Adjuvant Discovery via a High Throughput Screen using Human Primary Mononuclear Cells

- 3
- 4 Katherine Chew¹[^], Branden Lee¹[^], Simon D. van Haren^{1, 2}[^], Etsuro Nanishi^{1,2}, Timothy O'Meara¹,
- 5 Jennifer B. Splaine³, Maria DeLeon¹, Dheeraj Soni^{1,2}, Hyuk-Soo Seo^{4,5}, Sirano Dhe-Paganon^{4,5},
- 6 Al Ozonoff^{1, 2, 6}, Jennifer A. Smith³, Ofer Levy^{1, 2, 6, Ψ}, David J. Dowling^{1, 2, Ψ,*}
- 7
- 8 1) Precision Vaccines Program, Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston Children's Hospital,
- 9 Boston, MA, USA.
- 10 2) Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
- 11 3) ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
- 12 4) Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02115, USA.
- 13 5) Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School,
- 14 Boston, MA 02115, USA.
- 15 6) Broad Institute of MIT & Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- 16 ^These authors contributed equally.
- 17 $^{\Psi}$ These authors contributed equally.
- 18
- 19 *Correspondence:
- 20 David J Dowling, Precision Vaccines Program, Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston
- 21 Children's Hospital; Harvard Medical School, Rm 842, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Tel: +1 617-
- 22 919-6890. e-mail: <u>david.dowling@childrens.harvard.edu</u>. Twitter: @David_J_Dowling.
- 23 Ofer Levy, Precision Vaccines Program, Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston Children's
- 24 Hospital; Harvard Medical School, Rm 842, Boston, MA 02115
- 25 e-mail: <u>ofer.levy@childrens.harvard.edu</u>; Twitter: @levy_o.
- 26

27 Motivation

Vaccines are a key biomedical intervention to prevent the spread of infectious diseases, but their efficacy can be limited by insufficient immunogenicity and nonuniform reactogenic profiles. Adjuvants are molecules that potentiate vaccine responses by inducing innate immune activation. However, there are a limited number of adjuvants in approved vaccines, and current approaches for preclinical adjuvant discovery and development are inefficient. To enhance adjuvant identification, we developed a protocol based on *in vitro* screening of human primary leukocytes.

34

35 Summary

36 We describe a methodology utilizing high-throughput and high-content screening for novel 37 adjuvant candidates that was used to screen a library of ~2,500 small molecules via a 384-well 38 quantitative combined cytokine and flow cytometry immunoassay in primary human peripheral 39 blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 4 healthy adult study participants. Hits were identified 40 based on their induction of soluble cytokine (TNF, IFNy and IL-10) secretion and PBMC 41 maturation (CD 80/86, Ox40, and HLA-DR) in at least two of the four donors screened. From an 42 initial set of 197 compounds identified using these biomarkers-an 8.6% hit rate-we 43 downselected to five scaffolds that demonstrated robust efficacy and potency in vitro and 44 evaluated the top hit, vinblastine sulfate, for adjuvanticity in vivo. Vinblastine sulfate significantly 45 enhanced murine humoral responses to recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, including a four-46 fold enhancement of IgG titer production when compared to treatment with the spike antigen 47 alone. Overall, we outline a methodology for discovering immunomodulators with adjuvant 48 potential via high-throughput screening of PBMCs in vitro that yielded a lead compound with in 49 vivo adjuvanticity.

50

51 Keywords: Vaccines, Adjuvants, Screens, CpG, R848, High Throughput, Vinblastine,

52 Cytometry, AlphaLISA, Immunoassay.

53

54 Introduction

55 Other than clean drinking water, vaccines are the most impactful public health intervention 56 in history. The World Health Organization estimates that childhood vaccines have eliminated 57 diseases like measles and rubella from >80 countries and prevent ~2.5 million deaths each year 58 (MacDonald et al., 2020). In the United States, the economic burden of vaccine-preventable 59 diseases was \$9 billion in 2015 alone (Ozawa et al., 2016). However, the recent emergence of 60 the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has precipitated a global 61 pandemic, which has resulted in >500 million cases and nearly six million deaths as of April 2022. 62 Early estimates anticipate nearly ~\$16 trillion in economic losses from this pandemic (Cutler and 63 Summers, 2020). Overall, there remains an unmet need for novel vaccines to protect against 64 microbes for which there are no currently approved immunizations (e.g., respiratory syncitial virus, 65 human immunodeficiency virus) or for which improved vaccines are needed (e.g., pertussis, 66 tuberculosis, influenza, coronavirus).

67 Several challenges exist in the modern vaccine development, including waning immunity 68 and the need to vaccinate immunologically distinct populations such as older adults. The 69 protection conferred by vaccines for a range of infections such as influenza, mumps, and pertussis 70 wanes over time (Cohen, 2019). Waning immunity raises a deeper challenge, considering the 71 prevalence of antigenic drift common in the influenza virus (Collier et al., 2021) and the high 72 mutability of certain pathogens, such as emerging variants of SARS-CoV-2 (Lauring and Malani, 73 2021). Even vaccines capable of conferring broad protection against these heterovariant strains 74 may not be sufficiently effective in individuals with distinct immunity, such as the

immunocompromised, neonates/infants, and older adults (Angelidou et al., 2020; Collier *et al.*,
2021; Pettengill et al., 2016; van Haren et al., 2016b)

77 These challenges highlight the need for novel adjuvants that enhance the immunogenicity 78 and effectiveness of vaccines (Nanishi et al., 2020; O'Hagan and Valiante, 2003; Pulendran et 79 al., 2021; Reed et al., 2013). Adjuvants represent a broad class of vaccine components that 80 improve vaccine efficacy, stability, and/or durability. Of these broad class of adjuvants, a subset 81 of small molecules function to improve the immunogenicity of vaccines by activating toll-like 82 receptors (TLRs) on antigen presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells or macrophages 83 (Pulendran et al., 2021). This activity stimulates innate immune responses, including the induction 84 of proinflammatory cytokines. This innate immune activation then helps promote the efficacy and 85 durability of the adaptive immune responses, from improved antibody (Ab) functionality and 86 magnitude to enhanced CD4⁺ T helper cell responses (Burny et al., 2017; Francica et al., 2017; 87 Petitdemange et al., 2019).

88 The most longstanding adjuvants are aluminum salts (alum), which induce a robust 89 humoral response in a safe and cost-effective manner (Kool et al., 2012). However, alum has 90 limited ability to elicit strong T helper 1 (Th1) cellular immunity, instead skewing largely toward a 91 Th2 response, especially in a pediatric context (Dowling and Levy, 2015). This skew limits the 92 effectiveness and applicability of alum as an adjuvant in vaccines against intracellular pathogens 93 (Oleszycka et al., 2018). These limitations have precipitated the development of novel adjuvant 94 systems, including squalene-in-water-emulsions, monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA), and other 95 small molecule combinations (Reed et al., 2013). Growing the adjuvant pipeline by providing a 96 consortium of immunostimulatory profiles will enable development of more precise, adaptable, 97 and effective vaccine formulations (Soni et al., 2020b) (NIAID, 2018).

High-throughput screening (HTS) is a powerful approach for the discovery of new adjuvants. HTS enables rapid and effective investigation of the immunomodulatory profiles of thousands of small molecules to identify potential adjuvanticity. Most successful screening

101 campaigns leverage well-defined cell lines to discover immunomodulatory qualities using very 102 precise but limited measurements (Hu et al., 2021; Spangenberg et al., 2021; Wong et al., 2015). 103 While these screens yield precise and controlled results, they do not always accurately capture 104 the fuller diversity of the immune system since these non-diverse cell lines cannot recapitulate 105 the full human immune response. Human primary immune cells may more accurately model the 106 diverse human immune system by enabling the simultaneous study of a variety of relevant cell 107 types. However, several challenges have been identified in screening paradigms that leverage 108 primary cells (Dunne et al., 2009), contributing to the dearth of primary cell screening campaigns. 109 Innate immune activation is important to robust responses across a range of vaccines 110 (Fourati et al., 2021), providing a conceptual basis for an adjuvant screen based on biomarkers 111 of innate immune activation. In vitro systems that leverage human leukocytes can accurately 112 model and have predicted the action of adjuvants and vaccines in vivo (Levy et al., 2006; Nanishi 113 et al., 2022; Oh et al., 2016; Philbin et al., 2012; Sanchez-Schmitz et al., 2018). Similarly, the 114 utilization of autologous plasma, which contains age-specific soluble mediators of immunity, may 115 help capture relevant leukocytic responses (England et al., 2021; Pettengill et al., 2014). Here, 116 we describe a robust methodology for HTS utilizing human PBMCs cultured in autologous plasma 117 for multiplexed soluble (TNF, IFN γ and IL-10) and cellular (CD80/86, Ox40, and HLA-DR) 118 biomarkers, through which we identified four leading hits that were validated and titratable in vitro, 119 with two of these compounds demonstrating adjuvanticity in vivo.

- 120
- 121
- 122
- 123
- 124
- 125

126 **Results**

127 Initial Primary Cell Screen Identifies 197 Hits

128 To discover novel adjuvant candidates, we screened 2,296 compounds from seven 129 distinct library plates to assess their potential immunostimulatory gualities. We stimulated 130 cryopreserved human PBMCs from four young adult study participants (aged 23-27) with these 131 compounds for 72 hours (Figure 1A-B). To capture the diversity of immunological profiles and 132 activity, we used a multiplexed readout platform that captured cellular receptor activity of B cells, 133 T cells, and monocytes using flow cytometry. We also measured cytokine production (TNF, IFN-134 y, and IL-10) using AlphaLISA technology (Figure 1C). This multi-analyte system captures 135 diverse dimensions of soluble cytokine/interferon primary innate immune responses while also 136 enabling the discovery of cellular subtype activation and maturation. This comprehensive 137 soluble and cellular approach is particularly attractive since effective adjuvants activate both 138 innate and adaptive immunity (Coffman et al., 2010; Sui et al., 2010).

139 Using a compound concentration of 33 μ M, hits were identified as activating zero (black), 140 one (yellow), two (green), three (blue) or four (red) participant samples, with Z scores ranging 141 from $\sim 2 - 30$ for cytokine induction (Figure 2A), $\sim 2 - 4$ for monocyte activation/maturation (Figure 142 2B), $\sim 2 - 60$ for T-cell activation (Figure 2C), $\sim 2 - 15$ for B-cell activation/maturation (Figure 2D). 143 This screen initially identified 197 compounds that demonstrated a sufficient immunomodulatory 144 characteristic in any of the readouts for > 2 of the 4 study participants, providing a hit rate of 8.6%145 (Figure 2E). Using TNF as an example readout, 89 compounds hit on one donor sample (yellow), 146 21 hit on two (green), six hit on three (blue) and four hit on four (red) (Figure 2A). When 147 considering both receptor-based and cytokine readouts, these 197 compounds demonstrated 148 non-uniform and variable immunological activity (Figure 2A-E). Similarly, 65 compounds (2.8%) 149 of these 197 (8.6%) were active in >3 of the 4 study participants and only 15 (0.65%) were active 150 in all 4 participants (Figure 2E). The strong negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.9958$) between number

of study participants and a natural log transformed potential hit rate explains a negative, exponential relationship between these two factors. There were exponentially fewer hit compounds as sample size increased, reflecting the diversity of immune capabilities and responsiveness represented in the human population (Tsuchiya and Ohashi, 2015).

155 Typically, biological variability is substantially greater than technical variability (Blainey et 156 al., 2014). For the same reason of variability within the population, a demonstration of consistency 157 and reproducibility of the results is imperative. To this end, we tested each compound twice and 158 measured absolute value differences between technical replicates (i.e., if compound X induces Y 159 (replicate 1) and Z (replicate 2) pg/ml TNF, the variability will be calculated at |Y-Z|). Across all 160 study participants, compound-induced biomarkers demonstrated a high level of reproducibility, 161 with most absolute value differences between identical wells aggregating near zero (Figures 3A-162 D). Of the readouts measured, IFNy and IL-10 (Figures 3B-C) demonstrated the greatest 163 reproducibility between replicates, followed by TNF (Figure 3 A), while combined flow cytometry 164 demonstrates the least (Figure 3D). Similarly, across all assay plates, most positive (R848) and 165 negative (DMSO) control values present coefficient of variance values < 50 (Figure 3E). Even if 166 the hit-calling methodology adjusts and accounts for outliers, these data indicate that the results 167 are highly consistent and reliable across all readout systems.

168

169 Hit Confirmation Assays Reconfirm 19 Compounds from Screen

To validate the potential hits attained from the initial screen, we re-tested the 197 potential hit compounds using the same quantitative assays as the initial screen. Results from this confirmation screen informed the downselection of 20 compounds for their ability to induce a Z score >2 for cytokine production (Figure 4A) and/or expression of both monocytic cell-surface antigens (Figure 4B). Bearing in mind the key role of monocytes in regulating both innate and adaptive immunity (Leon and Ardavin, 2008; Varga and Foell, 2018), monocyte receptor expression was prioritized over the other flow cytometry-based measurements. To capture this

177 dual function, compounds were evaluated for their ability to induce expression of the cell-surface 178 antigens CD80/86 and HLA-DR. These complexes play an immunostimulatory role in T-cell 179 activation (Boussiotis et al., 1993; Cheadle, 1993; Fleischer et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2018) and 180 can skew the immune system towards Th1 or Th2 differentiation (Slavik et al., 1999), suggesting 181 potential clinical relevance for adjuvanticity (Dhar et al., 2003; Martins et al., 2015) and a possible 182 association with APC maturation (Dowling et al., 2008). As a result, only these 20 compounds 183 that demonstrated the ability to cause sufficient induction of both CD80/86 and HLA-DR 184 expression (Z score >2) were selected for further screening. Confirmation of one biomarker did 185 not necessarily correspond to confirmation of other biomarkers (Supplementary Figure 1). 186 Further, not all hits in the primary screen were validated in the confirmation assays, likely due to 187 the more stringent validation parameters. With each successive confirmation or validation 188 experiment, compounds were downselected with increasingly rigorous standards.

189

190 Concentration Titration and Cytokine QA Assays Identify Four Lead Hits

The 19 compounds validated in the hit confirmation experiment were next re-arrayed onto separate plates from their original chemical library plates, and tested at concentrations ranging from $0.25 - 33 \mu$ M. For this portion of the screen, we carefully chose a panel of six cytokines, three as a confirmation from the primary screen and three associated with DC maturation and Thpolarization (Arango Duque and Descoteaux, 2014; Striz et al., 2014). Initially, at the therapeutically relevant dosage of 11 μ M, four compounds (Figure 4C, Blue) were identified as lead candidates due to consistent performances across these cytokine biomarkers.

These four lead compounds were lexibulin, amphotericin B, silmitasertib, and vinblastine sulfate (Figure 5). At maximal efficacy, all four candidates demonstrated a clear separation from the other compounds (Figure 4C). Additionally, these compounds demonstrated an important concentration-dependent response for many of the cytokines measured (Figure 5). As a result of this demonstrated efficacy, titratability, and potency, these four compounds were chosen as lead

203 adjuvant candidates. The selection criteria prioritized efficacy and titratability while maintaining a 204 lower floor for potency (Supplementary Table 2). This decision accounted for the importance of 205 potency, as measured by Log EC_{50} , in ensuring any true adjuvants could be administered at 206 therapeutically relevant dosages while also aiming to find compounds that could potentially induce 207 a clinically relevant response. Overall, TNF was the most effectively induced cytokine, with 208 lexibulin demonstrating the largest maximal efficacy of the downselected candidates (3867 209 pg/mL), followed by silmitasertib (1627 pg/mL), vinblastine (1622 pg/mL), and lastly amphotericin 210 B (844.8 pa/mL).

Of note, one compound, not included in this list of four lead adjuvant candidates, triciribine phosphate, demonstrated very high efficacy in parts of the initial screen but did not perform well in downselection assays. Triciribine had significantly greater activity in nearly all of the AlphaLISA assays when compared to the next highest compound, demonstrating ~thousand-fold greater activity in the confirmation experiment (Supplementary Figure 2). However, it had little activity in the multiplexed dose titration assays, including TNF induction (Supplementary Figure 3).

217 To investigate the source of this discrepancy, we tested triciribine with the other four 218 adjuvant candidates in a quality assurance (QA) assay. In typical AlphaLISA assays, donor beads 219 specifically bind to the cytokine of interest and acceptor beads attach to the same cytokine at a 220 different site. When both beads come into close proximity to one another, a light wave is emitted, 221 enabling measurements of overall luminescence to capture the concentration of the cytokine 222 (Figure 6A). In this QA assay, compounds were tested in varying sets of conditions with respect 223 to the assay reagents: with only the acceptor beads (Figure 6B), without any beads (i.e., 224 molecules only) (Figure 6C), with only the donor beads (Figure 6D), or with both sets of beads 225 (Figure 6E).

In all conditions, triciribine demonstrated a significantly larger luminescence (p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA) when compared to all other tested compounds (Figure 6B-E). In fact, even without any reagent materials from the AlphaLISA assay, triciribine demonstrated appreciable

luminescence (Figure 6C). This result suggests that triciribine likely functioned as an acceptor bead mimetic such that when it is excited with 680 nm light wave, the compound emits a 615 nm light wave that is picked up by the plate reader as an artificial signal (Figure 6D). As a result, triciribine represents a false positive for the cytokine measurements, as shown by this quality assurance assay. No similar trends were seen with other compounds, which further corroborated the adjuvant potential of these compounds.

235

Post-HTS Evaluation of Adjuvantation

To further advance and validate these screening results in an accepted assay system, we tested the four adjuvant candidates as well as triciribine for TNF induction using a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). As expected, the four adjuvant candidates demonstrated a sufficient TNF induction at a therapeutically relevant dosage of 11 μ M (Figure 7A) and clear titratability (Figure 7B), thereby confirming the results of the previous rounds of screening. This result justified the examination of these compounds for potential adjuvanticity *in vivo*.

244 We chose the top compound that demonstrated an appropriate efficacy and potency, 245 vinblastine sulfate, for in vivo investigation. This compound was formulated with spike protein and 246 administered in a 14-day prime-boost regimen (Figure 7C). At day 14, the vinblastine-adjuvanted 247 aroup elicited significantly higher levels of anti-spike IgG than the spike-alone and spike-alum 248 vaccine conditions [geometric mean titers (GMTs) of 308, 85, and 101, respectively; p < 0.0001] 249 (Figure 7D). By Day 28, both the vinblastine-adjuvanted group (3992 GMT) and the spike-alum 250 formulated group (6815 GMT) demonstrated greater anti-spike Ab titers than the spike-alone 251 group (938 GMT). Of note, when compared to the spike-alum formulated group, the vinblastine-252 adjuvanted group demonstrated superior Ab titer production at Day 14 (p<0.0001) and non-253 inferior Ab titers at Day 28, (Figure 7E).

254

255

256 **Discussion**

257 Novel vaccine formulations are some of the most impactful and effective strategies against 258 the emergence and spread of infectious diseases, as illustrated by the current SARS-CoV-2 259 pandemic. However, current vaccine development pipelines cost an estimated \$1-2 billion per 260 formulation and can take decades to reach FDA approval (Light et al., 2009; Oyston and 261 Robinson, 2012). Adjuvant discovery and formulation contribute to this financial and temporal 262 bottleneck, largely due to the difficulty in finding sufficiently immunogenic, safe, and durable 263 components that can improve vaccine efficacy. Phenotypic screens, which probe biologically 264 relevant systems to discover novel phenotypes or functionality of compounds within a tested 265 library, can aid this expensive and difficult discovery process (Moffat et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 266 2013). However, there have been very few successful phenotypic screening campaigns for the 267 discovery of immunomodulatory compounds, much less for adjuvant discovery. Further, the few 268 reported HTS campaigns incorporate single, well defined cell lines, limiting the biological 269 relevance of their results. Here, we discuss a novel methodology and screening campaign that 270 successfully leverages human primary cells to enable the identification of four screening hits 271 demonstrating immunomodulation, with one compound presenting adjuvanticity. This 272 methodology proffers a relatively cheap, fast, and effective strategy for adjuvant discovery.

Our screen identified four screening hits (Supplementary Table 3) that are known medications and bioactives used in a non-vaccine context. Of these, lexibulin, triciribine, and silmitasertib have known antiviral properties (Bouhaddou et al., 2020; Kalkeri et al., 2020; Porcari et al., 2003). Consistient with our findings, another hit, amphotericin, has been proposed as a TLR2 agonist adjuvant (Salyer et al., 2016). Our screen also identified our lead screening hit vinblastine sulfate, a vinka alkaloid extracted from the flowering herb *Vinca minor* (periwinkle) that prevents cell division by binding microtubular proteins in the mitotic spindle. While typically

conceived of as an inhibitor of mitosis, vinblastine sulfate has also been noted to enhance DC
 maturation (Tanaka et al., 2009), consistent with our findings.

282 While phenotypic screens have been proposed to expand the pipeline of 283 immunostimulatory adjuvants (Tom et al., 2019), few successful screens have been reported 284 (Buckner et al., 2006; Garcia-Cordero et al., 2013; Wong et al., 2015). Further, these existing 285 adjuvant-oriented screens exclusively utilize single, well defined cell lines, such as murine 286 macrophage reporter cell lines or cultured bone marrow-derived dendritic cells. This approach 287 enables the study of precise readouts and conditions in a reproducible and readily scalable 288 manner, which is attractive considering the high levels of variability inherent in many screening 289 paradigms (Ding et al., 2017; Fallahi-Sichani et al., 2013). However, the major limitation of this 290 approach is that it fails to represent the robust and diverse functionality of the immune system, 291 instead de-contextualizing cellular functions from the nuanced and highly interactive systems that 292 exist in natural immunity.

293 Using human primary cells, as opposed to these conventional and non-diverse samples, 294 enables the study of a variety of cell types and therefore provides a more robust and complete 295 immunological profile of screened compounds. Notably, PBMCs include a diverse range of human 296 immune cells including monocytes, dendritic cells, macrophages, T cells, B cells, and natural killer 297 cells (Kleiveland, 2015). This cell composition captures not only the adaptive immune response 298 from T and B cells also the innate immune response mounted by monocytes, NK cells, and 299 importantly DCs. Monocytes, macrophages, and DCs are largely responsible for TLR-mediated 300 responses in immunological contexts (Kawasaki and Kawai, 2014). However, TLR expression 301 patterns have been demonstrated to be largely variable, including amongst these cell subtypes 302 (Applequist et al., 2002; Zarember and Godowski, 2002). Thus, PBMCs can be valuable models 303 of investigation in screening paradigms due to their capacity to advantageously capture broad 304 TLR activity (Slavik et al., 1999) while also capturing the adaptive immune response mediated by

innate immune activation. In turn, this approach may therefore enable more relevant and effectiveidentification of adjuvant candidates in screening campaigns.

307 In our HTS platform, we tested 2,296 compounds, identified 197 hits, confirmed and 308 downselected to 20 compounds, finalized four robust immunostimulatory leads, and tested the 309 top candidate for *in vivo* adjuvanticity. When formulated with spike protein and tested in a murine 310 in vivo model, the adjuvant candidate, vinblastine sulfate, enhanced humoral immune responses, 311 as demonstrated by increased Ab titers compared to spike protein alone. Remarkably, this titer 312 was significantly greater than the anti-spike Ab titers induced by an alum and spike formulation at 313 Day 14 and was non-inferior to the alum-adjuvanted formulation at Day 28. Thus, starting from 314 ~2,500 compounds, this HTS program identified a compound, vinblastine sulfate, that performed 315 comparably in vivo to the AH adjuvant benchmark. Of note, despite using human primary cells in 316 a context of high-content HTS, the results were highly consistent, suggesting that our approach 317 was able to control the added biological variability of utilizing primary cells while enabling the 318 discovery of small molecule adjuvants.

319 Overall, our innovative screening approach presents clear advantages and strengths, 320 including: (a) providing a rapid, reliable, and cost-effective system capable of testing 321 immunomodulatory profiles of thousands of small molecules; (b) using an unbiased human 322 primary immune cell screening platform, including the use of autologous plasma, a rich source of 323 immunomodulatory factors (Pettengill et al., 2014; Sanchez-Schmitz et al., 2020; van Haren et 324 al., 2016a), to enable more informative HTS evaluations as compared to more commonly 325 leveraged cell lines; and (c) demonstrating system efficacy through the identification of three novel 326 adjuvant candidates. Limitations of this methodology include: (a) inherent variability of human 327 primary cells as compared to cell lines due to the diversity of human immune responses; (b) 328 limited diversity of immunological readouts; and (c) utilization of chemical plates of known 329 bioactive compounds, which have higher baseline likelihood of immunological activity.

Neverthless, this methodology establishes the validity, potential, and precedent for larger-scale
 screens using human primary cells for adjuvant discovery.

Our screening methodology provides considerable flexibility in measuring soluble (eq. cytokine) and cell-associated (e.g., surface receptor) biomarkers. This powerful and innovative approach enables future screens that tailor soluble and cellular biomarkers for optimized discovery and precise functionality without sacrificing the added depth of information that comes from testing human primary cells. In future screens, more diverse and novel chemical libraries can be tested to discover truly novel small molecule adjuvants and further develop the existing library of approved and available adjuvants (Pulendran et al., 2021). These opportunities will hopefully allow researchers to push adjuvant discovery and formulation development to progress in parallel with our growing knowledge of prevalent infectious diseases.

356 Stars Methods

357 Key resource table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
CD134 (OX40) Antibody, anti- human, APC, REAfinity™ (Clone- REA621)	Miltenyi Biotec	Order Number: 130-122-227
CD80 Antibody, anti-human, PE, REAfinity™ (Clone- REA661)	Miltenyi Biotec	Order Number: 130-123-253
CD19 Antibody, anti-human, VioBlue, REAfinity™ (Clone- REA675)	Miltenyi Biotec	Order Number: 130-120-031
HLA-DR Antibody, anti- human, FITC, REAfinity™(Clone- REA805)	Miltenyi Biotec	Order Number: 130-111-788
CD86 Antibody, anti-human, PE, REAfinity™ (Clone- REA968)	Miltenyi Biotec	Order Number: 130-116-160
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse	Southern Biotec	Catalog Number #1036-05
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG1	Southern Biotec	Catalog Number #1071-05
HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2c	Southern Biotec	Catalog Number #1036-05
Chemicals, peptides, and rec	ombinant proteins	
Full-length SARS-CoV-2	Barney S. Graham (NIH	GenBank: <u>MN90894</u>
Spike glycoprotein	Vaccine Research Center)	
Dimethyl Sulfoxide ACS	MP Biomedicals	Catalog Number: 191418
Dimethyl Sulfoxide-D6	Sigma-Aldrich	Product Number: 1.03424
Paraformaldehyde, 16% w/v aq. Soln., methanol free	Alfa Aesar	Stock Number: 43368
Sterile Water for Irrigation, USP, 100mL	Baxter International	SKU: 2F7114
Reagent Alcohol (Denatured Alcohol), 70% (v/v)	Ricca Chemical Company	Catalog Number: 2546.70-1
UltraPure [™] Distilled Water	Invitrogen	Reference Number: 10977-015
100% PEG-300	Fisher Scientific	Catalog Number: NC0630366
0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection, USP	Hospira, Inc.	Item Code: NDC00409-4888-03
Tween-80	Fisher Scientific	Catalog Number: NC1629718
Heparin Sodium Injection, 10,000 USP units per 10 mL	Fresenius Kabi	Item Code: NDC63323-540-05
Ficoll-Paque [™] Sterile Solution	Cytiva	Item Code: 17544203
Resiquimod	Fisher Scientific	Item Code: 501493020
ODN2395	Fisher Scientific	Catalog Number: NC9909905

Amphotericin B	Fisher Scientific	Item Code: 501874070					
	Fisher Scientific	Item Code: 501968239					
Triciribine Phosphate	Fisher Scientific	Item Code: 501360934					
Silmitasertib	Fisher Scientific	Item Code: 501873883					
Dulbecco's Modified Fagle	Gibco	Reference Number: 10566-106					
Medium		Treference Humber: 10300-100					
Trypan Blue Stain (0.4%)	Gibco	Reference Number: 15250-061					
Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline	Gibco	Reference Number: 14190-144					
BD OptEIA [™] Substrate Reagent Set	BC Biosciences	Manufacturer ID: BD 555214					
Tween-20	Fisher Scientific	Catalog Number: BP337-500					
Bovine Serum Albumin	Sigma-Aldrich	Product Number: A7030-500a					
Sulfuric Acid	Millipore Sigma	Catalog Number: ååSX1244-5					
UltraPure [™] 0.5 M EDTA, pH	Invitrogen	Reference Number: 15575-038					
8.0	C C						
Critical commercial assays							
TNF-α AlphaLISA Detection	PerkinElmer	Product Number: AL208F					
Kit, 5,000 Assay Points							
IFN-γ AlphaLISA Detection	PerkinElmer	Product Number: AL217F					
Kit, 5,000 Assay Points							
IL-10 AlphaLISA Detection	PerkinElmer	Product Number: AL218F					
Kit, 5,000 Assay Points							
Human TNF alpha Uncoated	Invitrogen	Reference Code: 88-7346-88					
ELISA Assay Kit							
Customized Fluorometric	Sigma-Aldrich	Product Code: HCYTA-60K					
bead-based array Multiplex							
kit							
Experimental models: Organisms/strains							
BALB/cJ mice	Jackson laboratory	Stock #000651					
Software and algorithms							
GraphPad Prism v8.3.1 for	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com					
	Destan Diskingen 8	https://www.flowie.com					
	Becton Dickinson &	nups://www.nowjo.com					
B 14 1 0	R Coro Toom	https://www.r.project.org					
r v4.1.0		nups.//www.r-project.org					

358

359 **Resource Availability**

360 Lead Contact

361 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be

362 fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Dr. David Dowling (<u>David.dowling@childrens.harvard.edu</u>).

364 Materials availability

365 This study did not generate new unique reagents.

366

367 Data and Code Availabilåity

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this article is
 available from the lead contact upon request.
- 371

372 Experimental Model and Subject Details

373 Human Peripheral Blood Samples

374 Peripheral blood (PB) was collected from healthy adult volunteers (n = 4 individual participants; 375 Age and Sex: 23F, 25M, 27F, 27F) with approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Boston 376 Children's Hospital (protocol number X07-05-0223). Blood was anticoagulated with 1000 United 377 States Pharmacopeia (USP) units heparin per mL of blood (Fresenius Kabi, Bad Homburg, 378 Germany). Heparinized human blood was layered onto Ficoll-Hypague gradients and the 379 mononuclear cell (MC) layer was collected. PBMCs were washed 2 times with phosphate buffered 380 saline (PBS), and live cell count determined using trypan blue (Sigma Aldrich; St Louis, MO). 381 PBMCs were stored at -80°C with 50 million cells/1 mL for a total 1 mL per cryopreservation tube, 382 with the liquid phase constituting 90% autologous plasma and 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). 383 After overnight freezing at 80°C in isopropanol-insulated containers to ensure linear freezing, the 384 tubes were moved to long term liquid nitrogen storage. All study participants signed an informed 385 consent form prior to enrollment.

386

387 Animals

388 3-month-old female BALB/c mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME).

389 Mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions at Boston Children's Hospital, and all

390 the procedures were approved under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)

- 391 and operated under the supervision of the Department of Animal Resources at Children's
- 392 Hospital (ARCH) (Protocol number 19-02-3897R).
- 393

394 Method Details

Human PBMC Preparation for Screening

396 Cryopreserved human PBMCs were thawed for screening use. First, autologous plasma was 397 thawed and centrifuged (25°C, 3000 x g, 10 min). Cryopreserved cells were thawed in a 37°C 398 water bath for 3 min. 1 mL of autologous heparinized plasma was added to the tubes of 399 cryopreserved cells and the cells were transferred to a 50 mL conical tube. Then, 3 mL of 400 autologous plasma and 45 mL of DMEM were added dropwise as the tube was swirled. The cells 401 were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min and resuspended to 10 mL in DMEM with 10% autologous 402 plasma, stained with trypan blue (Sigma Aldrich), and counted using a hemocytometer. Once 403 counted, PBMCs were diluted in DMEM supplemented with 10% autologous plasma to a 404 concentration of 50,000 cells/30 µL (1.67 x 10⁶ cells/mL). PBMCs in 10% autologous plasma were 405 then added to 384 well Corning 3656 microplates (Corning, Corning, NY) using a Multidrop Combi 406 Reagent Dispenser (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA).

407

408 **Compound Libraries and Pinning**

2,296 molecules were screened (Supplementary Table 1) and derived from the rolling and curated
ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility at Harvard Medical School. All plates used a 384 well format.
704 molecules were chosen for known activity toward PBMCs; these molecules filled up two
library plates and originated from the ChemDiv6 library (ChemDiv Inc, San Diego, CA). Another

413 1592 molecules were chosen from the Selleck bioactive chemical plates (Selleck Chemicals LLC. 414 Houston, TX). The compounds (stored at 10 mM in dessicated conditions) were pinned at a 415 volume of 100 nL, for a final concentration of 33 µM in a 384 well format. 5 µL of 0.3% 416 DMSO(Millipore, Burlington, MA) diluted in DMEM, the negative control, and the TLR9 agonist 417 ODN2395 and the TLR7/8 agonist R848 (both from Invivogen, San Diego, CA), the positive 418 controls, were added to the wells manually for final concentrations of 1µM and 25 µM respectively. 419 PBMCs were then stimulated for 72 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified ThermoScientific 420 Forma CO₂ Incubator (Waltham, MA).

421

422 Supernatant Collection

After 72 hours, plates were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 25°C with low brake, and supernatants collected using an Agilent Velocity 11 VPrep. 15 μ L of supernatant were removed and transferred to an Eppendorf twin.tec PCR Plate 384 (Cat. 951020729, Hamburg, Germany). Plates were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 seconds and three aliquots of 2 μ L of supernatant transferred to PerkinElmer AlphaPlate 6005359 (Waltham, MA) twice (using up a total of 4 μ l of the supernatant). The three AlphaPlates were sealed with aluminum plate sealers and stored at -80°C.

430

431 **Preparation for Flow Cytometry**

After supernatants were harvested, plates with cells were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 4°C
and the remaining supernatant removed. Using a Combi reagent dispenser, 20 μL of cold 250
mM EDTA was added to each well. Plates were shaken using a Labline 4625 Titer Plate Shaker
(Labline Instruments, Melrose Park, IL) at 700 rpm for 10 min and then centrifuged at 750 g for
10 minutes at 4°C. EDTA was removed and cells were washed with 30 μL of PBS. After the wash,
an antibody cocktail consisting of Miltenyi REAfinity Recombinant antibodies HLA-DR Ox40 APC

REA621 (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) was diluted 1:100 in PBS and 5 µL were added to each well using the Combi reagent dispenser. Plates were incubated at 4°C for 1 hr prior to washing cells with 40 µL PBS. Plates were centrifuged at 750 g and 20 µL of 1% paraformaldehyde was added to each well. Plates were then stored at 4°C. Before acquisition of cells by flow cytometry (which occurred at least one day but no longer than a week post fixation), plates were centrifuged at 750 g for 10 min at 4°C, paraformaldehyde removed via a wash and 20 µL of PBS was added.

445 Flow Cytometry on iQue

High-throughput flow cytometry was completed using a Sartorius IntelliCyt iQue3 with 384 well
cellular acquisition, employing iQue Forecyt Software (Essen BioScience, Ann Harbor, MI).
Acquisition was designed to analyze populations of monocytes, B cells, and T cells, with the
following percent positive metrics calculated for each well: HLA-DR⁺ Monocytes, CD80/CD86⁺
Monocytes, HLA-DR/CD80/CD86⁺ Monocytes, HLA-DR⁺ B Cells, CD80/CD86⁺ B Cells, HLADR/CD80/CD86⁺ B Cells, and Ox40⁺ T cells. Supplementary Figure 4 describes the typical gating
strategy.

453

454 **Cytokine Quantification for the PBMC Screen**

455 Concentrations of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF), interferon gamma (IFN-y), and interleukin 456 10 (IL-10) were quantified in the supernatant from the PBMCs using an AlphaLISA assay 457 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). AlphaPlates containing 2 µL of supernatant were thawed at 25°C 458 for 15 minutes. The provided assay procedure was followed, and the reagents were dispensed 459 using a Combi reagent dispenser. In brief, for TNF, Anti-TNF α Acceptor beads and Biotinylated 460 Antibody Anti-TNFa Mix were prepared per the manufacturer's instructions and 8 µL of this 461 cocktail were added to all wells. The plates were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 25°C with low 462 brake to bring the reagents to the well bottom. Plates were covered but not sealed and incubated

463 in the dark at 25°C for 1 hr. Streptavadin Donor bead mixture was prepared per the manufacturer's 464 instructions and 10 µL were added to all wells. Plates were covered with another plate and 465 incubated at 25°C in the dark for 30 minutes. For IFN-v, the same procedure was followed except 466 for the reagents in the respective kit. For IL-10, the mixes were prepared and 2 µL of the Anti-IL-467 10 Acceptor beads and Biotinylated Antibody Anti IL-10 Mix was added to each well. The plates 468 were incubated for 60 min and then 16 µL of the Streptavadin Donor bead mixture was added to 469 each plate. Plates were read using a PerkinElmer Envision Plate Reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, 470 MA) according to the following specifications: A1-384 aperture, Mirror Module Barcode 444, EMS 471 filter Barcode 244 (570nm).

472

473 Hit Calling Methodology

474 All well-based data from the high throughput AlphaLISA luminescence readings were exported 475 as comma-separate values (CSV) files from the Envision Plate Reader. High throughput flow 476 cytometry data were analyzed employing iQue ForeCyt analysis software and results exported as 477 CSV files. Data were initially analyzed and transformed by the quality assurance team at ICCB-478 L. Statistical analyses were conducted on a plate-by-plate basis. A Z' factor was calculated for 479 each assay plate based on positive controls (25 µM R848 and 1 µM ODN2395) in column 24 and 480 negative controls (0.3% DMSO) in column 23. Prior to hit calling for the luminescence readings 481 from all AlphaLISA readouts, luminescent intensity values were log₁₀-transformed and the median 482 of each plate calculated. The absolute difference between the log₁₀ of each value and the median 483 of the corresponding plate was calculated. The median of the absolute differences was also 484 calculated. From the median and median absolute difference, a z-score based on the \log_{10} of 485 each value was calculated. For hit calling criteria, if the z-score was >2 for both duplicates, the 486 molecule was considered a hit for that donor in that readout. The hit calling methodology for the 487 percent positive readings from the high-throughput flow cytometry was identical to the 488 luminescence readings except that instead of log₁₀, the raw percent positive was used in the

calculation of the plate median, median absolute difference, and z-score. Each of the 2,296 compounds screened in the PBMC screen was tested in duplicate for each of the four donors. A molecule was registered as a potential hit for a particular donor if any of the readouts registered the molecule as a hit. Further, a molecule was moved onto the confirmation screen if it registered as a potential hit in two of the four donors.

494

495 Confirmation Screen Readouts and Hit Calling

496 To more closely align with the results seen in the primary screen, PBMCs from the same four 497 donors were utilized. PBMCs were thawed, cultured in 10% autologous plasma and 90% DMEM, 498 and plated as in the primary screen. The 197 potential hit compounds were arrayed into a 499 polypropylene 384-well at a concentration of 10 mM and a volume of 1.5 µL. 13.5 µL of DMEM 500 was added to each well and 1 µL was then added to the plated PBMCs for a final concentration 501 of 33 µM, as per the primary screen. PBMCs were incubated with the molecules for 72 hr. 502 Harvesting of supernatants, cytokine assay, and flow cytometry were performed as in the primary 503 screen. As the hit calling method in the primary screen could not be employed (z score calculated 504 based on the entire plate would give inaccurate hits), a new method of z score calculation was 505 used. A z-score was determined for each value based on the average and standard deviation of 506 the negative control (DMSO). Accordingly, thresholds were adjusted so that a z-score > 3 in both 507 duplicates was a hit in that donor for a given readout. If a compound scored positive in one donor 508 for cytokine or monocyte readouts, regardless of whether this was the positive readout from the 509 primary screen, it was considered a confirmed hit and advanced to a dose titration confirmation.

510

511 AlphaLISA Quality Assurance Assay

512 For quality assurance (QA), we assessed whether hit molecules truly induced cytokine production 513 or were false positives by artefactually enhanced signal in the AlphaLISA assay. Confirmed

514 compounds were incubated with Anti-TNF Acceptor beads and Biotinylated Antibody Anti TNF 515 Mix and Streptavadin Donor beads, Anti-TNF Acceptor beads and Biotinylated Antibody Anti TNF 516 Mix only, or Streptavadin Donor beads at concentrations of 33 or 100 μ M and incubated per the 517 AlphaLISA protocol as above. For the conditions that only received one type of bead, buffer 518 without beads was added at the other time point (eg. Acceptor only condition received 10 μ L of 519 buffer instead of donor beads). Plates were read using an Envision Plate Reader per the following 520 specifications: A1-384 aperture, Mirror Module Barcode 444, EMS filter Barcode 244 (570nm).

521

522 **Dose Titration Confirmation**

523 PBMCs from the same four donors were used in order to align with the results seen in the primary 524 and confirmation screen. PBMCs were thawed and plated in the same manner as the primary and 525 confirmation screen. Using a Hewlett Packard HPD300e, compounds were serially diluted in 526 duplicate at 1:2 in an 8-point dose titration curve with a top concentration of 33µM and 0.3% 527 DMSO in each well. Controls included 0.3% DMSO, 1 µM ODN 2395, and 25 µM R848. PBMCs 528 (30µL, 50,000 per well) were then plated on top of the molecule using a Combi reagent dispenser. 529 PBMCs were incubated with the molecules for 72 hr prior to harvesting supernatants using an 530 Angilent Vprep. 10 µL of the supernatants were stored in Eppendorf twin.tec PCR Plates at -80°C 531 and subsequently analyzed for IFN-y, IL-1β, IL-10, IL-12p70, CXCL-10, and TNF using a 532 fluorometric bead-based array Multiplex kit (Millipore: Billerica, MA) and a Luminex Multiplex 533 Instrument (Millipore), following the manufacturer's recommendations.

534

535 Further in vitro and in vivo Assays

536 Cryopreserved PBMCs from seven additional study participants were used to evaluate the top hit 537 molecules in further *in vitro* assays. PBMCs were thawed in the same manner as the primary and 538 confirmation screens and diluted to 300,000 cells/180 μ L (1.67 x 10⁶ cells/mL). The top hit

539 molecules from the screen were run side-by-side with negative (1% DMSO) and positive (R848: 540 top 100µM) controls in an 8-point dose-response experiment starting at a top concentration of 541 100 µM and going down to 46 nM, with each molecule and R848 tested in triplicate for each donor. 542 DMSO was tested in duplicate per donor. 180 µL of cells and 20 µL of diluted compound were 543 added to a Falcon 353227 TC-treated U-Bottom 96 well plate (Corning, Corning NY). The cells 544 were then stimulated for 24 hours at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified ThermoScientific Forma CO₂ 545 Incubator (Waltham, MA). After 24 hours, the plates were spun down at 500 g for 10 minutes at 546 25°C with low brake and the supernatants were manually collected. TNF concentrations in the 547 supernatants were measured by ELISA (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, Cat# 88-7346).

548

The top adjuvant candidate, Vinblastine, was injected into mice at 50 nmol per treatment. Mice were injected with 1 μ g of full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (M1-Q1208, GenBank MN90895) formulated with or without candidate adjuvants. A mock treatment group received a 50 μ L injection of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Intramuscular injections were administered in the caudal thigh on days 0 and 14, and serum was collected 2 weeks following each immunization. Serum IgG, IgG1, and IgG2a concentrations were measured by antibody binding ELISA, using an established protocol.

556

557 Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses employed Prism v9.0.2 (GraphPad Software) and R software environment v4.0.4. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVAs followed by post-hoc Tukey's test for multiple comparisons in Figure 7. For all statistical significance: *p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01, **** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.001. Individual n values in figure 7A-B represents individual human samples from different study participants and individual n values in Figure 7D-E represent individual mice. Mean and SEM was used for all precision measures.

564 **Graphics**

- 565 Figures 1, 3E, 6A, and 7C were made using Biorender.com.
- 566

567 Acknowledgements

568 We thank the members of the BCH *Precision Vaccine Program* for helpful discussions. We thank 569 Drs. Kevin Churchwell, Gary Fleisher, David Williams, and Mr. August Cervini for their support of 570 the Precision Vaccines Program. D.J.D. thanks Ms. Siobhan McHugh, Ms. Geneva Boyer, Mrs. 571 Lucy Conetta and the staff of Lucy's Daycare, the staff the YMCA of Greater Boston, Bridging 572 Independent Living Together (BILT), Inc., and the Boston Public Schools for childcare and 573 educational support during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study was supported in part by US 574 National Institutes of Health National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) awards. 575 including Adjuvant Discovery (HHSN272201400052C and 75N93019C00044) and Development 576 (HHSN272201800047C) Program Contracts to O.L. D.J.D.'s laboratory is supported by NIH grant 577 (1R21AI137932-01A1), Adjuvant Discovery Program contract (75N93019C00044). The Precision 578 Vaccines Program is supported in part by the BCH Department of Pediatrics and the Chief 579 Scientific Office. The high throughput screen was conducted at the ICCB-Longwood Screening 580 Facility at Harvard Medical School and the authors thank the ICCB-L team for their support.

581

582 Author contributions

583 BL and KC designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments in addition to writing and editing 584 the manuscript. EN, TRO, MDL, DS, performed the experiments and edited the manuscript. JAS 585 provided design feedback and edited the manuscript. HSS and SDP expressed and purified 586 SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. AO and JBS provided design feedback and contributed to the 587 statistical analysis. OL, SVH, and DJD conceived the project, designed the experiments, and 588 edited the manuscript.

589

590 **Declaration of Interests**

- 591 SVH, OL, EN, TRO, and DJD are named inventors on vaccine adjuvant patents assigned to
- 592 Boston Children's Hospital. OL served has served as a paid consultant to Moody's Analytics and
- 593 the Mid-Size Bank Coalition of America. These commercial or financial relationships are unrelated
- to the current study.

596 Figures

597



598 Figure 1: Graphic overview of a multiplexed high throughput screen for novel 599 immunomodulators using human primary cells.

600 A. Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated and cryopreserved in 10% 601 DMSO and 90% autologous plasma for long-term liquid nitrogen storage. B. The cells were 602 thawed, cultured in 10% autologous plasma and 90% DMEM, and distributed onto a 384 well 603 plate. These wells stimulated with screening compounds dissolved in DMSO for a 72 hour 604 incubation at 37°C. C. PBMCs were fixated with antibodies for iQue advanced flow cytometry, 605 and cytokine concentrations measured in supernatants employing AlphaLISA. Hit compounds 606 from this initial screen were selected for confirmation assay. D. Confirmed hits were tested in a 607 quality assurance (QA) experiment by AlphaLISA and further tested in a dose titration and 608 multiplexed cytokine assay.





610 Figure 2: Overview of primary High Throughput Screen data.

611 A. Distribution of z scores, indicating induction of the cytokines (IFN, IL-10, and TNF). B. 612 Distribution of z scores, representing the percentage of monocytes with activated HLA-DR and/or 613 CD80/86 receptors. C. Distribution of z scores, representing the percentage of T-Cells with 614 activated Ox40 receptors. **D**. Distribution of z scores, representing the percentage of B-Cells with 615 activated HLA-DR and/or CD80/86 receptors. E. graphical representation of small molecule hit 616 rate in varying number of donors. natural log of hit rate. F. Strong negative correlation between 617 number of donors. Each dot represents one of the 2,296 compounds from the primary screen. 618 The dashed line represents the donor threshold of a z score of 2 and representative z scores 619 were chosen such that any compound above the threshold represents a hit in the screen.



620

621 Figure 3: Consistency of HTS results across study participants.

622 A-D. Distribution of absolute value differences between technical replicate values for cytokine 623 (TNF, IL-10, and IFN), and high-throughput flow cytometry results (CD80/86, HLA-DR. OX-40), 624 respectively. Each dot represents an individual absolute value difference between technical 625 replicates. Red lines indicate the quantile lines for the absolute value differences for each donor. 626 E. Distribution of the coefficient of variation (CV) for positive control compounds (R848 and CpG 627 ODN) across all study participants. Dotted lines represent thresholds of CV quality measures. 628 Dashed lines demarkate typically accepted thresholds for excellent (<10), good (<30), acceptable 629 (<50), and poor (>50) CV values.



631 Figure 4: Confirmation and titration of high throughput screening hits.

A. Distribution of z scores, representing the percentage of monocytes with activated HLA-DR
 and/or CD80/86 receptors. The dashed line represents the hit threshold of a z score of 2. All
 receptor combinations must be increased for a compound to be classified as a confirmed hit.

B. Distribution of z scores, representing production of IFN, IL-10, and TNF. The dashed line represents the hit threshold of a z score of 2. **C**. Rank order of mean cytokine induction (\pm SEM) at 11 µM for the 19 compounds selected for the multiplexed concentration titration assay based on the results of the monocyte receptor activation and cytokine induction in the confirmation experiment.



Figure 5: Experimental and compositional characteristics of the top four high throughput screen hits. Selection criteria for the top screening hits include demonstrations of titratability, robust efficacy in inducing multiple cytokines, and satisfactory potency. Chemical structure, well identification, and multiplexed concentration titration experimental data shown for these top screening hits: **A.** Lexibulin, **B.** Amphotericin, **C.** Silmitasertib, and **D.** Vinblastine.



647 Figure 6: Quality assurance assay identifies a false positive compound that is an acceptor

bead mimetic. Triciribine, a screening hit with low efficacy, low potency, and non-titratability, was 648 649 found to be a potential acceptor compound in the AlphaLISA assay. A. Schematic representation 650 of triciribine's acceptor bead mimetic functionality. **B-E**. Luminescence of leading screen hits under 651 different combinations of donor and acceptor bead additions. Results for each combination were 652 analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. For statistical significance calculations: p < 0.05 + p < 0.01, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.0001. **F**. 653 654 Luminescence of triciribine, under four AlphaLISA kit conditions summarized. Data for this 655 compound were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's test for multiple 656 comparison



Figure 7: Assessment of downselected high-throughput hits for *in vitro* and *in vivo* adjuvanticity. A. TNF induction of leading screen hits at 11 μM. Data for each combination were

660 analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Dunn's test for multiple comparison. Black 661 stars indicate comparison to the DMSO control group. N=10 per condition. B. TNF induction by 662 the four screening hits and triciribine phosphate for cryopreserved human PBMCs in a dosage-663 dependent manner. Results shown are mean ± SEM TNF concentration and fold change over 664 DMSO negative control. N=10 per condition. C. Schematic representation of the prime-boost 665 vaccination paradigm. Female 3-month-old BALB/c mice were immunized IM on days 0 and 14 666 with 1 µg of SARS-CoV-2 spike trimer. D. Anti-spike IgG titers at Day 14. Data for each 667 combination were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Turkey's test for multiple 668 comparisons. Blue-green stars indicate comparison to the spike-only control group. N=7 per 669 condition. E. Anti-spike IgG at Day 28. Data for each combination were analyzed by one-way 670 ANOVA followed by post-hoc Turkey's test for multiple comparison. Blue-green stars indicate 671 comparison to the spike-only control group. N=7 per condition. For statistical significance 672 calculations: **p* < 0.05 ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001, **** *p* < 0.0001



673 Supplementary Figures

675 Supplementary Figure 1: Triciribine Phosphate Historical AlphaLISA Performance

Triciribine average z score in each AlphaLISA assay is compared to the highest non-Triciribine z score in the corresponding experiment. While the raw z scores are calculated differentially in the cherry pick experiment and the primary screen, triciribine demonstrated a consistently sizeable luminescence that ranges from ~3 fold up to ~1000 fold greater than the next highest luminescence.



681



Human PBMCs were cultured in 90% DMEM and 10% autologous PPP and stimulated with triciribine phosphate at an 8-point concentration titration from 0.25 μM to 33 μM. Cytokine induction was measured using a Millipore multiplex assay. Evidently, Triciribine demonstrates a weak cytokine induction outside of the AlphaLISA assay system, indicating that Triciribine is a weak immunomodulator.



689 Supplementary Figure 3: UpSet Plot demonstrating Intersections of Biomarkers from the

690 **Confirmation Assay**

691 Frequencies of intersecting hit biomarkers demonstrate a non-uniform and non-predictive

692 relationship between biomarker system.



694 Supplementary Figure 4: Representative Gating Strategy

- 695 The gating strategy was used for the identification of activated or naiive B cells, T cells, and
- 696 monocytes. The activation markers used were HLA-DR and CD80/86 for monocytes and B cells
- 697 as well as Ox40 for T cells.
- 698

699 Supplementary Table 1: Screened Compounds

- The 2,296 compounds are identified by their compound name (if applicable), well and plate
- 701 identification, molar concentration, and chemical vendor.

Α	Cytokine	Log EC50	Log EC50 Rank	Max Efficacy (pg/mL)	Max Efficacy Rank	С	Cytokine	Log EC50	Log EC50 Rank	Max Efficacy (pg/mL)	Max Efficacy Rank
	TNF	2.414	6	3867	1		TNF	3.959	8	1627	3
	IL10	0.6176	9	12.23	2		IL10	0.9383	11	10.5	5
	IP10	0.4567	12	398.2	5		IP10	1.235	16	260.1	8
	IFN	0.1167	8	1897	3		IFN	-0.2688	3	112	8
	IL1β	0.2333	11	1140	3		IL1ß	0.6775	15	576.4	5
	IL12P70	1.295	14	5.871	2		IL12P70	-0.2816	3	2.806	8
в	Cytokine	Log EC50	Log EC50 Rank	Max Efficacy (pg/mL)	Max Efficacy Rank	D	Cytokine	Log EC50	Log EC50 Rank	Max Efficacy (pg/mL)	Max Efficacy Rank
_	TNF	5.955	11	844.8	5	_	TNF	0.0636	3	1622	3
	IL10	0.3946	7	10.72	4		IL10	0.4163	8	9.382	6
	IP10	-0.9525	2	71.715	17		IP10	-0.1818	8	82.49	16
	IFN	0.01218	6	271.6	6		IFN	-0.2352	5	398.7	4
	IL1β	0.1478	10	905.6	4		IL1ß	-0.08816	6	413.5	6
	IL12P70	1.668	18	2.795	9		IL12P70	0.9511	10	2.578	11

702

703 Supplementary Table 2: Potency and Efficacy Summaries for Screening Finalists in the

- 704 **Concentration Titration Experiment.**
- Potency and efficacy as measured by EC₅₀ and maximal cytokine induction for each biomarker
- shown for **A**. Lexibulin, **B**. Amphotericin, **C**. Silmitasertib, and **D**. Vinblastine Four parameter
- ⁷⁰⁷ line curve estimations and EC₅₀ calculations employed GrahPad Prism 9.0.

Drug	Diseases	Regulatory Status	Previously Recorded Immunological Activity	Alternative Mechanism of Action
Lexibulin	Multiple Myeloma, Glioblastoma.	Phase II Discontinued	Potential Antiviral Activity	Rapid Reorganization of Microtubules
Vinblastine	Breast cancer, Testicular cancer, Neuroblastoma, Hodgkin's and Non- Hodgkin's Lymphoma, and Histiocytosis.	FDA Approved	Dendritic Cell maturation, Potential Adjuvanticity.	Microtubule Assembly Inhibition
Silmitasertib	Breast Cancer*, Severe Covid 19*, Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma**.	Phase II*, Orphan Drug Status**	Potential Antiviral, Antifungal Activity	Protein Kinase CK2 Inhibition
Amphotericin B	Fungal Infections, Visceral Leishmaniasis, Primary Amoebic Meningoencephalitis.	FDA Approved	Antifungal and Antiparasitic Activity	Cell Membrane Disruption

709 Supplementary Table 3: Top HTS Campaign Hits

- 710 The four lead screening hits are described by their utility as known bioactives in addition to their
- 711 current regulatory status. Any relevant recorded immunological activity of these hits and known
- 712 mechanisms of action relevant to their bioactive status are included.

- _ ..

- . .

728 **References**

- Angelidou, A., Diray-Arce, J., Conti, M.G., Smolen, K.K., van Haren, S.D., Dowling, D.J., Husson,
- R.N., and Levy, O. (2020). BCG as a Case Study for Precision Vaccine Development: Lessons
- 731 From Vaccine Heterogeneity, Trained Immunity, and Immune Ontogeny. Frontiers in Microbiology
- 732 *11*. 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00332.
- 733 Applequist, S.E., Wallin, R.P., and Ljunggren, H.G. (2002). Variable expression of Toll-like
- receptor in murine innate and adaptive immune cell lines. Int Immunol 14, 1065-1074.
- 735 10.1093/intimm/dxf069.
- 736 Arango Duque, G., and Descoteaux, A. (2014). Macrophage cytokines: involvement in immunity
- and infectious diseases. Front Immunol 5, 491. 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00491.
- 738 Black, C.B., Duensing, T.D., Trinkle, L.S., and Dunlay, R.T. (2011). Cell-based screening using
- high-throughput flow cytometry. Assay Drug Dev Technol 9, 13-20. 10.1089/adt.2010.0308.
- 740 Blainey, P., Krzywinski, M., and Altman, N. (2014). Points of significance: replication. Nat Methods
- 741 *11*, 879-880. 10.1038/nmeth.3091.
- Bouhaddou, M., Memon, D., Meyer, B., White, K.M., Rezelj, V.V., Correa Marrero, M., Polacco,
- 743 B.J., Melnyk, J.E., Ulferts, S., Kaake, R.M., et al. (2020). The Global Phosphorylation Landscape
- 744 of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Cell *182*, 685-712 e619. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.034.
- 745 Boussiotis, V.A., Freeman, G.J., Gribben, J.G., Daley, J., Gray, G., and Nadler, L.M. (1993).
- Activated human B lymphocytes express three CTLA-4 counterreceptors that costimulate T-cell
- 747 activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90, 11059-11063. 10.1073/pnas.90.23.11059.
- Buckner, D., Wilson, S., Kurk, S., Hardy, M., Miessner, N., and Jutila, M.A. (2006). Use of Early
- 749 Passage Fetal Intestinal Epithelial Cells in Semi-High-Throughput Screening Assays: An
- 750 Approach to Identify New Innate Immune System Adjuvants. Journal of Biomolecular Screening
- 751 *11*, 664-671. 10.1177/1087057106289876.

Burny, W., Callegaro, A., Bechtold, V., Clement, F., Delhaye, S., Fissette, L., Janssens, M.,

- Leroux-Roels, G., Marchant, A., van den Berg, R.A., et al. (2017). Different Adjuvants Induce
- 754 Common Innate Pathways That Are Associated with Enhanced Adaptive Responses against a
- 755 Model Antigen in Humans. Front Immunol *8*, 943. 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00943.
- 756 Cheadle, W.G. (1993). The human leukocyte antigens and their relationship to infection. The
- 757 American Journal of Surgery 165, 75S-81S. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9610(05)81210-3</u>.
- 758 Chen, C.Z., Shinn, P., Itkin, Z., Eastman, R.T., Bostwick, R., Rasmussen, L., Huang, R., Shen,
- 759 M., Hu, X., Wilson, K.M., et al. (2021a). Drug Repurposing Screen for Compounds Inhibiting the
- 760 Cytopathic Effect of SARS-CoV-2. Frontiers in Pharmacology *11*. 10.3389/fphar.2020.592737.
- 761 Chen, Y., Lear, T.B., Evankovich, J.W., Larsen, M.B., Lin, B., Alfaras, I., Kennerdell, J.R.,
- 762 Salminen, L., Camarco, D.P., Lockwood, K.C., et al. (2021b). A high-throughput screen for
- 763 TMPRSS2 expression identifies FDA-approved compounds that can limit SARS-CoV-2 entry.
- 764 Nature Communications *12*, 3907. 10.1038/s41467-021-24156-y.
- Coffman, R.L., Sher, A., and Seder, R.A. (2010). Vaccine adjuvants: putting innate immunity to
 work. Immunity 33, 492-503. 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.002.
- 767 Cohen, J. (2019). Waning immunity. Science 364, 224-227. 10.1126/science.364.6437.224.
- 768 Collier, D.A., Ferreira, I.A.T.M., Kotagiri, P., Datir, R.P., Lim, E.Y., Touizer, E., Meng, B.,
- Abdullahi, A., Baker, S., Dougan, G., et al. (2021). Age-related immune response heterogeneity
- to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2. Nature 596, 417-422. 10.1038/s41586-021-03739-1.
- 771 Cutler, D.M., and Summers, L.H. (2020). The COVID-19 Pandemic and the \$16 Trillion Virus.
- 772 JAMA 324, 1495-1496. 10.1001/jama.2020.19759.
- Dhar, N., Rao, V., and Tyagi, A.K. (2003). Skewing of the Th1/Th2 responses in mice due to
- variation in the level of expression of an antigen in a recombinant BCG system. Immunol Lett 88,
- 775 175-184. 10.1016/s0165-2478(03)00043-9.
- Ding, K.F., Finlay, D., Yin, H., Hendricks, W.P.D., Sereduk, C., Kiefer, J., Sekulic, A., LoRusso,
- P.M., Vuori, K., Trent, J.M., and Schork, N.J. (2017). Analysis of variability in high throughput

- screening data: applications to melanoma cell lines and drug responses. Oncotarget 8, 27786-
- 779 27799. 10.18632/oncotarget.15347.
- 780 Dowling, D., Hamilton, C.M., and O'Neill, S.M. (2008). A comparative analysis of cytokine
- responses, cell surface marker expression and MAPKs in DCs matured with LPS compared with
- 782 a panel of TLR ligands. Cytokine *41*, 254-262. 10.1016/j.cyto.2007.11.020.
- 783 Dowling, D.J., and Levy, O. (2015). Pediatric Vaccine Adjuvants: Components of the Modern
- 784 Vaccinologist's Toolbox. Pediatr Infect Dis J 34, 1395-1398. 10.1097/INF.00000000000893.
- Dunne, A., Jowett, M., and Rees, S. (2009). Use of Primary Human Cells in High-Throughput
- 786 Screens. In High Throughput Screening: Methods and Protocols, Second Edition, W.P. Janzen,
- 787 and P. Bernasconi, eds. (Humana Press), pp. 239-257. 10.1007/978-1-60327-258-2_12.
- England, R., Pak, J., Liu, M., Rao, S., Ozonoff, A., Levy, O., and van Haren, S.D. (2021). Human
- 789 Blood Plasma Shapes Distinct Neonatal TLR-Mediated Dendritic Cell Activation via Expression
- of the MicroRNA Let-7g. Immunohorizons *5*, 246-256. 10.4049/immunohorizons.2000081.
- 791 Fallahi-Sichani, M., Honarnejad, S., Heiser, L.M., Gray, J.W., and Sorger, P.K. (2013). Metrics
- other than potency reveal systematic variation in responses to cancer drugs. Nat Chem Biol 9,
- 793 708-714. 10.1038/nchembio.1337.
- Fleischer, J., Soeth, E., Reiling, N., Grage-Griebenow, E., Flad, H.D., and Ernst, M. (1996).
 Differential expression and function of CD80 (B7-1) and CD86 (B7-2) on human peripheral blood
 monocytes. Immunology *89*, 592-598. 10.1046/j.1365-2567.1996.d01-785.x.
- Fourati, S., Tomalin, L., Mulè, M., Chawla, D., Gerritsen, B., Rychkov, D., Henrich, E., Miller, H.,
 Hagan, T., Diray-Arce, J., et al. (2021). An innate immune activation state prior to vaccination
 predicts responsiveness to multiple vaccines. bioRxiv, 2021.2009.2026.461847.
 10.1101/2021.09.26.461847.
- 801 Francica, J.R., Zak, D.E., Linde, C., Siena, E., Johnson, C., Juraska, M., Yates, N.L., Gunn, B.,
- 802 De Gregorio, E., Flynn, B.J., et al. (2017). Innate transcriptional effects by adjuvants on the

- 803 magnitude, quality, and durability of HIV envelope responses in NHPs. Blood Adv *1*, 2329-2342.
- 804 10.1182/bloodadvances.2017011411.
- 805 Garcia-Cordero, J.L., Nembrini, C., Stano, A., Hubbell, J.A., and Maerkl, S.J. (2013). A high-
- 806 throughput nanoimmunoassay chip applied to large-scale vaccine adjuvant screening. Integr Biol
- 807 (Camb) 5, 650-658. 10.1039/c3ib20263a.
- Hu, G., Su, Y., Kang, B.H., Fan, Z., Dong, T., Brown, D.R., Cheah, J., Wittrup, K.D., and Chen, J.
- 809 (2021). High-throughput phenotypic screen and transcriptional analysis identify new compounds
- 810 and targets for macrophage reprogramming. Nature Communications 12, 773. 10.1038/s41467-
- 811 021-21066-x.
- 812 Kalkeri, R., Peng, J., Huang, C., Cai, Z., Ptak, R.G., and Suto, M.J. (2020). HBV Core Promoter
- 813 Inhibition by Tubulin Polymerization Inhibitor (SRI-32007). Adv Virol 2020, 8844061.
 814 10.1155/2020/8844061.
- Kawasaki, T., and Kawai, T. (2014). Toll-like receptor signaling pathways. Front Immunol *5*, 461.
 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00461.
- 817 Kleiveland, C.R. (2015). Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells. In The Impact of Food Bioactives
- 818 on Health: in vitro and ex vivo models, K. Verhoeckx, P. Cotter, I. López-Expósito, C. Kleiveland,
- 819 T. Lea, A. Mackie, T. Requena, D. Swiatecka, and H. Wichers, eds. (Springer International
- 820 Publishing), pp. 161-167. 10.1007/978-3-319-16104-4_15.
- Kool, M., Fierens, K., and Lambrecht, B.N. (2012). Alum adjuvant: some of the tricks of the oldest
- adjuvant. Journal of Medical Microbiology 61, 927-934. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.038943-0</u>.
- 823 Lauring, A.S., and Malani, P.N. (2021). Variants of SARS-CoV-2. JAMA.
 824 10.1001/jama.2021.14181.
- Leon, B., and Ardavin, C. (2008). Monocyte-derived dendritic cells in innate and adaptive immunity. Immunol Cell Biol *86*, 320-324. 10.1038/icb.2008.14.

- Levy, O., Suter, E.E., Miller, R.L., and Wessels, M.R. (2006). Unique efficacy of Toll-like receptor
 8 agonists in activating human neonatal antigen-presenting cells. Blood *108*, 1284-1290.
 10.1182/blood-2005-12-4821.
- Light, D.W., Andrus, J.K., and Warburton, R.N. (2009). Estimated research and development
- 831 costs of rotavirus vaccines. Vaccine 27, 6627-6633. 10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.07.077.
- 832 MacDonald, N., Mohsni, E., Al-Mazrou, Y., Kim Andrus, J., Arora, N., Elden, S., Madrid, M.Y.,
- 833 Martin, R., Mahmoud Mustafa, A., Rees, H., et al. (2020). Global vaccine action plan lessons
- 834 learned I: Recommendations for the next decade. Vaccine 38, 5364-5371.
- 835 10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.05.003.
- 836 Martins, K.A.O., Cooper, C.L., Stronsky, S.M., Norris, S.L.W., Kwilas, S.A., Steffens, J.T., Benko,
- J.G., van Tongeren, S.A., and Bavari, S. (2015). Adjuvant-enhanced CD4 T Cell Responses are
- 838 Critical to Durable Vaccine Immunity. EBioMedicine 3, 67-78. 10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.11.041.
- 839 Moffat, J.G., Vincent, F., Lee, J.A., Eder, J., and Prunotto, M. (2017). Opportunities and 840 challenges in phenotypic drug discovery: an industry perspective. Nat Rev Drug Discov *16*, 531-
- 841 543. 10.1038/nrd.2017.111.
- 842 Nanishi, E., Borriello, F., O'Meara, T.R., McGrath, M.E., Saito, Y., Haupt, R.E., Seo, H.S., van
- 843 Haren, S.D., Cavazzoni, C.B., Brook, B., et al. (2022). An aluminum hydroxide:CpG adjuvant
- 844 enhances protection elicited by a SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain vaccine in aged mice.
- 845 Sci Transl Med 14, eabj5305. 10.1126/scitranslmed.abj5305.
- 846 Nanishi, E., Dowling, D.J., and Levy, O. (2020). Toward precision adjuvants: optimizing science
- and safety. Curr Opin Pediatr 32, 125-138. 10.1097/MOP.00000000000868.
- 848 NIAID (2018). 2018 NIAID Strategic Plan for Research on Vaccine Adjuvants. NIAID.
- O'Hagan, D.T., and Valiante, N.M. (2003). Recent advances in the discovery and delivery of
 vaccine adjuvants. Nat Rev Drug Discov *2*, 727-735. 10.1038/nrd1176.
- 851 Oh, D.Y., Dowling, D.J., Ahmed, S., Choi, H., Brightman, S., Bergelson, I., Berger, S.T., Sauld,
- J.F., Pettengill, M., Kho, A.T., et al. (2016). Adjuvant-induced Human Monocyte Secretome

853 Profiles Reveal Adjuvant- and Age-specific Protein Signatures. Mol Cell Proteomics 15, 1877-

- 854 1894. 10.1074/mcp.M115.055541.
- 855 Oleszycka, E., McCluskey, S., Sharp, F.A., Muñoz-Wolf, N., Hams, E., Gorman, A.L., Fallon, P.G.,

and Lavelle, E.C. (2018). The vaccine adjuvant alum promotes IL-10 production that suppresses

- 857 Th1 responses. European Journal of Immunology 48, 705-715.
 858 https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201747150.
- Oyston, P., and Robinson, K. (2012). The current challenges for vaccine development. J Med
 Microbiol *61*, 889-894. 10.1099/jmm.0.039180-0.
- 861 Ozawa, S., Portnoy, A., Getaneh, H., Clark, S., Knoll, M., Bishai, D., Yang, H.K., and Patwardhan,

862 P.D. (2016). Modeling The Economic Burden Of Adult Vaccine-Preventable Diseases In The

- 863 United States. Health Aff (Millwood) 35, 2124-2132. 10.1377/hlthaff.2016.0462.
- Petitdemange, C., Kasturi, S.P., Kozlowski, P.A., Nabi, R., Quarnstrom, C.F., Reddy, P.B.J.,
- B65 Derdeyn, C.A., Spicer, L.M., Patel, P., Legere, T., et al. (2019). Vaccine induction of antibodies
- and tissue-resident CD8+ T cells enhances protection against mucosal SHIV-infection in young
- 867 macaques. JCI Insight 4. 10.1172/jci.insight.126047.
- 868 Pettengill, M.A., van Haren, S.D., and Levy, O. (2014). Soluble mediators regulating immunity in
- 869 early life. Front Immunol 5, 457. 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00457.
- 870 Pettengill, M.A., van Haren, S.D., Li, N., Dowling, D.J., Bergelson, I., Jans, J., Ferwerda, G., and

871 Levy, O. (2016). Distinct TLR-mediated cytokine production and immunoglobulin secretion in

- human newborn naive B cells. Innate Immun 22, 433-443. 10.1177/1753425916651985.
- Philbin, V.J., Dowling, D.J., Gallington, L.C., Cortes, G., Tan, Z., Suter, E.E., Chi, K.W., Shuckett, 873 874 A., Stoler-Barak, L., Tomai, M., et al. (2012). Imidazoquinoline Toll-like receptor 8 agonists 875 activate human newborn monocytes and dendritic cells through adenosine-refractory and 876 caspase-1-dependent pathways. Allergy Clin Immunol 130. 195-204 e199. J 877 10.1016/j.jaci.2012.02.042.

- 878 Porcari, A.R., Ptak, R.G., Borysko, K.Z., Breitenbach, J.M., Drach, J.C., and Townsend, L.B.
- 879 (2003). Synthesis and antiviral activity of 2-substituted analogs of triciribine. Nucleosides
- 880 Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 22, 2171-2193. 10.1081/ncn-120026873.
- 881 Pulendran, B., P, S.A., and O'Hagan, D.T. (2021). Emerging concepts in the science of vaccine
- adjuvants. Nat Rev Drug Discov 20, 454-475. 10.1038/s41573-021-00163-y.
- 883 Reed, S.G., Orr, M.T., and Fox, C.B. (2013). Key roles of adjuvants in modern vaccines. Nature
- 884 Medicine 19, 1597-1608. 10.1038/nm.3409.
- 885 Salyer, A.C., Caruso, G., Khetani, K.K., Fox, L.M., Malladi, S.S., and David, S.A. (2016).
- 886 Identification of Adjuvantic Activity of Amphotericin B in a Novel, Multiplexed, Poly-TLR/NLR High-
- 887 Throughput Screen. PLoS One *11*, e0149848. 10.1371/journal.pone.0149848.
- 888 Sanchez-Schmitz, G., Morrocchi, E., Cooney, M., Soni, D., Khatun, R., Palma, P., Dowling, D.J.,
- and Levy, O. (2020). Neonatal monocytes demonstrate impaired homeostatic extravasation into
- a microphysiological human vascular model. Sci Rep *10*, 17836. 10.1038/s41598-020-74639-z.
- 891 Sanchez-Schmitz, G., Stevens, C.R., Bettencourt, I.A., Flynn, P.J., Schmitz-Abe, K., Metser, G.,
- Hamm, D., Jensen, K.J., Benn, C., and Levy, O. (2018). Microphysiologic Human Tissue
- 893 Constructs Reproduce Autologous Age-Specific BCG and HBV Primary Immunization in vitro.
- 894 Front Immunol 9, 2634. 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02634.
- 895 Slavik, J.M., Hutchcroft, J.E., and Bierer, B.E. (1999). CD80 and CD86 Are Not Equivalent in Their
- Ability to Induce the Tyrosine Phosphorylation of CD28*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274,
- 897 3116-3124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.5.3116</u>.
- Soni, D., Borriello, F., Scott, D.A., Ozonoff, A., Brightman, S., Smith, J., Shamu, C., Ramirez, J.C.,
- 899 Baden, L.R., Cheng, W.K., et al. (2020a). Precision immunology for discovery and development
- 900 of the Precision Vaccines Program (PVP)-037 small molecule series:
- 901 imidazopyrimidine adjuvants identified via age-specific human in vitro modeling. The
- 902 Journal of Immunology 204, 166.119-166.119.

903 Soni, D., Van Haren, S.D., Idoko, O.T., Evans, J.T., Diray-Arce, J., Dowling, D.J., and Levy, O.

- 904 (2020b). Towards Precision Vaccines: Lessons From the Second International Precision
- 905 Vaccines Conference. Front Immunol *11*, 590373. 10.3389/fimmu.2020.590373.
- Spangenberg, S.H., Zavareh, R.B., and Lairson, L.L. (2021). Protocol for high-throughput
 compound screening using flow cytometry in THP-1 cells. STAR Protocols 2, 100400.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100400.
- Striz, I., Brabcova, E., Kolesar, L., and Sekerkova, A. (2014). Cytokine networking of innate
 immunity cells: a potential target of therapy. Clin Sci (Lond) *126*, 593-612. 10.1042/CS20130497.
 Sui, Y., Zhu, Q., Gagnon, S., Dzutsev, A., Terabe, M., Vaccari, M., Venzon, D., Klinman, D.,
 Strober, W., Kelsall, B., et al. (2010). Innate and adaptive immune correlates of vaccine and
 adjuvant-induced control of mucosal transmission of SIV in macaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *107*, 9843-9848. 10.1073/pnas.0911932107.
- Tanaka, H., Matsushima, H., Nishibu, A., Clausen, B.E., and Takashima, A. (2009). Dual
 therapeutic efficacy of vinblastine as a unique chemotherapeutic agent capable of inducing
 dendritic cell maturation. Cancer Res 69, 6987-6994. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1106.
- 918 Tom, J.K., Albin, T.J., Manna, S., Moser, B.A., Steinhardt, R.C., and Esser-Kahn, A.P. (2019).
- 919 Applications of Immunomodulatory Immune Synergies to Adjuvant Discovery and Vaccine
 920 Development. Trends Biotechnol *37*, 373-388. 10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.10.004.
- 921 Trombetta, R.P., Dunman, P.M., Schwarz, E.M., Kates, S.L., Awad, H.A., and Fey, P.D. (2018).
- 922 A High-Throughput Screening Approach To Repurpose FDA-Approved Drugs for Bactericidal
- 923 Applications against Staphylococcus aureus Small-Colony Variants. mSphere 3, e00422-00418.
- 924 doi:10.1128/mSphere.00422-18.
- Tsuchiya, N., and Ohashi, J. (2015). Human immune system diversity and its implications in
 diseases. J Hum Genet *60*, 655-656. 10.1038/jhg.2015.101.
- 927 van Haren, S.D., Dowling, D.J., Foppen, W., Christensen, D., Andersen, P., Reed, S.G.,
- Hershberg, R.M., Baden, L.R., and Levy, O. (2016a). Age-Specific Adjuvant Synergy: Dual

- 929 TLR7/8 and Mincle Activation of Human Newborn Dendritic Cells Enables Th1 Polarization. J
- 930 Immunol *1*97, 4413-4424. 10.4049/jimmunol.1600282.
- van Haren, S.D., Ganapathi, L., Bergelson, I., Dowling, D.J., Banks, M., Samuels, R.C., Reed,
- 932 S.G., Marshall, J.D., and Levy, O. (2016b). In vitro cytokine induction by TLR-activating vaccine
- 933 adjuvants in human blood varies by age and adjuvant. Cytokine 83, 99-109.
 934 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2016.04.001.
- Varga, G., and Foell, D. (2018). Anti-inflammatory monocytes-interplay of innate and adaptive
 immunity. Mol Cell Pediatr *5*. 5. 10.1186/s40348-018-0083-4.
- 937 Wang, Z., Zhu, L., Nguyen, T.H.O., Wan, Y., Sant, S., Quinones-Parra, S.M., Crawford, J.C.,
- 938 Eltahla, A.A., Rizzetto, S., Bull, R.A., et al. (2018). Clonally diverse CD38(+)HLA-DR(+)CD8(+) T
- 939 cells persist during fatal H7N9 disease. Nat Commun 9, 824. 10.1038/s41467-018-03243-7.
- 940 Wong, P.T., Leroueil, P.R., Smith, D.M., Ciotti, S., Bielinska, A.U., Janczak, K.W., Mullen, C.H.,
- 941 Groom, J.V., II, Taylor, E.M., Passmore, C., et al. (2015). Formulation, High Throughput In Vitro
- 942 Screening and In Vivo Functional Characterization of Nanoemulsion-Based Intranasal Vaccine
- 943 Adjuvants. PLOS ONE 10, e0126120. 10.1371/journal.pone.0126120.
- 944 Zarember, K.A., and Godowski, P.J. (2002). Tissue expression of human Toll-like receptors and
- 945 differential regulation of Toll-like receptor mRNAs in leukocytes in response to microbes, their
 946 products, and cytokines. J Immunol *168*, 554-561. 10.4049/jimmunol.168.2.554.
- 947 Zheng, W., Thorne, N., and McKew, J.C. (2013). Phenotypic screens as a renewed approach for
- 948 drug discovery. Drug Discov Today *18*, 1067-1073. 10.1016/j.drudis.2013.07.001.