VIEWPOINT

Viewpoint on Milestones for Fellowship Training in Movement Disorders

Jeffrey B. Ratliff, MD, ^{1*} Sara M. Schaefer, MD, MHS, ² Shilpa Chitnis, MD, PhD, ³ Jeffrey W. Cooney, MD, ⁴ Christopher W. Hess, MD, ⁵ Njideka Okubadejo, MD, ⁶ Ali Shalash, MD, ⁷ Elena Moro, MD, PhD, ⁸ Carolyn Sue, MBBS, PhD, ⁹ Sanjay Pandey, MD, DM, ¹⁰ Pramod K. Pal, MD, DM, ¹¹ and Laurice Yang, MD, MHA ¹²

¹Department of Neurology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

²Department of Neurology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

³Department of Neurology, University of Texas, Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

⁴Department of Neurology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA

⁵Department of Neurology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA

⁶College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria

⁷Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

⁸Grenoble Alpes University, CHU de Grenoble, Division of Neurology, Grenoble Institute of Neurosciences, Grenoble, France

⁹Department of Neurology, Royal North Shore Hospital and Kolling Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

¹⁰Department of Neurology, Govind Ballabh Pant Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, New Delhi, India

¹¹Department of Neurology, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

¹²Department of Neurology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA

There is a demand for quality Parkinson's Disease (PD) care globally and competent movement disorders specialists are needed. Fellowship training provides neurologists (residency graduates) education in the diagnosis and management of movement disorders. Graduates may provide improved care for patients with movement disorders, serve as a referral for colleagues, become educators or leaders in the field, and may have scholarly experience during training that prepares them to contribute to the understanding of movement disorders. In North America, there are at least 88 fellowship positions in 2022, compared to 43 positions in 2012. Detailed data outlining the landscape of movement disorders training across global regions is unavailable, but

training programs exist in countries across all populated continents. Most programs offer clinical training, research, and didactic conferences, but there is curricular variability. ^{5,6} Data suggests improvement in training is needed. ¹

A curriculum developed by the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) Movement Disorders section and the Movement Disorder Society's Pan Section (MDS-PAS) outlines content, but provides little methodological guidance for evaluating trainees (Supplementary S1). Other international neurological societies (ie, the MDS, the European Academy of Neurology) financially support clinical or research fellowships in movement disorders ranging from 3 to 12 months at selected centers. There is no established common curriculum for these fellowships. Firmer assessment guidance will improve trainees' clinical competency development.

Milestones are used in medical education internationally, although the definition of "milestone," differs between regions. The Our use of "milestones" adheres to the United States (US)-based definition, in which milestones are "behaviors associated with a specific level of achievement for [a] competency. American physician training programs accredited by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) use milestones to address inter-program variability and as a tool to evaluate trainees' growth. These milestones are not requirements, but provide discrete levels of growing competence trainees can demonstrate via observable behaviors. The observable behaviors.

© 2022 The Authors. *Movement Disorders* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

Key Words: Fellowship, Education, Movement Disorders Training, Milestones

*Correspondence to: Dr. Jeffrey Ratliff; Department of Neurology, Thomas Jefferson University, 901 Walnut St, Philadelphia, PA, 19107, USA; E-mail: jeffrey.ratliff@jefferson.edu

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: None.

Received: 16 February 2022; Revised: 7 June 2022; Accepted: 9 June 2022

Published online 11 July 2022 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/mds.29146

Current Gaps

There are no published competency-based tools to guide the assessment of movement disorders trainees. Movement disorders fellowships are not regulated or accredited by agencies like the ACGME. Therefore, fellowship educators lack external structure to guide their trainee assessment.

Without periodic evaluation of whether they are meeting goals, curricula drift from their original structure. Revision is challenging without clear metrics to which educators can aspire. The evaluation metrics for a training program are myriad, but the competency of its graduates is paramount.

Fellowship directors may seek resources to build curricula. An available set of milestones specific to movement disorders training reduces the need to "reinvent the wheel" in trainee assessment. Traditionally, fellow assessment has comprised an impression of perceived strengths and weaknesses determined by the fellowship director with the input of collaborating faculty (Supplementary S1). Although this may work for many, the competencies of graduates may vary without uniform benchmarks. Here, we propose and discuss a set of milestones to be adopted for use in clinical training programs by movement disorders educators.

Methods

An initial set of milestones was created by L.Y. for her trainees. It was presented to the AAN Movement Disorders Subsection Steering Committee and approved for sharing with the subsection during the 2018 AAN Annual Meeting. In March 2019, a fellowship curriculum workgroup formed on AAN and MDS online forums seeking interested educators. The initial workgroup teleconference occurred in April 2019. A subgroup tasked to create a set of milestones met in May 2019. The process began with the milestones shared at the 2018 AAN meeting. Milestones written by S.S. and J.R. for their respective fellowship programs were incorporated. The group was unaware of other milestone-based tools in use at other training programs.

The following goals were established: (1) provide a tool for fellowship directors globally to progressively evaluate fellows' performance; (2) avoid mandating training standards while providing an assessment tool that educators can build on for their own program. An initial draft of the milestones was made by July 2019. Ongoing revisions occurred over the year 2020 to 2021 via email and teleconference.

An initial set of milestones was submitted to the journal *Movement Disorders* in February 2021. Recommended revisions included (1) improve alignment with ACGME core competencies; (2) gather input from

TABLE 1 Core competencies and enclosed milestones

Patient Care

History Taking

Movement Disorders Examination

Movement Disorders Formulation

Parkinson's Disease (PD)

Other Parkinsonian Disorders

Tremor

Dystonia

Other Hyperkinetic Disorders

Ataxia

Huntington's Disease (HD) and other Choreas

Functional Movement Disorders (FMD)

Therapeutic Chemodenervation

Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) Programming

Medical Knowledge

Anatomy, Neurochemistry, Neurophysiology of Movement Disorders

Systems Based Practice

System Navigation for Patient-Centered Care

Practice Based Learning and Improvement

Evidence-Based and Informed Practice

Self-Directed Learning

Scholarly Work^a

Professionalism

Departmental Accountability and Contribution

Clinical Accountability/Conscientiousness

Interpersonal and Communication Skills

Communication within Team

Communication with Patient and Family

Communication with Other Providers

international movement disorder educators, improving the generalizability to global trainees; and (3) gather feedback from North American fellowship directors.

Subsequent meetings mapped the milestones with the established competencies: Patient Care, Medical Knowledge, Practice Based Learning and Improvement, Systems Based Practice, Professionalism, and Interpersonal Communication (Table 1).¹³

In 2021, the Neurology Milestones 2.0 were officially put into use. Notably, this version omitted subspecialty specific milestones for residency trainees (eg,

^aScholarly Work is included as an optional milestone for training programs with a scholarship requirement.

epilepsy, movement disorders, etc.). The authors herein decided that, because of the sub-specialty nature of fellowship training, disease-based milestones still facilitate educators' assessment of clinical breadth in a program and identification of skill gaps in trainees.

Additional international collaboration was sought. Requests went to multiple individuals, including MDS regional section chairs, leaders on the MDS Education Committee, and those with education leadership expertise in training movement disorders clinicians. Some requests were not returned. In autumn 2021, input from 7 non-US collaborators was incorporated into the milestones. Some contributors, while contributing to the milestones, excused themselves from the authorship process (see Acknowledgments).

Non-US collaborators largely agreed with the milestones developed to date, suggesting that trainees' competency development is similar across countries. Key revisions included augmented consideration of systemic diseases (eg, Wilson's), inclusion of general neurologic and medical examination skills in the assessment of movement disorders (eg, ophthalmologic examination), and increased emphasis on genetic history gathering. Minor revisions refined where skills occurred across the levels of each milestone. Group consensus was reached for each edit.

Revised milestones were distributed to the online fellowship directors' forum for commentary and suggestions beyond the workgroup. No suggestions were volunteered. The group agreed on the proposed milestones in May 2022 (Supplementary S2).

General Features of the Movement Disorders Fellowship Milestones

The Movement Disorders Fellowship Milestones provide a progressive set of skills to assess periodically based on observation of a trainee. The formatting and structure matches the Neurology Milestones. 14,16 Level 1 represents competencies imbued by a neurology residency or those learned very early in fellowship training. Level 2 and Level 3 reflect skills progressing from simple to complex management. Level 4 delineates competency for independent practice typically achieved on completing fellowship. Level 5 is an aspirational level for fellows who achieve expertise or exquisite skill in a specific domain.

Patient Care

Milestones mapped to Patient Care (PC) include those critical to the assessment and diagnosis of movement disorders: history taking, movement disorders examination, and formulation. In addition, they include disease- and procedure-specific milestones: Parkinson's disease, other parkinsonian disorders, tremor, dystonia,

other hyperkinetic disorders, ataxia, functional movement disorders, therapeutic chemodenervation, and deep brain stimulation (DBS) programming. These disease- and procedure-specific milestones outline observable behaviors demonstrating progressive ability to diagnose and manage their respective disease or apply their respective procedural skill.

Huntington's Disease (HD) and other Choreas are included. Few fellowship programs may house designated HD centers, which limits trainees' clinical exposure. However, the evaluation, diagnosis, and initial management of HD and choreiform disorders are a necessary competency for movement disorder specialists, even those outside a designated HD center.

Medical Knowledge and Systems-Based Practice

A single milestone each is mapped to the Medical Knowledge (MK) and Systems-Based Practice (SBP) competencies, respectively. The former monitors trainees' demonstration of knowledge and familiarity of the anatomy, neurochemistry, and neurophysiology of movement disorders. The latter measures trainees' ability to oversee patients' navigation of their local healthcare system.

Practice-Based Learning and Improvement

There are three milestones mapped to Practice Based Learning and Improvement (PBLI). Similar to the Neurology Milestones, these milestones assess the ability to use data and evidence in clinical practice, develop a learning plan, and engage in scholarly activity. The milestone on scholarly activity is listed as optional. Although not all fellowships require scholarship of their trainees, 100% of North American programs have fellows engage in some clinical research. We believe the ability to assess trainees' scholarly pursuits is important to many programs.

Professionalism

Two milestones are mapped to Professionalism. They track trainees' interactions among members of the movement disorders team and their professional dedication to their patients.

Interpersonal and Communication Skills

Three milestones mapped to Interpersonal and Communication Skills (ICS) assess fellows' ability to communicate appropriately with members of the movement disorders team, patients and families, and other healthcare providers, respectively.

Discussion

The Movement Disorders Fellowship Milestones fill a gap in the educational paradigm of fellowship training and have multiple applications. Primarily, they are a tool for assessment. Milestone incorporation into an individualized assessment program is at the discretion of fellowship directors and educators. These educators are the arbiters of whether a trainee is adequately prepared based on the curriculum completed and the available assessment data. For example, fellowship faculty with expertise in DBS may be best equipped to inform the assessment of trainees in DBS and to provide this assessment data to the program's director. The milestones provide a tool to be used periodically throughout training to assess fellows, but need not be used as a requirement for graduation. Like the Neurology Milestones' recommendation of biannual assessment of trainees, we also recommend periodic evaluation to monitor progress and growth of learners. 14-16 However, we do not dictate the frequency at which adopters should apply these milestones. Many accredited programs that use milestones do so via Clinical Competency Committees (CCC) that interprets assessment data to evaluate learners' progression. Exploring the implementation of CCC's in movement disorders training is an area of possible future education research.

The milestones can help educators worldwide to develop and refine curricula. Many movement disorders fellowships report having a standardized extra-clinical curriculum to supplement the clinical experience. If educators find that fellows struggle to achieve mastery in certain milestone competencies, curricula can be augmented in a timely manner with additional clinical or didactic experiences. At the residency level, this practice is applied to plan for curricular development and improvement. 17

The milestones aid remediation by helping educators identify gaps among individual trainees. For trainees, the milestones increase transparency regarding the expected skills to develop. This helps trainees identify their own clinical skill gaps, therefore, providing an aid to help focus their learning and clinical training. Educators can adjust curricula rapidly to allow fellows to rotate in clinical domains in which they need improvement over those they have already mastered. Through curriculum refinement and targeted remediation, the clinical quality of sub-specialists joining the field increases.

As with other milestone models, there is no timeline along which fellows must attain certain levels. Although the milestones likely best fit the common 2-year fellowship timeline seen in North America, there are movement disorders training programs as brief as 3 months in Europe. The intention of these milestones is not to impose curricular uniformity across all programs, but to provide a tool that programs could apply to their

educational needs. The milestones may be useful in shorter programs, although educators may acknowledge that developing clinical mastery in the management of less common movement disorders may be more challenging. Approximately 60% to 70% of all patient encounters in a fellowship program are dedicated to parkinsonism. Therefore, fellows may advance rapidly through milestones related to parkinsonian diseases. Given the variety in movement disorders training models, learners' progress through these milestones may vary. This variety is a possible area of future study, especially in comparing training programs of differing duration.

Fellows' clinical training depends on factors like geography, institutional resources, and clinical specialization of their faculty. In some countries, not all resources are available (ie, invasive PD treatment, botulinum toxin, and single-photon emission computed tomography [SPECT] imaging), and therefore, a fellowship might choose applicable milestones accordingly.¹⁸ There are other clinical skills not captured in these milestones; they are not exhaustive. For example, the group considered the inclusion of intraoperative monitoring skills for movement disorder functional neurosurgery. Some programs have the expertise and facilities to train fellows in other electrophysiological methods used in the diagnosis and management of movement disorders. Another example of an omitted clinical domain is movement disorder emergencies, which we felt was a rare event typically evaluated by non-fellowship trained hospital-based neurologists, making observation of demonstrable skills in movement fellows challenging. Overall, expanding the milestones further would likely detract from their simplicity, prove overly cumbersome to potential assessors, and make adoption less likely. We hope their adoption inspires educators that train fellows in omitted domains, to think critically about the path their trainees follow to clinical competency.

These milestones were developed via expert opinion among movement disorders educators from multiple countries, originating from an online forum open to educators in North America with original volunteers exclusively from the United States. The authorship represents a diversity of countries, but perspective from all global regions is lacking. Therefore, potential biases may exist in these milestones and we hope that education researchers across the globe will adopt these milestones and share their experiences in the movement disorders education literature.

Dissemination and implementation of the milestones is only a first step in educational reform. The milestones provide educators a foundation that is modifiable for their educational needs. As with other assessment tools, validation research will be useful to inform revisions and to provide information on milestones use. ¹⁹ Research may explore metrics such as interrater

reliability among faculty or correlation of these milestones with other metrics of successful clinical training. Implementation followed by rigorous assessment and refinement can allow the milestones to improve movement disorders training and subsequent clinical care.

Acknowledgment: We thank Drs. Oren Levy and Kailash Bhatia for their help in the drafting and revising of the milestones.

Funding Sources

This project and manuscript did not receive any outside funding.

Data Availability Statement

No data used for this manuscript. Expert opinion.

References

- Tamás G, Fabbri M, Falup-Pecurariu C, et al. Lack of accredited clinical training in movement disorders in Europe, Egypt, and Tunisia. J Parkinsons Dis 2020;10:1833–1843. https://doi.org/10. 3233/[PD-202000
- Ray Dorsey E, George BP, Leff B, Willis AW. The coming crisis: obtaining care for the growing burden of neurodegenerative conditions. Neurology 2013;80(21):1989–1996. https://doi.org/10.1212/ WNL.0b013e318293e2ce
- 3. Willis AW, Schootman M, Evanoff BA, Perlmutter JS, Racette BA. Neurologist care in Parkinson disease: a utilization, outcomes, and survival study. Neurology 2011;77(9):851–857. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31822c9123
- SFMatch.com. Disorders# https://sfmatch.org/SpecialtyInsideAll. aspx?id=23&ttyp=1&name=Movement
- Shih LC, Tarsy D, Okun MS. The current state and needs of north american movement disorders fellowship programs. Parkinsons Dis 2013;2013: 1–7
- Portera-Cailliau C, Victor D, Frucht S, Fahn S. Movement disorders fellowship training program at Columbia University medical center in 2001–2002. Mov Disord 2006;21(4):479–485.
- Englander R, Frank JR, Carraccio C, Sherbino J, Ross S, Snell L. Toward a shared language for competency-based medical education.

- Med Teach 2017;39(6):582-587. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X. 2017.1315066
- Tekian A, Hodges BD, Roberts TE, Schuwirth L, Norcini J. Assessing competencies using milestones along the way. Med Teach 2015;37(4): 399–402. https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.993954
- Nasca TJ, Philibert I, Brigham T, Flynn TC. The next GME accreditation system rationale and benefits. N Engl J Med 2013;366(11): 1051–1056.
- ten Cate O. Competency-based postgraduate medical education: past, present and future. GMS J Med Educ 2017;34(5):Doc69. https://doi.org/10.3205/zma001146
- van de Mortel TF, Bird JL. Continuous curriculum review in a bachelor of nursing program: preventing curriculum drift and improving quality. J Nurs Educ 2010;49(10):592–595. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20100730-05
- 12. Woods A. Exploring unplanned curriculum drift. J Nurs Educ 2015; 54(11):641–644.
- Holt KD, Miller RS, Nasca TJ. Residency Programs' evaluations of the competencies: data provided to the ACGME about types of assessments used by programs. J Grad Med Educ 2010;2(4):649– 655. https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-02-04-30
- Dewey JJ, Chiota-McCollum N, Barratt D, et al. Introducing the Neurology Milestones 2.0. Neurology 2022;98(9):366–372. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.000000000013312
- Jones LK, Pharr EP. From millstones to milestones. Neurology 2022; 98(9):349–350. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.000000000200061
- Lewis SL, Józefowicz RF, Kilgore S, Dhand A, Edgar L. Introducing the Neurology Milestones. J Grad Med Educ 2014;6(1s1):102–104.
- Daniello KM, Weber DJ. Education Research: the current state of neurophysiology education in selected neurology residency programs. Neurology 2018;90(15):708–711.
- Hamid E, Ayele BA, Massi DG, et al. Availability of therapies and services for Parkinson's disease in Africa: a continent-wide survey. Mov Disord 2021;36(10):2393–2407. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.28669
- Sullivan GM. A primer on the validity of assessment instruments. J Grad Med Educ 2011;3(2):119–120. https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-11-00075.1

Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.