Cisplatin/Etoposide and Concurrent Radiotherapy With or Without
 Celecoxib in Patients With Unresectable Locally Advanced Non-small
 Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

Department of Radiation Oncology, National Cancer Center, Cancer Hospital and
Institute, Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) and Chinese Academy of Medical
Sciences (CAMS)

7 PI: Luhua Wang, Email: wlhwq@yahoo.com; Tel: +861087788799

8 Clinical research site: Department of Radiation Oncology, Cancer Hospital and 9 Institute, Peking Union Medical College (PUMC) and Chinese Academy of Medical 10 Sciences (CAMS)

11 Clinical research coordinator: Jun Liang and Nan Bi

12

13	Study Outline
14	1. Background5
15	2. Purpose
16	3. Eligibility
17	3.1 Inclusion Criteria
18	3.2 Exclusion Criteria 6
19	3.3 Criteria for Discontinuation of Protocol Treatment7
20	4. Study Design
21	4.1 Study Endpoint
22	4.2 Evaluations Before, During and After Treatment
23	4.3 SNP Genotyping9
24	4.4 Radiation Therapy9
25	4.5 Chemotherapy10
26	5. Patients Assessment and Follow Up 12
27	5.1 Response Assessment 12
28	5.2 Adverse Event Evaluation13
29	5.3 Follow Up after Treatment13
30	6. Statistical Considerations
31	6.1 Hypothesis
32	6.2 Sample Size and Power14
33	6.3 Statistical Designs 14
34	7. Reference
35	

### 36 Study Outline

- Study title: Cisplatin/Etoposide and Concurrent Radiotherapy With or Without
   Celecoxib in Patients With Unresectable Locally Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer
   (NSCLC)
- 40 **Type of study:** The physician initiated phase II randomized clinical trial
- 41 **Participants:** Patients with unresectable stage III NSCLC confirmed with cytology or
- 42 histology were eligible.
- 43 **Primary endpoint:** Overall Survival (OS)
- 44 Secondary endpoints: Treatment-related toxicities, progression-free survival (PFS),
- 45  $\,$  and to evaluate the survival benefit of CCRT+C arm compared to CCRT arm in patients
- 46 with COX-2 high-risk genotype.
- 47 **Study design:** This is a single-institution, open-label, randomized phase II trial
- 48 **Expected number of participants:** 50 patients in the test group, 50 patients in the 49 control group
- 50 Inclusion criteria:
- 18-70 years old, male or female
- Histological or cytological confirmed stage III NSCLC
- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤1
- $\leq$  10% weight loss in the 3 months before inclusion
- inoperable AJCC stage IIIA, or IIIB
- **•** Normal organ function
- 57 **Exclusion Criteria**:

58	active uncontrolled infection					
59	clinically significant cardiovascular disease					
60	history of other malignancies					
61	<ul> <li>forced expiratory volume in 1 s &lt;40% of normal</li> </ul>					
62	<ul> <li>previous treatment with radiotherapy, chemotherapy or</li> </ul>					
63	immunotherapy					
64						
65	Duration of study:					
66	Start time: 12/2011					
67	Expected end time: 11/2015					
68						
69	Radiation Therapy:					
70	Target Volume: The definitions of volumes will be in accordance with the					
71	standard protocol of simplified intensity-modulated radiotherapy (sIMRT).					
72	<b>Dose:</b> A dose of 60 Gy (2 Gy per fraction) started on the first day of chemotherapy.					
73	Treatment technique: sIMRT.					
74						
75	Chemotherapy					
76	1. Cisplatin/Etoposide: 50 mg/m2/d of cisplatin on days 1, 8, 29, and 36 and 50					
77	mg/m2/d of etoposide on days 1–5 and 29–33. All the concurrent chemotherapy					
78	agents are administrated intravenously.					
79	2. Celecoxib: 200mg twice daily was started one week before initiation of					
80	radiotherapy and was continued without interruption until the end of radiation					
81	therapy.					
82						

83 Follow-up duration: 5 years

#### 84 **1. Background**

Approximately 30% of patients with non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have locally advanced diseases (LA-NSCLC) [1]. Although the concurrent chemotherapy and radiation (CRT) are considered the standard care [2], novel agents are needed to improve therapeutic efficacy and selectively reduce normal tissue injury.

89 Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) has been reported in NSCLC [3] [4]. 90 Increased COX-2 expression is associated with more aggressive tumor behavior and 91 poor prognosis in NSCLC patients [5]. COX-2 may also play a part in patient survival 92 after ionizing radiation [6-8]. The preclinical findings suggested that COX-2 93 inhibitors might potentially improve radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy. A phase I 94 clinical trial demonstrated that the selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib can be safely 95 administered concurrently with thoracic radiotherapy [9]. Additionally, selective 96 COX-2 inhibitors are used as a type of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) 97 and it is generally believed that inflammation significantly participates in the 98 pathogenesis of radiation injury [10]. Therefore, COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib might 99 provide a reduction in radiation-induced lung toxicity, which is dose-limiting toxicity 100 for lung cancer.

101 It is critical to identify patients who may benefit from COX-2 target therapy. We 102 previously reported that -1195G/A SNP (rs689466) in the COX-2 promoter region, 103 is associated with a different survival advantage in inoperable locally advanced 104 NSCLC treated with chemoradiation or radiation alone [8]. Tumors carrying 105 unfavorable –1195AA genotype were more radiation resistant than those with the 106 -1195GA + GG genotypes and need intensive treatment. Functional study showed 107 that the -1195G to A change creates a c-MYB binding site and, thus, displays a 108 higher promoter activity. Compared with the -1195G-containing counterparts, the -1195AA carriers showed significantly increased COX-2 expression in vitro and in
 vivo.

111 On the basis of these results, here we design a randomized phase II clinical trial, 112 which tries to evaluate the value of combined selective COX-2 inhibition with 113 standard concurrent chemoradiation therapy (CCRT) for patients with unresectable 114 stage III NSCLC.

#### 115 **2. Purpose**

116To determine the value of combined selective COX-2 inhibition with standard117concurrent chemoradiation therapy (CCRT) for patients with unresectable stage III118NSCLC, with a focus on survival, treatment-related lung toxicity, and the prediction119role for the COX-2 -1195G/A polymorphism.

### 120 **3. Eligibility**

#### 121 **3.1 Inclusion Criteria**

122	•	18-70 years old, male or female
123	•	Histological or cytological confirmed stage III NSCLC
124	•	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status ≤1
125	•	$\leqslant$ 10% weight loss in the 3 months before inclusion
126	•	inoperable AJCC stage IIIA, or IIIB
127	•	Normal organ function
128		

#### 129 **3.2 Exclusion Criteria**

130 • active uncontrolled infection

131	•	clinically significant cardiovascular disease
132	•	history of other malignancies
133	•	forced expiratory volume in 1 s <40% of normal
134	•	previous treatment with radiotherapy, chemotherapy or immunotherapy
135	3.3	Criteria for Discontinuation of Protocol Treatment
136	•	Patient's refusal.
136 137	•	Patient's refusal. A delay in protocol treatment of greater than 2 weeks.
137	•	A delay in protocol treatment of greater than 2 weeks.

## 141 **4. Study Design**

This is a single-institution, open-label, randomized phase II trial of celecoxib administered concurrently with cisplatin, etoposide, and radiation therapy in patients with locally advanced NSCLC, to determine the feasibility, activity, and toxicity of this combination on unresectable NSCLC, and further to examine biomarkers to predict response to the treatment.

		Treatment protocols
The	control	60Gy of thoracic radiation therapy concurrent with etoposide
group	(CCRT	50mg/m2 on days 1–5 and cisplatin 50mg/m2 on days 1 and 8
arm)		every 4 weeks for two cycles alone
Test	group	The exact same treatment with control group + celecoxib (200mg
(CCRT+C arm)		twice daily was started one week before initiation of radiotherapy

	and was continued without interruption until the end of radiation
	therapy)

147	4.1 Study Endpoint
148	Primary endpoint: overall survival
149	• Secondary endpoints: treatment-related toxicities, progression-free survival
150	(PFS), and to evaluate the survival benefit of CCRT+C arm compared to CCRT
151	arm in patients with COX-2 high-risk –1195AA genotype
152	4.2 Evaluations Before, During and After Treatment.
153	4.2.1 Pretreatment evaluation (within 1 week prior to treatment)
154	• H&P
155	Performance scoring
156	• Blood count, liver and renal function tests, and electrolyte test
157	Pulmonary function test
158	• ECG
159	Bronchoscopy
160	Chest and abdominal CT
161	Brain MRI or CT
162	Radionuclide bone scan
163	• Adjunctive use of chest MRI or FDG positron emission tomography (FDG-
164	PET) when available
165	
166	4.2.2 Evaluation during treatment
167	• H&P

168 • Toxicity evaluation based on CTC 3.0 criteria every week

169	Necessary test to evaluate disease or toxicities
170	• QOL analysis at the end of the 4th week
171	
172	4.2.3 Evaluation after treatment
173	• H&P
174	Chest CT and abdominal CT/ultrasound
175	QOL analysis at the end of treatment
176	Response evaluation at four weeks after treatment

177 **4.3 SNP Genotyping** 

Genomic DNA was extracted from a 5-mL blood sample that was collected in a blinded manner at baseline. Each specimen was stored at -80°C. The COX-2–1195G/A polymorphisms were genotyped using Sequenome MassArray method as previously described [8]. The high-risk genotype was –1195AA homozygote, and low-risk group included –1195GA and –1195GG genotypes.

- 183 **4.4 Radiation Therapy**
- 184 **4.3.1** Target Volumes

1851)Definition of the GTV: the gross tumor volume (GTV) includes the primary186disease as well as any involved regional lymph nodes, which are defined as187those with a short-axis diameter of at least 1 cm on CT scan or with a short-188axis diameter of less than 1 cm but with high fluorodeoxy-glucose (FDG)189uptake on PET-CT scan. The primary tumor is contoured using pulmonary190window CT settings and nodal GTV using the mediastinal window. The use of191PET or MRI to distinguish tumor from fluid/atelectasis is encouraged.

192	2)	Definition of the CTV: the clinical tumor volume (CTV) is defined to be the			
193		primary tumor plus a 0.6 cm to 0.8 cm margin, ipsilateral hilum, subcarinal,			
194		and the ipsilateral mediastinal to the highest lymph node stations involved.			
195		Elective treatment of the mediastinum and supraclavicular fossae will not be			
196		done.			
197	3)	Definition of the PTV: the PTV includes the CTV plus a total margin of at least			
198		0.5 cm.			
199	4)	All of the patients underwent simplified intensity-modulated radiotherapy			
200		(sIMRT).			
201					
202	4.3.2	Radiation Dose			
203	1)	The total dose will be 60Gy in 30 fractions. Patients will receive treatment 5			
204		days per week, in once daily fractions, 2 Gy per fraction.			
205	2)	Normalization of the treatment plan will cover 95% of the PTV with the			
206		prescription dose. The target dose uniformity should be within +7% and -7%.			
207		Inhomogeneity corrections will be used when radiation doses are calculated.			
208	3)	The maximum spinal cord dose should not exceed 45 Gy at any point. The			
209		mean lung dose (MLD) should be less than 17Gy. The lung volume			
210		receiving >20 Gy (V20), which is calculated by using total lung volume minus			
211		GTV, is limited to no more than 30%. The lung volume receiving >30 Gy (V30)			
212		is no more than 20%.			
213					

- **4.5 Chemotherapy**
- 2151)Cisplatin/Etoposide: 50 mg/m2/d of cisplatin on days 1, 8, 29, and 36 and 50216mg/m2/d of etoposide on days 1–5 and 29–33

217
 2) Celecoxib: 200mg twice daily was started one week before initiation of
 radiotherapy and was continued without interruption until the end of
 radiation therapy

220	3)	All the concurrent chemotherapy agents are administrated intravenously.
	Ξ,	in the concurrence of the approximation are a annual area intra area of the ar

221	4)	Etoposide/cisplatin and Celecoxib dose modifications for Treatment-related
222		toxicity

	Etoposide	Cisplatin
Hematologic toxicity		
ANC>1000/mm <sup>3</sup>	100%	100%
ANC 500-999/mm <sup>3</sup>	75%	75%
ANC <500/mm <sup>3</sup>	Hold treatment until recovery	Hold treatment until recovery
PLT>80000/mm <sup>3</sup>	100%	100%
PLT 50000-79000/mm <sup>3</sup>	75%	75%
PLT<50000/mm <sup>3</sup>	Hold treatment until recovery	Hold treatment until recovery
ANC1000/mm <sup>3</sup> and fever≥38°	Hold treatment until recovery	Hold treatment until recovery
<u>Neuralgia/muscular pain/</u> arthralgia		

Grade 0-1	100%	100%

75%	75%
Hold	Hold
treatment	treatment
until recovery	until recovery
100%	100%
75%	75%
Hold	Hold
treatment	treatment
until recovery	until recovery
	Hold treatment until recovery 100% 75% Hold treatment

# **5. Patients Assessment and Follow Up**

# **5.1 Response Assessment**

226 The response will be evaluated using RECIST criteria.

#### 228 Response Criteria: Evaluation of target lesions

Complete Response (CR)	Disappearance of all target lesions
Partial Response (PR)	At least a 30% decrease in the sum of the LD of target lesions, taking as reference the baseline sum LD
Stable Disease (SD)	Neither sufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR nor sufficient increase to qualify for PD, taking as reference the smallest sum LD since the treatment

	started
Progressive Disease (PD)	At least a 20% increase in the sum of the LD of
	target lesions, taking as reference the smallest sum
	LD recorded since the treatment started or the
	appearance of one or more new lesions

229 LD: Longest Diameter

#### 230 **5.2 Adverse Event Evaluation**

231 Treatment-related toxicities would be evaluated using NCI CTCAE, v4.0.

#### **5.3 Follow Up after Treatment**

The patients will be followed up every 3 months from hospital medical records and/or by phone. The follow-up evaluations should consist of a history, physical examination, and a thoracic CT at intervals of 3 months or earlier if clinically indicated. Other imaging examinations will be obtained when recurrence is suspected.

## 238 **6. Statistical Considerations**

#### 239 **6.1 Hypothesis**

Adding celecoxib, a COX-2 inhibitor to the concurrent chemoradiation may improve the OS without increasing or even reducing lung toxicity compared to concurrent chemoradiation only. And patients with the high-risk genotype would benefit the most from COX-2 blockade.

#### **6.2 Sample Size and Power**

245 The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS). We reported a 2-year OS rate of 246 48% for the EP based CCRT [11]. Liao et al. reported a 2-year OS rate of 67% with 247 combined CCRT with celecoxib [9]. The power analysis and sample size 248 estimation were completed using the log-rank test. Assuming 10% of patients 249 would be lost at follow-up, with the proposed sample size of 50 subjects per arm, 250 it provides 70% power to detect 19% superiority (67% vs. 48%) in OS at two years 251from randomization with a two-sided type I error rate of 0.05. The secondary 252 endpoints were treatment-related toxicities, progression-free survival (PFS), and 253 to evaluate the survival benefit of CCRT+C arm compared to CCRT arm in patients 254with COX-2 high-risk -1195AA genotype.

#### 255 **6.3 Statistical Designs**

Survival rates were calculated from the day of randomization and estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. The difference between two arms was estimated using student's t-test, nonparametric Mann – Whitney u test or  $\chi^2$ test. Hazard ratios (unadjusted and adjusted) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models. Toxicity rates and response rates were compared by Fisher's exact test. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### 262 **7. Reference**

263 1. Yang P, Allen MS, Aubry MC, et al: Clinical features of 5,628 primary lung cancer
264 patients: experience at Mayo Clinic from 1997 to 2003. Chest 128:452-62, 2005.

Auperin A, Le Pechoux C, Rolland E, et al: Meta-analysis of concomitant versus
 sequential radiochemotherapy in locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin
 Oncol 28:2181-90, 2010

3. Hida T, Yatabe Y, Achiwa H, et al. Increased expression of cyclooxygenase 2 occurs
frequently in human lung cancers, specifically in adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res
1998;58:3761–4.

4. Wolff H, Saukkonen K, Anttila S, et al. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human
lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 1998;58:4997–5001.

273 5. Achiwa H, Yatabe Y, Hida T, et al. Prognostic significance of elevated
274 cyclooxygenase 2 expression in primary, resected lung adenocarcinomas. Clin Cancer
275 Res 1999;5:1001–5.

6. O'Connor JK, Avent J, Lee RJ, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 expression correlates with diminished survival in invasive breast cancer treated with mastectomy and radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004;58:1034–40.

7. Gaffney DK, Holden J, Davis M, et al. Elevated cyclooxygenase-2 expression
correlates with diminished survival in carcinoma of the cervix treated with
radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;49:1213–7

8. Bi N, Yang M, Zhang L, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 genetic variants are associated with
survival in unresectable locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res.
2010;16(8):2383-90.

9. Liao Z, Komaki R, Milas L, Yuan C, Kies M, Chang JY, et al. A phase I clinical trial of
thoracic radiotherapy and concurrent celecoxib for patients with unfavorable
performance status inoperable/unresectable non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer
Res. 2005 May 1;11(9):3342-8.

10. Hunter NR, Valdecanas D, Liao Z, Milas L, Thames HD, Mason KA. Mitigation and
treatment of radiation-induced thoracic injury with a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor,
celecoxib. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2013 Feb 1;85(2):472-6.

- 292 11. Liang J, Bi N, Wu S, et al. Etoposide and Cisplatin vs Paclitaxel and Carboplatin
- 293 With Concurrent Thoracic Radiotherapy in Unresectable Stage III Non-Small Cell Lung
- 294 Cancer: A Multicenter Randomized Phase III Trial. Ann Oncol. 2017;28(4):777-783.

295