



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



## Editorial

### Is the Prone Position Useful During Spontaneous Respiration in Patients With Acute Respiratory Failure?☆



¿Es útil el decúbito prono durante la respiración espontánea en pacientes con insuficiencia respiratoria aguda?

Acute respiratory failure (ARF) is a common reason for admission to intensive care units.<sup>1</sup> Management of this condition requires a therapeutic escalation strategy based on the application of a wide range of interventions, the initial conventional approach usually being standard low-flow oxygen therapy.<sup>1</sup> If this fails, other oxygenation strategies are available, including high-flow oxygen delivered by nasal cannulas<sup>2</sup> and non-invasive ventilation via a face mask or helmet,<sup>3</sup> aimed at reducing the risk of both orotracheal intubation (OTI) and mortality.

One strategy used in recent years in the treatment of ARF in intubated patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) has been prone positioning (PP).<sup>4–6</sup> Guérin et al.,<sup>5</sup> in a clinical trial of 466 intubated patients with severe ARDS, showed that PP for long periods of time (at least 16 h a day) improved oxygenation and decreased mortality at 28 and 90 days. However, few studies have investigated the usefulness of PP in non-intubated patients. In a recent report of only 20 patients, the authors showed that early application of PP associated with high-flow oxygen administration by nasal cannulas in patients with moderate ARDS and baseline  $\text{SpO}_2 > 95\%$  was not only well tolerated, it also helped to avoid intubation.<sup>7</sup>

Since the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic, the use of PP in non-intubated patients with ARF has been increasing. The pathophysiology underlying Covid-19 that leads to disease progression is very similar to that of severe ARDS.<sup>8</sup> In these cases, activation of alveolar macrophages by SARS-CoV-2 leads to the release of potent proinflammatory mediators and cytokines, an accumulation of neutrophils and monocytes, and the release of toxic mediators that can cause loss of alveolar endothelium function and epithelial barriers, resulting in alveolar and interstitial edema, similar to the situation in ARDS.<sup>9</sup> The high number of patients presenting with ARDS during the Covid-19 pandemic has pushed many hospitals to the verge of collapse in terms of critical care resources, and has prompted the use of innovative approaches, such as PP, in an effort to keep these patients in conventional hospital beds and limit the need for OTI and invasive ventilation. In recent months, several articles have been published on the use of PP in non-intubated patients

in hospital wards,<sup>10,11</sup> intermediate care units,<sup>12</sup> and emergency rooms,<sup>13</sup> and it appears that the physiological effects of PP that improve oxygenation in intubated patients could be equally applicable to non-intubated patients with different grades of ARDS. As suspected, a large percentage of patients in these studies showed a significant improvement in oxygenation after being placed in PP, mainly due to an improved ventilation/perfusion ratio, a more homogeneous distribution of transpulmonary pressure along the ventral-dorsal axis, and greater recruitment of non-aerated dorsal pulmonary zones.<sup>4</sup> Coppo et al. demonstrated that patients are more likely to respond to PP if the maneuver is performed early.<sup>11</sup> Similarly, it has been observed that in patients with Covid-19 and severe hypoxia, early PP improves oxygenation, thoracic CT images, and survival at 90 days, compared to late PP (76% vs. 44%).<sup>14</sup> This is probably due to the fact that in the early stages of Covid-19-induced ARDS there is a higher proportion of potentially recruitable lung compared to the late phases. The lower mortality in intubated patients has been linked to the ability of PP to reduce lung damage associated with mechanical ventilation.<sup>6</sup>

However, the results of these series should be interpreted with caution, as none of the studies was randomized. In some, patients were selected; in others, PP tolerance and improved oxygenation were low; and, finally, in other studies, nearly half of the patients required OTI.<sup>10</sup> Several clinical trials are currently underway. Some are evaluating the different grades of ARDS in which PP might reduce the number of critical bed admissions, the need for IOT, and mortality. Others aim to define which patients would benefit most from the maneuver, and the number of hours or sessions that would yield better outcomes. A group of patients who might benefit from PP are those with ARF whose ceiling of treatment would not extend to OTI and invasive ventilation, and who would be candidates for high-flow oxygen through nasal cannulas or non-invasive ventilation. PP would be used to gain time to allow already initiated treatments to take effect, thus offering patients a greater chance of survival.

In short, while tolerance to PP may be a limitation, its physiological effects are still unclear, and the benefits of short sessions are questionable, the protocolized use of this technique<sup>15</sup> during spontaneous breathing in patients with ARF and different degrees of ARDS *may be useful*. It is a simple, inexpensive maneuver that the patient can perform alone or with minimal help that can improve

☆ Please cite this article as: Rodríguez-Núñez N, Taboada M, Valdés L. ¿Es útil el decúbito prono durante la respiración espontánea en pacientes con insuficiencia respiratoria aguda? Arch Bronconeumol. 2021;57:451–452.

oxygenation, at least while it is being performed, so it seems very likely that in the future it will be common to see ARF patients undergoing PP in conventional hospital facilities. However, it is important to remember that decisions on OTI should not be made solely on the basis of improved hypoxemia (although that is a good parameter); doctors should also take into account the work of breathing of their patients to avoid late intubation and poor outcomes.

## References

- Scala R, Heunks L. Highlights in acute respiratory failure. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2018;27:180008.
- Frat JP, Thille AW, Mercat A, Girault C, Ragot S, et al. High-flow oxygen through nasal cannula in acute hypoxic respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:2185–96.
- Patel BK, Wolfe KS, Pohlman AS, Hall JB, Kress JP. Effect of noninvasive ventilation delivered by helmet vs face mask on the rate of endotracheal intubation in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2016;315:2435–41.
- Scholten EL, Beitzler JR, Prisk GK, Malhotra A. Treatment of ARDS with prone positioning. *Chest*. 2017;151:215–24.
- Guérin C, Reignier J, Richard JC, Beuret P, Gacouin A, Boulain T, et al. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:2159–68.
- Albert RK, Keniston A, Baboi L, Ayzac L, Guerin C. Prone position-induced improvement in gas exchange does not predict improved survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189:494.
- Ding L, Wang L, Ma W, He H. Efficacy and safety of early prone positioning combined with HFNC or NIV in moderate to severe ARDS: a multi-center prospective cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2020;24:28.
- Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). A review. *JAMA*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12839>.
- Thompson BT, Chambers RC, Liu KD. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377:562–72.
- Sartini C, Tresoldi M, Scarpellini P, Tettamanti A, Carcò F, Landoni G, et al. Respiratory parameters in patients with COVID-19 after using noninvasive ventilation in the prone position outside the intensive care unit. *JAMA*. 2020;323:2338–40.
- Coppo A, Bellani G, Winterton D, di Pierro M, Soria A, Faverio P, et al. Feasibility and physiological effects of prone positioning in non-intubated patients with acute respiratory failure due to COVID-19 (PRON-COVID): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8:765–74.
- Thompson AE, Ranard BL, Wei Y, Jelic S. Prone positioning in awake, nonintubated patients with COVID-19 hypoxic respiratory failure. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020:e203030, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3030>.
- Caputo ND, Strayer RJ, Levitan R. Early self-proning in awake, non-intubated patients in the emergency department: a single ED's experience during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2020;27:375–8.
- Zang X, Wang Q, Zhou H, Liu S, Xue X, COVID-19 Early Prone Position Study Group. Efficacy of early prone position for COVID-19 patients with severe hypoxia: a single-center prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med*. 2020;1–3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06182-4>.
- Sryma PB, Mittal S, Madan K, Mohan A, Hadda V, Tiwari P, et al. Reinventing the Wheel in ARDS: awake proning in COVID-19. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2020.06.002>.

Nuria Rodríguez-Núñez,<sup>a,\*</sup> Manuel Taboada,<sup>b</sup> Luis Valdés<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Neumología, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Anestesia y Reanimación, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Grupo Interdisciplinar de Investigación en Neumología, Instituto de Investigaciones Sanitarias de Santiago (IDIS), Santiago de Compostela, Spain

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [\(N. Rodríguez-Núñez\).](mailto:nuria.rodriguez.nunez@sergas.es)