Human Reproduction, Vol.35, No.10, pp. 2401-2402, 2020

Advance Access Publication on August 14, 2020 doi:10.1093/humrep/deaa189

human reproduction

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum. Testing the twin testosterone transfer hypothesis intergenerational analysis of 317 dizygotic twins born in Aberdeen, Scotland

Chiara Talia¹, Edwin-Amalraj Raja², Sohinee Bhattacharya², and Paul A. Fowler^{1,*}

¹Institute of Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences & Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK ²Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK

*Correspondence address: Institute of Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences & Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK; E-mail: p.a.fowler@abdn.ac.uk

Hum Reprod 2020;35:1702-1710

category (1). Therefore, the odds ratios refer to the \leq 23 group, and not the >23 as previously shown. The correct version of the table is displayed below.

The authors would like to apologise for errors in Table IV and \therefore displayed below. Supplementary Table SI of the above article.

Table IV: the odds ratio (the last three columns) for the variable Age at first pregnancy were inadvertently swapped with the reference

Table IV Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence interval among female twins from same- and opposite-sex twin pairs for secondary outcomes.

Variables	Female – Female n=118 Number (%)	Female – Male n=114 Number (%)	UnadjustedOR (95% CI)	AdjustedOR (95% CI) ^a	AdjustedOR (95% CI) ^b
Miscarriages					
0	100 (84.7)	92 (80.7)	Ι	I	I
1+	18 (15.3)	22 (19.3)	1.33 (0.7, 2.58)	1.51 (0.69, 3.27)	1.40 (0.68, 2.86)
Age at first pregnancy					
≤ 23	37 (31.4)	57 (50)	2.13 (1.21, 3.75)	1.95 (0.97, 3.92)	1.67 (0.90, 3.20)
>23	81 (68.6)	57 (50)	Ι	I	I

Generalised estimating equations (GEE) method has been used, given the paired structure of the twin data. Significant ORs are reported in bold.

^aAdjusted for twin's year of Birth, maternal height and twin's own smoking status (complete case analysis n = 187).

^bAdjusted for twin's year of Birth, maternal height and twin's own smoking status (unknown maternal smoking status included in the analysis as a separate category, n = 232).

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Outcome	Female –Male Twin (n=151)	Female – Female twins (n=166)		
Number of pregnancies	2 (I-3)	2 (0-3)		
Number of livebirths	(0-2)	I (0-2)		
Age at first pregnancy	23.5 (20-28.5)	26 (22-30)		

Supplementary Table SI Continuous outcomes in female-female and female-male twins.

Supplementary Table SI: column titles (Female-Female Twin and Female-Male Twin) were swapped in error. The correct version of the table is above.

The authors confirm that these typographical errors do not affect any other content of the article, or its conclusion.

The electronic version of this article has been updated at https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deaa091.