





 How to cite:
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 13788–13792

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103808

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202103808

Catalytic Co-Conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ Mediated by Rhodium– Titanium Oxide Anions RhTiO₂⁻

Yuan Yang, Ya-Ke Li, Yan-Xia Zhao,* Gong-Ping Wei, Yi Ren, Knut R. Asmis,* and Sheng-Gui He*

Abstract: Catalytic co-conversion of methane with carbon dioxide to produce syngas $(2H_2+2CO)$ involves complicated elementary steps and almost all the elementary reactions are performed at the same high temperature conditions in practical thermocatalysis. Here, we demonstrate by mass spectrometric experiments that $RhTiO_2^-$ promotes the co-conversion of CH_4 and CO_2 to free $2H_2 + CO$ and an adsorbed CO (CO_{ads}) at room temperature; the only elementary step that requires the input of external energy is desorption of CO_{ads} from the $RhTiO_2CO^-$ to reform $RhTiO_2^-$. This study not only identifies a promising active species for dry (CO_2) reforming of methane to syngas, but also emphasizes the importance of temperature control over elementary steps in practical catalysis, which may significantly alleviate the carbon deposition originating from the pyrolysis of methane.

he production of chemical feedstocks from catalytic coconversion of methane and carbon dioxide, two abundant substances occurring in nature, reduces chemical industry's dependency on traditional fossil fuels and contributes to the

[*] Y. Yang, Dr. Y.-X. Zhao, G.-P. Wei, Y. Ren, Prof. Dr. S.-G. He State Key Laboratory for Structural Chemistry of Unstable and Stable Species Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100190 (P.R. China) E-mail: chemzyx@iccas.ac.cn shengguihe@iccas.ac.cn Dr. Y.-K. Li, Prof. Dr. K. R. Asmis Wilhelm-Ostwald Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie Universität Leipzig Linnéstrasse 2, 04103 Leipzig (Germany) E-mail: knut.asmis@uni-leipzig.de Y. Yang, G.-P. Wei, Prof. Dr. S.-G. He University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100049 (P.R. China) Y. Yang, Dr. Y.-X. Zhao, G.-P. Wei, Prof. Dr. S.-G. He Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences and CAS Research/Education Centre of Excellence in Molecular Sciences Beijing 100190 (P.R. China) Dr. Y.-K. Li Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin (Germany) Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: ١D https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103808. 0 © 2021 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided mitigation of the greenhouse effect.^[1] Dry (CO₂) reforming of methane^[2] [DRM, reaction (1)] is a potential route to produce

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2, \ \Delta H_{298} = +2.56 \text{ eV}$$
 (1)

syngas (a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen) that is a crucial feedstock for alcohols, olefins, and Fischer–Tropsch products.^[3] However, the inherent stability of both molecules, CH₄ and CO₂, as well as the highly endothermic nature of DRM to syngas requires this thermo-catalysis to be run at high temperatures (T > 1000 K), which inevitably leads to coke deposition and catalyst deactivation.^[4] Identifying each elementary step of a catalytic cycle offers the possibility to precisely optimize the reaction process and reduce energy consumption. However, catalytic DRM to syngas involves adsorption of CH₄ and CO₂, activation of four C–H bonds to dehydrogenate methane, cleavage of C=O bonds in CO₂, H– H and C_{CH4}–O_{CO2} coupling, and desorption of two H₂ and two CO molecules, making it experimentally challenging to follow each of the elementary steps in condensed phase studies.

Gas phase reactivity studies of isolated chemical species that compositionally resemble the local active sites of condensed phase catalysts provide a unique approach to probe the reaction intermediates and understand the molecular-level details of elementary steps involved in the catalytic reactions of practical importance.^[5] While a great number of chemical entities (e.g., polyatomic clusters) have been experimentally discovered to activate $CH_4^{[5f-h,6]}$ or $CO_2^{[5e,7]}$ under specific reaction conditions, the number of identified species that promote the co-conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ is very limited to date.^[8] The atomic cation Ta⁺ is able to coconvert one CH_4 and two CO_2 molecules to $H_2 + CO +$ C_2H_2O at room temperature, but the resulting TaO_2^+ is difficult to reduce to Ta⁺.^[8a] Although catalytic co-conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ was later established over the bimetallic oxide anion RhVO₃⁻, only co-adsorption of CH₄ and CO₂ takes place at room temperature. An increase in the reaction temperature leads to efficient formation of methyl radicals.^[8c] Very recently, selective DRM was achieved at room temperature by using $Rh_2VO_{1-3}^-$ anions in combination with photoirradiation to produce the second H₂ and CO molecules.^[8d] Herein, we demonstrate that RhTiO2⁻ co-converts CH4 and CO_2 to $2H_2+CO$ at room temperature without photoirradiation. The only elementary step that requires input of external energy to complete the catalytic cycle is the desorption of the second CO molecule.

Figures 1 and S1 show the mass spectra for the reactions of mass-selected $RhTiO_2^-$ anions (1) with CH_4 and CO_2 in a linear ion trap reactor at room temperature under thermal

the original work is properly cited.





Figure 1. Mass spectra for the reactions of $\rm RhTiO_2^-$ with He (a) and 0.90 Pa CH_4 (b), RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ with 0.05 Pa CO₂ (c), RhTiO₂CD₄⁻ with 0.03 Pa CO₂ (d), RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ with 0.03 Pa C¹⁸O₂ (e), and RhTiO₂¹³CH₄⁻ with 0.03 Pa CO_2 (f) in the single ion trap experiment in which methane and carbon dioxide are delivered into the same ion trap. Panel (g) shows the mass spectrum for the reaction of mass-selected product ion RhTiO₂¹³CH₄⁻ with 0.02 Pa CO₂ in the double ion trap experiment in which methane and carbon dioxide are delivered into different ion traps. The arrows show the loss of neutral products from the ionic species or the adsorption of methane onto the ionic species. The reaction times are 1.6 ms for (b,g) and 2.5 ms for (c-f). The spectra for collision-induced dissociation (CID) of $RhTiO_2^{13}CH_4CO_2^{-}$ (h), $RhTiO_2^{13}CH_2CO_2^{-}$ (i), and $RhTiO_2CH_2O^{-}$ (j) generated from the reactions of $RhTiO_2^{-1}$ with ${}^{13}CH_4/CH_4$ and CO_2 in the same ion trap are shown in the bottom three panels in which the center-of-mass collisional energies are shown.

collision conditions. Upon the interaction with 0.90 Pa CH₄, at first for 1.6 ms, all of the RhTiO₂⁻ anions were depleted and transformed to the adsorption product RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ [**2**, Figure 1 b and reaction (2a)]. Only very small amounts of RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ ions are dehydrogenated giving rise to the formation of RhTiO₂CH₂⁻ (**2**-H₂) + H₂ [reaction (2b)]. The isotopic labeling experiment with CD₄ (Figure S2) confirms the formation of the adsorption product, but the desorption of D₂ molecules did not occur. The pseudo-first (second) order rate constant (k_1) for the reaction of RhTiO₂⁻ with CH₄ was determined as (2.2 ± 0.4) × 10⁻¹¹ cm³s⁻¹ (Figure S2), corresponding to a reaction efficiency of (2.2 ± 0.4)%.^[9] The kinetic isotopic effect ($k_{1,CH_4}/k_{1,CD_4}$) was estimated to be 1.3 ± 0.2.

 $RhTiO_2^- + CH_4 \rightarrow RhTiO_2CH_4^-$ (2a)

$$\rightarrow RhTiO_2CH_2^- + H_2$$
 (2b)

After all of the RhTiO₂⁻ anions were converted to RhTiO₂CH₄⁻, CO₂ molecules were pulsed into the ion trap and several new product signals appeared. Figure 1c reveals that in addition to the co-adsorption complex $RhTiO_2CH_4CO_2^-$ (3), a strong peak assigned to $RhTiO_2CH_2CO_2^-$ (P1) was observed, corresponding to the loss of the first H₂ molecule [reaction (3a)]. A CO molecule can desorb from P1 to form an appreciable amount of RhTiO₂CH₂O⁻ ions [P2 = P1–CO, reaction (3b)]. The detection of RhTiO₂CO⁻ (P3 = P2-H₂) with relatively weak intensity implies that desorption of the second H₂ molecule from P2 [reaction (3c)] is possible, but less efficient. Summarizing, RhTiO₂⁻ promotes the co-conversion of CH₄ and CO₂ to two free H_2 molecules, one free CO molecule ($2H_2 + CO$, syngas) at room temperature. Note that a product peak assigned to RhTiO₂CO₂⁻ generated from the CH₄/CO₂ exchange was also observed [reaction (3d)]. Isotopic labeling experiments with CD₄ (Figure 1d) confirm the reaction channels (3a)-(3d).

 $RhTiO_2CH_4^- + CO_2 \rightarrow RhTiO_2CH_2CO_2^- + H_2$ (3a)

$$\rightarrow \text{RhTiO}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}^- + \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}$$
 3b)

$$\rightarrow \text{RhTiO}_2\text{CO}^- + 2\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}$$
 (3c)

$$\rightarrow \text{RhTiO}_2\text{CO}_2^- + \text{CH}_4$$
 (3d)

$$RhTiO_2CO_2^- + CH_4 \rightarrow RhTiO_2CH_4CO_2^-$$
(4)

To clarify the O- and C-atom source for CO production, additional isotopic labeling experiments with $C^{18}O_2$ and $^{13}CH_4$ were conducted. Identification of the product ions RhTi¹⁶O₂CH₂¹⁸O⁻ and RhTi¹⁶OCH₂¹⁸O₂⁻ upon the interaction of RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ with $C^{18}O_2$ (Figure 1 e) shows that the O atom in CO originates from either CO₂ or RhTiO₂⁻. Next to the product ion RhTiO₂¹³CH₂¹²CO₂⁻, two new signals of RhTiO₂¹²CH₂O⁻ and RhTiO₂¹³CH₂O⁻ were observed in the reaction of RhTiO₂¹³CH₄⁻ with CO₂ (Figure 1 f), indicating that both CH₄ and CO₂ can provide a carbon atom for CO generation. Moreover, the weak peaks corresponding to RhTi¹⁶O₂C¹⁸O⁻, RhTiO₂¹²CO⁻, and RhTiO₂¹³CO⁻ in Figure 1 e,f confirm desorption of the second H₂ molecule.

In order to confirm the proposed sequential desorption mechanism, we spatially separated the addition of the reactants using two ion trap reactors (instead one). Product ions RhTiO₂¹³CH₄⁻ were produced in the first reactor, massselected and then interacted with a gas pulse of CO₂ in the second ion trap reactor (Figure 1g). These experiments unambiguously confirm the reactions (3a)-(3d). However, the RhTiO₂¹³CH₄CO₂⁻ product was absent, implying that the co-adsorption complexes (3, 3^I, 3^{II}, and 3^{III}) observed in Figure 1 c-f originated from the methane adsorption onto RhTiO₂CO₂^{-/}RhTiO₂C¹⁸O₂⁻ [reaction (4)]. The CID experiments of mass-selected intermediate complexes $RhTiO_{2}^{13}CH_{4}CO_{2}^{-}$ (3^{III}, Figure 1 f), $RhTiO_{2}^{13}CH_{2}CO_{2}^{-}$ (P1, Figure 1 f), and RhTiO₂CH₂O⁻ (P2, Figure 1 c) with Xe were also conducted. The loss of ¹³CO and CO molecules from P1 and the loss of H₂ from P2 correspond to reaction channels

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 13788–13792 © 2021 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH www.angewandte.org 13789

(3b) and (3c), respectively. The desorption of ${}^{13}CH_4$ molecule from 3^{III} supports reaction (4).

These experimental results demonstrate that CH_4 and CO_2 are co-converted to $2H_2 + CO$ at room temperature in the presence of $RhTiO_2^-$ and form $RhTiO_2CO^-$ as the product ion. The CID experiments of mass-selected $RhTiO_2CO^-$ with Xe were carried out. When the center-of-mass collisional energy was increased to above 3 eV, the loss of the second CO and regeneration of $RhTiO_2^-$ were observed [Figure S3 and reaction (5)]. Thus, a catalytic cycle involving DRM to syngas [reaction (1)] over $RhTiO_2^-$ was experimentally achieved. Note, no co-conversion was observed when the reaction gases were applied to the ion trap in reverse order, first CO_2 followed by CH_4 (Figures S4 and S5).

$$RhTiO_2CO^{-} \xrightarrow{CID} RhTiO_2^{-} + CO$$
(5)

The structure of the RhTiO₂⁻ anion was characterized by photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) combined with density functional theory (DFT) calculations (Figure S6).^[10] The lowest-lying isomer of RhTiO₂⁻ is a singlet that has a threemembered ring of Rh-Ti-O with a terminal oxygen (O_t) atom connected to Ti. Due to the absence of a reactor coupled with our PES apparatus and the relatively low ion intensities of reaction products, the structures of RhTiO₂CH₄⁻, RhTiO₂CH₂CO₂⁻, RhTiO₂CH₂O⁻, and RhTiO₂CO⁻ were not experimentally characterized. The possible structures of these ionic speices were computationally determined.

The most favorable pathways for the reactions of RhTiO₂⁻ with CH₄ and RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ with CO₂ are shown in Figures 2, S7-S11. Methane binds to the Rh atom of RhTiO₂⁻ under activation of the first C–H bond by oxidative addition (I1 \rightarrow I2) and formation of the stable intermediate I2. This is followed by the cleavage of the Rh-O bond in I2, H-atom transfer from the Rh atom to the O_t atom (I2 \rightarrow I3), activation of the second C-H bond by the Rh atom and formation of I4, which contains a Rh-H bond, an OH group, and a threemembered Rh-CH₂-Ti ring. Dehydrogenation of I4 to $RhTiO_2CH_2^-$ is not feasible due to high activation energy barriers (1.24–1.28 eV of I4 \rightarrow TS13/TS15 in Figure S7) and the hot I4 is stabilized by collisions with the buffer gas (He), in agreement with the experimental observation that the RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ mass peak dominates the mass spectrum (Figure 1b).

The reaction of RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ (I4) with CO₂ commences with the adsorption of CO₂ and its activation involving the formation of a Rh–C bond in the encounter complex I5. Next, one of the C=O bonds is cleaved (I5→I22 and I23→I6, Figure S8); during this process, the H atom originally bound to Rh transfers to one of the O atoms (I22→I23 and I6→I7 in Figures 2 and S8), resulting in formation of the lowest energy structure, intermediate I7 (Figure S11), which consists of a four-membered O-Rh-CH₂-Ti ring, two (Ti)–OH groups, and a (Rh)–CO moiety. One of the hydroxy H atoms then transfers back to Rh and H₂C–O coupling occurs (I7→I24→ I25 in Figure S8). Subsequently, the Rh–O bond is ruptured, followed by the successive activation of the third and the fourth C–H bond by the Rh atom (I25→I8→I9) and for-



Figure 2. DFT-calculated potential energy profile for the reaction of $RhTiO_2^- + CH_4 + CO_2$ (R) reaction. The zero-point vibration-corrected energies with respect to the separated reactants (ΔH_0) are given in eV. The structures of R, I1–I11, and P1–P3 are plotted and those of TS1–TS9 can be found in the Supporting Information.

mation of I9 containing a Rh-C-O-Ti moiety. After a series of structural rearrangements involving the CO moiety and Hatom transfers (I9 \rightarrow I10), I10, which contains two (Rh)–CO moieties, two bridging and one terminal H atoms, is formed. After several more conversion steps, during which one of the CO moieties in I10 moves towards the Ti atom, molecular hydrogen is formed and evaporated to produce RhTiO₂CH₂CO₂⁻ (P1, $\Delta H_0 = -3.28$ eV).

P1 still possesses sufficient internal energy to enable desorption of the first CO molecule (P2, $\Delta H_0 = -1.71 \text{ eV}$) as well as the subsequent desorption of the second H₂ molecule (P3, $\Delta H_0 = -0.59 \text{ eV}$; in the case that the RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ is not fully thermalized before reacting with CO₂, the formation of P3 is possible). Note, the reaction course from P1 to P2 involves an important intermediate I11 that contains two equivalent CO moieties, [C_{CH4}-O_{cluster}] and [C_{CO2}-O_{CO2}], bound to the Rh atom. Either $C_{CH_4}O_{cluster}$ or $(CO)_{CO_2}$ can be desorbed to produce the ionic product $RhTiO_2CH_2O^-$ (P2), consistent with the observed reaction channels in the isotopic labeling experiment (Figure 1). The reaction path for preferential evaporation of the second H₂ molecule from P1 (P1 \rightarrow RhTiO₂C₂O₂⁻ + H₂, $\Delta H_0 = -2.32 \text{ eV}$) was also considered. Although it is thermodynamically more favorable than P1 \rightarrow RhTiO₂CH₂O⁻ + CO, a high energy barrier (1.18 eV of P1 \rightarrow TS49, in Figure S12) is encountered before H_2 desorption. The rate $(4.3 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1})$ of traversing TS49 from P1 was estimated to be two orders of magnitude lower than that for CO evaporation (I11 \rightarrow P2, 1.4 \times 10¹⁰ s⁻¹) so that CO is preferentially desorbed from P1. The RhTiO₂C₂O₂⁻ + H₂ channel was not observed. After dehydrogenation of RhTiO₂CH₂O⁻ (P2 \rightarrow P3), the RhTiO₂CO⁻ ion with CO bound to Rh is formed. Desorption of the second CO to regenerate RhTiO₂⁻ and close the catalytic cycle of DRM to syngas is overall endothermic by 2.74 eV (Figure S13). This requires supplying additional external energy as shown by the CID experiments. The direct elimination of HCHO and CH₃OH from the reaction of RhTiO₂CH₄⁻ with CO₂ was also considered. These reaction pathways (Figures S14 and S15) are kinetically less favorable than the H₂ loss channel [reaction (3a)] and ultimately also entropically unfavorable. Therefore, we conclude that the probability for formation of HCHO or CH₃OH is negligible.

Methane conversion has been extensively explored in gas phase studies, which revealed that only a few transition metalcontaining oxide ions react with a single CH₄ molecule to generate syngas at room temperature. These are the monometallic species $\text{ReO}_3^{+[9]}$ and $\text{RuO}_3^{+[10]}$ as well as the bimetallic systems $RhAl_3O_4^{+[11]}$ and $RhAl_2O_4^{-}$.^[12] However, only a single free H₂ molecule was produced from one CH₄ molecule, because the oxide ions commonly bind the remaining two H atoms in form of two hydroxy groups that are reluctant to liberate H atoms. While the situation is similar in $RhTiO_2^{-}/CH_4$, i.e., the adsorption complex I4 contains an OH group (Figure 2), which makes H_2 release unfavorable (2- H_2) in Figure 1 b). By sharp contrast, the introduction of CO_2 into the reaction system enables the conversion of the four H atoms in CH₄ to two free H₂ molecules at room temperature, greatly enhancing the conversion efficiency of CH₄ to H₂. This work also confirms that by selecting a suitable oxide support (e.g. TiO_2^{-} cluster), the single Rh atom is sufficiently active to enable the co-conversion of methane with carbon dioxide to syngas, in sharp contrast to the VO_x cluster support, for which the Rh₂ dimer is indispensible for syngas production.[8d]

In condensed phase thermocatalysis of DRM to syngas, methane activation is generally viewed as the rate-determining step and almost all the elementary reactions are performed at the same high temperatures that easily caused pyrolysis of methane ($CH_4 \rightarrow C + 2H_2$), leading to carbon deposition and catalyst deactivation.^[2c] Considerable efforts have been devoted to reduction of carbon deposition by engineering the composition and morphology of catalysts.^[4a,c] Our gas phase study on the thermocatalytic DRM to syngas on RhTiO₂⁻ provides first experimental evidence that both the conversion of CH_4 to $2H_{2,gas} + CO_{gas}/CO_{ads}$ and reduction of CO₂ to CO_{ads}/CO_{gas} can be achievable at room temperature, the only elementary step that requires high temperatures is CO_{ads} desorption (rate-determining step). The insights into the elementary steps of this study should motivate the employment of temperature-programmed methods^[13] which could precisely engineer the reaction course of DRM at room or high temperature in practical catalysis. This may significantly alleviate the carbon deposition originating from the pyrolysis of methane.

In conclusion, the co-conversion of CH_4 and CO_2 to syngas mediated by $RhTiO_2^-$ has been achieved in the gas phase. The experimental identification of multiple ionic reaction intermediates confirms that, in principle, the reaction of $CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2H_{2,gas} + CO_{gas} + CO_{ads}$ can occur at room temperature. This is also supported by quantum chemical calculations. Only the final step of CO_{ads} desorption requires the input of external energy (e.g., high temperature) to complete the full catalytic cycle. The working conditions for each elementary step of syngas production identified herein underline that the temperature control is very important for optimization of the reaction process and reduction of carbon deposition and energy consumption in practical catalysis.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the K. C. Wong Education Foundation, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 92061115 and 21973101), and the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (No. 2018041). Y.-K. Li thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a post-doctoral research fellowship. K. R. Asmis acknowledges financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) within project AS 133/ 4-1. The authors particularly appreciate Dr. Z.-Y. Li for designing and running the double ion trap system with two quadrupole mass filters and two linear ion trap reactors. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: carbon dioxide · catalytic reactions · mass spectrometry · methane · quantum chemistry calculations

- [1] V. Havran, M. P. Dudukovic, C. S. Lo, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2011, 50, 7089-7100.
- [2] a) K. Wittich, M. Kraemer, N. Bottke, S. A. Schunk, *Chem-CatChem* 2020, *12*, 2130–2147; b) L. Shi, G. Yang, K. Tao, Y. Yoneyama, Y. Tan, N. Tsubaki, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013, *46*, 1838–1847; c) M. A. A. Aziz, H. D. Setiabudi, L. P. Teh, N. H. R. Annuar, A. A. Jalil, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2019, *101*, 139–158.
- [3] a) H. M. Torres Galvis, K. P. de Jong, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 2130–2149; b) A. Galadima, O. Muraza, J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 2015, 25, 303–316; c) H. J. Venvik, J. Yang, Catal. Today 2017, 285, 135–146.
- [4] a) M.-S. Fan, A. Z. Abdullah, S. Bhatia, *ChemCatChem* 2009, 1, 192–208; b) D. Pakhare, J. Spivey, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 7813–7837; c) N. A. K. Aramouni, J. G. Touma, B. Abu Tarboush, J. Zeaiter, M. N. Ahmad, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 2018, 82, 2570–2585.
- [5] a) S. Yin, E. R. Bernstein, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 2012, 321, 49–65; b) Z. Luo, A. W. Castleman, S. N. Khanna, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 14456–14492; c) T. Nagata, K. Miyajima, F. Mafuné, J. Phys. Chem. A 2016, 120, 7624–7633; d) M. R. Fagiani, X. Song, S. Debnath, S. Gewinner, W. Schöllkopf, K. R. Asmis, F. A. Bischoff, F. Müller, J. Sauer, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 1272–1277; e) L.-X. Jiang, C. Zhao, X.-N. Li, H. Chen, S.-G. He, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4187–4191; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 4251–4255; f) P. B. Armentrout, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 10–18; g) H.-F. Li, L.-X. Jiang, Y.-X. Zhao, Q.-Y. Liu, T. Zhang, S.-G. He, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 2662–2666; Angew.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 13788–13792 © 2021 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH www.angewandte.org 13791

Chem. 2018, 130, 2692–2696; h) Y.-X. Zhao, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Yang, S.-G. He, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 2603–2610; i) Y. Zhao, J.-T. Cui, M. Wang, D. Y. Valdivielso, A. Fielicke, L.-R. Hu, X. Cheng, Q.-Y. Liu, Z.-Y. Li, S.-G. He, J.-B. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 12592–12600; j) H. Z. Ma, A. I. McKay, A. Mravak, M. S. Scholz, J. M. White, R. J. Mulder, E. J. Bieske, V. Bonacic-Koutecky, R. A. J. O'Hair, Nanoscale 2019, 11, 22880–22889; k) Y.-K. Li, S. Debnath, M. Schlangen, W. Schoellkopf, K. R. Asmis, H. Schwarz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 18868– 18872; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 19044–19048; l) M. Yamaguchi, Y. F. Zhang, S. Kudoh, K. Koyama, O. V. Lushchikova, J. M. Bakker, F. Mafune, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, 4408–4412.

- [6] a) C. J. Cassady, S. W. McElvany, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4788-4797; b) U. Achatz, C. Berg, S. Joos, B. S. Fox, M. K. Beyer, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, V. E. Bondybey, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2000, 320, 53-58; c) G. de Petris, A. Troiani, M. Rosi, G. Angelini, O. Ursini, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 4248-4252; d) D. Schröder, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 850-851; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 862-863; e) S. M. Lang, T. M. Bernhardt, V. Chernyy, J. M. Bakker, R. N. Barnett, U. Landman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13406-13410; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 13591-13595; f) G. Liu, Z. Zhu, S. M. Ciborowski, I. R. Ariyarathna, E. Miliordos, K. H. Bowen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 7773-7777; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 7855-7859; g) C. Geng, T. Weiske, J. Li, S. Shaik, H. Schwarz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 599-610; h) N. Levin, J. Lengyel, J. F. Eckhard, M. Tschurl, U. Heiz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 5862-5869.
- [7] a) P. Cheng, G. K. Koyanagi, D. K. Böhme, J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 12832-12838; b) E. Hossain, D. W. Rothgeb, C. C. Jarrold, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 024305; c) A. M. Ricks, A. D. Brathwaite, M. A. Duncan, J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 11490-11498; d) G. B. Miller, T. K. Esser, H. Knorke, S. Gewinner, W. Schöllkopf, N. Heine, K. R. Asmis, E. Uggerud, Angew. Chem.

Int. Ed. **2014**, *53*, 14407–14410; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 14635–14638; e) J. M. Weber, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2014**, *33*, 489–519; f) X. Zhang, E. Lim, S. K. Kim, K. H. Bowen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *143*, 174305; g) X. Zhang, G. Liu, K.-H. Meiwes-Broer, G. Ganteför, K. Bowen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9644–9647; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 9796–9799; h) H. Schwarz, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *334*, 112–123; i) G. Liu, P. Poths, X. Zhang, Z. Zhu, M. Marshall, M. Blankenhorn, A. N. Alexandrova, K. H. Bowen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 7930–7936.

- [8] a) R. Wesendrup, H. Schwarz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2033-2035; Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2176-2179; b) S. Zhou, J. Li, M. Firouzbakht, M. Schlangen, H. Schwarz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6169-6176; c) Y. Yang, B. Yang, Y.-X. Zhao, L.-X. Jiang, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Ren, H.-G. Xu, W.-J. Zheng, S.-G. He, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 17287-17292; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 17447-17452; d) Y.-X. Zhao, B. Yang, H.-F. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Yang, Q.-Y. Liu, H.-G. Xu, W.-J. Zheng, S.-G. He, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 21216-21223; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 21402-21409.
- [9] M. K. Beyer, C. B. Berg, V. E. Bondybey, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2001, *3*, 1840–1847.
- [10] X. Sun, S. Zhou, L. Yu, M. Schlangen, H. Schwarz, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, 25, 3550–3559.
- [11] Y.-K. Li, Z. Yuan, Y.-X. Zhao, C. Zhao, Q.-Y. Liu, H. Chen, S.-G. He, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 12854–12860.
- [12] Y.-K. Li, Y.-X. Zhao, S.-G. He, J. Phys. Chem. A 2018, 122, 3950– 3955.
- [13] S. C. Fung, C. A. Querini, J. Catal. 1992, 138, 240-254.

Manuscript received: March 17, 2021

Accepted manuscript online: April 23, 2021

Version of record online: May 17, 2021