# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

# Predictive performance of dynamic arterial elastance for arterial pressure response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies

Xiaoyang Zhou<sup>1,2</sup>, Weihao Pan<sup>3</sup>, Bixin Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhaojun Xu<sup>1,2</sup> and Jianneng Pan<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Dynamic arterial elastance (Ea<sub>dyn</sub>) has been extensively considered as a functional parameter of arterial load. However, conflicting evidence has been obtained on the ability of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> to predict mean arterial pressure (MAP) changes after fluid expansion. This meta-analysis sought to assess the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> for the MAP response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive patients.

**Methods:** We systematically searched electronic databases through November 28, 2020, to retrieve studies that evaluated the association between Ea<sub>dyn</sub> and fluid expansion-induced MAP increases in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults. Given the diverse threshold value of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> among the studies, we only reported the area under the hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curve (AUHSROC) as the primary measure of diagnostic accuracy.

**Results:** Eight observational studies that included 323 patients with 361 fluid expansions met the eligibility criteria. The results showed that  $Ea_{dyn}$  was a good predictor of MAP increases in response to fluid expansion, with an AUH-SROC of 0.92 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.89 to 0.94]. Six studies reported the cut-off value of  $Ea_{dyn}$ , which ranged from 0.65 to 0.89. The cut-off value of  $Ea_{dyn}$  was nearly conically symmetrical, most data were centred between 0.7 and 0.8, and the mean and median values were 0.77 and 0.75, respectively. The subgroup analyses indicated that the AUHSROC was slightly higher in the intensive care unit (ICU) patients (0.96; 95% CI 0.94 to 0.98) but lower in the surgical patients in the operating room (0.72; 95% CI 0.67 to 0.75). The results indicated that the fluid type and measurement technique might not affect the diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$ . Moreover, the AUHSROC for the sensitivity analysis of prospective studies was comparable to that in the primary analysis.

**Conclusions:** Ea<sub>dyn</sub> exhibits good performance for predicting MAP increases in response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults, especially in the ICU setting.

Keywords: Dynamic arterial elastance, Arterial load, Fluid expansion, Hypotension, Diagnostic test accuracy

\*Correspondence: hunter168@yeah.net

<sup>1</sup> Department of Intensive Care Medicine, HwaMei Hospital, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315000, Zhejiang, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



# Background

Fluid expansion is the first-line therapy for the treatment of systemic hypotension which is a very common clinical emergency encountered in the intensive care unit (ICU) [1]. However, fluid expansion does not necessarily

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. increase arterial pressure because fluid-induced changes in arterial pressure depend on both fluid responsiveness and arterial load [2]. Fluid responsiveness is an indicator of great concern for physicians during fluid resuscitation. For those patients who remain hypotensive and fluidresponsive after receiving an arbitrary amount of fluid, clinicians will generally continue to infuse fluid to reach the minimum mean arterial pressure (MAP). However, in the case of depressed vascular tone, MAP may not increase after further fluid therapy despite the increase in cardiac output (CO) [3]. Within this context, aggressive fluid therapy, if aimed at a MAP target, will result in an increased risk of fluid overload. Thus, merely assessing fluid responsiveness to predict MAP increases in response to fluid expansion is not risk free, and arterial load is the other key factor that determines MAP changes in response to fluid administration. Arterial load represents all the extracardiac forces that oppose ventricular ejection, and it comprises different arterial properties (including arterial compliance, total peripheral resistance, etc.), blood viscosity, and arterial wave reflections [4]. Therefore, assessing arterial load before administering more fluids is also essential for hypotensive patients who have received initial fluid resuscitation.

Over the past decade, dynamic arterial elastance (Ea<sub>dyn</sub>) has been extensively considered as a functional parameter of arterial load [3-5]. Since Ea<sub>dyn</sub> is defined as the ratio of pulse pressure variation (PPV) to stroke volume variation (SVV), it represents the change in arterial pulse pressure for a given change in stroke volume (SV) during a respiratory cycle. Accordingly,  $Ea_{dyn}$  describes the dynamic interaction between changes in pressure and flow and dynamically evaluates the changes in arterial load [6-8]. Theoretically, the MAP is more likely to increase when fluid expansion-induced increases in SV cause a proportional or greater increases in arterial pulse pressure during a ventilation cycle (i.e. high Ea<sub>dyn</sub> value) [3, 6-8]. These rationales underlie the predictive ability of Ea<sub>dvn</sub> for the MAP response to fluid expansion, which has been demonstrated in many studies [9-12]. However, two recent studies found that Ea<sub>dyn</sub> failed to predict an increase in MAP after fluid expansion [13, 14]. Considering that conflicting evidence on the predictive value of Ea<sub>dvn</sub> has not yet been systematically evaluated, we conducted this systematic meta-analysis to assess the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> for the MAP response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive patients and investigate the potential influencing factors.

## Methods

This study was reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Diagnostic Test Accuracy [15]. We registered the review protocol with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; CRD42020223455) prior to study selection. Given the nature of this review article, an institutional review board and written informed consent were not required.

#### Data sources and search strategy

Two reviewers (Zhou X and Pan W) independently and systematically searched on the PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials from database inception to November 28, 2020, to retrieve studies that evaluated the association between  $Ea_{dyn}$  and MAP increases associated with fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults, without any date or language restrictions. The bibliographies of relevant publications were also searched manually to further identify relevant articles. The detailed search strategies are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We established stringent eligibility criteria for screening relevant studies. Studies that met all of the following criteria were eligible: (1) observational studies on mechanically ventilated adults (age > 18 years) who were hypotensive (MAP < 65 mmHg) or receiving norepinephrine (NE) to maintain arterial pressure; (2) fluid expansion administration was planned by the clinicians in charge; (3) MAP changes before and after fluid expansion were assessed and considered the reference gold standard to define MAP responsiveness (regardless of the threshold value) and Ea<sub>dvn</sub> was measured as the index test; and (4) sufficient information was reported to construct a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table. Studies that met one of the following criteria were ineligible: (1) studies that enrolled patients with spontaneous breathing efforts or normotensive patients; (2) studies that did not report the diagnostic performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>; and (3) conference abstracts without full text.

#### Study selection and data extraction

All searched records were independently screened by two authors (Chen B and Xu Z), who reviewed the titles and abstracts after deduplication. The same two authors independently reviewed the full text of the selected records for eligibility. Disagreements were resolved by discussion, and a third reviewer (Pan J) was involved if necessary. The reasons for excluding the ineligible studies are presented in Additional file 1: Table S2.

Two independent authors (Chen B and Xu Z) extracted data from each study using a customized extraction form. The extracted data included the study characteristics, patient characteristics, and diagnostic accuracy measures of the index test. We calculated the true positive, false positive, false negative, and true negative values to construct the  $2 \times 2$  contingency table according to the sensitivity, specificity, and sample size in each study. In studies that did not report sensitivity or specificity information, we returned to the original receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to identify the optimal cut-off point and estimate its corresponding sensitivity and specificity. In addition, we contacted the authors of the included studies to obtain the missing data of interest. Any disagreements were resolved by a joint review of the full text to reach a consensus.

#### **Quality assessment**

The risk of bias of each included study was evaluated independently by two authors (Zhou X. and Pan J.) using the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS)-2 tool [16]. The QUADAS-2 consists of 4 domains: patient selection, index test, reference standard, and flow and timing, and the first three domains were also assessed for applicability concerns. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

## Statistical analysis

Initially, the derived estimates of sensitivity and specificity from each study were plotted on forest plots and ROC space to explore between-study variations. Due to the expected between-study variations, both the bivariate model [17] and hierarchical summary ROC (HSROC) model [18] were adopted to calculate summary estimates of diagnostic accuracy measures and fit an HSROC curve [19]. The bivariate model includes a correlation parameter that allows for the expected trade-off in sensitivity and specificity because the test positivity threshold varied across studies [19]. The HSROC model incorporates both sensitivity and specificity while taking into account the possible correlation between them. Between-study heterogeneity was evaluated using Cochran's Q test and  $I^2$  statistics. The threshold effect was evaluated through a visual inspection of the HSROC curve and calculation of the Spearman correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) between the sensitivity and false-positive rate. As the cut-off value of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> varied across the included studies, we only presented the area under the HSROC curve (AUHSROC) as the main measure of diagnostic accuracy. We avoided using the summary sensitivity and specificity as the main accuracy measure because estimates for certain notional unspecified average of different thresholds are clinically uninterpretable [19]. All data syntheses were performed using the MIDAS and METANDI modules in Stata/SE 15.0 software (Stata-Corp, College Station, TX, USA). A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We constructed a scatter plot to observe the distribution, dispersion, and central tendency of the cut-off value of Ea<sub>dvn</sub> in all studies that reported such data. We also calculated the mean and median cut-off values of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> to estimate the optimal threshold value for predicting the MAP response to fluid expansion. We conducted subgroup analyses according to the classification of patients (ICU patients or surgical patients in the operating room), fluid type (colloid or crystalloid), and measurement technique for SVV (arterial waveform analysis or oesophageal Doppler) because these factors might have impacts on the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>. To confirm the stability of the present study, we conducted two sensitivity analyses by restricting the analyses to prospective studies and excluding the outliers identified by drawing a Galbraith plot. A Bayesian nomogram was constructed to calculate the posttest probability to facilitate the interpretation of the clinical utility of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> for predicting the MAP response to interventions. Publication bias was assessed by Deeks' funnel plot asymmetry test [20].

## Results

## Study selection

The database search yielded a total of 771 records. Additional 16 records were retrieved from other publications. After excluding 79 duplicates and 686 irrelevant records, 22 records were reviewed for the full text. Finally, eight studies [9-14, 21, 22] that met the eligibility criteria were included for the quantitative analysis. Figure 1 depicts the study selection process in detail.

## **Characteristics of included studies**

Details pertaining to the study and patient characteristics are described in Table 1. All the included studies [9-14,21, 22] were published after 2011 and included a total of 323 patients. Among the included studies, six [9–12, 14, 22] were prospectively designed, and two [13, 21] were retrospectively designed. In addition, five studies [9, 10, 14, 21, 22] were conducted in the ICU and mainly recruited medical patients, and the remaining three studies [11-13] were performed in the operating room and recruited surgical patients. Interestingly, none of the latter three studies [11-13] that conducted in the operating theatre used vasopressor during fluid expansion. The fluid volume and infusion duration during the fluid challenge test largely varied among the included studies. Colloid fluid was administered in four studies [9, 11, 13, 21], and crystalloid fluid was infused in the other four studies [10, 12, 14, 22]. All patients in six studies [9-12, 12]14, 21] had a preload dependency. However, only some of the patients in the remaining two studies [13, 22] had a preload dependency. The threshold for defining MAP responsiveness was 15% in 4 studies [9, 11, 13, 21] and 10% in three studies [10, 12, 14]. One study [22] defined MAP responders as showing a restoration of the MAP to



> 65 mmHg after fluid expansion. All the included studies measured the PPV using arterial waveform analysis, and five studies [9, 11, 13, 14, 22] used arterial waveform analysis to estimate the SVV. However, the SVV was measured by oesophageal Doppler in two studies [10, 12], and by pulse indicator continuous cardiac output (PICCO) in one study [21]. The diagnostic accuracies of each study are presented in Table 2.

## Methodological quality of included studies

The methodological quality of each study is summarized in Table 3. None of the included studies was of high methodological quality. In the patient selection domain, four studies [12, 13, 21, 22] were at high risk of bias because they did not include a consecutive or random series of participants, and one study [10] had a high applicability concern because some patients were receiving NE to maintain a MAP >65 mmHg before fluid expansion. Because the reference standard in one study [22] likely led to an incorrect classification of the target condition, they were judged as having a high risk of bias and high applicability concern in the reference standard domain. Prediction of the fluid expansion-induced increases in MAP A total of 361 fluid expansions were administered, and 162 (46.3%) of them were MAP responders. Estimates of sensitivity ranged from 55.6 to 100%, and estimates of specificity ranged from 60.0 to 100% (Fig. 2). Heterogeneity between studies was assessed with a Cochran O statistic of 0.061 (P=0.485) and an overall  $I^2$  of 0%. However, significant heterogeneities were found for the pooled sensitivity and specificity (Fig. 2). The threshold effect across the included studies was confirmed by visual inspection of the HSROC curve (Fig. 3) and the Spearman correlation coefficient ( $\rho = 1.0$ ), indicating that all heterogeneities were caused by the threshold effect. The AUHSROC was 0.92, with corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) of 0.89 to 0.94. Six studies [9-12, 21, 22] reported the cut-off value of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.89 (Table 2). According to the scatter plot (Fig. 4), we observed that the distribution of the cut-off value of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> was nearly conically symmetrical and most data were centred between 0.7 and 0.8, which might represent the 'gray zone' for the prediction of MAP increases after fluid expansion. The mean cut-off value was 0.77 with a standard deviation of 0.09, and the median value was 0.75.

Study no.	Author/year	Design,	Subjects	Preload	Vasopressor	Sample size	No. of fluid	Age	Male (n, %)	MAP at	Tide volume	PEEP (mean
		setting, location, and publication language		dependency	used ( <i>n</i> )		expansions	(mean, years)		baseline (mean, mm Hg)	(mean or median mL/ kg)	or median, cm H <sub>2</sub> O)
_	Monge García/2011	Prospective study; mixed ICU; Spain; English	Mechanically ventilated hypotensive patients with acute circulatory failure	Yes	Yes (12)	25	25	61	15 (60.0)	28	S.	7.9
5	Gong/2013	Retrospec- tive study; ICU; China; Chinese	Mechanically ventilated patients with dis- tributive or hypovolemic shock	Yes	Yes (unknown)	32	32	66	NR	Х	8	N
m	Monge García/2014	Prospective study; mixed ICU; Spain; English	Mechanically ventilated patients with acute circulatory failure	Yes	Yes (30)	5.3	80	63	31 (58.5)	12	ω	ω
4	Seo/2015	Prospective study; oper- ating room; Republic of Korea; English	Mechanically ventilated hypotensive patients receiving robot- assisted laparoscopic prostatec- tomy	Yes	<u>e</u>	6 8	39	64	39 (100)	49	00	00
Ŋ	Lanchon/2017	Retrospective study; oper- ating room; France; English	Mechanically ventilated hypotensive surgical patients	Yes (part of patients)	°Z	51	51	62	31 (60.8)	56	8.2	m

Table 1	(continued)										
Study no.	Author/year	Design, setting, location, and publication language	Subjects	Preload dependenc	Vasopresso y used ( <i>n</i> )	or Sample size	No. of fluid A expansions (n yé	ge Male (i nean, :ars)	n, %) MAP at baseline (mean, r Hg)	Tide volume (mean or nm median mL/ kg)	PEEP (mean or median, cm H <sub>2</sub> O)
Ó	de Cour- son/2019	Prospective study; 2 operating rooms; France; English	Mechanically ventilated hypotensive surgical patients	Yes	0 Z	5	56	21 (37.	20	7.4	Ŋ
7	Guarra- cino/2019	Prospective study; ICU; USA; English	Mechanically ventilated hypotensive patients with sepsis or septic shock	Yes (part of patients)	Yes (unknov	wn) 55	55 6(	9 34 (61.1	() 57	0- 0-	ж
ω	Luetra- kool/2020	Prospec- tive study; medical ICU; Thailand; English	Mechanically ventilated ARDS patients with acute circulatory failure	Yes	Yes (12)	12	23 6:	ж	61	7.2	11.5
Study no.	Author/year	Fluid type	e, volume, and di	uration Nr.	o. of MAP ssponder 1, %)	Threshold for MA	P responsivenes	s Technique to	measure SVV	Fluid responsivene:	S
-	Monge García/2	2011 Synthetic ( starch), 5	colloid (6% hydro> 300 mL, over 30 m	xyethyl 1. in	5 (64)	MAP increase ≥ 15 <sup>4</sup>	%	Arterial wavefi	orm analysis	Presence of a stable v SVV≥ 10%	alue of
2	Gong/2013	Colloid, 50	0 mL, within 30 m	nin 1	9 (59.4)	MAP increase ≥ 15 <sup>0</sup>	%	PICCO		SVV > 10%	
Ω	Monge García/2	2014 Normal sal	line, 500 mL, withi	in 30 min 3	3 (41.2)	MAP increase ≥ 10'	%	Oesophageal	Doppler	Cardiac output incres 2-min leg-raising m	se ≥ 10% after a anoeuvre
4	Seo/2015	6% Hydrox 20 min	«yethyl starch, 50C	0 mL, over 1	7 (43.6)	MAP increase ≥ 15 <sup>4</sup>	%	Arterial wavefi	orm analysis	Maintenance of SW >	• 10% for > 10 min
Ŋ	Lanchon/2017	6% starch,	500 mL, over 10 r	min 1	7 (33.3)	MAP increase ≥ 15 <sup>4</sup>	%	Arterial wavefi	orm analysis	Increase in stroke vol volume expansion	ume≥ 15% after
9	de Courson/201	9 0.9% Saline	e, 250 mL, over 10	) min 2	1 (37.5)	MAP increase ≥ 10'	%	Oesophageal Doppler		SW > 10%, assessed t geal Doppler	yy using oesopha-
7	Guarracino/201 <sup>-</sup>	9 0.9% Saline enrolmer	e, 30 mL/kg, withii nt	in 3 h of 3	5 (63.6)	MAP restored to >(	55 mmHg	Arterial wavefi	orm analysis	Cardiac index increas fluid expansion	ed by > 15% after

Study no.	Author/year	Fluid type, volume, and duration	No. of MAP responder ( <i>n</i> , %)	Threshold for MAP responsiveness	Technique to measure SVV	Fluid responsiveness
ω	Luetrakool/2020	Crystalloid, 500 mL, over 15 min	9 (39.1)	MAP increase ≥ 10%	Arterial waveform analysis	Passive leg-raising test: cardiac output (CO) increase of 10% or more, or Mini-fluid challenge test: increase in velocity time integral by 10% or more after 100 mL crystalloid infu- sion over one minute

Table 1 (continued)

No. number, MAP mean arterial pressure, NE norepinephrine, PEEP positive end-expiratory pressure, ICU intensive care unit, SVV stroke volume variation, NR no record, PICCO pulse indicator continuous cardiac output

Tab	le 2	Detai	led	diagnostic	accuracy o	fс	lvnamic	arteria	e	lastance in	eac	h inc	lud	ed	stud	IV
																/

Study no.	Author/year	AUROC	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Cut-off value	True positive	False positive	False negative	True negative
1	Monge García/2011	0.986	93.75	100	0.89	15	0	1	9
2	Gong/2013	0.95	89.5	92.3	0.85	17	1	2	12
3	Monge García/2014	0.94	90.9	91.5	0.73	30	4	3	43
4	Seo/2015	0.81	70.6	86.4	0.74	12	3	5	19
5	Lanchon/2017*	0.54	58.8	52.9	NR	10	16	7	18
6	de Cour- son/2019	0.71	76.2	60.0	0.65	16	14	5	21
7	Guarra- cino/2019	0.954	100	95	0.76	35	1	0	19
8	Luetra- kool/2020*	0.67	55.6	78.6	NR	5	3	4	11

*No.* number, *MAP* mean arterial pressure, *AUROC* area under the receive operator characteristic curve, *NR* no record

\* The study failed to identify the predictive ability of dynamic arterial elastance. These two studies only reported the AUROC value. Thus, we returned to the original ROC curve in their article to identify the cut-off point and estimate its corresponding sensitivity and specificity

#### Subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis

The subgroup analysis suggested that the AUHSROC was slightly higher in ICU patients (0.96, 95% CI 0.94 to 0.98) than in surgical patients in the operating room (0.72, 95% CI 0.67 to 0.75). However, the AUHSROC did not differ between subgroups of colloid fluid and crystalloid fluid. The measurement technique also did not affect the diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$  (Table 4).

The study by Guarracino et al. [22] was identified as the outlier based on a Galbraith plot (Additional file 1: Figure S1). After excluding the outlier, the sensitivity analysis still suggested a good predictive accuracy of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>, although the AUHSROC was slightly lower (Table 4). When restricting analyses to prospective studies, the AUHSROC was comparable to the primary analysis (Table 4). Thus, the two sensitivity analyses confirmed the robustness of our results. As shown in the Bayes nomogram (Additional file 1: Figure S2), if an averagerisk population had an assumed pretest probability of 50%, Ea<sub>dyn</sub> increased the probability of MAP responders to 85% when the test result was positive and decreased the probability to 15% when the test result was negative. We found no significant publication bias by Deeks' funnel plot asymmetry test (P=0.66) (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

## Discussion

This systematic meta-analysis suggested a good diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$  for predicting MAP increases in response to fluid expansion in mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults. The diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$  was slightly improved in the ICU patients but decreased in surgical patients in the operating room. The fluid type

and technique for measuring SVV seemed to have no impact on the diagnostic accuracy of  $Ea_{dyn}$ .

Based on the subgroup analyses, one could expect that the diagnostic accuracy of Ea<sub>dvn</sub> may be reduced in surgical patients in the operating theatre, even though the pooled results from limited patients did not lead to a firm conclusion. Interestingly, we also found that most patients in studies conducted in the ICU [9, 10, 14, 21, 22] received vasopressors; however, none of the studies conducted in the operating theatre [11-13]used vasopressors during fluid expansion. This finding is not surprising because patients admitted to the ICU setting commonly suffer from various cardiovascular disorders, such as sepsis-induced cardiomyopathy and vasoplegia [23, 24], and surgical patients are generally healthier than ICU patients from the perspective of cardiovascular function. Thus, we supposed that vasopressors used during fluid expansion might be the main contributor to the different predictive performances of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> between ICU patients and surgical patients. Pulse pressure is a pulsatile haemodynamic index that results from the interaction of left ventricular mechanical work with the arterial tree [25]. Thus,  $Ea_{dyn}$  (i.e. the PPV/SVV ratio) likely depends on the pulsatile components of arterial load, primarily on arterial compliance [26, 27], due to the oscillatory nature of the arterial pressureflow relationship. Previous studies demonstrated that vasopressors can restore arterial compliance in hypotensive patients with vasoplegia [7, 27]. Moreover, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that arterial compliance is fixed and not altered by fluid expansion in patients receiving norepinephrine [10, 28]. However, in hypotensive patients free of vasopressors, fluid

Study	Risk of bias				Applicability conce	rns	
	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard
Monge García/2011	?	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Gong/2013	$\odot$	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Monge García/2014	$\odot$	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Seo/2015	?	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Lanchon/2017	$\odot$	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
de Courson/2019	$\odot$	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Guarracino/2019	$\odot$	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$
Luetrakool/2020	?	?	?	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$	$\odot$

Table 3 Methodological quality of each included study

☺ low risk; ☺ high risk; ? unclear risk



expansion could change arterial compliance during resuscitation [12]. Hence,  $Ea_{dyn}$  before fluid administration could reflect the baseline arterial tone and track the fluid-induced changes in arterial pressure in patients receiving vasopressors, whereas fluid expansion might change arterial compliance in patients who did not receive vasopressors and thus decrease the ability of  $Ea_{dyn}$  to reflect the baseline arterial tone.

Additionally, pneumoperitoneum in abdominal surgery was another factor influencing the diagnostic accuracy of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> [11, 12] because the increased abdominal pressure induced by pneumoperitoneum might cause significant circulatory perturbations [29], and increased plasma noradrenaline and changes in total peripheral resistance have been associated with pneumoperitoneum [30]. Accordingly, clinicians should be cautious in





applying  $Ea_{dyn}$  to predict the arterial pressure response to fluid in surgical patients in the operating room who are free of vasopressors.

Our findings suggested that the cut-off value of  $Ea_{dyn}$  largely differed between the included studies. The diverse

threshold values potentially resulted from the varied arterial pulse-contour algorithm used and the distinct diseases studied. The SVV obtained from different devices on the same patient may be dissimilar because the results for this parameter depend on the algorithm used [31]. The underlying pathophysiological mechanisms are largely different between medical and surgical diseases and can affect arterial tone, which likely leads to diverse cut-off values. To facilitate a better understanding of the clinical significance of Ea<sub>dvn</sub> in the decisionmaking process, we observed the distribution, dispersion, and central tendency of the cut-off values to identify the 'gray zone', which is anticipated to avoid the binary constraint of a "black-or-white" decision of the ROC curve and fit the reality of clinical or screening practice [32]. The results indicated that the distribution of the cut-off values was nearly conically symmetrical, and most data were centred between 0.7 and 0.8, which might represent the 'uncertain zone' for the prediction of fluid-induced MAP changes. Thus, if the measured baseline Ea<sub>dyn</sub> is above 0.8, fluid expansion would increase arterial pressure in hypotensive patients with preload dependency. Conversely, if the measured baseline Ea<sub>dyn</sub> is below 0.7, fluid administration might not increase arterial pressure despite the increase in CO. In this case, NE should be used early to maintain arterial pressure. The combinational use of fluid expansion and NE would likely decrease the amount of fluid administered and reduce the risk of fluid overload. Overall, Ea<sub>dyn</sub> may be a reliable haemodynamic indicator that can help physicians choose the optimal therapeutic strategy without requiring complicated monitoring devices.

This meta-analysis has several strengths. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to systematically assess the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> for the MAP changes associated with volume expansion. We used the AUHSROC instead of pooled sensitivity and specificity as the main measure of diagnostic accuracy because the latter measures might lead to misleading interpretations of our results when different cut-off values occur between the included studies. To reduce the heterogeneity among the included studies, we established stringent eligibility criteria and only mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults were included in this study. Additionally, we conducted several meaningful subgroup analyses to explore potential influencing factors. However, several limitations of our study should be recognized when interpreting the findings. First, the sample size and study numbers in our study were limited. As a result, the limited statistical power hampered us from drawing a firm conclusion. Moreover, studies with small sample sizes may overestimate the effect sizes [33]. Thus, the current findings need to be further confirmed in larger studies. Second, all of Table 4 Effect estimates of dynamic arterial elastance for predicting the mean arterial pressure response to fluid expansion

Variables	Subgroups	No. of studies	AUHSROC	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Diagnostic odds ratio
Primary analysis	All studies	8	0.92 (0.89, 0.94)	0.85 (0.71, 0.93)	0.85 (0.69, 0.94)	22.8 (5.5, 93.6)
Classification of patients	ICU patients	5	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)	0.92 (0.75, 0.98)	0.92 (0.82, 0.97)	76.3 (13.0, 448.9)
	Surgical patients in the operating room	3	0.72 (0.67, 0.75)	0.69 (0.56, 0.80)	0.66 (0.48, 0.81)	4.5 (1.3, 14.9)
Fluid type	Colloid	4	0.90 (0.87, 0.93)	0.81 (0.60, 0.92)	0.88 (0.58, 0.98)	19.4 (2.2, 174.1)
	Crystalloid	4	0.93 (0.90, 0.95)	0.89 (0.65, 0.97)	0.85 (0.62, 0.95)	30.0 (3.4, 248.7)
Measurement technique	Arterial waveform analysis	5	0.92 (0.90, 0.94)	0.85 (0.58, 0.96)	0.87 (0.61, 0.97)	19.1 (2.6, 139.8)
	Oesophageal Doppler	2	0.90 (0.87, 0.92)	0.85 (0.66, 0.94)	0.80 (0.49, 0.94)	21.8 (1.0, 458.4)
	PICCO	1	_	-	_	-
Sensitivity analyses	Excluding the outlier*	7	0.88 (0.85, 0.91)	0.80 (0.68, 0.89)	0.83 (0.66, 0.92)	15.3 (4.0, 59.2)
	Excluding 2 retrospective studies	6	0.94 (0.91, 0.96)	0.88 (0.71, 0.95)	0.87 (0.71, 0.95)	30.1 (6.5, 138.6)

MAP mean arterial pressure, ICU intensive care unit, AUHSROC area under hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curve, PICCO pulse indicator continuous cardiac output

\* The study by Guarracino et al. was identified as the outlier based on a Galbraith plot

the included studies were at high risk of bias, and some studies also had high concerns regarding applicability. Consequently, none of the included studies was judged as having high methodological quality. These methodological shortcomings might intrinsically lead to a potential bias in our results and thereby restrict the validity and applicability of our findings. Accordingly, the findings in this study should be interpreted with caution. Last, the heterogeneities among the included studies might partly be attributed to the different techniques used to measure SVV. As the reliability of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> primarily depends on the robustness of the SV estimation methods [31], the varied pulse-contour analysis methods used (with different algorithms for estimating SVV) might contribute to the diverse threshold values of Ea<sub>dyn</sub>, which were the main sources of observed heterogeneities in this meta-analysis. Nevertheless, the subgroup analysis suggested that the predictive performance of Ea<sub>dyn</sub> was not influenced by the measurement techniques. Consequently, we have reasons to believe that the calculated Ea<sub>dyn</sub> is valid as long as the algorithm used to estimate SV is trustworthy.

## Conclusion

In mechanically ventilated hypotensive adults, the measurement of  $Ea_{dyn}$  is a useful approach for predicting MAP changes in response to fluid expansion, especially in the ICU setting. The fluid type and technique for measuring SVV may not be associated with the predictive performance of  $Ea_{dyn}$ . Because of the small sample size and the low methodological quality of the included studies, larger studies with high methodological quality are warranted in the future to validate the applicability of  $Ea_{dyn}$  in clinical practice.

#### Abbreviations

ICU: Intensive care unit; Ea<sub>dyn</sub>: Dynamic arterial elastance; MAP: Mean arterial pressure; NE: Norepinephrine; CO: Cardiac output; SV: Stroke volume; PPV: Pulse pressure variation; SVV: Stroke volume variation; PICCO: Pulse indicator continuous cardiac output; ROC: Receiver operating characteristic; HSROC: Hierarchical summary ROC; AUHSROC: The area under the HSROC curve; CI: Confidence interval.

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13613-021-00909-2.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Detailed search strategy for each database. Table S2. Ineligible studies and the reasons for exclusion. Figure S1. Galbraith plot to identify the outlier. Figure S2. Bayes nomogram of dynamic arterial elastance for the prediction of mean arterial response to fluid expansion. Figure S3. Deeks' funnel plot to assess the publication bias.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

XZhou designed the study, performed the literature search, assessed the risk of bias, interpreted the findings, and drafted the manuscript. WP performed the literature search, assessed the risk of bias, interpreted the findings, and helped to draft the manuscript. BC and ZX performed the study selection and data extraction, and conducted the statistical analysis. JP designed the study, performed the literature search, assessed the risk of bias, participated in data interpretation, and revised the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the grants from Zhejiang Medicine and Health Science and Technology Project (No. 2019KY184; No. 2021KY1027) and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. LY19H190001). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article (and its additional information files).

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine, HwaMei Hospital, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315000, Zhejiang, China. <sup>2</sup>Ningbo Institute of Life and Health Industry, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo 315000, Zhejiang, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Emergency, Ningbo Yinzhou No.2 Hospital, Ningbo 315000, Zhejiang, China.

Received: 16 March 2021 Accepted: 22 July 2021 Published online: 31 July 2021

#### References

- Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhazzani W, Levy MM, Antonelli M, Ferrer R, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock: 2016. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(3):304–77.
- Sunagawa K, Maughan WL, Burkhoff D, Sagawa K. Left ventricular interaction with arterial load studied in isolated canine ventricle. Am J Physiol. 1983;245(5 Pt 1):H773–80.
- Pinsky MR. Protocolized cardiovascular management based on ventricular–arterial coupling. In: Pinsky MR, Payen D, editors. Functional hemodynamic monitoring. Berlin: Springer; 2006. p. 381–95.
- Monge García MI, Saludes Orduña P, Cecconi M. Understanding arterial load. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(10):1625–7.
- Monge García MI, Pinsky MR, Cecconi M. Predicting vasopressor needs using dynamic parameters. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(12):1841–3.
- Monge García MI, Guijo González P, Gracia Romero M, Gil Cano A, Rhodes A, Grounds RM, et al. Effects of arterial load variations on dynamic arterial elastance: an experimental study. Br J Anaesth. 2017;118(6):938–46.
- Monge García MI, Jian Z, Hatib F, Settels JJ, Cecconi M, Pinsky MR. Dynamic arterial elastance as a ventriculo-arterial coupling index: an experimental animal study. Front Physiol. 2020;11:284.
- Cecconi M, Monge García MI, Gracia Romero M, Mellinghoff J, Caliandro F, Grounds RM, et al. The use of pulse pressure variation and stroke volume variation in spontaneously breathing patients to assess dynamic arterial elastance and to predict arterial pressure response to fluid administration. Anesth Analg. 2015;120(1):76–84.
- Monge García MI, Gil Cano A, Gracia RM. Dynamic arterial elastance to predict arterial pressure response to volume loading in preload-dependent patients. Crit Care. 2011;15(1):R15.
- García MI, Romero MG, Cano AG, Aya HD, Rhodes A, Grounds RM, et al. Dynamic arterial elastance as a predictor of arterial pressure response to fluid administration: a validation study. Crit Care. 2014;18(6):626.
- Seo H, Kong YG, Jin SJ, Chin JH, Kim HY, Lee YK, et al. Dynamic arterial elastance in predicting arterial pressure increase after fluid challenge during robot-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy: a prospective observational study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(41):e1794.
- 12. de Courson H, Boyer P, Grobost R, Lanchon R, Sesay M, Nouette-Gaulain K, et al. Changes in dynamic arterial elastance induced by volume expansion and vasopressor in the operating room: a prospective bicentre study. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9(1):117.
- Lanchon R, Nouette-Gaulain K, Stecken L, Sesay M, Lefrant JY, Biais M. Dynamic arterial elastance obtained using arterial signal does not predict an increase in arterial pressure after a volume expansion in the operating room. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med. 2017;36(6):377–82.
- 14. Luetrakool P, Morakul S, Tangsujaritvijit V, Pisitsak C. Dynamic arterial elastance for predicting mean arterial pressure responsiveness after fluid

challenges in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. J Med Assoc Thai. 2020;103(7):646–51.

- McInnes MDF, Moher D, Thombs BD, McGrath TA, Bossuyt PM, the PRISMA-DTA, et al. Preferred reporting items for a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy studies: the PRISMA-DTA statement. JAMA. 2018;319(4):388–96.
- Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(8):529–36.
- Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AW, Scholten RJ, Bossuyt PM, Zwinderman AH. Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. J Clin Epidemiol. 2005;58(10):982–90.
- Rutter CM, Gatsonis CA. A hierarchical regression approach to meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy evaluations. Stat Med. 2001;20(19):2865–84.
- Macaskill PGC, Deeks JJ, Harbord RM, Takwoingi Y. Analysing and presenting results. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy version 1.0. London: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2010.
- Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L. The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed. J Clin Epidemiol. 2005;58(9):882–93.
- Gong ML, Liu DW, Wang XT, Chen XK. Value of dynamic arterial elastance in the predication of arterial pressure response to volume loading in shock patients. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2013;93(17):1305–8.
- Guarracino F, Bertini P, Pinsky MR. Cardiovascular determinants of resuscitation from sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care. 2019;23(1):118.
- 23. Hollenberg SM, Singer M. Pathophysiology of sepsis-induced cardiomyopathy. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021;18(6):424–34.
- 24. Sharawy N. Vasoplegia in septic shock: do we really fight the right enemy? J Crit Care. 2014;29(1):83–7.
- Weber T, Chirinos JA. Pulsatile arterial haemodynamics in heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(43):3847–54.
- Bar S, Huette P, Abou-Arab O, Dupont H, Lorne E, Guinot PG. Dynamic arterial elastance might not be an indicator of ventriculo-arterial coupling. Comment on Br J Anaesth 2017; 118: 938–46. Br J Anaesth. 2018;121(3):672–673.
- Bar S, Nguyen M, Abou-Arab O, Dupont H, Bouhemad B, Guinot PG. Dynamic arterial elastance is associated with the vascular waterfall in patients treated with norepinephrine: an observational study. Front Physiol. 2021;12:583370.
- Monge García MI, Guijo González P, Gracia Romero M, Gil Cano A, Oscier C, Rhodes A, et al. Effects of fluid administration on arterial load in septic shock patients. Intensive Care Med. 2015;41(7):1247–55.
- Lestar M, Gunnarsson L, Lagerstrand L, Wiklund P, Odeberg-Wernerman S. Hemodynamic perturbations during robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy in 45° Trendelenburg position. Anesth Analg. 2011;113(5):1069–75.
- Myre K, Rostrup M, Buanes T, Stokland O. Plasma catecholamines and haemodynamic changes during pneumoperitoneum. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1998;42(3):343–7.
- 31. Pinsky MR. Defining the boundaries of bedside pulse contour analysis: dynamic arterial elastance. Crit Care. 2011;15(1):120.
- Cannesson M, Le Manach Y, Hofer CK, Goarin JP, Lehot JJ, Vallet B, et al. Assessing the diagnostic accuracy of pulse pressure variations for the prediction of fluid responsiveness: a "gray zone" approach. Anesthesiology. 2011;115(2):231–41.
- Zhang Z, Xu X, Ni H. Small studies may overestimate the effect sizes in critical care meta-analyses: a meta-epidemiological study. Crit Care. 2013;17(1):R2.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.