

Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 11 (2019) 562-563



Special Section: Are the rates of age- and amyloid β-associated cortical atrophy influenced by sustained exceptional cognitive functioning in older adults?

SuperAging: Current findings yield future challenges—A response to Rogalski and Goldberg



We thank Drs Goldberg and Rogalski for their thoughtful commentaries on issues related to the construct of SuperAging that arose from their consideration of our article entitled, "Rates of age- and amyloid β-associated cortical atrophy in older adults with superior memory performance". We agree that identifying biological factors that allow some older adults to maintain memory ability comparable to adults 20–30 years younger is important because such an understanding could provide clues to strategies for the elimination of age-associated neurodegenerative diseases. In this context, Rogalski and Goldberg identify important issues for consideration in empirical studies and theoretical development of the SuperAger construct. Both commentaries and our own work acknowledge that many different terms have been used to define older individuals with cognitive function superior to that of individuals of the same age [1]. While the present study focused on the SuperAger construct, the issues raised by Rogalski and Goldberg apply to all criteria developed to classify older adults with superior cognition.

Rogalski suggests that SuperAger classification should require a minimum age of 80 years, consistent with the Northwestern SuperAging Study criteria [2]. One foundation for this recommendation is that normative data for a list-learning test used to classify SuperAgers show that decline in test performance increases with aging: decline in performance from 60 to 80 years of age is much greater than that from 40 to 60 years. Thus, the preservation of youthful memory, defined as performance equal to or better than adults 20-30 years younger, becomes more impressive as individuals become older. However, we have suggested that enforcing a minimum age criterion in the definition of SuperAging might be limiting on a number of bases. First, biological age may be a better predictor of cognitive ability and overall health than chronological age [3]. Second, defining a single criterion value from continuous scales

E-mail address: christa.dang@unimelb.edu.au

such as age will reduce the statistical power of investigations seeking biological or clinical correlates of SuperAging, as power is maximized when relevant samples are as large as possible and the variable of interest (e.g. age) is treated as a covariate in analyses [4,5]. Finally, studies of preclinical Alzheimer's disease suggest that existing normative data for many standardized neuropsychological tests will be negatively biased, particularly at older ages, because normative samples inadvertently include participants with preclinical dementia [6]. In fact, a recent study of cognitive aging showed that typical age-associated decline observed on standardized neuropsychological tests of memory is reduced when Aβ status is controlled statistically [7]. Therefore, our challenge is now to appreciate how chronological age should be treated in defining older adults with superior cognition.

Goldberg acknowledges that superior memory performance identified in the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Study of Ageing sample was not associated with reduced effects of aging or AB on cortical volume loss. However, he challenges these findings and recommends consideration into how older adults identified with superior memory came to have superior memory at all; this may be achieved by examining the role of genotypes such as APOE &2 carriage or BDNF val66met val/val on preserving cognition [8–10]. He also suggests that lifestyle factors such as education may have been important [11]. Finally, because SuperAgers are classified on the basis of their neuropsychological performance, it is possible that their identification is a function of normal variability where individuals achieve superior scores due to chance. Thus, if classification of superior memory performance reflects the consequences of normal variability, then subsequent changes in performance on the same measure could reflect statistical phenomena such as regression to the mean. Goldberg also cautions that we need to be careful of performance improvements or practice effects that occur with repeated application of the same tests to cognitively normal older adults [12]. These practice effects can mask subtle cognitive decline and potentially explain why no age-associated memory decline was observed in Aβ- SuperAgers nor matched cognitively normal for age controls despite both groups displaying cortical and hippocampal atrophy over the same time period [13].

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +61-3-9035-3000; Fax: +61-3-9035-3107

These two thoughtful commentaries show that there still remains much work to do to refine and understand the Super-Ager construct. Together with our own work, we are sure that the challenges identified by Rogalski and Goldberg will provide a fertile area for future investigations of these older adults, like whom we all hope to become.

Christa Dang*
Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health
The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, Australia
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, Australia

Paul Maruff
Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health
The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, Australia
CogState Ltd.
Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

References

- [1] Dang C, Harrington KD, Lim YY, Ames D, Hassenstab J, Laws SM, et al. Superior memory reduces 8-year risk of mild cognitive impairment and dementia but not amyloid β-associated cognitive decline in older adults. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2018; https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acy078 [Epub ahead of print].
- [2] Rogalski EJ, Gefen T, Shi J, Samimi M, Bigio E, Weintraub S, et al. Youthful memory capacity in old brains: anatomic and genetic clues from the Northwestern SuperAging Project. J Cogn Neurosci 2013; 25:29–36

- [3] Cole JH, Marioni RE, Harris SE, Deary IJ. Brain age and other bodily 'ages': implications for neuropsychiatry. Mol Psychiatry 2018:1–16.
- [4] Bhandari M, Lochner H, Tornetta P 3rd. Effect of continuous versus dichotomous outcome variables on study power when sample sizes of orthopaedic randomized trials are small. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2002;122:96–8.
- [5] Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ 2006;332:1080.
- [6] Sliwinski M, Lipton RB, Buschke H, Stewart W. The effects of preclinical dementia on estimates of normal cognitive functioning in aging. J Gerontol Ser B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 1996;51:217–25.
- [7] Harrington KD, Schembri A, Lim YY, Dang C, Ames D, Hassenstab J, et al. Estimates of age-related memory decline are Inflated by unrecognized Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 2018;70:170–9.
- [8] Shinohara M, Kanekiyo T, Yang L, Linthicum D, Shinohara M, Fu Y, et al. APOE2 eases cognitive decline during aging: clinical and preclinical evaluations. Ann Neurol 2016;79:758–74.
- [9] Lim YY, Hassenstab J, Goate A, Fagan AM, Benzinger TLS, Cruchaga C, et al. Effect of BDNF Val66Met on disease markers in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 2018; 84:424–35.
- [10] Conejero-Goldberg C, Gomar JJ, Bobes-Bascaran T, Hyde TM, Kleinman JE, Herman MM, et al. APOE2 enhances neuroprotection against Alzheimer's disease through multiple molecular mechanisms. Mol Psychiatry 2014;19:1243–50.
- [11] Pettigrew C, Soldan A. Defining cognitive reserve and implications for cognitive aging. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2019;19:1.
- [12] Goldberg TE, Harvey PD, Wesnes KA, Snyder PJ, Schneider LS. Practice effects due to serial cognitive assessment: implications for preclinical Alzheimer's disease randomized controlled trials. Alzheimer's Dement Diagnosis, Assess Dis Monit 2015;1:103–11.
- [13] Dang C, Yassi N, Harrington KD, Xia Y, Lim YY, Ames D, et al. Rates of age- and amyloid β-associated cortical atrophy in older adults with superior memory performance. Alzheimer's Dement Diagnosis, Assess Dis Monit 2019.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2019.05.004