International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201711104 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201711104

## **Cross-Coupling of Sodium Sulfinates with Aryl, Heteroaryl, and Vinyl Halides by Nickel/Photoredox Dual Catalysis**

Huifeng Yue<sup>+</sup>, Chen Zhu<sup>+</sup>, and Magnus Rueping<sup>\*</sup>

**Abstract:** An efficient photoredox/nickel catalyzed sulfonylation reaction of aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl halides has been achieved for the first time. This newly developed sulfonylation protocol provides a versatile method for the synthesis of diverse aromatic sulfones at room temperature and shows excellent functional group tolerance. The electrophilic coupling partners are not limited to aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl bromides and iodides, but also includes less reactive aryl chlorides as suitable substrates for this transformation.

 $\mathbf{S}$ ulfones are highly important organic molecules because of their versatile synthetic utility in organic synthesis as well as the widespread presence of sulfonyl groups in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, biologically active compounds, and polymer materials.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Conventionally, these valuable compounds are synthesized by oxidation of sulfides,<sup>[3]</sup> sulfonylation of arenes,<sup>[4]</sup> or palladium or copper-catalyzed arylation of sulfinate salts.<sup>[5]</sup> These methods suffer from significant drawbacks, including the use of foul-smelling thiols and strong oxidizing reagents, harsh acidic treatments, and high reaction temperatures, which can limit the functional group tolerance and substrate scope. Alternatively, SO2 surrogates such as DABCO  $(SO_2)_2$  and  $K_2S_2O_5$  have been applied to the synthesis of sulfones by fixation of sulfur dioxide to generate sulfinate anion intermediates which can then undergo (SO<sub>2</sub>)arylation/alkylation.[6]

In recent years, increasing attention has been devoted to the field of dual photoredox and transition-metal catalysis, and useful transformations have been achieved by this strategy.<sup>[7-10]</sup> In particular, photoredox/nickel catalysis proved attractive because of the unique catalytic properties of nickel catalysts.<sup>[8-10]</sup> Pioneering works in this field focused

[*]	H. Yue, <sup>[+]</sup> C. Zhu, <sup>[+]</sup> Prof. Dr. M. Rueping
	Landoltweg 1 52074 Aachen (Cermany)
	E-mail: magnus.rueping@rwth-aachen.de
	Prof. Dr. M. Rueping
	King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), KAUST
	Catalysis Center (KCC)
	Thuwal, 23955-6900 (Saudi Arabia)
	E-mail: Magnus.Rueping@Kaust.edu.sa
[+]	These authors contributed equally to this work.
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for

the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201711104. on C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–C(sp<sup>2</sup>) bond formations by coupling aryl halides with benzylic trifluoroborates and  $\alpha$ -carboxy sp<sup>3</sup>-carbon atoms.<sup>[8]</sup> Since then, progress has been made in the field of C–C bond formation.<sup>[9]</sup> Moreover, this elegant strategy has also been applied to the synthesis of aryl ethers, aryl esters, aryl amines, indolines, triarylphosphine oxides, and thioethers by different C–heteroatom bond formations,<sup>[10]</sup> thus confirming the enormous potential of dual photoredox/metal catalysis not only in the improvement of known reactions but also in the discovery of novel catalytic protocols.

As part of our continuing studies in the area of photoredox and transition-metal catalysis, we herein report the first photoredox/metal catalyzed cross-coupling of sulfinate salts with aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl halides at room temperature (Scheme 1). This protocol provides a versatile approach to aromatic sulfones with a broad substrate scope and excellent functional group tolerance. Notably, less-reactive aryl chlorides could also be converted into the corresponding sulfones.



**Scheme 1.** Photoredox/nickel catalyzed synthesis of aromatic sulfones at room temperature.

Our study commenced with 4-bromobenzonitrile (1a) and sodium 4-methylbenzenesulfinate (2a) as model substrates. Initially, the ratio of 1a and 2a was set as 1:1 with PC1 as the photocatalyst, NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme as the nickel source, dtbbpy as the ligand, and DMF as the solvent, which afforded the corresponding sulfone **3a** in 43% yield only (Table 1, entry 1). The use of  $K_2CO_3$  as a base decreased the yield dramatically (entry 2). The yield of **3a** increased to 70% when two equivalents of 2a were employed (entry 3). After screening several commonly used photocatalysts, including an organic dye (entries 4-8), the Ir complex PC4 was found to give the best result (entry 6). A series of nickel catalysts such as NiBr<sub>2</sub>·O(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Ni(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, Ni(acac)<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub>, and Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub> were subsequently examined, however no further improvement was observed (entries 9-13). The use of DMF as a solvent was found to be crucial for this transformation. When other solvents were utilized, the sulfonylation reaction either did not take place or occurred in low yield (entries 14-16). Performing the reaction under undegassed conditions provided the product in 18% yield,

<sup>© 2018</sup> The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.





Table 1: Optimization of the reaction conditions.[a]





[a] Reaction conditions: Aryl bromide 1 (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), PC4 (0.001 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme (0.01 mmol), dtbbpy (0.01 mmol), and degassed DMF (1 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield after purification. [c] Reaction performed on a 2.0 mmol scale.

[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), photocatalyst (0.001 mmol), [Ni] (0.01 mmol), ligand (0.01 mmol), and degassed solvent (1.0 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield determined by GC. [c] 2a (1 equiv). [d] K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2 equiv) was added. [e] Yield of isolated product. [f] Undegassed. [g] No light. [h] CF-Lamp was used instead of blue LED strips. cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, dtbbpy = 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine, Ts = 4-toluenesulfonyl.

thus indicating the importance of avoiding the presence of molecular oxygen in the reaction (entry 17). Control experiments confirmed the role of the photocatalyst, light, and nickel catalyst for the reaction (entries 18 and 19). In addition, a CFLamp was tested and proved suitable for this transformation, thus providing the corresponding product in 57% yield (entry 20).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope with respect to the aryl bromides was firstly evaluated (Table 2). A wide variety of aryl bromides bearing electrondonating and electron-withdrawing functional groups gave the corresponding products in moderate to excellent yields. The position of the substituents on the aryl ring had a minor effect on the efficiency of this transformation. For example, not only the aryl bromides 1a and 1f possessing para substituents but also the substrates 1b and 1g, bearing substituents in meta and ortho positions, afforded the corresponding products in good yields. In addition, our newly developed protocol tolerated a variety of functionalities, including ketone (**3d** and **3e**), methylester (**3f**, **3g**, and **3q**), aldehyde (**3h**), amide (**3i**), trifluoromethyl (**3l** and **3m**), *t*-butyl (**3n**), and tertiary amine (**3o**). Notably, a reactive primary amine and hydroxy group on the aromatic ring were also tolerated (**3j** and **3k**). Moreover, naphthyl bromides (**1p** and **1q**) also underwent this reaction efficiently, thus giving the corresponding products in good yields.

Significantly, the scope of this protocol could be extended to pharmaceutically relevant heteroaromatic bromides such as quinoline (1r and 1s), benzothiophene (1t), and thiophene (1u) derivatives (Table 2). Noteworthy, **3a** was obtained in a good yield of 67% when the reaction was performed on a 2.0 mmol scale in the presence of 0.5 mol% photocatalyst, thus indicating the scalability and practicability of this mild sulfonylation protocol.

In addition, our newly developed protocol could also be readily extended to vinyl bromides (Table 3). Accordingly, substrates possessing electron-donating and electron-with-drawing functional groups gave the  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated sulfones **5a–c** in excellent yields (93–99%). It is important to note that only the *trans* products were generated, even when the vinyl bromides **4a** and **4c**, containing a *trans/cis* mixture, were employed.

Table 3: Scope of vinyl bromides.[a,b]



[a] Reaction conditions: vinyl bromide 4 (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), PC4 (0.001 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme (0.01 mmol), dtbbpy (0.01 mmol), and degassed DMF (1 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield after purification.

Next, the scope with respect to the sodium sulfinates 2 was explored (Table 4). A wide range of structurally diverse sodium sulfinates were suitable substrates for this transformation. For example, benzenesulfinate and sodium sulfinates bearing methoxy groups could generate the corresponding diarylsulfones 6a-c in moderate to excellent yields. Also, functional groups such as *t*-butyl (6d), trifluoromethyl (6e), and fluoro (6 f) were well tolerated under our reaction conditions. Strikingly, sodium sulfinate possessing a methyl group in the ortho position of the aromatic ring could undergo this reaction smoothly, thus affording the corresponding product 6g in 94% yield. However, continuously increasing steric hindrance led to diminished yield (6h). Likewise, the reaction could also be applied to the heterocyclic sodium sulfinate 2i, thus giving the heterocycle-containing sulfone 6i, which is important in medicinal chemistry.

Moreover, aryl iodides were also suitable substrates for this transformation (Table 5). Likewise, substrates bearing

thus affording the corresponding groups both afforded the corresponding products in good to

under the present conditions. It is noteworthy that minor modification of the standard conditions, by just switching the dtbbpy ligand to the more electron rich 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine (4,4'-(MeO)<sub>2</sub>bpy) ligand, made this protocol applicable to more challenging aryl chloride substrates (8), thus indicating the potential of



**Table 4:** Scope of sodium sulfinates.<sup>[a,b]</sup>



[a] Reaction conditions: **1** a (0.1 mmol), sodium sulfinate **2** (0.2 mmol), **PC4** (0.001 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme (0.01 mmol), dtbbpy (0.01 mmol), and degassed DMF (1 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield after purification.

*Table 5:* Scope of aryl iodides.<sup>[a,b]</sup>



[a] Reaction conditions: aryl iodide 7 (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), PC4 (0.001 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme (0.01 mmol), dtbbpy (0.01 mmol), and degassed DMF (1 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield after purification.

electron-donating and electron-withdrawing functional

high yields. Interestingly, a chloro group was well tolerated

Angewandte International Edition Chemie



Table 6: Scope of aryl chlorides.<sup>[a,b]</sup>



[a] Reaction conditions: Aryl chloride **8** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.2 mmol), **PC4** (0.001 mmol), NiCl<sub>2</sub>·glyme (0.01 mmol), 4,4'-(MeO)<sub>2</sub>-bpy (0.01 mmol), and degassed DMF (1 mL) at room temperature under irradiation with 2.6 W blue LED strips for 24 h. [b] Yield after purification.

our newly developed sulfonylation protocol in applying less reactive electrophiles (Table 6).

To shed light on the mechanism of this protocol, a radicaltrapping experiment was conducted with two equivalents of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy; Scheme 2). The reaction was completely suppressed and no desired product was detected, thus suggesting the involvement of a sulfonyl radical in the transformation.



Scheme 2. Radical-trapping experiment.

Based on our results and previous studies<sup>[9a,11]</sup> a mechanism for this new photoredox/nickel catalyzed sulfonylation protocol is proposed in Scheme 3. Firstly, the Ir<sup>III</sup> complex absorbs visible light and gives a long-lived triplet excited state (**PC4**,  $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$  [\*Ir<sup>III</sup>/Ir<sup>II</sup>] = + 0.99 V versus SCE in CH<sub>3</sub>CN),<sup>[12]</sup> which then reacts with the sodium sulfinate **A** (TsNa,  $E^{\text{red}}$  = + 0.45 V versus SCE in CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sup>[12]</sup> to afford the intermediate **B** along with the formation of the Ir<sup>II</sup> reductant (**PC4**,  $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$ 



**Scheme 3.** Proposed mechanism for the new photoredox/nickel catalyzed sulfonylation reaction.

 $[Ir^{III}/Ir^{II}] = -1.48 \text{ V}$  versus SCE in CH<sub>3</sub>CN).<sup>[12]</sup> **B** then resonates to the radical **C**, which subsequently adds to Ni<sup>0</sup> to form the Ni<sup>I</sup> intermediate **D**. The oxidative addition of aryl halide to **D** delivers the Ni<sup>III</sup> intermediate **E**, which is prone to undergoing reductive elimination to produce the coupling product **F** and a Ni<sup>I</sup> complex. Finally, the Ni<sup>I</sup> complex undergoes one-electron reduction with the Ir<sup>II</sup> reductant to regenerate Ni<sup>0</sup> { $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$  [Ni<sup>I</sup>/Ni<sup>0</sup>] >  $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}}$  [Ni<sup>II</sup>/Ni<sup>0</sup>] = -1.2 V versus SCE in DMF]<sup>[8b]</sup> along with the ground-state Ir<sup>III</sup> complex.

In summary, we have developed a novel and efficient method for the synthesis of sulfones by photoredox/nickel catalysis for the first time. This protocol allows the cross-coupling of a series of sodium sulfinates with a wide range of aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl bromides and iodides, as well as more challenging aryl chlorides. Importantly neither sacrificial reagents nor organic electron mediators are necessary in this reaction. Moreover, the utility of sodium sulfinates as precursors of sulfonyl radicals and the generation of reactive Ni<sup>III</sup> intermediates promote this transformation at room temperature. Therefore, the reaction possesses a broad tolerance of functional groups, showing its advantages in comparison to the traditional methods.

## Acknowledgements

H.Y. acknowledges the China Scholarship Council. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007–2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement no. 617044 (SunCatChem). We thank Prof. Aiwen Lei and Huamin Wang for fruitful discussions.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** aryl halides · cross-coupling · nickel · photochemistry · sulfone

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1371–1375 Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 1385–1389

- a) N. S. Simpkins, Sulfones in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1993; b) C. J. M. Stirling, The Chemistry of Sulphones and Sulphoxides, Wiley, New York, 1988; c) Y. Harrak, G. Casula, J. Basset, G. Rosell, S. Plescia, D. Raffa, M. G. Cusimano, R. Pouplana, M. D. Pujol, J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 6560-6571; d) D. A. Smith, R. M. Jones, Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev. 2008, 11, 72-79; e) Z.-Y. Sun, E. Botros, A.-D. Su, Y. Kim, E. Wang, N. Z. Baturay, C.-H. Kwon, J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 4160-4168; f) R. Silvestri, G. De Martino, G. La Regina, M. Artico, S. Massa, L. Vargiu, M. Mura, A. G. Loi, T. Marceddu, P. La Colla, J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 2482-2493; g) T. Otzen, E. G. Wempe, B. Kunz, R. Bartels, G. Lehwark-Yvetot, W. Hänsel, K.-J. Schaper, J. K. Seydel, J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 240-253.
- [2] For recent reviews on the synthesis of sulfones, see: a) N.-W. Liu, S. Liang, G. Manolikakes, *Synthesis* 2016, 48, 1939–1973; b) N.-

1374 www.angewandte.org © 2018 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1371–1375

W. Liu, S. Liang, G. Manolikakes, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 1308-1319.

- [3] For selected examples, see: a) A. Shaabani, P. Mirzaei, S. Naderi, D. G. Lee, *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 11415–11420; b) R. J. Griffin, A. Henderson, N. J. Curtin, A. Echalier, J. A. Endicott, I. R. Hardcastle, D. R. Newell, M. E. Noble, L.-Z. Wang, B. T. Golding, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6012–6013; c) K. Sato, M. Hyodo, M. Aoki, X.-Q. Zheng, R. Noyori, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 2469–2476; d) B. M. Trost, D. P. Curran, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981, 22, 1287–1290; e) J. A. Kozak, G. R. Dake, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4221–4223; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4289–4291; f) M. Catarinella, T. Grüner, T. Strittmatter, A. Marx, T. U. Mayer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9072–9076; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3121–3125; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 3164–3168.
- [4] For early examples, see: a) G. A. Olah, S. Kobayashi, J. Nishimura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 564-569; b) F. Effenberger, K. Huthmacher, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1974, 13, 409-410; Angew. Chem. 1974, 86, 409-410; c) J. A. Hyatt, A. W. White, Synthesis 1984, 1984, 214-217; d) S. Répichet, C. Le Roux, P. Hernandez, J. Dubac, J.-R. Desmurs, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6479-6482.
- [5] a) S. Cacchi, G. Fabrizi, A. Goggiamani, L. M. Parisi, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4719–4721; b) J. M. Baskin, Z. Wang, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4423–4425.
- [6] a) E. J. Emmett, B. R. Hayter, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12679-12683; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12911-12915; b) A. S. Deeming, C. J. Russell, M. C. Willis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1168-1171; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1184-1187; c) B. N. Rocke, K. B. Bahnck, M. Herr, S. Lavergne, V. Mascitti, C. Perreault, J. Polivkova, A. Shavnya, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 154-157; d) A. Shavnya, K. D. Hesp, V. Mascitti, A. C. Smith, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13571-13575; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 13775-13779; e) A. Shavnya, S. B. Coffey, A.C. Smith, V. Mascitti, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 6226-6229; f) M. W. Johnson, S. W. Bagley, N. P. Mankad, R. G. Bergman, V. Mascitti, F. D. Toste, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4404-4407; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 4493-4496; g) N. von Wolff, J. Char, X. Frogneux, T. Cantat, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 5616-5619; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 5708-5711; For reviews, see: h) A. S. Deeming, E. J. Emmett, C. S. Richards-Taylor, M. C. Willis, Synthesis 2014, 46, 2701-2710; i) G. Liu, C. Fan, J. Wu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 1592-1599; j) E. J. Emmett, M. C. Willis, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2015, 4, 602-611.
- [7] For reviews and examples of photoredox/transition metal catalysis, see: a) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum, T. P. Yoon, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 10035-10074; b) J. C. Tellis, C. B. Kelly, D. N. Primer, M. Jouffroy, N. R. Patel, G. A. Molander, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1429-1439; c) Y.-Y. Gui, L. Sun, Z.-P. Lu, D.-G. Yu, Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 522-526; d) D. C. Fabry, M. Rueping, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1969-1979; e) C. Vila, ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 1790-1793; f) E. Jahn, U. Jahn, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13326-13328; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13542-13544; g) M. N. Hopkinson, B. Sahoo, J. L. Li, F. Glorius, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 3874-3886; h) M. N. Hopkinson, A. Tlahuext-Aca, F. Glorius, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2261-2272; i) D. Kalyani, K. B. McMurtrey, S. R. Neufeldt, M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18566-18569; j) S. R. Neufeldt, M. S. Sanford, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 3517-3522; k) Y. Ye, M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9034-9037; 1) Y. Ye, S. A. Künzi, M. S. Sanford, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4979-4981; m) M. Rueping, R. M. Koenigs, K. Poscharny, D. C. Fabry, D. Leonori, C. Vila, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5170-5174; n) D. C. Fabry, J. Zoller, S. Raja, M. Rueping, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53,

10228-10231; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 10392-10396; o) J. Zoller, D. C. Fabry, M. A. Ronge, M. Rueping, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13264-13268; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13480-13484; p) D. C. Fabry, M. A. Ronge, J. Zoller, M. Rueping, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2801-2805; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2843-2847; q) B. Sahoo, M. N. Hopkinson, F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5505-5508; r) M. N. Hopkinson, B. Sahoo, F. Glorius, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2794-2800; s) X.-z. Shu, M. Zhang, Y. He, H. Frei, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5844-5847; t) S. Kim, J. Rojas-Martin, F. D. Toste, Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 85-88; u) H. Huo, K. Harms, E. Meggers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6936-6939; v) J. Xie, T. Zhang, F. Chen, N. Mehrkens, F. Rominger, M. Rudolph, A. S. K. Hashmi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 2934-2938; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 2987-2991; w) J. Xie, M. Rudolph, F. Rominger, A. S. K. Hashmi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 7266-7270; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 7372-7376.

- [8] a) J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, *Science* 2014, 345, 433–436; b) Z. Zuo, D. T. Ahneman, L. Chu, J. A. Terrett, A. G. Doyle, D. W. MacMillan, *Science* 2014, 345, 437–440.
- [9] Examples: a) O. Gutierrez, J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, M. C. Kozlowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4896-4899; b) D. N. Primer, I. Karakaya, J. C. Tellis, G. A. Molander, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2195-2198; c) D. Ryu, D. N. Primer, J. C. Tellis, G. A. Molander, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 120-123; d) I. Karakaya, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3294-3297; e) M. El Khatib, R. A. M. Serafim, G. A. Molander, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 254-258; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 262-266; f) Y. Yamashita, J. C. Tellis, G. A. Molander, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2015, 112, 12026-12029; g) A. Noble, S. J. McCarver, D. W. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 624-627; h) L. Chu, J. M. Lipshultz, D. W. MacMillan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7929-7933; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 8040-8044; i) C. C. Le, D. W. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11938-11941; j) V. Corcé, L. M. Chamoreau, E. Derat, J. P. Goddard, C. Ollivier, L. Fensterbank, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11414-11418; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11576-11580; k) M. Jouffroy, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 475-478; l) C. Lévêque, L. Chenneberg, V. Corcé, C. Ollivier, L. Fensterbank, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 9877-9880; m) L. Fan, J. Jia, H. Hou, Q. Lefebvre, M. Rueping, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 16437-16440; n) C. L. Joe, A. G. Doyle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4040-4043; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 4108-4111.
- [10] a) J. A. Terrett, J. D. Cuthbertson, V. W. Shurtleff, D. W. Mac-Millan, *Nature* 2015, 524, 330-334; b) E. R. Welin, C. Le, D. M. Arias-Rotondo, J. K. McCusker, D. W. MacMillan, *Science* 2017, 355, 380-385; c) M. S. Oderinde, N. H. Jones, A. Juneau, M. Frenette, B. Aquila, S. Tentarelli, D. W. Robbins, J. W. Johannes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 13219-13223; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, *128*, 13413-13417; d) E. B. Corcoran, M. T. Pirnot, S. Lin, S. D. Dreher, D. A. DiRocco, I. W. Davies, S. L. Buchwald, D. W. MacMillan, *Science* 2016, *353*, 279-283; e) M. S. Oderinde, M. Frenette, D. W. Robbins, B. Aquila, J. W. Johannes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 1760-1763.
- [11] H. Wang, Q. Lu, C. W. Chiang, Y. Luo, J. Zhou, G. Wang, A. Lei, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 595–599; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 610–614.
- [12] See the cyclic voltammetry measurements of the photocatalyst PC4 and TsNa in the Supporting Information in detail.

Manuscript received: October 29, 2017 Accepted manuscript online: December 6, 2017 Version of record online: January 5, 2018