively, were not included in previous studies, which may be due to the geographical limitation of these bat species. To better understand the replicative ability of MERS-CoV in bat cells, which may provide clues on the origin of MERS-CoV, we developed diverse primary bat cell lines from different bat species, including *Rhinolophus sinicus* (the host of SARSr-Bat-CoV) and *T. pachypus* (the host of Ty-BatCoV HKU4),

and tested their susceptibilities to infection by different strains of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and HCoV-229E. The DPP4 mRNA sequences of six bat species and their expression in bat cells were determined to correlate with viral replication results. Our findings showed differential cell tropism between different strains of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and HCoV-229E, which offers insights to the origin of MERS-CoV.

Results

Five of 12 tested bat cell lines are susceptible to MERS-CoV EMC/2012 infection

Since lineage C betacoronaviruses closely related to MERS-CoV were detected in bats, we developed 12 diverse primary bat cell lines from seven different bat species, including Hipposideros pomona, Miniopterus pusillus, Myotis ricketti, Pipistrellus abramus (the host of Pi-BatCoV HKU5), Rhinolophus sinicus (the host of SARSr-BatCoV and Rs-BatCoV HKU2), Tylonycteris pachypus (the host of Ty-BatCoV HKU4), Rousettus leschenaultii (the host of many viruses including Ro-BatCoV HKU9), which were subjected to infection with MERS-CoV at multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Viral titers were determined by RTqPCR on day 5 p.i. Five of the 12 cell lines (M. ricketti lung, P. abramus kidney, R. sinicus kidney and lung, and R. leschenaulti kidney cells) and Vero cells propagated MERS-CoV with at least one log_{10} increase in viral load. The highest increase in viral load was observed in R. sinicus kidney and lung cells, which was comparable with that observed in Vero cells (Fig. 1). Cytopathic effects (CPEs) were observed in infected M. ricketti lung and R. sinicus lung cells with rounding of cells (Fig. 2). The infectivities of the viruses from culture supernatants were confirmed by passage in Vero cells with CPE. H. pomona kidney, M. pusillus kidney, M. ricketti kidney, P. abramus lung, R. leschenaulti lung, and T. pachypus kidney and lung cells did not support MERS-CoV infection.

Different MERS-CoV strains displayed different infectivities on bat cells

MERS-CoVs are currently classified into three major clades, clade A, B, and C, which were further divided into subclades A1-A2, B1-B6, C1, and non-C1^{49–52}. To test if different MERS-CoV strains may show similar infectivities on bat cells, the five bat cells (*M. ricketti* lung, *P. abramus* kidney, *R. sinicus* kidney and lung, and *R. leschenaulti* kidney cells), which were susceptible to MERS-CoV EMC/ 2012 (belonging to clade A1), were subjected to infection by three other MERS-CoV strains isolated from camels in Dubai, D998/15 (belonging to clade A2, but with spike gene belonging to clade C), and D1189.1/15 and D1271.1/15 (both belonging to clade B3)⁵¹. Among the five challenged bat cells, *M. ricketti* lung supported infection by both D1189.1/15 and D1271.1/15; while *R. sinicus* lung and *R*.



leschenaulti kidney supported infection by D1189.1/15, with at least one \log_{10} increase in viral load. None of the cells supported infection by D998/15 (Fig. 1).

SARS-CoV can replicate in R. sinicus cells

Since Chinese horseshoe bats are the major reservoir of SARS-related-CoVs, we also tested the 12 bat cell lines, including *R. sinicus* cells, for susceptibility to a clinical strain of SARS-CoV. SARS-CoV strain HKU-39849 can replicate in *R. sinicus* kidney but not lung cells, with at least one \log_{10} increase in viral load (Fig. 3a). The other bat cells did not support SARS-CoV infection.

HCoV-229E cannot replicate in bat cells

The 12 bat cells were also tested for susceptible to HCoV-229E infection. HCoV-229E strain ATCC VR-740, previously isolated from a man with upper respiratory illness, cannot replicate in any of the tested bat cells (Fig. 3b).

mRNA transcript sequence analysis and expression of DPP4 in bat cells

Partial DPP4 mRNA transcript sequences (corresponding to nt 688–1040 of hDPP4 which includes residue 229-346, where the critical residues for MERS-CoV spike protein binding are present) were determined for six of the seven bat species from which the 12 tested bat cells were developed. The sequence of *M. pusillus* was not determined, as RT-PCR for the DPP4 mRNA from bat cells was negative. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the bat DPP4 mRNA sequences formed a distinct cluster being closely related to sequences from human and nonprimates; while the dromedary human camel DPP4 sequence was most closely related to that of wild boar (Fig. 4a). Previous studies have identified 14 critical residues in hDPP4 for binding of MERS-CoV spike protein^{53,54}. Upon multiple sequence alignment of the corresponding regions that contain these critical residues, most of the residues are conserved in the six bat DPP4 sequences in this study (Fig. 3b). Notably, T. pachypus DPP4 (Tp-DPP4) contains an $I \rightarrow K$ substitution at position 295 compared with hDPP4. On the other hand, residue R336 of hDPP4 was only conserved in T. pachypus and H. pomona, both not susceptible to MERS-CoV infection.

RT-qPCR of bat DPP4 mRNA in the corresponding bat cells was performed to determine the mRNA expression



levels. Results showed that DPP4 mRNA was expressed in all the five bat cells that were susceptible to MERS-CoV infection, while it was also expressed in *H. pomona* kidney and *R. leschenaulti* lung cells which were not susceptible to MERS-CoV infection. The mRNA expression level in *P.*

abramus kidney (susceptible to MERS-CoV) was significantly higher than that in its lung (non-susceptible) cells (P = 0.0185 by Student's *t* test). Similarly, the mRNA expression level in *R. leschenaulti* kidney (susceptible to MERS-CoV) was significantly higher than that in its lung



Fig. 4 Phylogenetic analyses of partial DPP4 mRNA sequences and comparison of critical amino acid residues of human, camels, bats and other animals. (a) The trees were constructed by Neighbor-Joining method using JTT substitution models and bootstrap values calculated from 1000 trees. Only bootstrap values >70% are shown. One hundred and twelve aa positions were included in the analyses. The scale bars represent 20 substitutions per site. Bat DPP4s that are sequenced in this study are labeled with black circles. Comparison of critical amino acid residues in DPP4 from different animal host for receptor binding in the region of residues 229–346 with respect to human DPP4 (**b**)

(non-susceptible) cells (P = 0.0009 by Student's t test). Compared with bat cells that are non-susceptible, bat cells that are susceptible to MERS-CoV showed a significantly higher mRNA expression level (P = 0.0174 by Student's t test) (Fig. 5).

Overexpression of Tp-DPP4 does not confer MERS-CoV EMC/2012 susceptibility to *T. pachypus* cells

Since DPP4 was not expressed in *T. pachypus* cells while this bat species hosts Ty-BatCoV HKU4, a close relative of MERS-CoV which can utilize hDPP4 for cell entry^{41,42}, we overexpressed Tp-DPP4 in *T. pachypus* lung and kidney cells for infection by MERS-CoV. While a slight increase (less than one log₁₀) in viral replication was noted in both mock and Tp-DPP4-overexpressed cells on day 5 p.i., no significant difference was noted between mock and Tp-DPP4-overexpressed cells (Fig. 6), suggesting that overexpression of Tp-DPP4 does not confer MERS-CoV susceptibility to *T. pachypus* cells.

Discussion

The present study provides further support to the bat origin of MERS-CoV. Previous studies have shown that MERS-CoV can infect cell lines from different animals, including cells from various bat species 43-47. However, bat cells from host species of MERS-CoV-related viruses, such as T. pachypus and P. abramus which harbor Ty-BatCoV HKU4 and Pi-BatCoV HKU5, respectively, were not included in these studies. In this study, MERS-CoV EMC/2012 was able to replicate in cells from four different bat genera/species belonging to three different bat families including Pteropodidae, Rhinolophidae, and Vespertilionidae. None of these bat cells were previously reported to support MERS-CoV replication. While lineage C betacoronaviruses have not been detected in bats outside the Vespertilionidae family, such diverse cellular tropism should prompt further studies to explore the host diversity of this group of CoVs and hence the possible evolutionary origin of MERS-CoV. In particular, the ability of MERS-CoV to replicate in cells from P. abramus, the host of Pi-BatCoV HKU5, may suggest that the ancestor of MERS-CoV could have originated from *Pipistrellus*-related bats. Interestingly, MERS-CoV showed the highest replicative ability in cells from R. sinicus. This suggests that MERS-CoV may potentially infect this bat species which is also the natural reservoir of SARSr-CoVs and animal origin of SARS-CoV²¹. Nevertheless, three other MERS-CoV strains belonging to either clade A2 or B3 showed differential replicative abilities in the five bat cell lines that were susceptible to EMC/ 2012 strain (clade A1), with strain D998/15 (clade A2) unable to replicate in all five tested cell lines. Interestingly, it has been shown in a recent study that some clade C MERS-CoV strains from Nigeria and Burkina Faso replicated at a lower titre in Calu-3 cells⁵². This is in line with the present study that our clade A2 strain D998/15 (with its spike gene belonging to clade C), conferred less broad cellular tropism. Our findings suggest that different clades or strains of MERS-CoV may possess different cellular tropism and host range, while all MERS-CoVs can replicate well in the immune-deficient Vero cells.

The broader cellular tropism of MERS-CoV than SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E in bat cells may reflect the host diversity of lineage C betacoronaviruses in bats. Bats are now known to be the recent origin of at least two human CoVs, including SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E. SARS-CoV is likely a recombinant virus arising from horseshoe bats before it jumped to civet as the intermediate host and then human^{16,24}. SARSr-CoVs in bats were shown to utilize the SARS-CoV receptor, human angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (hACE2), for cell entry⁵⁵. Similar to SARS-CoV, HCoV-229E is likely to have originated from bats. CoVs closely related to HCoV-229E have been detected in bats of the genus *Hipposideros* in Africa⁵⁶. More recently, CoVs even closer to HCoV-229E were identified in dromedary camels in the Middle East, which were able to utilize the receptor of HCoV-229E, human aminopeptidase N (hAPN) for cell entry⁵⁷. This suggests

that dromedary camels may have served as intermediate hosts for bat-to-human transmission of HCoV-229E, which may be analogous to the evolution of MERS-CoV. Recently, a CoV, closely related to HCoV-NL63 in most genome regions except the spike protein, was detected from a bat of the genus Triaenops in Kenya⁵⁸. However, further studies are required to identify even closer relatives of HCoV-NL63 to ascertain its possible bat origin. In contrast to SARSr-CoVs and HCoV-229E which were mainly found in horseshoe and roundleaf bats, respectively, a more diverse host range was observed in lineage C betacoronaviruses. Yet, the different bat species harboring lineage C betacoronaviruses all belonged to the family Vespertilionidae. Specifically, Ty-BatCoV HKU4, Pi-BatCoV HKU5, Hp-BatCoV HKU25, and BtVs-Beta-CoV/SC2013 were detected in bats belonging to the genera, Tylonycteris, Pipistrellus, Hypsugo, and Verspetilio, respectively, in China, whereas NeoCoV and BatCoV PREDICT/PDF-2180 were detected in bats belonging to Neoromicia and Pipistrellus in Africa^{36,37}. In this study, SARS-CoV could only replicate in R. sinicus kidney cells but not other bat cells, which reflects its evolutionary origin from horseshoe bats. On the other hand, HCoV-229E was unable to replicate in any tested bat cells including cells from Hipposideros. This may suggest a relatively narrow host range of SARS-CoV and HCoV-229E in bats compared with lineage C betacoronaviruses including MERS-CoV.

The close phylogenetic relationship between bat and primate DPP4 sequences may reflect the replicative ability of MERS-CoV in bat cells. Moreover, cells that supported MERS-CoV replication showed significantly higher DPP4 mRNA expression than those that were non-susceptible to MERS-CoV, suggesting that cellular DPP4 expression is critical for viral infection. Since Ty-BatCoV HKU4 from T. pachypus was previously shown to be able to utilize hDPP4 for cell entry^{41,42}, we expected MERS-CoV to be able to utilize Tp-DPP4 for receptor binding and infect T. pachypus cells. While the inability of MERS-CoV to replicate in T. pachypus cells may be partly explained by the lack of DPP4 expression, overexpression of Tp-DPP4 was unable to confer viral susceptibility. Since mRNA expression may not always correlate with cell surface expression of the receptor, further studies are required to study the receptorbinding interphase between MERS-CoV and Tp-DPP4 and whether other cellular factors may play a role in determining viral replicative abilities in T. pachypus cells, which may offer further insights into the evolutionary origin and mechanisms of interspecies transmission of MERS-CoV. Interestingly, one of the critical residues for binding to MERS-CoV spike protein, R336, was only conserved in T. pachypus and H. pomona DPP4 (cells from these two species did not support MERS-CoV replication), but not in the other four sequenced bat DPP4 (cells from these four species supported MERS-CoV replication). This suggests that this residue may not be critical for receptor binding. Binding and mutagenesis studies may help better understand the role of receptor-binding-interphase during viral evolution and interspecies jumping.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The collection of bat samples for developing bat cell lines was approved by the Committee on the Use of Live

Table 1 Cell lines used in the present study

Organism		Site of origin	Source
Bat	Hipposideros pomona (Pomona roundleaf bat)	Kidney	In-house development
	Miniopterus pusillus (Lesser bent-winged bat)	Kidney	In-house development
	Myotis ricketti (Rickett's big-footed bat)	Kidney	In-house development
	Myotis ricketti (Rickett's big-footed bat)	Lung	In-house development
	Pipistrellus abramus (Japanese pipistrelle)	Kidney	In-house development
	Pipistrellus abramus (Japanese pipistrelle)	Lung	In-house development
	Rhinolophus sinicus (Chinese horseshoe bat)	Kidney	In-house development
	Rhinolophus sinicus (Chinese horseshoe bat)	Lung	In-house development
	Tylonycteris pachypus (Lesser bamboo bat)	Kidney	In-house development
	Tylonycteris pachypus (Lesser bamboo bat)	Lung	In-house development
	Rousettus leschenaultii (Leschenault's rousette)	Kidney	In-house development
	Rousettus leschenaultii (Leschenault's rousette)	Lung	In-house development
Human	Homo sapiens	Embryonic Lung	HFL (In-house development)
Monkey	Cercopithecus aethiops (African green monkey)	Kidney	Vero (ATCC CCL-81)

Animals for Teaching and Research, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.

Cell lines

The bat cells, Vero (African green monkey kidney) cells, and HFL (human embryonic lung fibroblast) cells used in this study are described in Table 1. For development of primary bat cell lines, bats were captured in Hong Kong and euthanized before dissection for recovery of cells from organs aseptically. Briefly, the kidney and lung tissue were rinsed with cold PBS and cut into small pieces. Cold 0.25% trypsin-EDTA was added to the tissues and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Tissues were then incubated at 37 °C on shaking platform for 30 min. Supernatants were filtered through cell strainers to remove large pieces of tissues⁵⁹. Bat cells were harvested by spinning down the supernatant at 1200 rpm for 8 min and were grown in DMEM/ F12 supplemented with 15% FBS. Vero and HFL cells were grown in MEM supplemented with 10% FBS. All cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

Virus isolates

MERS-CoV strain EMC/2012 was provided by Fouchier and Zaki et al.¹¹. MERS-CoV strains D998/15, D1189.1/ 15, and D1271.1/15 were isolated from dromedary camels in Dubai⁵¹. SARS-CoV strain HKU-39849 was isolated from the brother-in-law of the index patient in Hong Kong during the SARS epidemic⁸. The HCoV-229E strain ATCC VR-740 was used. MERS-CoV isolates and SARS-CoV were propagated in Vero cells at MOI of 0.01 in MEM supplemented with 1% FBS. HCoV-229E was propagated in HFL.

Infection of bat cell lines

Viral titers were determined as median tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) per ml in confluent cells in 96well microtiter plates. Cells were seeded onto 24-well tissue culture plates, at 2×10^5 cells per well with the respective medium and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ for 24 h prior to experiment. Cells were washed once with PBS and inoculated with 1 MOI of MERS-CoV or SARS-CoV, or 0.01 MOI of 229E for 1 h. After 1 h of viral adsorption, the supernatant was removed and cells were washed twice with PBS. The cells were maintained in MEM supplemented with 1% FBS for Vero and HFL cells and DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1% FBS for bat cells, before further incubation for 5 days.

Viral replication studies

To study viral replication efficiency, progeny viruses from cell culture supernatants collected at 5 days postinfection (p.i.) were subjected to reverse transcriptionquantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) according to our previous protocols⁶⁰. Briefly, total RNA extracted from cell culture supernatants with QIAsymphony DSP Virus/ Pathogen Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was reverse transcribed and amplified with MERS-CoV primers (forward primer 5'-CAAAACCTTCCCTAAGA AGGAAAAG-3' reverse primer 5'-GCTCCTTTGGAG GTTCAGACAT-3'), SARS-CoV primers (forward 5'- AC CAGAATGGAGGACGCAATG-3' reverse 5'-GCTGTG

	Table 2	Primers	used	for	RT-qPCR	in	this	stud
--	---------	---------	------	-----	----------------	----	------	------

Target	Primers						
	Forward	Reverse	Probe				
MERS- CoV N gene	5'-CAAAACCTTCCCTAAGAAGGAAAAG -3'	5'-GCTCCTTTGGAGGTTCAGACAT-3'	(5'-(FAM)ACAAAAGGCACCAAAAGAAGAATCAAC AGACC(BHQ1)-3'				
SARS- CoV N gene	5'- ACCAGAATGGAGGACGCAATG-3'	5'-GCTGTGAACCAAGACGCAGTATTAT-3'	5'-(FAM)ACCCCAAGGTTTACCC(NFQ)-3'				
HCoV- 229E N gene	5'-CAGTCAAATGGGCTGATGCA-3'	5'-AAAGGGCTATAAAGAGAATAAGGTATTCT-3'	5'-(FAM)CCCTGACGACCACGTTGTGGTTCA(BHQ1)- 3'				
DPP4	5'-TGATCTTGCCTCCTCATTTTGATAA-3'	5'-GTAACCACTTCCTCTGCCATCAA-3' or 5'- GTAACCACTTCCTCTGCCGTCAA-3' (for Rousettus cell lines)	5'-(FAM)CCACMTTCAMACTCARYTGGGCTACTTAC C(BHQ1)-3'				
β-actin	5'-CTCTTCCAGCCCTCCTTCCT-3'	5'-TTCATCGTGCTGGGAGCC-3' or 5'- TTCATTGTGCTGGGAGCC-3' (for <i>Rousettus</i> cell lines) or 5'-TTCATGGTGCTGGGGGGCC-3' (for <i>Rhinolophus</i> cell lines)	5'-(FAM)CATGAAGTGYGACGTBGACATCCG (BHQ1)-3'				

AACCAAGACGCAGTATTAT-3') and HCoV-229E primers (forward 5'-CAGTCAAATGGGCTGATGCA-3' 5'-AAAGGGCTATAAAGAGAATAAGGTAT reverse TCT-3') using real-time one-step quantitative RT-PCR assay as described previously with modifications^{45,60}. Probes for MERS-CoV [5'-(FAM)ACAAAAGGCAC CAAAAGAAGAATCAACAGACC(BHQ1)-3'], SARS-CoV [5'-(FAM)ACCCCAAGGTTTACCC(NFQ)-3'] and HCoV-229E [5'-(FAM)CCCTGACGACCACGTTGTG GTTCA(BHQ1)-3'] were used (Table 2). Reactions were first incubated at 50 °C for 30 min, followed by 95 °C for 2 min, and were then thermal cycled for 50 cycles (95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s). A series of 10 \log_{10} dilutions equivalent to 1×10^{1} to 1×10^{10} copies per reaction mixture were prepared to generate calibration curves and were run in parallel with the test samples. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Amplification and sequencing of partial bat DPP4 mRNA transcripts

Total RNA was extracted from bat cell lysates using RNeasy Mini Spin Column (QIAgen). cDNA was PCR amplified with primers, 5'-GTCACCAGAGGGTCAT AAA-3' and 5'-CCACTTCCTCTGCCATCAAA-3'. The PCR mixture (25 μ l) contained cDNA, PCR buffer, 200 μ M (each) dNTPs, and 1.0 U Iproof Polymerase (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The mixtures were amplified for 40 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 72 s and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min in an automated

thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). RT-PCR products were gel purified using QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen), and sequenced with an ABI Prism 3700 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sequences obtained were compared with sequences of DPP4 genes in GenBank database. Phylogenetic tree construction was performed based on an amino acid alignment of partial DPP4 sequences (corresponding to residue 229–346 of hDPP4) using the Neighbor-Joining method with JTT model by MEGA 6.0, with bootstrap values calculated from 1000 trees.

DPP4 expression analysis

To study DPP4 expression profiles in different bat cell lines, cell lysates were collected for total RNA extraction using RNeasy Mini Spin Column (QIAgen). RNA was eluted in 50 µl of RNase-free water and was used as a template for one-step RT-qPCR with SuperScript III platinum One-step qRT-PCR system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). RT-qPCR assays were performed using conserved primers designed by multiple alignment of available bat DPP4 gene sequences (Table 2), using β -actin for normalization. cDNA was amplified in a LightCycler 480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) with 25 µl reaction mixtures containing $2 \times$ reaction mix, 5 µl RNA, $25\,\mu\text{M}$ ROX reference dye, $50\,\mu\text{M}$ primers, and $10\,\mu\text{M}$ probe at 50 °C for 30 min, then 95 °C for 2 min followed by 50 cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension. Experiments were performed in triplicates, and results

were expressed as the mean expression level of DPP4/ β -actin. The relative expression between different bat cells was then calculated by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

tpDPP4 overexpression in T. pachypus cells

T. pachypus DPP4 (tpDPP4) sequence was cloned into pLenti7.3/V5-TOPO vector (Invitrogen). The construct was transfected into 293FT cells together with ViraPower Packaging Mix (Invitrogen) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Lentivirus was harvested from the supernatant and concentrated using PEG-it (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, USA). *T. pachypus* cells resistant to MERS-CoV were transduced using the concentrated lentivirus for tpDPP4 overexpression and were subsequently subjected to inoculation with 1 MOI of MERS-CoV.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The nucleotide sequences of bat DPP4 obtained from this study have been deposited in the GenBank sequence database under accession numbers MH345671-MH345676.

Acknowledgements

We thank C.T. Shek, Agriculture, Fisheries, and Conservation Department, the Government of the HKSAR, for capture of bats for bat cell development and expert opinion. This work is partly supported by Theme-Based Research Scheme (T11-707/15-R) and Research Grant Council Grant, University Grant Council; Health and Medical Research Fund of the Food and Health Bureau of HKSAR; University Development Fund and Special Research Achievement Award, The University of Hong Kong; Consultancy Service for Enhancing Laboratory Surveillance of Emerging Infectious Disease for the HKSAR Department of Infectious Diseases, the Ministry of Education of China; National Science and Technology Major Project of China (grant number 2012ZX10004213). Views expressed in this paper are those of the authors only, and may not represent the opinion of the AFCD or the Government of the HKSAR.

Author details

¹State Key Laboratory of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ²Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ³Carol Yu Centre for Infection, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China. ⁴Collaborative Innovation Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, China. ⁵Central Veterinary Research Laboratory, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Author contributions

S.K.P.L. and P.C.Y.W. conceived the study and designed the experiments. R.Y.Y.F., H.K.H.L., L.C., J.F., K.S.M.L., E.Y.M.W. and S.S.A. performed the experiments. S.K.P.L., R.F.F.Y., H.K.H.L., J.F., K.S.M.L., J.F.W.C., R.K.H.K., K.H.C., U.W., K.Y.Y. and P.C.Y.W. analyzed the data. S.K.P.L., R.F.F.Y., H.K.H.L., K.S.M.L., L.C. and P.C.Y.W. wrote the paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 24 August 2018 Revised: 8 November 2018 Accepted: 11 November 2018 Published online: 10 December 2018

References

- de Groot R. J. et al. Coronaviridae. In: Virus Taxonomy, Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses. Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, International Union of Microbiological Societies, Virology Division, 806–828 (2011).
- Woo, P. C. et al. Discovery of seven novel Mammalian and avian coronaviruses in the genus deltacoronavirus supports bat coronaviruses as the gene source of alphacoronavirus and betacoronavirus and avian coronaviruses as the gene source of gammacoronavirus and deltacoronavirus. J. Virol. 86, 3995–4008 (2012).
- Woo, P. C. et al. Comparative analysis of twelve genomes of three novel group 2c and group 2d coronaviruses reveals unique group and subgroup features. J. Virol. 81, 1574–1585 (2007).
- Gorbalenya, A. E., Snijder, E. J. & Spaan, W. J. M. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus phylogeny: toward consensus. *J. Virol.* 78, 7863–7866 (2004).
- Drosten, C. et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *New Engl. J. Med.* 348, 1967–1976 (2003).
- Fouchier, R. A. M. et al. A previously undescribed coronavirus associated with respiratory disease in humans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 101, 6212–6216 (2004).
- Ksiazek, T. G. et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *New Engl. J. Med.* 348, 1953–1966 (2003).
- Peiris, J. S. M. et al. Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Lancet* 361, 1319–1325 (2003).
- van der Hoek, L. et al. Identification of a new human coronavirus. Nat. Med. 10, 368–373 (2004).
- Woo, P. C. et al. Characterization and complete genome sequence of a novel coronavirus, coronavirus HKU1, from patients with pneumonia. J. Virol. 79, 884–895 (2005).
- Zaki, A. M., van Boheemen, S., Bestebroer, T. M., Osterhaus, A. D. & Fouchier, R. A. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *New Engl. J. Med.* 367, 1814–1820 (2012).
- de Groot, R. J. et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): announcement of the Coronavirus Study Group. J. Virol. 87, 7790–7792 (2013).
- Woo, P. C. Y. et al. Comparative analysis of 22 coronavirus HKU1 genomes reveals a novel genotype and evidence of natural recombination in coronavirus HKU1. J. Virol. 80, 7136–7145 (2006).
- Kottier, S. A., Cavanagh, D. & Britton, P. Experimental evidence of recombination in coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus. *Virology* 213, 569–580 (1995).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Isolation and characterization of a novel betacoronavirus subgroup a coronavirus, rabbit coronavirus HKU14, from domestic rabbits. *J. Virol.* 86, 5481–5496 (2012).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Ecoepidemiology and complete genome comparison of different strains of severe acute respiratory syndrome-related rhinolophus bat coronavirus in china reveal bats as a reservoir for acute, selflimiting infection that allows recombination events. J. Virol. 84, 2808–2819 (2010).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Molecular epidemiology of human coronavirus OC43 reveals evolution of different genotypes over time and recent emergence of a novel genotype due to natural recombination. *J. Virol.* **85**, 11325–11337 (2011).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Coexistence of different genotypes in the same bat and serological characterization of rousettus bat coronavirus HKU9 belonging to a novel betacoronavirus subgroup. J. Virol. 84, 11385–11394 (2010).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Discovery of a novel coronavirus, China Rattus Coronavirus HKU24, from Norway rats supports the murine origin of betacoronavirus 1 and has implications for the ancestor of betacoronavirus lineage A. J. Virol. 89, 3076–3092 (2014).
- 20. Guan, Y. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. *Science* **302**, 276–278 (2003).
- Lau, S. K. et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in Chinese horseshoe bats. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 102, 14040–14045 (2005).
- Li, W. Bats are natural Reservoirs of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science 310, 676–679 (2005).

- Lau, S. K. et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus ORF8 protein is acquired from SARS-related coronavirus from greater horseshoe bats through recombination. J. Virol. 89, 10532–10547 (2015).
- Song, H. D. et al. Cross-host evolution of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in palm civet and human. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **102**, 2430–2435 (2005).
- Chinese, S. M. E. C. Molecular evolution of the SARS coronavirus during the course of the SARS epidemic in China. *Science* **303**, 1666–1669 (2004).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Recent transmission of a novel alphacoronavirus, bat coronavirus HKU10, from Leschenault's Rousettes to Pomona Leaf-Nosed Bats: first evidence of interspecies transmission of coronavirus between bats of different suborders. J. Virol. 86, 11906–11918 (2012).
- Woo, P. C. et al. Discovery of a novel bottlenose dolphin coronavirus reveals a distinct species of marine mammal coronavirus in Gammacoronavirus. *J. Virol.* 88, 1318–1331 (2014).
- Zhang, J. et al. Genomic characterization of equine coronavirus. *Virology* 369, 92–104 (2007).
- Hasoksuz, M. et al. Biologic, antigenic, and full-length genomic characterization of a bovine-like coronavirus isolated from a giraffe. J. Virol. 81, 4981–4990 (2007).
- Jin, L. et al. Analysis of the genome sequence of an alpaca coronavirus. Virology 365, 198–203 (2007).
- Reusken, C. B. et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus neutralising serum antibodies in dromedary camels: a comparative serological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 13, 859–866 (2013).
- Chan, J. F. W. et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 465–522 (2015).
- Woo, P. C. Y. et al. Molecular diversity of coronaviruses in bats. *Virology* 351, 180–187 (2006).
- Lau, S. K. et al. Genetic characterization of betacoronavirus Lineage C viruses in bats reveals marked sequence divergence in the spike protein of pipistrellus bat coronavirus HKU5 in Japanese pipistrelle: implications for the origin of the novel Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *J. Virol.* 87, 8638–8650 (2013).
- Yang, L. et al. MERS-related betacoronavirus in Vespertilio superans bats, China. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 20, 1260–1262 (2014).
- Corman, V. M. et al. Rooting the phylogenetic tree of middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus by characterization of a conspecific virus from an African bat. J. Virol. 88, 11297–11303 (2014).
- Anthony, S. J. et al. Further evidence for bats as the evolutionary source of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *mBio* 8 (2017).
- Geldenhuys, M. et al. A metagenomic viral discovery approach identifies potential zoonotic and novel mammalian viruses in Neoromicia bats within South Africa. *PloS ONE* 13, e0194527 (2018).
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. Receptor usage of a novel bat lineage C betacoronavirus reveals evolution of MERS-related coronavirus spike proteins for human DPP4 binding. J. Infect. Dis. 218,197–207 (2018).
- Corman, V. M. et al. Characterization of a novel betacoronavirus related to middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in European hedgehogs. *J. Virol.* 88, 717–724 (2014).

- Yang, Y. et al. Receptor usage and cell entry of bat coronavirus HKU4 provide insight into bat-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 12516–12521 (2014).
- 42. Wang, Q. et al. Bat origins of MERS-CoV supported by bat coronavirus HKU4 usage of human receptor CD26. *Cell host & Microbe* **16**, 328–337 (2014).
- Cai, Y. et al. CD26/DPP4 cell-surface expression in bat cells correlates with bat cell susceptibility to Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection and evolution of persistent infection. *PloS ONE* 9, e112060 (2014).
- Munster, V. J. et al. Replication and shedding of MERS-CoV in Jamaican fruit bats (Artibeus jamaicensis). *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21878 (2016).
- Chan, J. F. et al. Differential cell line susceptibility to the emerging novel human betacoronavirus 2c EMC/2012: implications for disease pathogenesis and clinical manifestation. J. Infect. Dis. 207, 1743–1752 (2013).
- Eckerle, I. et al. Replicative capacity of MERS coronavirus in livestock cell lines. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 20, 276–279 (2014).
- Vergara-Alert, J. et al. Livestock susceptibility to infection with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 23, 232–240 (2017).
- Banerjee, A. et al. Generation and characterization of eptesicus fuscus (Big brown bat) kidney cell lines immortalized using the myotis polyomavirus large T-antigen. J. Virol. Methods 237, 166–173 (2016).
- Lau, S. K. P., Wong, A. C. P., Lau, T. C. K. & Woo, P. C. Y. Molecular evolution of MERS coronavirus: dromedaries as a recent intermediate host or long-time animal reservoir? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18 (2017).
- Cotten, M. et al. Transmission and evolution of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in Saudi Arabia: a descriptive genomic study. *Lancet* 382, 1993–2002 (2013).
- Lau, S. K. et al. Polyphyletic origin of MERS coronaviruses and isolation of a novel clade A strain from dromedary camels in the United Arab Emirates. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 5, e128 (2016).
- Chu, D. K. W. et al. MERS coronaviruses from camels in Africa exhibit regiondependent genetic diversity. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 115, 3144–3149 (2018).
- Lu, G. et al. Molecular basis of binding between novel human coronavirus MERS-CoV and its receptor CD26. *Nature* 500, 227–231 (2013).
- 54. Wang, N. et al. Structure of MERS-CoV spike receptor-binding domain complexed with human receptor DPP4. *Cell Res.* **23**, 986–993 (2013).
- Li, W. et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature* 426, 450–454 (2003).
- Corman, V. M. et al. Evidence for an ancestral association of human coronavirus 229E with bats. J. Virol. 89, 11858–11870 (2015).
- Corman, V. M. et al. Link of a ubiquitous human coronavirus to dromedary camels. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 113, 9864–9869 (2016).
- Tao, Y. et al. Surveillance of bat coronaviruses in Kenya identifies relatives of human coronaviruses NL63 and 229E and their recombination history. *J. Virol.* 91 (2017).
- Crameri, G. et al. Establishment, immortalisation and characterisation of pteropid bat cell lines. *PloS ONE* 4, e8266 (2009).
- Lau, S. K. et al. Delayed induction of proinflammatory cytokines and suppression of innate antiviral response by the novel Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: implications for pathogenesis and treatment. *J. Gen. Virol.* 94, (Pt 12) 2679–90 (2013).