

Clinically Significant Thromboembolic Disease in Adult Spinal Deformity Surgery: Incidence and Risk Factors in 737 Patients

Han Jo Kim, MD¹, Sravisht Iyer, MD¹, Basel G. Diebo, MD¹, Michael P. Kelly, MD², Daniel Sciubba, MD³, Frank Schwab, MD¹, Virginie Lafage, PhD¹, Gregory M. Mundis, MD⁴, Christopher I. Shaffrey, MD⁵, Justin S. Smith, MD, PhD⁵, Robert Hart, MD⁶, Douglas Burton, MD⁷, Shay Bess, MD⁸, Eric O. Klineberg, MD⁹, and The International Spine Study Group (ISSG)

Abstract

Study Design: Retrospective cohort study.

Objectives: Describe the rate and risk factors for venous thromboembolic events (VTEs; defined as deep venous thrombosis [DVT] and/or pulmonary embolism [PE]) in adult spinal deformity (ASD) surgery.

Methods: ASD patients with VTE were identified in a prospective, multicenter database. Complications, revision, and mortality rate were examined. Patient demographics, operative details, and radiographic and clinical outcomes were compared with a non-VTE group. Multivariate binary regression model was used to identify predictors of VTE.

Results: A total of 737 patients were identified, 32 (4.3%) had VTE (DVT = 14; PE = 18). At baseline, VTE patients were less likely to be employed in jobs requiring physical labor (59.4% vs 79.7%, $P < .01$) and more likely to have osteoporosis (29% vs 15.1%, $P = .037$) and liver disease (6.5% vs 1.4%, $P = .027$). Patients with VTE had a larger preoperative sagittal vertical axis (SVA; 93 mm vs 55 mm, $P < .01$) and underwent larger SVA corrections. VTE was associated with a combined anterior/posterior approach (45% vs 25%, $P = .028$). VTE patients had a longer hospital stay (10 vs 7 days, $P < .05$) and higher mortality rate (6.3% vs 0.7%, $P < .01$). Multivariate analysis demonstrated osteoporosis, lack of physical labor, and increased SVA correction were independent predictors of VTE ($r^2 = .11$, area under the curve = 0.74, $P < .05$).

Conclusions: The incidence of VTE in ASD is 4.3% with a DVT rate of 1.9% and PE rate of 2.4%. Osteoporosis, lack of physical labor, and increased SVA correction were independent predictors of VTE. Patients with VTE had a higher mortality rate compared with non-VTE patients.

Keywords

complications, deep venous thrombosis, DVT, pulmonary embolism, PE, thromboembolic events, adult spinal deformity, risk factors

¹ Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, NY, USA

² Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA

³ Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁴ San Diego Center for Spinal Disorders, La Jolla, CA, USA

⁵ University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA, USA

⁶ Oregon Health Sciences University, Portland, OR, USA

⁷ University of Kansas Hospital, Kansas City, KS, USA

⁸ New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

⁹ University of California–Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Han Jo Kim, Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 E 70th Street, New York, NY 10021, USA.

Email: hanjokimmd@gmail.com



Introduction

Venous thromboembolic events (VTEs), which include deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), are major and potentially life-threatening complications that have been labelled as “reasonably preventable” if evidence-based guidelines are utilized.¹ In 2009, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services designated VTEs after total knee and total hip replacements a nonreimbursable complication; this mandate was initiated to accelerate improvement in the quality of care and adoption of best practices across the country.¹ Given the attention paid to VTE complications by regulatory agencies and the associated potential for morbidity, it is important for spine surgeons to be aware of the rate of VTEs and the risk factors for this complication.

Recently, several authors have attempted to define the rate of VTE following surgery. Reported rates for VTEs following spine surgery have varied widely, ranging from 0.40% to 22%.²⁻¹⁰ There are a number of reasons for this variation. Many of these studies focus on all elective spine procedures^{4,5,9} or are drawn from large national- and state-level databases.^{2,6,7,11,12} As a result, these studies encapsulate a diverse array of spinal procedures that have a widely varying risk of DVT. Another reason for discrepancy is the definition of VTE: some studies focus exclusively on PE,⁸ others on symptomatic VTE,^{2,6,11,12} and others on screening ultrasonography.⁵ Data on the rates of VTE in an adult spinal deformity (ASD) population, however, remains limited. While some authors have a subset of patients with “long fusions” or “thoracolumbar fusions” in their cohorts,^{2,4,10} the definition of these groups is often vague and makes it difficult to infer the rates of VTE in patients with ASD.

The purpose of this study is to report the incidence and risk factors for clinically significant VTE (DVT and/or PE) in patients undergoing surgery for ASD. Identifying those patients at highest risk can be valuable information for prevention and for the judicious use of prophylactic anticoagulation in a patient population where anticoagulation use may not be desirable.

Methods

This study was a retrospective review of a prospectively collected multicenter ASD database maintained by the International Spine Study Group. After institutional review board approval at each site, patients from 11 sites were enrolled into a prospective database of operative and nonoperative ASD patients. Adult patients (age >18 years) were included if one or more of the following radiographic criteria were met: coronal Cobb angle >20°, sagittal vertical axis (SVA) >5 cm, pelvic tilt >25°, or thoracic kyphosis >60°. Exclusion criteria included neuromuscular scoliosis, infection, and malignancy. Only patients from the operative cohort were considered for this study.

Patients were separated into 2 groups: (1) patients with VTE and (2) patients without VTE (non-VTE). VTE was defined as

DVT or PE diagnosed during the patients’ postoperative course at any time point. The diagnosis of VTE was recorded after a review of the patients’ hospital course and clinic notes. These reviews were performed at each follow-up interval, that is, at 6 weeks, 1 year, 2 years, and so on. There were no standardized protocols to diagnose VTE and no screening ultrasounds or computed tomography scans performed. Data on the type of VTE prophylaxis provided was not available.

Demographic data collected included age, gender, race, and body mass index (BMI). Data on comorbidities was also recorded along with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification and Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI). Full-length standing spine radiographs were used to assess patients’ deformity, and each radiograph was analyzed and verified by a centralized research team. Operative data collected included estimated blood loss (EBL), operative time, and intraoperative case details (such as osteotomy type, decompressions, anti-fibrinolytic use, etc). Postoperative variables such as length of stay, intensive care unit (ICU) stay, and complication data were also collected for all patients. Follow-up time points were at 6 weeks, 1 year, 2 years, and annually afterward. Reporting of complications included the complication type (eg, neurologic, infectious, etc), the complication time (intraoperative vs postoperative), and complication severity (major vs minor). Major intraoperative complications consisted of cardiac arrest, spinal cord injury, death, nerve root injury, optical deficit, vessel and/or organ injury, blood loss >4 L, pneumothorax, and having an unplanned staged surgery. Major postoperative complications were defined as bowel and/or bladder deficit, death, deep vein thrombosis, deep infection, motor deficit, myocardial infarction, optical deficit, pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, reintubation, sepsis, stroke, acute respiratory distress, pancreatitis, tracheostomy, unplanned return to the operating room, and arrhythmia. Last, Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) data was collected. This included the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36; physical component score [PCS] and mental component score [MCS]), and the Scoliosis Research Society-22r questionnaire (SRS; subdomains: activity, pain, satisfaction, mental, appearance, and total).¹³⁻¹⁵

Statistical Analysis

A Shapiro-Wilk test of normality showed that the distribution of demographic and radiographic variables in the VTE and non-VTE groups was nonnormal ($P < .05$). As a result, an independent samples Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous variables in the VTE and non-VTE groups. A χ^2 test was used to compare categorical variables. Once risk factors were identified, a multivariate binary logistic regression was performed with VTE as the dependent variable. Since this was an exploratory model, all variables with $P < .1$ were included in the model. Area under the curve (receiver operating characteristic) was used to measure the accuracy and the ability of the predictor to discriminate between patients with or without complications.¹⁶ SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corp,

Table 1. Comparisons of Demographics Between VTE and Non-VTE Groups^a.

	VTE (N = 32)	Non-VTE (N = 705)	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Age	64 (41-84)	61 (18-86)		.063
BMI	25.1 (16.8-37.3)	26.7 (16.5-65.9)		.260
CCI	2 (0-5)	1 (0-8)		.274
Gender (n = 725)				.710
Male	6 (19.4%)	154 (22.2%)		
Female	25 (80.6%)	540 (77.8%)		
Race (n = 684)				.820
Asian	0 (0%)	10 (1.5%)		
Black	2 (7.1%)	26 (4%)		
Hispanic	1 (3.6%)	16 (2.4%)		
White	25 (89.3%)	597 (91%)		
Other	0 (0%)	7 (1.1%)		
ASA (n = 695)				.667
I	3 (10%)	48 (7.2%)		
II	13 (43.3%)	331 (49.8%)		
III	13 (43.3%)	278 (41.8%)		
IV	1 (3.3%)	8 (1.2%)		
Employed in physical labor	19 (59.4%)	562 (79.7%)	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	.006
History of prior spine surgery	11 (35.5%)	338 (49.5%)	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	.127

Abbreviations: VTE, venous thromboembolic event; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists classification.

^aAge, BMI, and CCI are reported as median (minimum–maximum).

Armonk, NY) was used to perform all the analysis. Level of significance was set to *P* value <.05 for all tests.

Results

Overview

A total of 737 patients with 6-week follow-up were identified in the database. Out of the 737 patients, 450 were more than 2 years from their surgery and were eligible for 2-year follow-up. Of this subset, 369 (82.0%) had data available for analysis. The mean age was of 58.2 ± 14.9 years with 565 (76.7%) females and 172 (23.3%) males. Average BMI was 27.5 ± 6. The rate of VTE in this patient population was 4.3% (n = 32). Of patients with VTE, 14 had a DVT (1.9%) and 18 had a PE (2.4%). Of the 32 cases of VTE, 30/32 (93.8%) were identified postoperatively. Two cases of PE (2/32, 6.2%) were identified intraoperatively. VTE prevalence ranged from 1% to 9.5% among individual centers (*P* = .128) and 2.0% to 7.9% in individual calendar years (*P* = .381). Two patients with VTE died during the follow-up period (2/32, 6.3%); the cause of death was not recorded in the database.

Demographic and Radiographic Variables

Our univariate analysis revealed no difference in age between the VTE and non-VTE groups (VTE = 64.2 vs non-VTE = 61, *P* = .063; Table 1). Similarly, there was no difference between groups in BMI (*P* = .260), race (*P* = .820), CCI (*P* = .274), and ASA (*P* = .667). Patients with VTE were less likely to be involved in physical labor jobs (odds ratio [OR] = 0.4, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.2-0.8, *P* = .006). When specific

comorbidities were compared, patients with VTE were noted to have higher rates of liver disease (OR = 5.0, 95% CI = 1.03-24.2, *P* = .027) and osteoporosis (OR = 2.3, 95% CI = 1.02-5.1, *P* = .037; Table 2). There was no difference between groups with regard to rate of smoking (*P* = .612), cancer (*P* = .795), and clotting disorders (*P* = .706), although these conclusions are limited by the relatively low number of smokers and patients with cancers and clotting disorders.

Patients with VTE had a greater SVA (92.5 mm vs 55 mm, *P* = .004) and larger upper thoracic (28.0° vs 18.0°, *P* = .042) and thoracolumbar (39.0° vs 30.0°, *P* = .031) coronal curves at baseline (Table 3). Patients with VTE had a greater SVA correction at 6 weeks compared with the non-VTE group (72 mm vs 31 mm, *P* = .004). At 2-year follow-up, there were no significant radiographic differences between the groups.

Intraoperative variables

VTE and non-VTE patients had a similar operating room time (413 vs 369 minutes, *P* = .306), EBL (1900 vs 1300 mL, *P* = .271), number of levels fused (14.1 vs 14.0, *P* = .683), and interbody fusion (OR = 2.0, 95% CI = 0.9-4.6, *P* = .084; Table 4). Three-column osteotomies did not increase the risk of VTE (OR = 0.9, 95% CI = 0.3-2.3, *P* = .760 for PSO, and OR = 1.3, 95% CI = 0.3-5.7, *P* = .714 for VCR). Patients with a VTE were more likely to have undergone a same-day combined (anterior-posterior) surgical approach (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.1-4.9, *P* = .018) but not a staged anterior-posterior procedure (OR = 1.8, 95% CI = 0.8-4.0, *P* = .173). Anti-fibrinolytic drugs (including aminocaproic acid and tranexamic acid) were used at similar rates in both groups (OR = 0.7, 95% CI = 0.3-1.3, *P* = .245).

Table 2. Comparisons of Percentage of Patients With Specific Comorbidities Between VTE and Non-VTE Groups.

Preoperative Comorbidity	VTE (N = 32), n (%)	Non-VTE (N = 705), n (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Anemia	2 (6.5%)	69 (10.4%)	0.6 (0.1-2.5)	.476
Clotting disorders	1 (3.2%)	31 (4.7%)	0.7 (0.1-5.1)	.706
Cancer	3 (9.7%)	74 (11.2%)	0.9 (0.3-2.9)	.795
Depression	4 (12.9%)	181 (27.3%)	0.4 (0.1-1.1)	.076
Diabetes	0 (0%)	53 (8%)	—	.101
Heart disease	2 (6.5%)	70 (10.6%)	0.6 (0.1-2.5)	.462
Hypertension	10 (32.3%)	249 (37.6%)	0.8 (0.4-1.7)	.547
Kidney disease	0 (0%)	19 (2.9%)	—	.339
Liver disease	2 (6.5%)	9 (1.4%)	5.0 (1.03-24.2)	.027
Lung disease	2 (6.5%)	36 (5.4%)	1.2 (0.3-5.2)	.809
Osteoporosis	9 (29%)	100 (15.1%)	2.3 (1.02-5.1)	.037
Smoking	3 (9.4%)	46 (7%)	1.4 (0.4-4.7)	.612

Abbreviations: VTE, venous thromboembolic event; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 3. Radiographic Comparisons Between VTE and Non-VTE Patients at Immediate Postoperative Visit^a.

Radiographic Parameters	Preoperative			2-Year Follow-up		
	VTE (N = 32)	Non-VTE (N = 705)	P Value	VTE (N = 13)	Non-VTE (N = 356)	P Value
PI (°)	55 (24 to 82)	54 (12 to 100)	.766	50 (24 to 83)	54 (14 to 90)	.985
PT (°)	24 (6 to 44)	23 (-13 to 68)	.787	26 (2 to 32)	21 (-6 to 62)	.582
LL (°)	38 (-14 to 70)	39 (-28 to 100)	.862	54.5 (20 to 73)	52 (-6 to 93)	.703
PI-LL (°)	15 (-22 to 58)	16 (-41 to 84)	.949	-0.5 (-30 to 28)	4 (-36 to 73)	.917
TK (°)	-36 (-85 to 1)	-35 (-121 to 24)	.458	-57.5 (-80 to -27)	-48 (-101 to -0)	.137
TIPA (°)	26.5 (10 to 47)	22 (-9 to 73)	.169	19 (1 to 37)	16 (-11 to 63)	.349
SVA (mm)	92.5 (7 to 250)	55 (-89 to 326)	.004	59.5 (-42 to 169)	26 (-109 to 238)	.162
Upper thoracic Cobb (°)	28 (4 to 78)	18 (4 to 63)	.042	28 (4 to 78)	18 (4 to 63)	.538
Thoracic Cobb (°)	27 (5 to 82)	24 (2 to 91)	.436	27 (5 to 82)	24 (2 to 91)	.770
Thoracolumbar Cobb (°)	39.5 (9 to 98)	30 (0 to 120)	.031	39.5 (9 to 98)	30 (0 to 120)	.508
Lumbar Cobb (°)	22 (7 to 57)	22 (2 to 74)	.844	22 (7 to 57)	22 (2 to 74)	.581

Abbreviations: VTE, venous thromboembolic event; PI, pelvic incidence; PT, pelvic tilt; LL, lumbar lordosis; TK, thoracic kyphosis; SVA, sagittal vertical axis.

Complications

VTE patients had a higher rate of intra- and perioperative complications compared with the non-VTE group. However, there was no difference in the rate of postoperative complications between the groups (OR = 1.5, 95% CI = 0.7-3.2, $P = .247$). VTE patients had a longer length of stay (10 vs 7 days, $P < .001$; Table 5) and a higher rate of mortality compared with non-VTE patients (OR = 9.3, 95% CI = 1.7-50.1, $P = .002$). Unfortunately, we were unable to determine the cause of mortality in these cases.

HRQOL Scores

Patients with VTE were more likely to have a lower SF-36 PCS score at baseline (27 vs 31, $P = .011$; Table 6). However, there were no other differences in HRQOL scores at baseline and at final follow-up, and there were no differences in HRQOL scores between groups.

Independent Predictors of VTE

Our regression model adjusted for all variables with $P < .1$, namely, age, history of physical labor, depression, liver

diseases, osteoporosis, preoperative SVA, and SVA correction. All 737 patients were included. Increased SVA correction (OR = 1.007 per mm of correction, 95% CI = 1.001-1.013, $P = .015$), osteoporosis (OR = 2.68, 95% CI = 1.07-6.7, $P = .035$), and lack of physical labor at baseline (OR = 3.0, 95% CI = 1.2-7.3, $P = .002$) all increased the risk of VTE. For this model, the r^2 was .113, and the area under the curve was 0.740 with $P = .050$.

Discussion

In this review of a multicenter database, we report a 4.3% rate of clinically significant VTEs among all patients undergoing surgery for ASD. The rate of DVT was 1.9%, while the rate for PE was 2.4%. Our multivariate model found that increased SVA correction, a diagnosis of osteoporosis, and a lack of physical labor at baseline were independent predictors of an increased VTE risk. We also found that patients with VTE were more likely to have a longer length of stay in the hospital (10 days vs 7 days) and had a higher mortality rate (6.3% vs 0.7%). While we do not know the cause of mortality in all these cases, these findings may be of value to deformity surgeons

Table 4. Comparison of Surgical Parameters Between VTE and Non-VTE Groups^a.

	VTE (N = 32)	Non-VTE (N = 705)	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Operating room time (minutes)	413 (120-716)	369 (22-836)		.306
EBL (mL)	1900 (50-5500)	1300 (20-12 200)		.271
Approach				.028
Anterior only	1 (3.1%)	6 (0.9%)		
Posterior only	17 (53.1%)	515 (73.5%)		
Combined (anterior-posterior)	14 (43.8%)	180 (25.7%)	2.4 (1.1-4.9)	.018
Interbody fusion	24 (75%)	417 (59.7%)	2.0 (0.9-4.6)	.084
Decompression	20 (64.5%)	426 (61.2%)	1.2 (0.5-2.4)	.711
Osteotomy (any)	26 (81.3%)	480 (69.1%)	1.9 (0.8-4.8)	.143
SPO	21 (65.6%)	364 (51.6%)	1.8 (0.9-3.8)	.121
PSO	5 (15.6%)	125 (17.7%)	0.9 (0.3-2.3)	.760
VCR	2 (6.3%)	34 (4.8%)	1.3 (0.3-5.7)	.714
Antifibrinolytic use (any)	15 (48.4%)	400 (58.9%)	0.7 (0.3-1.3)	.245
Amicar	7 (46.7%)	207 (51.8%)		
Tranexamic acid	7 (46.7%)	182 (45.5%)		
Other	1 (6.7%)	11 (2.8%)		
Staged procedure	8 (25%)	112 (15.9%)	1.8 (0.8-4.0)	.173
Length of stay (days)	10 (4-49)	7 (0-55)		<.001
SICU stay	23 (74.2%)	482 (70.8%)	1.2 (0.5-2.7)	.682

Abbreviations: VTE, venous thromboembolic event; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; EBL, estimated blood loss; SPO, Smith-Petersen osteotomy; PSO, pedicle subtraction osteotomy; VCR, vertebral column resection; SICU, surgical intensive care unit.

^aMedian and (minimum–maximum) values are reported for continuous variables.

Table 5. Comparison of Complications Between the VTE and Non-VTE Groups^a.

	VTE (N = 32)	Non-VTE (N = 705)	OR (95% CI)	P Value
Number of complications (any)	2 (1-9)	1 (0-9)		<.001
Intraoperative complication	14 (43.8%)	186 (26.4%)	2.2 (1.1-4.5)	.031
Perioperative complications	28 (87.5%)	239 (33.9%)	13.6 (4.7-39.4)	<.001
Postoperative complications	13 (40.6%)	218 (30.9%)	1.5 (0.7-3.2)	.247
Revision surgery	10 (31.3%)	143 (20.3%)	1.8 (0.8-3.9)	.135
Death	2 (6.3%)	5 (0.7%)	9.3 (1.7-50.1)	.002

Abbreviations: VTE, venous thromboembolic event; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aThe number of complications is reported as range (minimum–maximum).

Table 6. Baseline HRQOL and 2-Year Outcomes for the VTE and Non-VTE Groups.

HRQOL Outcomes	Preoperative			2-Year Follow-up		
	VTE (N = 32)	Non-VTE (N = 705)	P Value	VTE (N = 13)	Non-VTE (N = 356)	P Value
ODI	44 (18-86)	46 (0-92)	.571	38 (0-66)	26 (0-82)	.629
SF36-PCS	27 (13-42)	31 (9-65)	.011	35 (23-54)	40 (13-65)	.660
SF36-MCS	49 (11-74)	47 (8-73)	.977	58 (29-65)	53 (11-71)	.461
SRS Pain	2 (1-4)	2 (1-5)	.456	4 (2-5)	3 (1-5)	.585
SRS Function	3 (1-4)	3 (1-5)	.148	4 (2-5)	4 (1-5)	.816
SRS Self-Image	2 (1-3)	2 (1-5)	.080	4 (2-5)	4 (1-5)	.933
SRS Mental Health	4 (1-5)	3 (1-5)	.666	4 (3-5)	4 (1-5)	.635
SRS Satisfaction	2 (1-4)	2 (1-5)	.051	4 (2-5)	4 (1-5)	.621
SRS Total	3 (1-4)	3 (1-5)	.119	3 (2-5)	4 (2-5)	.601

Abbreviations: HRQOL, health-related quality of life; VTE, venous thromboembolic event; ODI, Oswestry Disability Index; SF-36, 36-item Short-Form Health Survey; PCS, Physical Component Score; MCS, mental component score; SRS, Scoliosis Research Society-22r questionnaire.

when discussing the risks and benefits of surgery and, in particular, discussing the risk of complications with patients.

Our reported rate of VTE is higher than the overall rates reported by other series of spine surgery patients.^{2,4,9} This

higher rate of VTE in our cohort is not surprising as the procedures performed in this cohort are technically demanding, requiring long operative times (average operative time time was >6 hours) with lengthy hospital stays (average hospital

stay was >7 days). Indeed, the overall rate of VTE in this study might be understated because up to 40% of VTE cases are diagnosed at hospitals that are different from the institution where a patient's surgery was performed.² In addition, not all patients are routinely screened for VTEs and our incidence represents those patients who were symptomatic and subsequently worked-up for VTEs. This fact may introduce a reporting bias and may explain why our reported rate of DVT (1.9%) was lower than our reported rate for PE (2.4%). The screening process can also falsely report the incidence of DVT relative to PE since routine computed tomography to rule out PE does not always include a run through the lower extremities to rule out DVT. The relevance of this is uncertain, since lower extremity DVTs have not been shown to embolize proximally to become a PE, while more proximal DVTs in the proximal thigh and pelvis have been associated with PEs.^{17,18}

In our univariate analysis of operative variables, only one (anterior-posterior approach) was associated with an increased risk for VTE. This finding has been previously identified in the literature.^{2,9,18} We showed that the use of anti-fibrinolytic agents did not increase the risk of VTE in our cohort. The use of these agents has been shown to decrease blood loss in several areas of orthopedics, and our data suggests that they may be used safely in carefully selected ASD patients as long as relevant comorbidities such as a history of stroke, PE, tumors, and cardiac stenting procedures are considered. Our data also found no increase in the risk of VTE with osteotomies in deformity correction; however, this finding must be interpreted with caution given the relatively low numbers of VTE in patients with 3-column osteotomies and the fact that increased SVA correction was found to be a risk factor for VTE in a multivariate analysis.

A connection between SVA correction and VTE has not previously been reported in the literature; it is impossible to study in large database trials and is likely not routinely measured in cases with degenerative pathology. Unfortunately, the retrospective nature of this study makes it difficult to determine exactly why SVA correction might increase risk of VTE. It is possible that patients with larger deformity corrections are undergoing more significant operative procedures and are slower to mobilize postoperatively. Another possibility is that correction of kyphotic deformities leads to traction along the anterior spinal cord, leading to stretching and epithelial injury of the vena cava, which, in turn, increases the risk of VTE. This finding is of considerable clinical importance. There is data now to suggest that increased SVA correction predisposes to complications such as proximal junctional kyphosis.¹⁹ There is also greater attention being paid to age-based correction targets, with the recognition that larger SVAs might be normal in older patients.^{20,21} Our study provides adds to this body of work and suggests that surgeons must be judicious when planning their corrections and must take age, patient function, and the risk of complications into account when doing so.

In addition to operative variables, our analysis identified 2 preoperative variables that were independent predictors of VTE risk: the lack of physical labor at baseline and

osteoporosis. These are also unique findings that have not previously been reported in the literature. The finding of osteoporosis, in particular, is of particular interest to deformity surgeons given the older, female-predominant patient population encountered in the field (and reported on in this article). The treatment of osteoporosis with selective estrogen receptor modulators may produce a hypercoagulable state that has been shown to increase the risk of VTE.²²⁻²⁴ Furthermore, it is possible that osteoporosis is a general marker of frailty and immobility as is the lack of physical labor at baseline. A lack of physical activity might result in compromised venous circulation and increased venous stasis.

Last, our results suggest that VTE may have a significant economic impact. Patients diagnosed with VTE tended to have a longer hospital stay and higher mortality rates than the non-VTE cohort. While we were unable to determine the cause of mortality and are unable to conclude if VTE was the cause of the longer hospital stay, this finding brings attention to the possible need for prophylaxis regimens in those with a prolonged length of stay. A targeted VTE prophylaxis regimen for these patients might be an area for further research.

In addition to the retrospective study design, our conclusions are limited by the fact that VTE remains a relatively rare phenomenon in spine surgery. Even in a series of 737 patients, we only had 32 cases of VTE.

Additionally, the multicenter aspect of this protocol and the staged follow-up makes it difficult to accurately determine the type of VTE prophylaxis administered (pneumatic compression boots, aspirin, etc) and the clinical context in which VTE was diagnosed. It is possible that VTE was diagnosed as an incidental finding in some cases. However, we believe this is unlikely as the tests to diagnose VTE are seldom ordered in our clinical practice unless there is a high level of clinical suspicion. Additionally, we could not determine the timing of VTE following surgery. Despite these limitations, to our knowledge, this is the largest series of deformity patients that can examine questions that pertain to radiographic correction as well as preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative factors.

In summary, we report the overall rate of VTE of 4.3% in an ASD cohort. We report risk factors for VTE that are highly relevant to deformity surgeons such as SVA correction and osteoporosis.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was conducted with funding support provided to the International Spine Study Group Foundation (ISSGF) from DePuy Synthes Spine, K2M, NuVasive, Innovaxis, Biomet, Orthofix, as well as individual donations.

References

1. Lembitz A, Clarke TJ. Clarifying "never events" and introducing "always events". *Patient Saf Surg*. 2009;3:26.

2. Schairer WW, Pedtke AC, Hu SS. Venous thromboembolism after spine surgery [published online April 8, 2014]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000000315.
3. Akeda K, Matsunaga H, Imanishi T, et al. Prevalence and countermeasures for venous thromboembolic diseases associated with spinal surgery: a follow-up study of an institutional protocol in 209 patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:791-797.
4. Hohl JB, Lee JY, Rayappa SP, et al. Prevalence of venous thromboembolic events after elective major thoracolumbar degenerative spine surgery. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. 2015;28:E310-E315.
5. Yoshioka K, Murakami H, Demura S, et al. Comparative study of the prevalence of venous thromboembolism after elective spinal surgery. *Orthopedics*. 2013;36:e223-e228.
6. Fineberg SJ, Oglesby M, Patel AA, Pelton MA, Singh K. The incidence and mortality of thromboembolic events in lumbar spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:1154-1159.
7. Gephart MG, Zygourakis CC, Arrigo RT, Kalanithi PS, Lad SP, Boakye M. Venous thromboembolism after thoracic/thoracolumbar spinal fusion. *World Neurosurg*. 2012;78:545-552.
8. Takahashi H, Yokoyama Y, Iida Y, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism after spine surgery. *J Orthop Sci*. 2012;17:114-117.
9. Sansone JM, del Rio AM, Anderson PA. The prevalence of and specific risk factors for venous thromboembolic disease following elective spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2010;92:304-313.
10. Dearborn JT, Hu SS, Tribus CB, Bradford DS. Thromboembolic complications after major thoracolumbar spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999;24:1471-1476.
11. Jain A, Karas DJ, Skolasky RL, et al. Thromboembolic complications in children after spinal fusion surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:1325-1329.
12. Oglesby M, Fineberg SJ, Patel AA, Pelton MA, Singh K. The incidence and mortality of thromboembolic events in cervical spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:E521-E527.
13. Bridwell KH, Berven S, Glassman S, et al. Is the SRS-22 instrument responsive to change in adult scoliosis patients having primary spinal deformity surgery? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2007;32:2220-2225.
14. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25:2940-2852.
15. Grevitt M, Khazim R, Webb J, Mulholland R, Shepperd J. The short form-36 health survey questionnaire in spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1997;79:48-52.
16. McNeil BJ, Hanley JA. Statistical approaches to the analysis of receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. *Med Decis Making*. 1984;4:137-150.
17. Kim HJ, Walcott-Sapp S, Leggett K, et al. The use of spiral computed tomography scans for the detection of pulmonary embolism. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23:31-35.
18. Kim HJ, Walcott-Sapp S, Adler RS, Pavlov H, Boachie-Adjei O, Westrich GH. Thromboembolic complications following spine surgery assessed with spiral CT scans: DVT/PE following spine surgery. *HSS J*. 2011;7:37-40.
19. Kim HJ, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, et al. Patients with proximal junctional kyphosis requiring revision surgery have higher post-operative lumbar lordosis and larger sagittal balance corrections. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:E576-E580.
20. Scheer JK, Osorio JA, Smith JS, et al. Development of validated computer based pre-operative predictive model for proximal junction failure (PJF) or clinically significant PJK with 86% accuracy based on 510 ASD patients with 2-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2016;41:E1328-E1335.
21. Iyer S, Lenke LG, Nemani VM, et al. Variations in sagittal alignment parameters based on age: a prospective study of asymptomatic volunteers using full-body radiographs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2016;41:1826-1836.
22. Onitilo AA, Doi SA, Engel JM, Glurich I, Johnson J, Berg R. Clustering of venous thrombosis events at the start of tamoxifen therapy in breast cancer: a population-based experience. *Thromb Res*. 2012;130:27-31.
23. Artero A, Tarin JJ, Cano A. The adverse effects of estrogen and selective estrogen receptor modulators on hemostasis and thrombosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 2012;38:797-807.
24. Mirzabeigi MN, Nelson JA, Fischer JP, et al. Tamoxifen (selective estrogen-receptor modulators) and aromatase inhibitors as potential perioperative thrombotic risk factors in free flap breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2015;135:670e-679e.