## Short A $\beta$ peptides attenuate A $\beta$ 42 toxicity in vivo

Brenda D. Moore,<sup>1,2</sup> Jason Martin,<sup>1,2</sup> Lorena de Mena,<sup>2,3</sup> Jonatan Sanchez,<sup>2,3</sup> Pedro E. Cruz,<sup>1,2</sup> Carolina Ceballos-Diaz,<sup>1,2</sup> Thomas B. Ladd,<sup>1,2</sup> Yong Ran,<sup>1,2</sup> Yona Levites,<sup>1,2</sup> Thomas L. Kukar,<sup>5</sup> Justin J. Kurian,<sup>4</sup> Robert McKenna,<sup>4</sup> Edward H. Koo,<sup>6</sup> David R. Borchelt,<sup>1,2</sup> Christopher Janus,<sup>1,2</sup> Diego Rincon-Limas,<sup>2,3</sup> Pedro Fernandez-Funez,<sup>7</sup> and Todd E. Golde<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Translational Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, Department of Neuroscience, <sup>2</sup>McKnight Brain Institute, <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology,

and <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL

<sup>5</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Neurology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, Duluth, MN

Processing of amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) precursor protein (APP) by  $\gamma$ -secretase produces multiple species of A $\beta$ : A $\beta$ 40, short A $\beta$  peptides (A $\beta$ 37-39), and longer A $\beta$  peptides (A $\beta$ 42-43).  $\gamma$ -Secretase modulators, a class of Alzheimer's disease therapeutics, reduce production of the pathogenic A $\beta$ 42 but increase the relative abundance of short A $\beta$  peptides. To evaluate the pathological relevance of these peptides, we expressed A $\beta$ 36-40 and A $\beta$ 42-43 in *Drosophila melanogaster* to evaluate inherent toxicity and potential modulatory effects on A $\beta$ 42 toxicity. In contrast to A $\beta$ 42, the short A $\beta$  peptides were not toxic and, when co-expressed with A $\beta$ 42, were protective in a dose-dependent fashion. In parallel, we explored the effects of recombinant ade-no-associated virus-mediated expression of A $\beta$ 38 and A $\beta$ 40 in mice. When expressed in nontransgenic mice at levels sufficient to drive A $\beta$ 42 deposition, A $\beta$ 38 and A $\beta$ 40 did not deposit or cause behavioral alterations. These studies indicate that treatments that lower A $\beta$ 42 by raising the levels of short A $\beta$  peptides could attenuate the toxic effects of A $\beta$ 42.

#### INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common cause of dementia and the most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder. The defining neuropathological hallmark of AD is the accumulation of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles (Selkoe and Hardy, 2016). Amyloid plaques contain the ~4-kD amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) peptide, which is produced through sequential cleavage of the A $\beta$  precursor protein (APP) by the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -secretases.  $\gamma$ -Secretase cleavage generates numerous AB peptides with differing C termini (Wang et al., 1996; Golde et al., 2013). Under physiological conditions, the major species generated are  $A\beta 1-40$  (>50% of total  $A\beta$ ), with A $\beta$ 1–37, 1–38, 1–39, and 1–42 generated at lower levels (~5–20% of total A $\beta$ ). In some instances, additional A $\beta$  peptides (e.g., A $\beta$ 1–34, 1–36, 1–41, and 1–43) are generated at detectable levels (Seubert et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1996; Golde et al., 2013). Shifts in the relative production of AB toward A $\beta$ 1-42 or, in rare cases, A $\beta$ 1-42 and A $\beta$ 1-43 are linked to AD (Iwatsubo et al., 1994; Younkin, 1998; Golde et al., 2000; Saito et al., 2011; Kretner et al., 2016). APP and Presenilin1/2 (PSEN1/2) mutations that elevate the relative level of A $\beta$ 1–42/43 as little as 30% deterministically cause early-onset AD (Selkoe, 2001; De Strooper et al., 2010). Further, biochemical studies show that A $\beta$ 1–42 aggregates into amyloid fibrils and other assemblies much more readily than A $\beta$ 1–40 (Jarrett and Lansbury, 1993), and modeling studies show that AD-associated APP and PSEN mutations that increase  $A\beta 1$ -



42 levels accelerate A $\beta$  deposition (reviewed by Ashe and Zahs, 2010). Other studies using strategies to express A $\beta$ 1–42 and A $\beta$ 1–40 in the absence of APP overexpression show that A $\beta$ 1–42 is required to drive A $\beta$  deposition in mice and that A $\beta$ 1–40 may actually inhibit A $\beta$  deposition (McGowan et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007).

Given the overwhelming evidence that relative increases in A $\beta$ 1–42 levels promote aggregation of A $\beta$  into toxic species, there has been great interest in the development of AD therapeutic compounds, referred to as y-secretase modulators (GSMs), that selectively lower Aβ1-42. GSMs do not affect overall  $\gamma$ -secretase activity but increase the processive cleavages of the APP substrate catalyzed by  $\gamma$ -secretase. Thus, GSMs lower A $\beta$ 1–42 but increase the relative production of shorter Aß peptide (Weggen et al., 2001; Golde et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012). First-generation, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agent-like, acidic GSMs, did not alter total Aß production, increase APP C-terminal fragments, or alter cleavage of other  $\gamma$ -secretase substrates, but instead, decreased A $\beta$ 1–42 levels and increased A $\beta$ 1–38 (Weggen et al., 2001; Golde et al., 2013; Jung et al., 2013). Later, nonacidic GSMs were identified that showed distinct effects on Aß generation, decreasing both A $\beta$ 1–40 and A $\beta$ 1–42 and increasing A $\beta$ 1–37 and A $\beta$ 1–38 (Kounnas et al., 2010). More recently identified triterpenoid GSMs are also distinct, lowering both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA

Correspondence to Todd E. Golde: tgolde@mbi.ufl.edu

<sup>2018</sup> Moore et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org /terms/). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial– Share Alike 4.0 International license, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ne-sa/4.0/).

A $\beta$ 1–42 and A $\beta$ 1–38 without major effects on other A $\beta$  species (Hubbs et al., 2012).

Although GSMs have been postulated to be inherently safe (Golde et al., 2013), a lingering concern with this therapeutic modality has been whether the shorter A $\beta$  species are potentially harmful. Indeed, an in vitro and cell-culture study suggested that A $\beta$ 1–38 aggregated with kinetics similar to A $\beta$ 1–42 and that the aggregates were just as neurotoxic as A $\beta$ 1–42 (Kuperstein et al., 2010). Further, there is evidence that numerous short A $\beta$  peptides accumulate in the AD brain, suggesting that they could have a role in AD pathogenesis (Moore et al., 2012). Thus, because GSMs are most likely to be efficacious in delaying or preventing the development of AD, it is important to definitively establish whether short A $\beta$  peptides are toxic.

To investigate the potential pathogenic role of short A $\beta$  peptides, we generated PhiC31-based transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* expressing A $\beta$ 1–36, A $\beta$ 1–37, A $\beta$ 1–38, A $\beta$ 1–39, A $\beta$ 1–40, A $\beta$ 1–42, and A $\beta$ 1–43, fused to the Argos signal peptide and placed under the GAL4-upstream activation sequence (UAS) expression system. In addition, we used recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) vectors to express A $\beta$ 1–38 using our BR12 fusion strategy (Lewis et al., 2001; McGowan et al., 2005) to determine whether the A $\beta$ 1–38 peptide deposits on its own, alters cognition, or modulates amyloid deposition in an APP mouse model. Collectively, these studies demonstrate that the short A $\beta$  peptides are not toxic by themselves and have the potential to protect from A $\beta$ 1–42 toxicity.

#### RESULTS

# Characterization of transgenic A $\beta$ flies expressing A $\beta$ 36, A $\beta$ 37, A $\beta$ 38, A $\beta$ 39, A $\beta$ 40, A $\beta$ 42, and A $\beta$ 43

We generated transgenic flies that express a single copy of secreted  $A\beta$  through targeted insertion at the attP2 landing site. To initially evaluate expression, we crossed Tg A $\beta$  flies with da-Gal4, isolated RNA, and used real-time quantitative RT-PCR (Q-RT-PCR) to validate the constructs. Q-RT-PCR revealed that the A $\beta$  transgenes were expressed at comparable levels (Fig. 1 A). To confirm that the A $\beta$  peptides were expressed and accumulated at detectable levels, we crossed Tg Aß flies with OK107-Gal4 flies to direct expression to the mushroom body neurons. Immunostaining with a neo-epitope A $\beta$ 1-x antibody (82E1) demonstrated that the Tg A $\beta$  flies expressed A $\beta$  with the authentic N terminus found in mammalian systems (Fig. 1 B). Although RNA expression levels are comparable, the 82E1 immunofluorescence study revealed qualitatively different distributions of AB36-AB40 peptides and Aβ42 and Aβ43 peptides within the mushroom body (examined in more detail in subsequent experiments).

#### Short A $\beta$ peptides show no toxicity in Drosophila eye

We crossed Tg A $\beta$  flies with GMR-Gal4 to direct expression of the A $\beta$  transgenes to the eye. The eyes of flies expressing one copy (1×) of the shorter A $\beta$  peptides (1× A $\beta$ 36–A $\beta$ 40) were comparable to control flies (Fig. 2 A); the eyes were composed of a highly organized lattice of ommatidia and an even distribution of bristles located at the vertex of each ommatidium. In contrast, a single copy of the Aβ42 transgene induced a moderate glassy eye phenotype characterized by disorganized and fused ommatidia (Fig. 2 A, fresh eyes), holes in the ommatidia, and missing bristles (Fig. 2 A, scanning electron micrograph [SEM]). These data are similar to previously published results demonstrating that  $A\beta 42$  is toxic in flies and induces a smaller, disorganized eye (Iijima and Iijima-Ando, 2008; Casas-Tinto et al., 2011; Burnouf et al., 2015). Aβ43 flies exhibited a detectable, but quite subtle, alteration of phenotype; their eye was oval in shape and contained bristles; however, the ommatidia lattice was slightly distorted at the center of the eye (Fig. 2, A and B). To examine whether higher levels of expression would alter the phenotype, we generated flies containing two copies of the transgene encoding each A $\beta$  peptide (2× A $\beta$ ). Eye phenotypes in the 2× Aβ36–Aβ40 flies were again indistinguishable from the control eye phenotype (Fig. 2 C). In contrast, the  $2 \times A\beta 42$  flies showed markedly exacerbated eye phenotypes: the eyes were much smaller, were elliptical shaped, were composed of disorganized ommatidia that were fused together, and contained necrotic spots (Fig. 2 C).  $2 \times A\beta 43$  flies showed a clearer disruption of the posterior eye characterized by fusion of the ommatidia compared with the  $1 \times A\beta 43$  flies; however, the eye phenotype of the  $2 \times A\beta 43$  flies was still markedly less severe than the  $1 \times A\beta 42$  eye phenotype (Fig. 2 D).

#### Short A $\beta$ peptides do not induce locomotor dysfunction

To examine the toxicity of the  $A\beta$  peptides more quantitatively, we performed a negative geotaxis assay, which uses the flies' natural propensity to climb to the top of a vial. As flies age, they show a progressive decrease in their climbing ability and are not able to climb to the top of the vial. For this experiment, we expressed GFP-attP2 or the A $\beta$  peptides pan-neurally under the control of ELAV-Gal4, collected female flies at 1 d of age, and blindly assayed climbing ability daily. To statistically analyze these data, we calculated the climbing index (mean percentage of flies climbing above 5 cm/total flies tested) per day, grouped the data by increments of 10 d to account for the day-to-day variance in climbing, and analyzed by survival curve comparison using the Mantel-Cox test. Flies expressing one copy of the short A $\beta$  peptides, A $\beta$ 36 to A $\beta$ 39, climbed as well as the control flies expressing 1× GFP; after 7 d, these flies steadily decreased locomotor activity, and after 60 d, they stopped climbing (Fig. 3 A). The climbing ability of flies expressing  $1 \times A\beta 42$  declined rapidly compared with  $1 \times$  GFP flies, and they stopped climbing by day 13 (Fig. 3 A).  $1 \times A\beta 43$  flies performed similarly to control flies until day 27, when their climbing ability decreased rapidly (Fig. 3 A). Locomotor activity was significantly, yet subtly, decreased in flies expressing  $1 \times A\beta 40$  compared with  $1 \times$  GFP; however, the magnitude of the effect was clearly distinct from the effect of  $1 \times A\beta 42$  and  $1 \times A\beta 43$  (Fig. 3, A and



Figure 1. A $\beta$  peptide transgenes express in *Drosophila* at similar levels. (A) Real-time Q-RT-PCR confirms that A $\beta$  transgenes were expressed at relatively similar levels. Data represent expression normalized to two endogenous *Drosophila* genes that encode  $\beta$ -tubulin and the ribosomal protein L32 relative to LacZ flies, calculated using the comparative CT method. A total of 21 flies were analyzed per transgene, in three pools of n = 7 biological replicates. Data representative of one of two independent experiments. Values represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. (B) Representative staining with anti-A $\beta$  antibody 82E1 (red) confirms expression of the A $\beta$  peptides. Bar, 250 µm.

B). To illustrate climbing performance, we imaged climbing activity of a representative replicate from each A $\beta$  transgene on day 40 (Fig. 3 C). At that time, 40–50% of control flies and flies expressing short A $\beta$  peptides were climbing above the 5-cm mark. The 1× A $\beta$ 42 flies were localized at the bottom of the vial, moving their wings and legs in an uncoordinated manner resulting from the loss of climbing ability. In the vial containing 1× A $\beta$ 43 flies, only one fly of 29 expressing 1× A $\beta$ 43 climbed above the 5-cm mark; the remaining were located below the mark and on the bottom of the tube. This was representative of the five replicates of 1× A $\beta$ 43 flies.

## Short $A\beta$ peptides do not accumulate as amyloid in *Drosophila*

To further investigate the relationship between toxicity of A $\beta$  peptides and accumulation, we histochemically and biochemically analyzed Tg A $\beta$  flies in which the A $\beta$  transgenes were expressed in the mushroom bodies (Fig. 4). 1× A $\beta$ 36 was weakly detected by immunofluorescence methods, suggesting that it may be more rapidly turned over than other short A $\beta$ s. 1× A $\beta$ 38, 1× A $\beta$ 39, and 1× A $\beta$ 40 displayed similar immunofluorescence patterns, with strong immunofluorescence in the axonal terminals but very little A $\beta$  in the cell bodies and no evidence for Thioflavin-S (Thio-S) fluorescence (Fig. 4, D–F). In contrast to these other short A $\beta$ s, 1× A $\beta$ 37 was detected in the cell body, similar to 1× A $\beta$ 42 and  $1 \times A\beta 43$ , but in the  $1 \times A\beta 37$  flies these accumulations were not Thio-S positive (Fig. 4, C and G). As noted in the original 82E1 immunofluorescent study (Fig. 1 B),  $1 \times A\beta 42$  and  $1 \times$ AB43 showed markedly less staining of the axons and axon terminals than  $1 \times A\beta 37 - A\beta 40$ ; however, prominent cell body staining was observed with  $1 \times A\beta 42$  and  $1 \times A\beta 43$ , and these accumulations were Thio-S positive, although the Thio-S fluorescence was stronger in  $1 \times A\beta 42$  flies. To further evaluate A $\beta$  accumulation, A $\beta$  levels from 1- and 30-d-old flies were measured from fly heads sequentially extracted with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, 2% SDS, or guanidinium (Fig. 4, I-K). Our expectation in these studies was that there might be significant increases over time in levels of A $\beta$ , and especially detergent-insoluble A $\beta$ . Although there are several significant differences in the levels of  $A\beta$  between A $\beta$  transgenic flies and control flies at days 1 and 30 (Table S1), the a priori expectation that there might be exponential increases in detergent-insoluble A $\beta$  levels between day 1 and day 30 was, in general, not supported by the data. Higher levels of Aβ37 (RIPA, 2% SDS, and guanidine fractions), Aβ42



Figure 2. **Aβ36-Aβ40 do not cause toxicity in the** *Drosophila* **eye.** Expression of the Aβ peptides in the *Drosophila* eye. Aβ genotypes are indicated. **(A)** Representative images, fresh eyes (top), scanning electron micrographs (SEM; bottom), and higher-resolution SEMs (insets), from 1-d-old female *Drosophila* expressing one copy of the Aβ transgene. **(B)** Fresh eye images (left) and SEMs (right) of two 1× Aβ43 flies compared with 1× LacZ control. Green

(guanidine fraction), and A $\beta$ 43 (guanidine fraction) were observed at day 30 than at day 1, but these modest increases were not typical of the exponential accumulations often observed in rodent models of A $\beta$  accumulation. Notably, the partitioning of A $\beta$ 37 was distinct from A $\beta$ 42, with the majority of A $\beta$ 37 peptide present in the SDS fraction as opposed to the guanidine fractions. This differential partitioning likely reflects a difference in the aggregation state of A $\beta$ 37 in the flies, which is also reflected by the lack of Thio-S positivity.

Because the biophysical properties of short A $\beta$  peptides have not been studied as extensively as A $\beta$ 42, 43, and 40, we evaluated how the shorter A $\beta$  peptides aggregate in vitro. Notably, under conditions in which synthetic A $\beta$ 42, 43, and 40 aggregate into Thioflavin T–positive structures that demonstrate a  $\beta$ -pleated sheet conformation as assessed by circular dichroism spectroscopy, under the conditions and times studied, synthetic A $\beta$ 36, 37, and 38 do not form Thioflavin T–positive assemblies in vitro and maintain random coil conformation during conditions favorable for aggregation (Fig. S1). In these assays, A $\beta$ 39 did show a slight increase in Thioflavin T fluorescence over time and also an intermediate structure between random coil and  $\beta$ -pleated sheet.

### Short $A\beta$ peptides partially rescue the $A\beta$ 42-induced eye phenotype

Having established that short  $A\beta$  peptides are not toxic in the fly models when individually expressed, we generated A $\beta$  combinations to determine whether the short A $\beta$  peptides could alter the A $\beta$ 42-induced eye phenotype. Tg 1× A $\beta$  flies were crossed with flies expressing A $\beta$ 42 from a bicistronic construct, as described by Casas-Tinto et al. (2011)  $(A\beta 42^{CT})$ , to coexpress one copy of the shorter A $\beta$  peptides with high levels of A $\beta$ 42. Flies containing 1× A $\beta$ 36-A $\beta$ 40 with A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> displayed eyes with subtly improved eye phenotype relative to those expressing  $A\beta 42^{CT}$  and LacZ (Fig. 5 A). The eyes were larger and oval shaped and composed of a defined ommatidia subunit; however, the ommatidia lacked organization. Coexpression of A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> with 1× A $\beta$ 42 exacerbated the eye phenotype. These eyes appeared smaller and elliptical in shape; the ommatidia structure was deteriorated; and the bristles were distributed irregularly (Fig. 5 A). Coexpression of  $A\beta 42^{CT}$  with 1× A $\beta 43$  also enhanced the degenerative eye phenotype, but not to the same degree as the  $1 \times A\beta 42$  cross (Fig. 5 A). To quantify the effects of the A $\beta$  peptides on the toxicity of A $\beta$ 42 in the eye, we extracted eye pigments and quantified the red pigments as an indirect measure of the eye size and organization. Although this assay was not sensitive enough to distinguish between controls expressing AB42<sup>CT</sup> and LacZ or GFP versus the short A $\beta$  peptides, we detected a dramatic reduction

in the amount of red pigments in flies coexpressing  $A\beta 42^{CT}$  and  $1 \times A\beta 42$  or  $1 \times A\beta 43$  (Fig. 5 B).

To further examine the effects of the shorter A $\beta$  peptides, we generated transgenic flies that coexpress two copies of the A $\beta$  peptides with A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup>. 2× A $\beta$ 36–A $\beta$ 40 further improved the A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> eye phenotype (Fig. 5 C). Coexpression of A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> with either 2× A $\beta$ 42 or 2× A $\beta$ 43 further worsened the eye phenotype compared with the control (Fig. 5 C); eyes were even smaller and elliptical in shape, and the ommatidia subunits were fused together, with depigmentation (Fig. 5 C). In this case, the difference between the 2× A $\beta$ 42 and 2× A $\beta$ 43 crosses was more easily observed, with 2× A $\beta$ 42 showing a more severe phenotype.

# Short A $\beta$ peptides protect against A $\beta$ 42-induced locomotor dysfunction

To evaluate whether shorter A $\beta$  peptides altered A $\beta$ 42 toxicity in the negative geotaxis assay, we crossed 1× A $\beta$  transgenic flies with A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> and measured climbing ability. Coexpression of 1× A $\beta$ 36–A $\beta$ 39 with A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> significantly improved locomotor activity (Fig. 6, A and B). Alternatively, expression of A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> with 1× A $\beta$ 42 induced rapid locomotor dysfunction; only 50% of flies were climbing at day 1, and by day 8 they had stopped climbing altogether (Fig. 6 A). Flies coexpressing A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> and 1× A $\beta$ 43 also showed accelerated locomotor dysfunction relative to controls, but not as accelerated as observed with 1× A $\beta$ 42 (Fig. 6 A). The addition of 1× A $\beta$ 40 did not alter the climbing activity of A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup>; there was no significant difference between flies coexpressing A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> + 1× A $\beta$ 40 and control (A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> + 1× GFP; Fig. 6, A and B).

# Lack of correlation between $\mbox{A}\beta$ deposition and toxicity in the crossed flies

To try to gain insight into the protective effect of these shorter peptides, we evaluated coexpression of  $A\beta 42^{CT}$  with  $1 \times A\beta 36 - A\beta 40$  in mushroom body neurons, which enabled us to assess the distribution and aggregation of AB. Coexpression of short peptides did not dramatically alter the distribution of A $\beta$  assessed by either immunofluorescence or Thio-S staining (Fig. 7 A). Biochemical studies on flies aged 1 or 30 d showed that many of the crosses resulted in altered distribution of  $A\beta$  in the various biochemical fractions (Fig. 7, B and C). Despite these biochemically detectable alterations in A $\beta$  accumulation, there was no strong correlation between Aß deposition and toxicity. This lack of correlation is easily illustrated by comparing the effects of expression of A $\beta$ 36 and A $\beta$ 37. Both peptides were equally protective in functional assays, but expression of AB36 did not alter AB deposition, whereas expression of A $\beta$ 37 had the most dramatic effect on A $\beta$  accumulation (Fig. 7, B and C).

arrows indicate disorganized ommatidia in the  $1 \times A\beta 43$  flies. **(C)** Representative images, fresh eyes (top), SEM (bottom), and higher-resolution SEMs (inset) from 1-d-old female *Drosophila* expressing two copies of the A $\beta$  transgene. **(D)** Comparison of  $2 \times A\beta 43$  and  $1 \times A\beta 42$ . Fresh eye images (left) and SEMs (right) of *Drosophila* expressing one copy of A $\beta 42$ , two copies of A $\beta 43$ , or two copies of GFP. Bars: 100 µm; (inset) 20 µm.

**JEM** 



Figure 3. Short Aß peptides do not impair climbing ability. To assess locomotor activity, A $\beta$  transgenic flies were subjected to a climbing assay. (A) Longitudinal study of locomotor activity. The mean percentage of flies that climbed above 5 cm averaged from six trials was plotted over time with flies overexpressing AB36 (blue circle), AB37 (blue square), AB38 (orange triangle), Aβ39 (pink triangle), Aβ40 (green diamond), AB42 (dark red asterisk), AB43 (orange X symbol), and GFP (black line). n = 5, 25–30 flies/replicate. Statistical analysis of climbing performance of A $\beta$  transgenic flies compared with control flies (GFP; \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.0001$ , Mantel-Cox). Values represent means + standard error of the mean. (B) Mantel-Cox analysis of climbing performance of AB transgenic flies with each other (ns, P > 0.05; \*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*, P  $\leq$  0.01; \*\*\*\*, P  $\leq$  0.0001). (C) Snapshot of locomotor activity assay at day 40, with a representative vial for each transgene.

A $\beta$ 38 does not deposit when expressed in the brain of mice

To examine whether short  $A\beta$  peptides might produce a phenotype in mice, we generated rAAV2/1 vectors encoding EGFP, BRI2-A $\beta$ 38, BRI2-A $\beta$ 40, BRI2-A $\beta$ 42, and a BRI2-Stop truncated at the furin cleavage site. We had previously used the BRI2-A $\beta$  fusion system to produce transgenic animals that express either A $\beta$ 40 or A $\beta$ 42 and shown that rAAV2/1 vectors expressing BRI2-A $\beta$ 42 could drive amyloid deposition in the brain of nontransgenic rats (Lawlor et al., 2007). We first confirmed that the BRI2-A $\beta$ 38 vectors generate only A $\beta$ 38 (Fig. S2 A), then proceeded to inject a large number of postnatal day 0 (P0) mice with the various BRI2 constructs and appropriate controls. Overexpression of A $\beta$ 42 for only 6 mo resulted in amyloid deposition in nine of 15 NTg mice (Fig. 8). Conversely, despite higher mean expression levels of BRI2-A $\beta$ 38 or BRI2-A $\beta$ 40 transgenes as assessed by measurement of the BRI2 fusion protein (Fig. S2, B and C), expression of A $\beta$ 38 or A $\beta$ 40 did not result in accumulation of A $\beta$  deposits (Fig. 8).  $\chi^2$  testing showed a strong relationship between A $\beta$  peptide length and pathology ( $\chi^2$  [4, n = 154] = 154.0; P < 0.001).

### A $\beta$ 38 lowers plaque load and does not alter behavioral phenotypes in non-Tg and APP mice

We had previously shown that rAAV-mediated expression of BRI2-A $\beta$ 40 from P0 to 3 mo of age reduced amyloid loads in TgCRND8 mice (Kim et al., 2007). To examine whether A $\beta$ 38 expressed from rAAV vectors encoding BRI2-A $\beta$ 38 altered phenotypes in TgCRND8 mice, we expressed rAAV-BRI2-A $\beta$ 38, rAAV-BRI2-A $\beta$ 40, rAAV-BRI2-Stop, and rAAV-EGFP vectors from P0 to 9 mo in TgCRND8 mice and non-Tg (NTg) littermates. At 9 mo of age, the mice



Figure 4. **Differential distribution of**  $A\beta$  **peptides in** *Drosophila* **brain.** Expression of  $A\beta$  peptides or LacZ in the mushroom bodies with the promoter OK107-Gal4; UAS-CD8-GFP followed by immunohistochemistry, Thio-S staining, and biochemical analysis. **(A–H)** Representative brain sections (whole brain, axonal terminals, and cell body) of 1-d-old females expressing  $A\beta$  peptides, as annotated, stained with anti- $A\beta$  mAb 33.1.1 (magenta) and Thio-S (green). MB, mushroom bodies; Kc, Kenyon cells; Ca, Calyx (dendritic terminals); Ped, pedunculus (axonal projections). Thio-S only accumulates in the cell bodies of flies expressing  $A\beta42$  and  $A\beta43$  (G and H, arrows). Expression of  $A\beta36-A\beta40$  does not result in Thio-S signal (B–F, asterisks).  $A\beta36-A\beta40$  accumulate mainly in the axons (B and D–F, arrowheads) and axonal terminals of the mushroom body neurons with high levels in the  $\gamma$  lobes (C–F).  $A\beta36, A\beta42,$  and  $A\beta43$  accumulate at low levels in the  $\gamma$  lobes (B, G, and F, arrowheads).  $A\beta37, A\beta42,$  and  $A\beta43$  accumulate at high levels in the cell bodies of the Kenyon cells (B, G, and F, arrowheads). Bars: (whole brain) 200 µm; (axonal term., cell body, Thio-S, and merge/DAPI) 50 µm. **(I–K)** Sequentially extracted total  $A\beta$  levels from 1- and 30-d-old females. Data are presented as femtomoles/head. (I) RIPA-extracted  $A\beta$ . (J) 2% SDS-extracted  $A\beta$ . (K) Guanidinium-extracted  $A\beta$ . A total of 40 fly heads were analyzed per transgenic fly, in four pools of n = 10 biological replicates. Statistical analysis of  $A\beta$  levels between two time points of the transgenic flies is displayed (\*, P ≤ 0.05; \*\*, P ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*\*, P ≤ 0.001, unpaired *t* test). Values represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Statistical comparison of  $A\beta$  levels between transgenic flies is presented in Table S1.

were behaviorally tested in a fear-conditioning paradigm, as 9-mo-old CRND8 mice exhibit robust deficits in contextual fear memory or tone-conditioned fear memory (Hanna et al., 2012). Control groups included mice transduced with rAAV-BRI2-stop, rAAV-BRI2-Aβ40, and rAAV-EGFP. The analysis of the conditioned context fear memories and tone fear memories of TgCRND8 and NTg littermate mice revealed that A $\beta$ 38 and A $\beta$ 40 had no effect on behavior in either TgCRND8 mice or their NTg littermates (Fig. 9 A). Although expression of BRI2-Stop resulted in a trend to-



Figure 5. **Aβ36-Aβ40 partially rescue Aβ42 induced eye toxicity.** Coexpression of  $A\beta42^{CT}$  with  $A\beta$  peptides in 1-d-old *Drosophila* eye. **(A)** Three images of eyes containing  $A\beta42^{CT}$  with  $1 \times A\beta$  peptides, fresh eyes (top three panels), scanning electron micrographs (SEMs; bottom), and higher-resolution SEMs (inset). **(B)** Relative quantification of eye pigments from *Drosophila* coexpressing  $A\beta42^{CT}$  and  $A\beta$  peptides. Eye pigments were significantly decreased in  $A\beta42$  and  $A\beta43$  flies compared with control flies,  $A\beta42^{CT} + 1 \times GFP$ . Data represent mean absorbance  $\pm$  standard

ward increased freezing in the tone test of TgCRND8 mice, this effect was not robust enough to be significant given the correction for multiple group testing (Fig. 9 B). Because of the large group sizes in the NTg mice, it is clear that expression of A $\beta$ 38 and A $\beta$ 40 had no effect on fear conditioning– based learning and memory.

After behavioral experiments, mice were killed, and brain A $\beta$  burden was examined in a subset of mice by immunohistochemical (IHC; Fig. 9 C) and biochemical methods (Fig. 9, G and H). NTg mice from these groups were included in the analysis described above (Fig. 8) and showed no deposition phenotype. Blinded quantification of Aß IHC burden revealed subtle differences between the groups, with BRI2-Aβ40 showing an almost 60% decrease in Aβ IHC burden (P < 0.001) and BRI2-stop and BRI2-A $\beta$ 38 showing 33% and 35% reductions in AB IHC burdens, respectively (P < 0.05; Fig. 9 D). In contrast to the histochemical burden analyses, we saw no significant difference in  $A\beta 40$  or Aβ42 levels in the 2% SDS-solubilized or 70% formic acidsolubilized AB from mice overexpressing short AB peptides or BRI2-stop (Fig. 9, G and H, respectively). Given this unexpected discrepancy, we evaluated Thio-S plaque load, which measures only cored plaque. In this blinded analysis, we saw no difference in Thio-S plaque loads (Fig. 9, E and F). Collectively, the presence of an effect on AB IHC burdens coupled with a lack of effect on biochemical loads and Thio-S burden would be consistent with an effect of BRI2-Stop, BRI2-Aβ38, and BRI2-Aβ40 on more diffuse Aβ deposits that do not contribute significantly to the overall amount of A $\beta$  either detected biochemically or deposited as amyloid.

We did conduct studies to explore the feasibility of using rAAV-BRI2 fusion protein system to express A $\beta$ 36, 37, and 39. Unfortunately, despite multiple attempts, we were unable to achieve sufficient expression levels of the transgenes from these rAAV-BRI2-short A $\beta$  vectors to draw any firm conclusions on the effects of these peptides in mouse brains.

#### DISCUSSION

We have examined the pathobiological activity of shorter  $A\beta$  peptides in *Drosophila*. These data establish that the short  $A\beta$  peptides,  $A\beta36$ ,  $A\beta37$ ,  $A\beta38$ , and  $A\beta39$ , are not toxic in *Drosophila* and partially protect, in a dose-dependent fashion, against  $A\beta42$  toxicity. Although  $A\beta40$  does not accumulate by itself and protects from  $A\beta42$  toxicity, its effects are statistically distinguishable from those of the other short  $A\beta$  peptides.  $A\beta40$  expression slightly impairs climbing performance by itself and has a less protective effect on  $A\beta42$ -induced climbing dysfunction than the shorter  $A\beta40$  peptides. These findings are reminiscent of a recent study showing a very subtle effect on survival, but not climbing, of  $A\beta40$  expressed neuronally

(Jonson et al., 2015). Our finding that  $A\beta 43$  expression is less toxic than Aβ42 is somewhat unexpected given recent studies with select PSEN mutations (e.g., PSEN1 L435F, R278I, C410Y) that increased Aβ43 and produced rather dramatic amyloid phenotypes in mice and humans (Saito et al., 2011; Kretner et al., 2016; Veugelen et al., 2016); however, the data are consistent with the study by Burnouf et al. (2015), who also found A $\beta$ 42 to be more toxic than A $\beta$ 43. Because A $\beta$ 43 does seem to synergistically increase Aβ42 toxicity in Drosophila, one possible explanation for these studies is that the PSEN mutations L435F and R278I still do produce Aβ42. Thus, the dramatic amyloid phenotype in patients could be attributed to the combination of the two peptides depositing in some synergistic fashion. Future studies looking at effects of A $\beta$ 43 expressed by itself or in combination with Aβ42, in mice using the BRI2 fusion strategy, could help to clarify this discrepancy.

In contrast to the other short  $A\beta$  peptides, expression of A $\beta$ 37 did result in A $\beta$  accumulation that was detectable by biochemical methods and by immunofluorescence. These deposits were not Thio-S positive, were more SDS soluble than A $\beta$ 42 deposits, and were not toxic. Collectively, these studies and those from others suggest that expression of  $A\beta$  peptides with an enhanced propensity to form  $\beta$ -pleated sheet aggregates in vitro and the ability to form Thio-S-positive deposits is, to some degree, associated with toxicity in the Drosophila model (Iijima and Iijima-Ando, 2008; Casas-Tinto et al., 2011; Burnouf et al., 2015). Further, it is clear that the in vitro studies of pure synthetic A $\beta$  do not capture the complex in vivo interactions that may modulate toxicity in any model system. For example, we find that although synthetic A $\beta$ 40 can form amyloid structures in vitro, in vivo it appears to have minimal toxicity and does protect from Aβ42. Nevertheless, when expressed by itself, Aβ40 slightly impairs climbing ability relative to several of the shorter  $A\beta s$  and is also less protective from AB42 than the other short ABs. Biochemical solubility measures of the deposited  $A\beta$  along with Thio-S staining appear to show that propensity to form amyloid is a good surrogate for toxicity in the flies expressing only a single species of  $A\beta$ . However, such measures are insufficient to provide insight into why the shorter A $\beta$  peptides partially protect from A $\beta$ 42 toxicity. Indeed, we conducted standard studies designed to explore why the short A $\beta$  peptides attenuate A $\beta$ 42 toxicity by examining how expression of the short peptides altered A $\beta$  accumulation. Unfortunately, these studies were not particularly informative. Distribution and Thio-S positivity of A $\beta$ 42 are not altered to any appreciable degree by expression of the shorter peptides. Biochemical analysis did reveal that the presence of the short A $\beta$  peptides increased total A $\beta$  and Aβ42 in multiple biochemical fractions in some of the short

error of the mean. 15 fly heads per transgene were analyzed in three groups of five biological replicates. (\*\*\*, P < 0.001, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test). (C) Representative images of eye containing A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup> with 2× A $\beta$  peptides, fresh eyes (top), SEMs (bottom), and higher-resolution SEMs (inset). Bars: 100 µm; (inset) 20 µm.



Figure 6. Aβ36-Aβ40 partially rescue Aβ42 induced locomotor dysfunction. Climbing ability of female flies coexpressing Aβ42<sup>CT</sup> and 1× Aβ peptides was measured daily. (A) The mean percentage of flies climbing above 5 cm from six trials was plotted over time with five replicates of flies coexpressing Aβ42<sup>CT</sup> and GFP (gray circle), Aβ36 (dark blue circle), Aβ37 (blue square), Aβ38 (orange triangle), Aβ39 (pink triangle), Aβ40 (green diamond), Aβ42 (dark red asterisk), Aβ43 (orange asterisk), and GFP alone (black circle). n = 5, 15-25 flies/replicate. Statistical analysis of climbing performance of Aβ transgenic flies compared with control flies (Aβ42H + GFP; \*, P ≤ 0.05; \*\*, P ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P ≤ 0.0001, Mantel–Cox). Values represent means ± standard error of the mean. (B) Mantel–Cox analysis of climbing performance of Aβ transgenic flies with each other (ns, P > 0.05; \*, P ≤ 0.05; \*\*, P ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*\*, P ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P ≤ 0.001).

 $A\beta + A\beta42$  combinations; however, altered biochemical levels did not correlate with alterations in protective effects. Given these data and the overall lack of precise mechanistic insight into  $A\beta$  toxicity in *Drosophila* models (Shulman et al., 2003), we can only speculate that short  $A\beta$  directly interact with  $A\beta42$  in some subtle way to alter either the aggregate structure or the kinetics of aggregation to reduce toxicity.

Despite such limitations, these observations support a growing body of literature that subtle differences in the structure of A $\beta$  assemblies can influence the biological activity of the aggregate (Tycko, 2015; Selkoe and Hardy, 2016). Further, it is clear that despite more than two decades of intensive study, large gaps in our knowledge remain regarding precise correlations between structure and toxicity of A $\beta$  aggregates.

Selective expression of A $\beta$ 38 or A $\beta$ 40 from the BRI2 fusion protein in the brains of mice did not lead to amyloid deposition. Transgene expression from these rAAV vectors was, on the mean, equal or higher to the expression of A $\beta$ 42 in mice injected with AAV-BRI2-A $\beta$ 42. Further, the BRI2-A $\beta$ 42 were analyzed at 6 mo of age, whereas the mice expressing A $\beta$ 38 were analyzed at 9 mo of age. These data suggest that in mammalian systems, A $\beta$ 38 does not deposit on its own when expressed at levels sufficient to drive A $\beta$ 42 deposition.

The effects of Aβ38 from the rAAV-expressed BRI2 fusion proteins in APP TgCRND8 mice are somewhat more complex. First, the data suggest that BRI2 expression itself can have a subtle effect on  $A\beta$  deposition in vivo. Expression of the BRI2 containing constructs in the brain resulted in lower Aβ IHC burden. As Thio-S-positive amyloid plaque load or biochemical A $\beta$  loads were not altered, we interpret this data to mean that the effect was restricted to diffuse A $\beta$  deposits detectable by IHC that do not contribute significantly to biochemical or Thio-S plaque loads. These findings are consistent with publications suggesting that the extracellular BRICHOS domain of BRI2 can alter Aß aggregation dynamics (Peng et al., 2010; Willander et al., 2012; Hermansson et al., 2014; Biverstål et al., 2015; Poska et al., 2016). Second, the additional lowering of Aβ IHC burden by BRI2-Aβ40, relative to BRI2-Stop or BRI2-AB38, would be consistent



Figure 7. **Aβ36–Aβ40 do not alter neuronal distribution of Aβ42 and have variable effects on Aβ42 accumulation in the mushroom bodies.** Coexpression of Aβ42<sup>CT</sup> with 1× Aβ peptides or LacZ, as illustrated, in the mushroom bodies with the promoter OK107-Gal4; UAS-CD8-GFP. **(A)** Representative brain sections (whole brain, axonal terminals, and cell bodies) of 1-d-old females imaged by GFP, stained with anti-Aβ mAb 33.1.1 (magenta) and Thio-S (green). Bars: (whole brain) 200  $\mu$ m; (axonal term., merge, and Thio-S) 50  $\mu$ m. **(B and C)** Sequentially extracted total Aβ (B) or Aβ42 (C) levels from 1- and 30-d-old female flies. Data are presented as femtomoles/head; the *y*-axis is scaled differently in the RIPA-solubilized total Aβ graphs. Data from 1- and 30-d-old flies are presented. Aβ42 data are presented as femtomoles/head with different *y*-axis scaling based on extraction (C). Data from 1- and 30-d-old flies are presented. 40 fly heads per transgenic fly were analyzed in four groups of *n* = 10 biological replicates. Statistical analyses of Aβ levels in transgenic flies were compared with GFP flies (ns [not significant], P > 0.05; \*\*, P ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*\*\*, P ≤ 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test). Values represent means ± standard error of the mean.



Figure 8. **Aβ38 does not deposit.** Neonatal PO CRND8 mice were injected with either rAAV-Aβ38, Aβ40, BRI2-Stop (control), or EGFP (control) in the cerebral ventricles and analyzed after 9 mo. Non-Tg mice injected with rAAV-BRI2-Aβ42 were analyzed at 6 mo of age. (A) Representative cortex sections of 9-mo-old NTg littermates overexpressing EGFP, BRI2-Stop, Aβ38, and Aβ40 and 6-mo-old NTg mice overexpressing Aβ42 stained for Aβ plaque pathology with Aβ mAb 33.1.1. Number of mice with pathology relative to total number of mice analyzed is reported. Bar, 250 μm.

with our previous data showing that A $\beta$ 40 can inhibit A $\beta$ 42 accumulation in both Tg2576 and 3-mo-old TgCRND8 mice (Kim et al., 2007, 2008). Third, although these effects on reduction of A $\beta$  IHC burden are intriguing, they did not result in any alteration in memory and learning as assessed in a fear-conditioning paradigm. Overall, these data suggest that expression of A $\beta$ 38 in an aggressive model of amyloid deposition has little effect on the phenotype at the time AD-like plaque loads and robust behavioral deficits are observed.

These studies are therapeutically relevant, as GSMs that increase short  $A\beta$  peptides remain in both clinical and preclinical development for AD (Wagner et al., 2012; Golde et al., 2013). As emerging clinical data continue to reinforce the hypothesis that  $A\beta$  production inhibitors are likely to be most effective as prophylactic therapies to prevent development of AD, safety of the inhibitor becomes of paramount importance (Golde et al., 2011; Golde, 2016). These studies provide clear-cut preclinical data that short Aß peptides are not themselves toxic and in certain circumstances may also be protective. These data further reinforce the assertion that the GSM mechanism of action is inherently safe and suggest that, at least in prevention settings, increasing short A $\beta$  peptides might be beneficial. It is not clear that an optimal GSM that avoids off-target toxicity has yet been developed (Golde et al., 2013). However, given that target-based toxicity remains a potential safety concern for BACE1 inhibitors (Willem et al., 2009; Barão et al., 2016), these and other data support continued efforts to both evaluate current best-in-class GSMs (Wagner et al., 2014; Brendel et al., 2015; Blain et al., 2016) and develop novel GSM for AD prophylaxis.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Generation of transgenic flies expressing A $\beta$ 36–A $\beta$ 40, A $\beta$ 42, and A $\beta$ 43

To generate transgenic flies expressing comparable levels of  $A\beta$ , cDNA fragments encoding human  $A\beta1-36$ ,  $A\beta1-37$ ,  $A\beta1-38$ ,  $A\beta1-39$ ,  $A\beta1-40$ ,  $A\beta1-42$ , and  $A\beta1-43$  peptides fused to the Argos signal peptide for secretion were cloned under the control of UAS in the *Drosophila* pJFRC-MHU

vector carrying an attB site for site-directed integration. pJFRC-MUH was a gift from G. Rubin (plasmid #26213; Addgene; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). The resulting constructs were microinjected into yellow white (yw) embryos at Rainbow Transgenics (Camarillo, CA) and targeted to the same genomic location, the attP2 site, to achieve similar expression levels in vivo. At least two transgenic lines for each A $\beta$  construct were established. The flies were raised and maintained at 25°C in regular media. To express the A $\beta$  constructs, we combined these transgenic lines with several Gal4 drivers, including daughterless (da)-Gal4 (ubiquitous), glass multimer reporter (GMR)-Gal4 (all eye cells), OK107-Gal4 (mushroom bodies), and ELAV-Gal4 (pan-neural; Fig. S3). We also used flies expressing high levels of A $\beta$ 42 (A $\beta$ 42<sup>CT</sup>) from a construct carrying two copies of Aβ42 that we described previously (Casas-Tinto et al., 2011). As controls, we used the reporters UAS-LacZ and UAS-GFP-attP2 from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. To induce high levels of the Aβ peptides, all crosses were incubated at 28°C, and adult females were collected at day 1 and either processed or aged at 28°C, unless otherwise noted.

#### RNA isolation and analysis

To examine mRNA expression levels from the A $\beta$  constructs, we crossed the transgenic flies with da-Gal4 at 25°C. Adult female flies were collected at day 1 and snap-frozen for RNA extraction. Total RNA from 21 flies, in three pools of seven biological replicates, was purified using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed using Superscript III (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time Q-RT-PCR was performed using a custom primer/probe mix (Table S2), amplifying the A $\beta$  peptides with the Argos probe and β-tubulin and the ribosomal protein L32 as internal controls. Probes (Roche Universal Probe library) were labeled at the 5' end with fluorescein (FAM) and at the 3' end with dark blue quencher. Target-specific primer sequences were ordered from IDT. The PCR (initial denaturation cycle 95°C/30 s, followed by 39 amplification cycles of 95°C/5 s and 60°C/5 s) was done using SSoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories).





Figure 9. **Aβ38 reduces** Aβ **deposition but has no effect on** Aβ **levels or behavior in TgCRND8 mice.** (A and B) CRND8 mice injected with rAAV-Aβ38, Aβ40, BRI2-Stop (control), or EGFP (control) were subjected to contextual fear conditioning at 9 mo of age. Mean percentage freezing  $\pm$  standard error of the mean exhibited by TgCRND8 and NTg littermate overexpression of EGFP, BRI2-Stop, Aβ38, or Aβ40. (A) Context paradigm. (B) Tone test. n = 7-18/Tg, n = 30-39/NTg mice per group. (C) Representative brain sections (hemi-brain [top] and cortex [bottom]) of TgCRND8 mice overexpressing EGFP, BRI2-Stop, Aβ38, and Aβ40 stained with anti-Aβ mAb 33.1.1. Bars: 500 µm (hemi-brain); 200 µm (cortex). (D) Quantification of Aβ plaque deposits immunostained with anti-Aβ Ab5. Data represent mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean; n = 7-10 mice per group (\*, P < 0.05; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test). (E) Representative brain sections of TgCRND8 mice overexpressing EGFP, BRI2-Stop, Aβ38, and Aβ40

#### Drosophila eye imaging

We crossed all A $\beta$  transgenic flies with GMR-Gal4 or GMR-Gal4;A $\beta$ 42 at 25°C for 2 d; the progeny were raised at 28°C, and we collected females at day 1. To image fresh eyes, we froze the flies at -80°C for at least 24 h and collected images as z-stacks with a Leica Z16 APO using a 2× Plan-Apo objective. Flattened in-focus images were produced with the Montage Multifocus module of the Leica Application Software. For scanning electron microscopy, flies were serially dehydrated in ethanol, air-dried in hexamethyldisilazane (Electron Microscope Sciences), and metal-coated for observation in a Jeol 1500 SEM.

#### Negative geotaxis assay

To assess the function of the A $\beta$  peptides on behavior, the A $\beta$ transgenic flies were crossed with ELAV-Gal4 flies to direct expression of the transgene to the neurons. The crosses were initially placed at 25°C for 2 d; the progeny were raised at 26°C, and female flies were collected at 1 d of age. The progeny were subjected to daily climbing assays that used the natural propensity of flies to exhibit negative geotaxic response (Bainton et al., 2000; Feany and Bender, 2000; Friggi-Grelin et al., 2003; Rival et al., 2004; Fernandez-Funez et al., 2009, 2016; Sofola et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014). In brief, each day, 25-30 flies were placed into empty vials (9.5 cm high, 1.7 cm in diameter) with flat bottoms, and their subsequent climb to the top of the vial was analyzed. Five replicates (vials containing 25-30 flies) were prepared for each construct. At the beginning of each test session, the flies were forced to the bottom of a vial by firmly tapping the vial against the bench surface. Eight seconds after the final tap, the number of flies that climbed up the walls of a vial above the 5-cm mark was recorded. Each session consisted of six trials repeated with the interval of 15 s. Scores recorded were the mean number of flies climbing to the criterion during each daily session. During the test, the observer was not aware of the genotype of each tested batch of flies.

#### Immunofluorescence

To examine the distribution of the A $\beta$  peptides in the mushroom body neurons, we crossed A $\beta$  transgenic flies with flies expressing CD8-GFP under the control of OK107-Gal4. We also combined flies expressing A $\beta$ 42 in the mushroom bodies (A $\beta$ 42; OK107-Gal4) with LacZ (negative control) or the A $\beta$ peptides. All these crosses were placed at 28°C to maximize expression of the transgenes. We collected adult flies at day 1 post-eclosion and aged them for 30 d. We then imaged the distribution of A $\beta$  and GFP at days 1 and 30 by dissecting brains, which were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, washed with PBS, blocked with 3% BSA, and mounted as described previously (Fernandez-Funez et al., 2000). To detect each  $A\beta$  peptide, we used the  $A\beta$  antibody 82E1 (IBL) followed by anti-mouse Cy3 (Molecular Probes) at 1:300. After washing the secondary antibody, tissues were mounted on Vectashield antifade (Vector).

#### Thioflavin-S staining

We generated flies expressing LacZ alone, each A $\beta$  peptide alone, or combined with A $\beta$ 42 under the control of OK107-Gal4 at 25°C. Then, we fixed 1- and 30-d-old brains, incubated them with a 0.03% solution of freshly prepared and filtered Thio-S (Sigma-Aldrich) in 50% ethanol/PBS for 10 min, washed, and mounted in Vectashield.

#### Microscopy and image processing

We collected fluorescent images with AxioVision (Zeiss) in an Axio-Observer Z1 microscope (Zeiss) by optical sectioning using ApoTome (structured light microscopy) with 10× NA: 0.45 (air), 20× NA: 0.7 (air), 40× NA: 1.4 (oil), and 63× NA: 1.4 (oil) objectives. Maximum-intensity projection images (A $\beta$  distribution) were created in AxioVision (geometric processing, orthoview) from z-stacks containing complete structures. Representative single plane images of Kenyon cells (Thio-S) were extracted from z-stacks. Image processing was minimal but included brightness/contrast adjustment to whole images for optimal viewing and printing.

#### Ab extraction and quantification by ELISA (Drosophila)

In brief, for ELISA, 1- and 30-d-old females were frozen, and a total of 40 heads were cut and aliquoted to four tubes containing 10 heads per transgene. The tissue was sequentially extracted in protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) containing 50  $\mu$ l RIPA buffer, 2% SDS, and 5 M guanidinium/50 mM Tris-HCL (Gn-HCl), as previously described (Kawarabayashi et al., 2001). RIPA-, 2% SDS–, and Gn-HCl–extracted samples were diluted appropriately and used for sandwich ELISAs as described previously (Kim et al., 2008). Total A $\beta$  was captured with mAb Ab9 (human A $\beta$ 1-16 specific; T.E. Golde) and detected by HRP-conjugated mAb 4G8 (Covance). A $\beta$ 4G8 (Covance).

#### $\textbf{A}\beta$ aggregation assay

A $\beta$  peptides, A $\beta$ 36–40, A $\beta$ 42, and A $\beta$ 43 (Anaspec) were pretreated. In brief, they were solubilized in hexafluoro-2-propanol (Sigma), dried by SpeedVac, stored at –20°C, and used within 2 wk. Reactions were initiated in siliconized Eppendorf tubes by adding 100  $\mu$ M monomeric A $\beta$ 36–40, A $\beta$ 42, or A $\beta$ 43 to reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM

stained with Thio-S. Bar, 2.00 mm. n = 7-10 mice per group. (F) Quantification of Thio-S plaques per area measured. Data represent mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean; n = 7-10 mice per group. (G and H) Biochemical analysis of sequentially extracted A $\beta$ 42 and A $\beta$ 40 levels by end-specific sandwich ELISA. (G) 2% SDS-extracted A $\beta$ . (H) 70% formic acid-extracted A $\beta$ . Data represent mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (n = 7-19 per group).

NaCl, pH 8.0) and incubated with shaking at 37°C. Aggregation was monitored by Thioflavin T fluorescence. In brief, at specific time points, an aliquot of the A $\beta$  reaction mixture was diluted 15-fold in buffer containing 5 mM Thioflavin T and 5 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0, and fluorescence (excitation 415 nm, emission 487 nm) was measured (FlexStation3; Molecular Devices; Rangachari et al., 2007). Circular dichroism spectra were obtained using an Aviv Model 430 circular dichroism spectrometer (AVIV biomedical). Scans were performed at 25°C using a cuvette with a path length of 0.1 cm. Instrument optics and lamp chamber were purged with nitrogen gas at a rate sufficient to maintain oxygen levels less than 7 ppm. Each scan was performed in the far-UV range of 260-190 nm, with readings recorded at 1-nm intervals. Data were analyzed with CAPITO and K2D program (Perez-Iratxeta and Andrade-Navarro, 2008; Wiedemann et al., 2013).

#### Eye pigment extraction

To quantify red pigments, 1-d-old females were immediately frozen. A total of 15 heads per genotype were analyzed, in three groups of five biological replicates. Five heads per transgene were placed into a vial (in triplicate) and eye pigments were extracted by homogenization and overnight incubation in 200  $\mu$ l of 30% ethanol, pH 2.0 (Falcón-Pérez et al., 2007). The next day, the relative quantification of the red pigments was determined by measuring their absorption at 480 nm (FlexStation3).

#### Animal models and AAV injection

All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in accordance with NIH guidelines. TgCRND8 mice overexpressing amyloid precursor protein (APP; Chishti et al., 2001) were bred in-house. Recombinant rAAV2/1 expressing BRI2del244-266 (BRI2-Stop), BRI2-A $\beta$ 38, BRI2-A $\beta$ 40, BRI2-A $\beta$ 42, and EGFP were generated as previously described (Kim et al., 2013). The genomic titer of each virus was quantitated as 2.7 × 10<sup>12</sup> to 3.9 × 10<sup>13</sup> genome particles. Neonatal intracerebroventricular injections of rAAV2/1 were performed as described previously (Chakrabarty et al., 2010). Mice were aged 9 mo; after behavioral examination, the mice were killed, and brain tissue was collected for immunohistochemical and biochemical analysis.

#### Immunoprecipitation/mass spectrometry

Fusion constructs encoding the first 243 amino acids of BRI2 protein followed by  $A\beta$  peptides encompassing various  $A\beta$  species were generated as previously described (Kim et al., 2007). The fragments were ligated into the expression vector pAG3. Sequences were verified by DNA sequencing. Overexpression was performed by transiently transfecting human embryonic kidney (HEK 293T) cells. Cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies). In brief, 2.7 µg DNA was applied to a 75% confluent six-well plate (Corning) using the polycation polyethylenimine transfec-

tion method. Cells were incubated with transfection reagent for 12-16 h, after which the growth medium was replaced with fresh medium. 24 h later, the medium was collected for assay by immunoprecipitation, followed by mass spectrometry, as previously described (Ran et al., 2014). In brief, 50 µl magnetic sheep anti-mouse IgG beads (Invitrogen) were incubated with 4.5 µg Ab5 antibody for 30 min at room temperature with constant shaking. The beads were then washed with PBS and incubated with 1-10 ml of conditioned medium containing 0.1% Triton X-100 for 60 min. Bound beads were washed sequentially with 0.1% and 0.05% octyl glucoside (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by water. Samples were eluted with 10 µl of 0.1% TFA (Thermo Scientific) in water. 2 µl of elute was mixed with an equal volume of saturated  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (Sigma) solution in 60% acetonitrile, 40% methanol. 1 µl of sample mixture was loaded to α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid-pretreated MSP 96 target plates (Bruker Daltonics). The samples were analyzed with a Microflex (Bruker Daltonics) mass spectrometer.

#### Western blotting

2% SDS brain lysates were heated at 70°C for 5 min in the presence of denaturing sample buffer, separated on a 10% Bis-Tris gel (Bio-Rad) in 1× 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) running buffer (Bio-Rad), and transferred onto 0.2  $\mu$ m PVDF (EMD Millipore). Membranes were blocked in casein blocking buffer and incubated overnight with primary antibodies, Ab5 (human A $\beta$ 1-16; T.E. Golde) and  $\beta$ -tubulin (Covance), and detected with Alexa Fluor anti–mouse 680 and Alexa Fluor anti–rabbit 800 (Life Technologies). Images were developed using an Odyssey infrared scanner (Li-Cor Biosciences) and analyzed using the densitometric feature for semiquantitative analysis using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System software v.3.0.21 (Li-Cor Biosciences). Bands were manually selected, and background readings of the BRI2-Stop were subtracted.

#### Contextual fear conditioning

Mice were aged to 9 mo and subjected to contextual fear conditioning as described previously (Hanna et al., 2012; Chakrabarty et al., 2015). The conditioning procedure was performed in four identical chambers (25.3 cm length × 29.5 cm width  $\times$  29.5 cm height; Coulbourn Institute). The total floor area of each chamber was 746 cm<sup>2</sup>. The chambers were constructed from aluminum (sidewalls and ceiling) and Plexiglas (rear and front walls). They were placed individually in sound-attenuated cabinets with black inside walls (interior dimensions: 43.3 cm length  $\times$  55.3 cm width  $\times$  58.5 cm height; Coulbourn Institute), which were located in a dedicated room. A ventilation fan in each cabinet provided 50 dB background noise, and a 24V DC white light, mounted on a wall of each chamber, provided illumination (65 lux at the floor level). A speaker mounted in the wall opposite to the light delivered an acoustic conditioned stimulus (CS). The floor of each chamber, which consisted of 26 stainless steel

rods (3 mm in diameter) spaced 11 mm center to center, was wired to a precision-regulated shocker (H13-15; Coulbourn Institute). A camera mounted above the chamber recorded mouse activity. Conditioning was assessed by the analysis of fear response expressed as freezing behavior with the aid of FreezeFrame program (v.3.06; Actimetrics). Freezing was defined as the cessation of all movements other than respiratory activity (Fanselow, 1980).

Mice were exposed to the context of a training chamber and a tone, both initially novel and neutral stimuli, in one training session. They were transported in squads of 4-in. individual containers filled with home cage bedding and placed singly in the conditioning chamber. During training, the mice received two pairings between a tone (80 dB, pulse [6 c.p.s.], 30-s duration) and a 0.45-mA foot shock (2-s duration, coterminated with a tone). The first CS-US pairing was delivered at the end of 120 s of the initial exploration of the chamber, and the second after a 60-s interval. After the second CS-US pairing, the mice were given a 60-s posttraining period. The total duration of the training session was 300 s. After a day of recovery, the mice were returned to their respective conditioning chambers and tested for fear-induced freezing to the context in which they received foot shocks. The test, performed in an extinction mode with no shock administered, lasted 300 s. The next day, the mice were tested for the association between the tone and the foot-shock in a modified chamber. The floor and the walls of the chamber were replaced with plastic inserts (opaque white for the floor, and semi-transparent white at the front and opaque green at the back for the walls), which also eliminated corners in the chamber. The total floor area of the modified chamber was ~671 cm<sup>2</sup>. A Petri dish containing a drop of Pure Vanilla Extract (McCormick) was placed underneath the floor of each chamber to provide a distinct novel odor in the chamber. These modifications did not change the light intensity in the chamber. The tone test lasted 360 s. During the first 180 s, the mice were allowed to explore the new environment, and during the second 180 s a tone, with the same characteristics as the tone used during training, was delivered. Mice activity was recorded during all tests.

#### Immunohistochemical imaging and image processing

After behavioral testing, we analyzed a random subset of mice by IHC. Mice were killed, brain tissue was harvested, and the right hemisphere was fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with a biotinylated pan-A $\beta$  antibody Ab5 (1:500, human A $\beta$ 1-16 specific; T.E. Golde). 1% Thio-S (Sigma-Aldrich) staining was performed on paraffin-embedded brain sections using established protocols. Immunohistochemically and fluorescently stained sections were captured using the Scansope XT image scanner (Aperio; Leica Biosystems) or BX 60 (Olympus) and analyzed using ImageScope program. A $\beta$  plaque burden was calculated using the Positive Pixel Count program (Aperio), as previously described (Chakrabarty et al., 2015). In brief, at least three sections per sample, at least 30  $\mu$ m apart, were calculated blindly and then the mean was taken to determine plaque burden. For Thio-S quantification, one section per sample was used by a blinded observer to calculate the number of cored plaques per area using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

#### Aβ ELISA (mouse experiments)

After tissue harvesting, the left hemisphere was flash-frozen in isopentane. The frozen cortex was sequentially extracted with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) containing Tris-buffered saline, RIPA buffer, 2% SDS, and 70% formic acid (FA) as described previously at a concentration of 150 mg/ml (Moore et al., 2012). A $\beta$  levels from the 2% SDS– and 70% FA–extracted samples were quantified using end-specific sandwich ELISA as previously described (Moore et al., 2012). A $\beta$ 40 was captured with mAb 13.1.1 (human A $\beta$ 35–40 specific; T.E. Golde) and detected by HRP-conjugated mAb 33.1.1 (human A $\beta$ 1–16; T.E. Golde). A $\beta$ 42 was captured with mAb 2.1.3 (human A $\beta$ 35–42 specific; T.E. Golde) and detected by HRP-conjugated mAb 33.1.1 (human A $\beta$ 1–16; T.E. Golde). ELISA results were analyzed using SoftMax Pro software (Molecular Devices).

#### Statistical analyses

For Drosophila Q-RT-PCR, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, was used for statistical comparison (Prism 5; GraphPad Software). For the climbing assay, for each Aß transgene, the climbing index, expressed as percentage of flies climbing above the criterion of 5-cm mark (no. flies above 5-cm mark/total no. of flies × 100), was calculated. The climbing index was then averaged from six trials of five replicates for each day and normalized. To account for day-to-day variability in climbing, we averaged the climbing index over 10- and 3-d (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, respectively) increments, plotted as a survival curve and analyzed by Mantel-Cox using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software). Final images were created using Photoshop CS5 (Adobe Systems). All values in the text and figures represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. For Drosophila ELISA data, t test with Prism 6 was used to compare 1- and 30-d-old flies, and one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used for statistical comparison of  $A\beta$  transgenic flies to each other (Prism 6). One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (Prism 6) was used to analyze mammalian data unless otherwise noted. For mouse memory tests, the overall analyses was by factorial ANOVA with genotype/construct as between-subject factor and age/time or pre- and poststimulus stage of a test as repeated measure (within-subject) factor. When necessary, degrees of freedom were adjusted by Greenhouse-Geisser epsilon correction for the heterogeneity of variance. In analyses requiring multiple comparisons between means, the Bonferroni adjustment of  $\alpha$  level minimizing type I (family-wise) error rate was used (Howell, 1992). A priori comparisons were performed using Bonferroni t test (MODLSD), and post hoc of multiple pairwise comparisons were done using Student-Newman-Keuls test (Howell, 1992). All statistical analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) v.23 for Macintosh. Comparisons between two independent groups were done using Student's t test. In mouse studies, freezing responses of mice during context and tone tests were expressed as percentage of total duration of a test. In the case of tone test, which encompassed pretone phase of exploration of a modified chamber, followed by the tone test, the freezing shown during tone phase was analyzed using analysis of covariance with freezing during pretone phase as covariate to control for the increased freezing in the modified chamber because of generalization of conditional response (Stevens, 1990). Consequently, the freezing rates in response to tone stimulus were adjusted for the freezing rates during pretone phase and presented in Fig. 9 B.

#### **Online supplemental material**

Fig. S1 shows the aggregation of A $\beta$ 36–40, A $\beta$ 42, and A $\beta$ 43. Fig. S2 shows expression of A $\beta$ 38, A $\beta$ 40, and A $\beta$ 42 in nontransgenic mice. Fig. S3 shows the *Drosophila* drivers used in this study. Table S1 shows statistical analysis of A $\beta$  levels from *Drosophila* expressing A $\beta$  peptides. Table S2 details Q-RT-PCR primers and probes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants U01AG046139, P50AG047266, R01AG18454, and P01AG020206. L de Mena is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute fellow of the Life Sciences Research Foundation. J.J. Kurian is partially supported by an NIH T32 Basic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Training Grant (5T32AI007110-34) managed by Dr. David Bloom at the University of Florida.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: B.D. Moore, J. Martin, L. de Mena, P.E. Cruz, C. Ceballos-Diaz, Y. Ran, Y. Levites, T.L. Kukar, J.J. Kurian, D. Rincon-Limas, and P. Fernandez-Funez performed experiments. B.D. Moore, L. de Mena, J. Sanchez, E.H. Koo, D.R. Borchelt, C. Janus, D. Rincon-Limas, P. Fernandez-Funez, and T.E. Golde planned experiments. B.D. Moore, L de Mena, Y. Levites, T.L. Kukar, C. Janus, D. Rincon-Limas, P. Fernandez-Funez, and T.E. Golde analyzed data. B.D. Moore and T.E. Golde wrote the manuscript. B.D. Moore, L de Mena, T.B. Ladd, Y. Levites, T.L. Kukar, R. McKenna, E.H. Koo, D.R. Borchelt, C. Janus, D. Rincon-Limas, P. Fernandez-Funez, and T.E. Golde edited the manuscript.

#### Submitted: 31 March 2017

Revised: 18 August 2017

Accepted: 4 October 2017

#### REFERENCES

- Ashe, K.H., and K.R. Zahs. 2010. Probing the biology of Alzheimer's disease in mice. *Neuron*. 66:631–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010 .04.031
- Bainton, R.J., L.T. Tsai, C.M. Singh, M.S. Moore, W.S. Neckameyer, and U. Heberlein. 2000. Dopamine modulates acute responses to cocaine, nicotine and ethanol in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 10:187–194. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00336-5
- Barão, S., D. Moechars, S.F. Lichtenthaler, and B. De Strooper. 2016. BACE1 physiological functions may limit its use as therapeutic target for

Alzheimer's disease. Trends Neurosci. 39:158–169. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.tins.2016.01.003

- Biverstål, H., L. Dolfe, E. Hermansson, A. Leppert, M. Reifenrath, B. Winblad, J. Presto, and J. Johansson. 2015. Dissociation of a BRICHOS trimer into monomers leads to increased inhibitory effect on Aβ42 fibril formation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1854:835–843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap .2015.04.005
- Blain, J.F., M.G. Bursavich, E.A. Freeman, L.A. Hrdlicka, H.E. Hodgdon, T. Chen, D.E. Costa, B.A. Harrison, S. Kapadnis, D.A. Murphy, et al. 2016. Characterization of FRM-36143 as a new γ-secretase modulator for the potential treatment of familial Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 8:34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-016-0199-5
- Brendel, M., A. Jaworska, J. Herms, J. Trambauer, C. Rötzer, F.J. Gildehaus, J. Carlsen, P. Cumming, J. Bylund, T. Luebbers, et al. 2015. Amyloid-PET predicts inhibition of de novo plaque formation upon chronic γ-secretase modulator treatment. *Mol. Psychiatry*. 20:1179–1187. https:// doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.74
- Burnouf, S., M.K. Gorsky, J. Dols, S. Grönke, and L. Partridge. 2015. Aβ43 is neurotoxic and primes aggregation of Aβ40 in vivo. Acta Neuropathol. 130:35–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-015-1419-y
- Casas-Tinto, S., Y. Zhang, J. Sanchez-Garcia, M. Gomez-Velazquez, D.E. Rincon-Limas, and P. Fernandez-Funez. 2011. The ER stress factor XBP1s prevents amyloid-beta neurotoxicity. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 20:2144– 2160. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddr100
- Chakrabarty, P., K. Jansen-West, A. Beccard, C. Ceballos-Diaz, Y. Levites, C. Verbeeck, A.C. Zubair, D. Dickson, T.E. Golde, and P. Das. 2010. Massive gliosis induced by interleukin-6 suppresses Abeta deposition in vivo: Evidence against inflammation as a driving force for amyloid deposition. *FASEB J.* 24:548–559. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-141754
- Chakrabarty, P., A. Li, C. Ceballos-Diaz, J.A. Eddy, C.C. Funk, B. Moore, N. DiNunno, A.M. Rosario, P.E. Cruz, C. Verbeeck, et al. 2015. IL-10 alters immunoproteostasis in APP mice, increasing plaque burden and worsening cognitive behavior. *Neuron.* 85:519–533. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.neuron.2014.11.020
- Chishti, M.A., D.S. Yang, C. Janus, A.L. Phinney, P. Horne, J. Pearson, R. Strome, N. Zuker, J. Loukides, J. French, et al. 2001. Early-onset amyloid deposition and cognitive deficits in transgenic mice expressing a double mutant form of amyloid precursor protein 695. J. Biol. Chem. 276:21562–21570. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M100710200
- De Strooper, B., R.Vassar, and T. Golde. 2010. The secretases: Enzymes with therapeutic potential in Alzheimer disease. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 6:99–107. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2009.218
- Falcón-Pérez, J.M., R. Romero-Calderón, E.S. Brooks, D.E. Krantz, and E.C. Dell'Angelica. 2007. The Drosophila pigmentation gene pink (p) encodes a homologue of human Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome 5 (HPS5). *Tiaffic.* 8:154–168. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00514.x
- Fanselow, M.S. 1980. Conditioned and unconditional components of postshock freezing. Pavlov. J. Biol. Sci. 15:177–182.
- Feany, M.B., and W.W. Bender. 2000. A Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Nature. 404:394–398. https://doi.org/10.1038/35006074
- Fernandez-Funez, P., M.L. Nino-Rosales, B. de Gouyon, W.C. She, J.M. Luchak, P. Martinez, E. Turiegano, J. Benito, M. Capovilla, P.J. Skinner, et al. 2000. Identification of genes that modify ataxin-1-induced neurodegeneration. *Nature*. 408:101–106. https://doi.org/10.1038 /35040584
- Fernandez-Funez, P., S. Casas-Tinto, Y. Zhang, M. Gómez-Velazquez, M.A. Morales-Garza, A.C. Cepeda-Nieto, J. Castilla, C. Soto, and D.E. Rincon-Limas. 2009. In vivo generation of neurotoxic prion protein: Role for hsp70 in accumulation of misfolded isoforms. *PLoS Genet.* 5:e1000507. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000507
- Fernandez-Funez, P., J. Sanchez-Garcia, L. de Mena, Y. Zhang, Y. Levites, S. Khare, T.E. Golde, and D.E. Rincon-Limas. 2016. Holdase activity of secreted Hsp70 masks amyloid-β42 neurotoxicity in Drosophila. Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 113:E5212-E5221. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1608045113

- Friggi-Grelin, F., H. Coulom, M. Meller, D. Gomez, J. Hirsh, and S. Birman. 2003. Targeted gene expression in Drosophila dopaminergic cells using regulatory sequences from tyrosine hydroxylase. *J. Neurobiol.* 54:618–627. https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.10185
- Golde, T.E. 2016. Overcoming translational barriers impeding development of Alzheimer's disease modifying therapies. J. Neurochem. 139(Suppl 2):224–236. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13583
- Golde, T.E., C.B. Eckman, and S.G.Younkin. 2000. Biochemical detection of Abeta isoforms: Implications for pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1502:172–187. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0925-4439(00)00043-0
- Golde, T.E., L.S. Schneider, and E.H. Koo. 2011. Anti-aβ therapeutics in Alzheimer's disease: The need for a paradigm shift. *Neuron*. 69:203–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.002
- Golde, T.E., Y. Ran, and K.M. Felsenstein. 2012. Shifting a complex debate on γ-secretase cleavage and Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO J.* 31:2237–2239. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.111
- Golde, T.E., E.H. Koo, K.M. Felsenstein, B.A. Osborne, and L. Miele. 2013. γ-Secretase inhibitors and modulators. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1828:2898– 2907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.06.005
- Hanna, A., K. Iremonger, P. Das, D. Dickson, T. Golde, and C. Janus. 2012. Agerelated increase in amyloid plaque burden is associated with impairment in conditioned fear memory in CRND8 mouse model of amyloidosis. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 4:21. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt124
- Hermansson, E., S. Schultz, D. Crowther, S. Linse, B. Winblad, G. Westermark, J. Johansson, and J. Presto. 2014. The chaperone domain BRICHOS prevents CNS toxicity of amyloid-β peptide in Drosophila melanogaster. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 7:659–665. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.014787
- Howell, D.C. 1992. Statistical methods for psychology. Duxbury Press, Belmont, California.
- Hubbs, J.L., N.O. Fuller, W.F. Austin, R. Shen, S.P. Creaser, T.D. McKee, R.M. Loureiro, B. Tate, W. Xia, J. Ives, and B.S. Bronk. 2012. Optimization of a natural product-based class of γ-secretase modulators. *J. Med. Chem.* 55:9270–9282. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm300976b
- Iijima, K., and K. Iijima-Ando. 2008. Drosophila models of Alzheimer's amyloidosis: The challenge of dissecting the complex mechanisms of toxicity of amyloid-beta 42. J. Alzheimers Dis. 15:523–540. https://doi .org/10.3233/JAD-2008-15402
- Iwatsubo, T., A. Odaka, N. Suzuki, H. Mizusawa, N. Nukina, and Y. Ihara. 1994.Visualization of A beta 42(43) and A beta 40 in senile plaques with end-specific A beta monoclonals: Evidence that an initially deposited species is A beta 42(43). *Neuron*. 13:45–53. https://doi.org/10.1016 /0896-6273(94)90458-8
- Jarrett, J.T., and P.T. Lansbury Jr. 1993. Seeding "one-dimensional crystallization" of amyloid: A pathogenic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie? *Cell*. 73:1055–1058. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092 -8674(93)90635-4
- Jonson, M., M. Pokrzywa, A. Starkenberg, P. Hammarstrom, and S. Thor. 2015. Systematic Aβ analysis in Drosophila reveals high toxicity for the 1–42, 3-42 and 11–42 peptides, and emphasizes N- and C-terminal residues. *PLoS One.* 10:e0133272. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .pone.0133272
- Jung, J.I., T.B. Ladd, T. Kukar, A.R. Price, B.D. Moore, E.H. Koo, T.E. Golde, and K.M. Felsenstein. 2013. Steroids as γ-secretase modulators. *FASEB J.* 27:3775–3785. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.12-225649
- Kawarabayashi, T., L.H. Younkin, T.C. Saido, M. Shoji, K.H. Ashe, and S.G. Younkin. 2001. Age-dependent changes in brain, CSF, and plasma amyloid (beta) protein in the Tg2576 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. 21:372–381.

- Kim, J., L. Onstead, S. Randle, R. Price, L. Smithson, C. Zwizinski, D.W. Dickson, T. Golde, and E. McGowan. 2007. Abeta40 inhibits amyloid deposition in vivo. J. Neurosci. 27:627–633. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.4849-06.2007
- Kim, J., V.M. Miller, Y. Levites, K.J. West, C.W. Zwizinski, B.D. Moore, F.J. Troendle, M. Bann, C.Verbeeck, R.W. Price, et al. 2008. BR12 (ITM2b) inhibits Abeta deposition in vivo. J. Neurosci. 28:6030–6036. https://doi .org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0891-08.2008
- Kim, J., P. Chakrabarty, A. Hanna, A. March, D.W. Dickson, D.R. Borchelt, T. Golde, and C. Janus. 2013. Normal cognition in transgenic BRI2-Aβ mice. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 8:15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-8-15
- Kounnas, M.Z., A.M. Danks, S. Cheng, C. Tyree, E. Ackerman, X. Zhang, K. Ahn, P. Nguyen, D. Comer, L. Mao, et al. 2010. Modulation of gammasecretase reduces beta-amyloid deposition in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron.* 67:769–780. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neuron.2010.08.018
- Kretner, B., J. Trambauer, A. Fukumori, J. Mielke, P.H. Kuhn, E. Kremmer, A. Giese, S.F. Lichtenthaler, C. Haass, T. Arzberger, and H. Steiner. 2016. Generation and deposition of Aβ43 by the virtually inactive presenilin-1 L435F mutant contradicts the presenilin loss-of-function hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 8:458–465. https://doi.org/10 .15252/emmm.201505952
- Kuperstein, I., K. Broersen, I. Benilova, J. Rozenski, W. Jonckheere, M. Debulpaep, A. Vandersteen, I. Segers-Nolten, K. Van Der Werf, V. Subramaniam, et al. 2010. Neurotoxicity of Alzheimer's disease Aβ peptides is induced by small changes in the Aβ42 to Aβ40 ratio. *EMBO J.* 29:3408–3420. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2010.211
- Lawlor, P.A., R.J. Bland, P. Das, R.W. Price, V. Holloway, L. Smithson, B.L. Dicker, M.J. During, D. Young, and T.E. Golde. 2007. Novel rat Alzheimer's disease models based on AAV-mediated gene transfer to selectively increase hippocampal Abeta levels. *Mol. Neurodegener.* 2:11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-2-11
- Lewis, P.A., S. Piper, M. Baker, L. Onstead, M.P. Murphy, J. Hardy, R. Wang, E. McGowan, and T.E. Golde. 2001. Expression of BRI-amyloid beta peptide fusion proteins: A novel method for specific high-level expression of amyloid beta peptides. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1537:58–62. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0925-4439(01)00054-0
- McGowan, E., F. Pickford, J. Kim, L. Onstead, J. Eriksen, C.Yu, L. Skipper, M.P. Murphy, J. Beard, P. Das, et al. 2005. Abeta42 is essential for parenchymal and vascular amyloid deposition in mice. *Neuron.* 47:191–199. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.030
- Moore, B.D., P. Chakrabarty, Y. Levites, T.L. Kukar, A.M. Baine, T. Moroni, T.B. Ladd, P. Das, D.W. Dickson, and T.E. Golde. 2012. Overlapping profiles of Aβ peptides in the Alzheimer's disease and pathological aging brains. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 4:18. https://doi.org/10.1186/alzrt121
- Peng, S., M. Fitzen, H. Jörnvall, and J. Johansson. 2010. The extracellular domain of Bri2 (ITM2B) binds the ABri peptide (1-23) and amyloid beta-peptide (Abeta1-40): Implications for Bri2 effects on processing of amyloid precursor protein and Abeta aggregation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 393:356–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.12.122
- Perez-Iratxeta, C., and M.A. Andrade-Navarro. 2008. K2D2: Estimation of protein secondary structure from circular dichroism spectra. *BMC Struct. Biol.* 8:25. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6807-8-25
- Pfeiffer, B.D., T.T. Ngo, K.L. Hibbard, C. Murphy, A. Jenett, J.W. Truman, and G.M. Rubin. 2010. Refinement of tools for targeted gene expression in Drosophila. *Genetics*. 186:735–755. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics .110.119917
- Poska, H., M. Haslbeck, F.R. Kurudenkandy, E. Hermansson, G. Chen, G. Kostallas, A. Abelein, H. Biverstål, S. Crux, A. Fisahn, et al. 2016. Dementia-related Bri2 BRICHOS is a versatile molecular chaperone that efficiently inhibits Aβ42 toxicity in Drosophila. *Biochem. J.* 473:3683–3704. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160277

- Ran, Y., P.E. Cruz, T.B. Ladd, A.H. Fauq, J.I. Jung, J. Matthews, K.M. Felsenstein, and T.E. Golde. 2014. γ-Secretase processing and effects of γ-secretase inhibitors and modulators on long Aβ peptides in cells. J. Biol. Chem. 289:3276–3287. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.512921
- Rangachari, V., B.D. Moore, D.K. Reed, L.K. Sonoda, A.W. Bridges, E. Conboy, D. Hartigan, and T.L. Rosenberry. 2007. Amyloid-beta(1–42) rapidly forms protofibrils and oligomers by distinct pathways in low concentrations of sodium dodecylsulfate. *Biochemistry*. 46:12451–12462. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi701213s
- Rival, T., L. Soustelle, C. Strambi, M. T. Besson, M. Iché, and S. Birman. 2004. Decreasing glutamate buffering capacity triggers oxidative stress and neuropil degeneration in the Drosophila brain. *Curr. Biol.* 14:599–605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.03.039
- Saito, T., T. Suemoto, N. Brouwers, K. Sleegers, S. Funamoto, N. Mihira, Y. Matsuba, K. Yamada, P. Nilsson, J. Takano, et al. 2011. Potent amyloidogenicity and pathogenicity of Aβ43. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14:1023– 1032. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2858
- Schneider, C.A., W.S. Rasband, and K.W. Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods. 9:671–675. https://doi .org/10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Selkoe, D.J. 2001. Alzheimer's disease: Genes, proteins, and therapy. *Physiol. Rev.* 81:741–766.
- Selkoe, D.J., and J. Hardy. 2016. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. EMBO Mol. Med. 8:595–608. https://doi.org/10.15252/ emmm.201606210
- Seubert, P., C. Vigo-Pelfrey, F. Esch, M. Lee, H. Dovey, D. Davis, S. Sinha, M. Schlossmacher, J. Whaley, C. Swindlehurst, et al. 1992. Isolation and quantification of soluble Alzheimer's beta-peptide from biological fluids. *Nature*. 359:325–327. https://doi.org/10.1038/359325a0
- Shulman, J.M., L.M. Shulman, W.J. Weiner, and M.B. Feany. 2003. From fruit fly to bedside: Translating lessons from Drosophila models of neurodegenerative disease. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 16:443–449. https://doi .org/10.1097/01.wco.0000084220.82329.60
- Sofola, O., F. Kerr, I. Rogers, R. Killick, H. Augustin, C. Gandy, M.J. Allen, J. Hardy, S. Lovestone, and L. Partridge. 2010. Inhibition of GSK-3 ameliorates Abeta pathology in an adult-onset Drosophila model of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS Genet.* 6:e1001087. https://doi.org/10.1371 /journal.pgen.1001087
- Stevens, J. 1990. Intermediate statistics: A modern approach. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Hillsdale, New Jersey.

- Tycko, R. 2015. Amyloid polymorphism: Structural basis and neurobiological relevance. *Neuron.* 86:632–645. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015 .03.017
- Veugelen, S., T. Saito, T.C. Saido, L. Chávez–Gutiérrez, and B. De Strooper. 2016. Familial Alzheimer's disease mutations in presenilin generate amyloidogenic Aβ peptide seeds. *Neuron.* 90:410–416. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.010
- Wagner, S.L., R.E. Tanzi, W.C. Mobley, and D. Galasko. 2012. Potential use of γ-secretase modulators in the treatment of Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol. 69:1255–1258. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2012.540
- Wagner, S.L., C. Zhang, S. Cheng, P. Nguyen, X. Zhang, K.D. Rynearson, R. Wang, Y. Li, S.S. Sisodia, W.C. Mobley, and R.E. Tanzi. 2014. Soluble γ-secretase modulators selectively inhibit the production of the 42amino acid amyloid β peptide variant and augment the production of multiple carboxy-truncated amyloid β species. *Biochemistry*. 53:702–713. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi401537v
- Wang, R., D. Sweeney, S.E. Gandy, and S.S. Sisodia. 1996. The profile of soluble amyloid beta protein in cultured cell media. Detection and quantification of amyloid beta protein and variants by immunoprecipitation-mass spectrometry. J. Biol. Chem. 271:31894–31902. https://doi.org/10.1074 /jbc.271.50.31894
- Weggen, S., J.L. Eriksen, P. Das, S.A. Sagi, R. Wang, C.U. Pietrzik, K.A. Findlay, T.E. Smith, M.P. Murphy, T. Bulter, et al. 2001. A subset of NSAIDs lower amyloidogenic Abeta42 independently of cyclooxygenase activity. *Nature*, 414:212–216. https://doi.org/10.1038/35102591
- Wiedemann, C., P. Bellstedt, and M. Görlach. 2013. CAPITO—A web serverbased analysis and plotting tool for circular dichroism data. *Bioinformatics*. 29:1750–1757. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt278
- Willander, H., J. Presto, G. Askarieh, H. Biverstål, B. Frohm, S.D. Knight, J. Johansson, and S. Linse. 2012. BRICHOS domains efficiently delay fibrillation of amyloid β-peptide. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:31608–31617. https ://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.393157
- Willem, M., S. Lammich, and C. Haass. 2009. Function, regulation and therapeutic properties of beta-secretase (BACE1). Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 20:175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2009.01.003
- Younkin, S.G. 1998. The role of A beta 42 in Alzheimer's disease. J. Physiol. Paris. 92:289–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0928-4257(98)80035-1
- Zhang, Y., S. Casas-Tinto, D.E. Rincon-Limas, and P. Fernandez-Funez. 2014. Combined pharmacological induction of Hsp70 suppresses prion protein neurotoxicity in Drosophila. *PLoS One.* 9:e88522. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088522