# RESEARCH



**Open Access** 

# Accurate identification of Culicidae at aquatic developmental stages by MALDI-TOF MS profiling

Constentin Dieme<sup>1,2</sup>, Amina Yssouf<sup>1</sup>, Anubis Vega-Rúa<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Michel Berenger<sup>1</sup>, Anna-Bella Failloux<sup>3</sup>, Didier Raoult<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Parola<sup>1</sup> and Lionel Almeras<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** The identification of mosquito vectors is generally based on morphological criteria, but for aquatic stages, morphological characteristics may be missing, leading to incomplete or incorrect identification. The high cost of molecular biology techniques requires the development of an alternative strategy. In the last decade, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) profiling has proved to be efficient for arthropod identification at the species level.

**Methods:** To investigate the usefulness of MALDI-TOF MS for the identification of mosquitoes at aquatic stages, optimizations of sample preparation, diet, body parts and storage conditions were tested. Protein extracts of whole specimens from second larval stage to pupae were selected for the creation of a reference spectra database. The database included a total of 95 laboratory-reared specimens of 6 mosquito species, including *Anopheles gambiae* (S form), *Anopheles coluzzi* (M form), *Culex pipiens pipiens, Culex pipiens molestus, Aedes aegypti* and 2 colonies of *Aedes albopictus.* 

**Results:** The present study revealed that whole specimens at aquatic stages produced reproducible and singular spectra according to the mosquito species. Moreover, MS protein profiles appeared weakly affected by the diet provided. Despite the low diversity of some MS profiles, notably for cryptic species, clustering analyses correctly classified all specimens tested at the species level followed by the clustering of early *vs.* late aquatic developmental stages. Discriminant mass peaks were recorded for the 6 mosquito species analyzed at larval stage 3 and the pupal stage. Querying against the reference spectra database of 149 new specimens at different aquatic stages from the 6 mosquito species revealed that 147 specimens were correctly identified at the species level and that early and late developmental stages were also distinguished.

**Conclusions:** The present work highlights that MALDI-TOF MS profiling may be useful for the rapid and reliable identification of mosquito species at aquatic stages. With this proteomic tool, it becomes now conceivable to survey mosquito breeding sites prior to the mosquitoes' emergence and to adapt anti-vectorial measures according to the mosquito fauna detected.

Keywords: MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, Culicidae, Aquatic stages, Species identification, Vectors

\* Correspondence: almeras.lionel@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2014 Dieme et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Université, Unité de Recherche en Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Emergentes (URMITE), UM63, CNRS 7278, IRD 198 (Dakar, Sénégal), Inserm 1095, WHO collaborative center for rickettsioses and other arthropod borne bacterial diseases, Faculté de Médecine, 27 bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille cedex 5, France

## Background

Mosquito-borne diseases (MBDs) are a major public health problem leading to millions of human deaths each year [1]. Although vaccines, therapeutics or prophylaxis exist for some MBDs, the best method to protect against MBDs is to avoid mosquito bites [2]. To this end, several methods have been developed to prevent mosquito bites with personal protective measures and the implementation of vector control strategies [3]. Long-lasting impregnated nets (LLINs) and indoor-residual spraying (IRS) directed against the adult vector population, for example, have been shown to efficiently decrease MBDs transmitted by nocturnal and indoor mosquitoes such as Anophelesmalaria vectors [4]. Nevertheless, these control strategies are less efficient against mosquitoes with outdoor and/or diurnal biting activities such as the Aedes genus, vectors of dengue fever, yellow fever, and chikungunya, or the Culex genus, vectors of West Nile virus, Japanese encephalitis and St. Louis encephalitis [5]. An alternative strategy is to decrease adult mosquito densities by targeting their aquatic stages, which can be achieved by reducing vector larval habitats or with the application of chemical or biological agents to kill the larvae [3]. These anti-vectorial measures target both outdoor and indoor mosquito vectors with distinct circadian biting activities. Moreover, in contrast with adult mosquitoes, which are highly mobile flying insects and can escape many intervention measures, mosquito larval and pupal developmental stages are confined to aquatic habitats (i.e., breeding sites) and cannot readily evade control interventions [6]. Because a single area could harbor several mosquito species differing in their vector competences, host-feeding preferences or larval habitat requirements [7], a correct taxonomic classification is crucial to distinguish vectors from non-vectors. In addition, to evaluate the impact of control measures, a precise determination of the abundance and proportion of the various mosquito species is needed both before and after implementation of the vector control program.

Classically, mosquitoes are identified using morphological criteria. Nevertheless, this method is time-consuming, requiring entomological expertise and training, and may lead to misidentifications, particularly for closely related species (*e.g.*, species complex) [8]. This problem is exacerbated in aquatic stages (*i.e.*, larval or pupal stages), where identification keys or morphological characteristics may be missing, leading to incomplete or incorrect identification. Alternatively, larvae can be reared until the imago stage for which morphological characters are better established. Nevertheless, this time-consuming strategy is incompatible with field constraints requiring the rapid and accurate identification of mosquitos to monitor and adapt anti-vectorial measures.

To circumvent these problems, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and other molecular biological methods have been increasingly applied for the identification of mosquitoes [9,10]. Despite the development of a universal standard method serving as a "barcode" for the identification of organisms including insects [11,12], Foster and collaborators have reported the limitations of using a single gene for mosquito identification [13]. These authors underlined the need to combine several genes for the accurate identification of mosquitoes such as the *Anopheles* species complex [13]. Thus, the constraint of analyzing several selected genes, which requires sequence information, has made the molecular biology methods tedious, technically time-consuming and expensive approach to mosquito identification.

To overcome the drawbacks of molecular methods, the development of alternative tools has recently been explored. Based on the introduction of the matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) as an economic, rapid, and highly informative tool for bacterial identification and classification [14], this proteomic approach was explored as a taxonomic tool for insects. Since a pioneering study that evaluated MALDI-TOF MS for the discrimination of fruit fly species a decade ago [15], this technique has proven to be applicable for the identification of different arthropod groups, including Drosophila [16], Culicoides [17-20], Ixodidae [21,22], Glossina [23,24], Phlebotominae [25] and Siphonaptera [26]. MALDI-TOF MS was also successfully applied for the identification of adult mosquitoes allowing the discrimination of cryptic species such as the An. gambiae M and S molecular forms (Culicidae) [27-29]. More recently, the unambiguous identification of Aedes species based on mosquito egg protein profiling highlighted the robustness of MALDI-TOF MS for classification of mosquitos at even the pre-hatching developmental stage [30]. Nevertheless, until now, this technique has received scant attention for the identification of mosquitoes at their larval and pupal stages.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the applicability of MALDI-TOF MS for the rapid identification of mosquito species at aquatic developmental stages. A simple protocol was optimized taking into account various parameters, including sample preparation, storage conditions, diet and body parts used. The goal was to establish a reference MS spectra database for mosquitoes from *Anopheles, Aedes* and *Culex* genuses at larval and pupal stages. The accuracy of this method in species identification according to developmental stages was then blindly evaluated.

## Methods

#### Culicidae

Mosquitoes were reared in the URMITE laboratory (Marseille, France) or in the Institute Pasteur (Paris, France) using standard methods with temperature of  $26 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, a relative humidity of  $80 \pm 10\%$  and a 12 h:12 h

(light:dark) photoperiod in incubators (Panasonic cooled incubator) [31]. Larvae were reared until the pupal stage in trays containing 1 liter distilled water supplemented with fish food (TetraMinBaby, Tetra Gmbh, Herrenteich, Germany) or yeast tablets (Gayelord Hauser, Mequon, WI, USA). Pupae were daily collected and transferred to a mosquito cage (Bug Dorm 1, Bioquip products). Adults were fed with a 10% glucose solution. For eggs production, blood-meals were given through a Parafilm-membrane (hemotek membrane feeding systems, Discovery Workshops, UK) using fresh heparinized human blood or by providing anesthetized mice [32]. Seven mosquito colonies from 6 different species including *An. gambiae* (S form), *An. coluzzii* (M form), *Ae. albopictus* (two different colony origins), *Ae. aegypti, Cx. pipiens pipiens* and *Cx. pipiens*  *molestus* were used to establish Culicidae juvenile database (Table 1). Specimens were collected from the L2 to L4 larval stages and pupal stage. Subsequently, the specimens were rinsed 60 sec. with 70% ethanol and 60 sec. with distilled water. The specimens were then directly treated for MALDI-TOF analyses or either frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C or stored in 70% ethanol. Some specimens were dissected to compare protein mass profiles.

### Larvae and pupae dissection

The heads and thoraces were separated from the abdomens of L3 stage *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus* reared in the URMITE insectarium. Each body part of the specimen was then manually homogenized and treated with the standard procedure for MALDI-TOF analysis

Table 1 Culicidae used to establish the reference database of MALDI-TO	OF spectra and arthropods used in the blind test
--	--

Species	Geographical origin	Source*	No. of specimens used to create the database	Developmental stage <sup>a</sup>	No. of specimens used for the blind test procedure	Log score-values <sup>b</sup> [low-High]	Stages identified
An. gambiae(S form)	Montpellier, France (IRD)	UR	6	L2	11	[2.122 - 2.648]	L2, L3
			6	L3	15	[2.173 - 2.548]	L2, L3, L4
			6	L4	16 (2 <sup>c</sup> )	[2.221 - 2.433]	L3, L4
			5	P1	12	[2.024 - 2.379]	P1
An. coluzzi	Dakar, Sengal	UR	6	L2	6	[2.196 - 2.466]	L2
			6	L3	5	[2.565 - 2.632]	L3
			6	L4	5	[2.325 - 2.499]	L3, L4
			6	P1	9	[2.177 - 2.588]	P1
Ae. albopictus	Montpellier, France (EID)	UR	6	L2	5	[2.023 - 2.435]	L2
			6	L3	12	[1.946 - 2.795]	L2, L3
			6	L4	14	[2.075 - 2.655]	L4, P1
			6	P1	5	[2.121 - 2.288]	P1
Ae. albopictus	Manaus, Brazil	PI	0	L3	5	[2.044 – 2.145]	L3
			0	L4	2	[1.987 - 1.995]	L4, P1
			0	P1	4	[1.940 - 2.239]	P1
Ae. aegypti PAEA	Papeete, Tahiti	PI	2	L2	1	[2.284]	L2
			2	L3	2	[2.241 - 2.468]	L2, L3
			2	L4	3	[1.958 - 2.383]	L4, P1
			2	P1	2	[2.394 - 2.441]	P1
Cx. p. pipiens		PI	2	L2			
			2	L3	1	[2.454]	L3
			2	L4	4	[2.143 - 2.419]	L3, L4
			2	P1	3	[2.086 - 2.516]	P1
Cx. p. molestus		PI	2	L2			
			2	L3	2	[2.049 - 2.11]	L2, L3
			2	L4	3	[2.504 - 2.03]	L3, L4
			2	P1	1	[2.528]	P1
Total			95		149		

\*Location of laboratory-reared mosquitoes, UR, URMITE; PI, Pasteur Institute. <sup>a</sup>Developmental stages are abbreviated as follows: L, larvae; P1, pupae collected at day 1. <sup>b</sup>Range of identification log score values. <sup>C</sup>Number of specimens misidentified at the species level.

(for details see section "Preparation of samples for MALDI-TOF MS").

#### Feeding larvae

To test the effect of diet on MS profiles, hatched eggs from *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus* reared in the URMITE insectarium were fed with 3 distinct diets, including fish food 1 (FF1) corresponding to standard diet (TetraMinBaby, Tetra Gmbh, Herrenteich, Germany), fish food 2 (FF2) containing a distinct composition (Tropical Mikrovit Basic, Tropical, Chorzów, Poland) or bread plus dried cat food (mix of beef and vegetables) (B + CF), during all their aquatic developmental stages. At the L3 stage, larvae were collected and treated for MALDI-TOF analyses.

#### Preparation of samples for MALDI-TOF MS

Each whole mosquito specimen was homogenized in 20, 30, 40 and 50 µL of 70% formic acid for stages L2, L3, L4 and pupae, respectively. Homogenizations were performed manually using pestles (Fischer Scientific, Strasbourg, France) or with a FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP BIOMEDICALS, Santa Ana, California, USA) using glass beads (Sigma, Lyon. France). Suspensions were mixed with 50% acetonitrile (v/v) (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 sec. One microliter of the supernatant of each sample was deposited on a steel target plate (Bruker Daltonics, Wissembourg, France) into four spots as previously described [28]. Then, 1 µL of CHCA matrix composed of saturateda-cyano-4-hydroxycynnamic acid (Sigma, Lyon. France), 50% acetonitrile(v/v), 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v) (Aldrich, Dorset, UK) and HPLC-grade water was directly overlaid on each spot sample on the target plate, dried for several minutes at room temperature and introduced into the MALDI-TOF MS instrument for analysis. To control loading on mass spectra steel, matrix quality and MALDI-TOF apparatus performance, matrix solution was loaded in duplicate onto each MALDI-TOF plate with or without a bacterial test standard (Bruker protein Calibration Standard I).

#### **MALDI-TOF MS parameters**

Protein mass profiles were obtained using a Microflex LT MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany), with detection in the linear positive-ion mode at a laser frequency of 50 Hz within a mass range of 2–20 kDa. The acceleration voltage was 20 kV, and the extraction delay time was 200 ns. Each spectrum corresponds to ions obtained from 240 laser shots performed in six regions of the same spot and automatically acquired using the AutoXecute of the Flex Control v.2.4 software (Bruker Daltonics). The spectrum profiles obtained were visualized with Flex analysis v.3.3 software and exported to ClinProTools software v.2.2 and MALDI-Biotyper v.3.0. (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) for data processing

(smoothing, baseline subtraction, and peak picking) and evaluation with cluster analysis.

#### Spectra analysis and reference database creation

Species spectra reproducibility at each aquatic developmental stage was evaluated by comparing the average spectra of each specimen within a species using the ClinProTools 2.2 software (Bruker Daltonics). To create a database for each aquatic developmental stage species, reference spectra (MSP, Main Spectrum Profile) were created by combining the results of the spectra from at least 2 to 6 specimens per developmental stage per species by the automated function of the MALDI-Biotyper software v3.0. (Bruker Daltonics). MSP were created on the basis of an unbiased algorithm using information on the peak position, intensity and frequency.

#### MALDI-TOF MS biomarker mass set

To determine the species differential peaks from the samples of 2 Aedes spp, 2 Culex spp and 2 Anopheles spp tested (Table 1), a total of 128spectra from specimens at the L3 stages and day one of the pupal (P1) aquatic developmental stage of each species included in the database were loaded into ClinProTools2.2 software. The software was used to generate a peak list for each species in the 2 to 20 kDa mass range and to identify discriminating peaks among the analyzed species. The parameter sets in ClinProTools 2.2 software for spectra preparation were as follows: a resolution of 300; a noise threshold of 2.00; a maximal peak shift of 800 ppm and a match to calibrant peaks of 10%. For the peak calculation, peak peaking was performed on single spectra with a signal-to-noise threshold of 2.00 and an aggregation of 800 ppm. The spectra were then analyzed with the genetic algorithm (GA) model, which displayed a list of discriminating peaks. A manual inspection and validation of the selected peaks by the operator gave a "recognition capability" (RC) value together with the highest "crossvalidation" (CV) value. The presence or absence of all discriminating peak masses generated by the GA model was controlled by the comparison of the average spectra from each species at the L3 and P1 stages.

## Blind tests for study validation

The reference spectra of each species at different developmental stages were evaluated using a blind test performed with new specimens at different aquatic developmental stages from laboratory-reared mosquito colonies. The level of significance identification was determined using the log score values (LSVs) given by the MALDI-Biotyper software v.3.3. corresponding to a matched degree of signal intensities of mass spectra of the query and the reference spectra. LSVs ranged from 0 to 3. A LSV for species identification and developmental stage was obtained for each spectrum of the samples tested blindly.

#### **Cluster analysis**

Cluster analysis (MSP dendrogram) was performed based on the comparison of the main spectra given by MALDI-Biotyper software and clustered them according to the protein mass profile (*i.e.*, their mass signals and intensities). Several clustering analyses were performed to determine how organisms are related to one another.

#### Ethical approval for animal use

The Institut Pasteur animal facility has received accreditation from the French Ministry of Agriculture to perform experiments on live animals in compliance of the French and European regulations on care and protection of laboratory animals. This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the Institut Pasteur. No specific permits were required for the described field studies in locations that are not protected in any way and did not involve endangered or protected species.

## **Results and discussion**

# Evaluation of sample preparation parameters for MALDI-TOF profiling

#### Whole vs. body parts and diet

To determine whether abdomen compartment could affect negatively protein profiling analysis and to estimate consequences of gut contents according to distinct dietary feeding, a comparison of MALDI-TOF protein profiles obtained for whole specimens and body parts (i.e., abdomen or thorax plus head) from An. gambiae and Ae. albopictus at the L3 larval stage was performed. At least four biological replicates were tested for each condition using these two mosquito species reared at the URMITE insectarium. For An. gambiae, MS profiles generated from whole specimens and abdomens were more reproducible amongst themselves than compared to thorax plus head (Figure 1A). Similar results were obtained for MS profiles generated from whole specimens and body parts of Ae. albopictus specimens (Figure 1B). Interestingly, abdomen protein profiles were highly distinct between Ae. albopictus and An. gambiae specimens despite similar diets (i.e., fish food (TetraMin)). Additionally, the protein profiles for each of these two mosquito species were reproducible for either whole insects or body parts at the L3 larval stage collected at a one month interval (data not shown). The similarity of the MS profiles between whole specimens and abdomens suggested that the prominent peaks may correspond to abdomen protein origins. MALDI-TOF MS detects mainly the most abundant proteins and peptides of low molecular weights (i.e., ranging from 2 to 20 kDa)

[14]. Although the whole protein repertoire is specific for each body part of an organism, it has been demonstrated that some body parts from the same insect generate similar MALDI-TOF MS profiles [17].

It has been repeatedly reported that gut contents may impair protein profiles, notably for adult hematophagous arthropods corresponding to blood-feeding behavior [19,21]. The abdomen is then generally dissected and excluded prior MALDI-TOF analysis. To determine the consequences of food onto MALDI-TOF MS profiles from whole L3 specimens, An. gambiae and Ae. albopictus were fed with three distinct diets (i.e., fish food1 (FF1, TetraMinBaby), fish food 2 (FF2, Tropical Mikrovit Basic) or bread plus dried cat food (B + CF)) during all aquatic developmental stages. The MS profiles from whole L3 specimens were very similar regardless of the diet provided and according to the mosquito species (Figure 2A). Moreover, 4 representative MS profiles of An. gambiae specimens at the L3 larval stage per dietary condition were used to perform clustering analysis. Inconsistent clustering was obtained according to food delivered as observed in the dendrogram (Figure 2B). Comparable results were obtained for Ae. albopictus specimens at the L3 larval stage fed with these three distinct diets (data not shown). These results revealed that MS protein profiles were weakly affected by diet.

Taken together, the reproducibility of the whole and abdomen protein profiles at the L3 larval stage and their distinction between *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus* specimens regardless of the method of generation and diet underlined that gut protein contents appear to have a minor effect on MS protein patterns. As the final objective was to develop a novel fast, simple and accurate method that allows low sample handling to identify Culicidae at the aquatic developmental stages, the use of whole specimens was chosen for the next parameters tested and to create a spectra library database for the further evaluation of Culicidae identification.

### Developmental stages from L2 to L4 and pupal

As mosquitoes are holometabolous insects, during their aquatic developmental stages a metamorphosis occurs. Insect metamorphosis has already been described to induce protein repertoire changes [33]. Although MALDI-TOF MS has been shown to be applicable for the identification and discrimination of several adult insect species, scant attention has been paid to the assessment of this tool for the identification of arthropod species at aquatic life cycle stages. Only some species of ticks and biting midges have been previously analyzed with MALDI-TOF profiling that took into account developmental stages [20,21]. Distinct MS profiles were observed for ticks at each developmental stage (*i.e.*, eggs, larvae, nymphs or adults) [21]. For Culicoides biting midges,



modifications of MS profiles occurred during their metamorphosis that distinguish the larval, pupal and adult specimens [20]. More recently, fleas also showed an evolution of protein profiles according to their developmental stages [26].

Therefore, the MS protein profiles from entire specimens according to their developmental stages were compared for *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus* specimens. For *An. gambiae*, MS protein profiles were weakly modified from the L2 to L4 developmental stages (Figure 3A). Conversely, at the pupal stage, the patterns changed dramatically. Concerning *Ae. albopictus* specimens, from the L2 to L3 developmental stages, MS profiles were stable, whereas their L4 and pupal stages were modified (Figure 3B). To visualize the distances between the different aquatic stages and species, MS protein profiles of two specimens per developmental stage from these two mosquito species were used to generate a dendrogram (Figure 3C). Clustering analyses were therefore performed with specimens from the L2 to L4 larval stages and the day-one pupal stage (P1). Clustering analysis revealed that all stages of the same species gathered on distinct branches and no overlapping occurred between the two mosquito species tested. For *An. gambiae*, a



clear-cut separation was detected between the pupal and larval stages. However, intertwining occurred between the different larval stages, suggesting a low specificity of MS profiles according to larval stages. Concerning *Ae. albopictus* specimens, early larval stages (*i.e.*, L2 and L3) clustered together and were separated from the L4 and pupal stages which shared the same main branch. Then, the protein pattern changes that occurred at the late larval and pupal stages reflect the biologic metamorphosis of the mosquitoes. Interestingly, these modifications arise at an earlier aquatic life cycle in *Aedes* than in *Anopheles* mosquitoes. This cluster analysis on the basis of MALDI- Dieme *et al. Parasites & Vectors* 2014, **7**:544 http://www.parasitesandvectors.com/content/7/1/544



#### (See figure on previous page.)

**Figure 3 MALDI-TOF MS spectra of whole mosquitoes at different aquatic stages ranging from 2 to 20 kDa.** A representative spectra from biological replicates performed in quadruplicate of each aquatic developmental stage of *An. gambiae* (**A**) and *Ae.albopictus* (**B**) specimens is shown. The mosquito life stages are indicated in the right corner of each protein profile spectrum. a.u., arbitrary units; m/z, mass-to-charge ratio; L2 to L4, larval stages 1 to 4; P1, pupae at day 1. (**C**) Dendrogram of MALDI-TOF MS spectra of different life stages of *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus*. Each life stage is represented by 2 distinct specimens. Distance unit correspond to the relative similarity calculated from the distance matrix.

TOF MS indicated that the primary determinant for the MS profiles was the species, followed by the clustering of early *vs*. late aquatic developmental stages.

# Sample preparation and storage methods (fresh, 70% ethanol, frozen, in water)

To standardize the homogenization of immature stages, a comparison of the MS profiles obtained from a disruption of tissues by hand with a pestle or by an automated beater system using glass beads (*i.e.*, FastPrep-24 Instrument) was tested. Both methods of sample preparation yielded MS profiles of equal quality (Additional file 1: Figure S1A and S1B). Thus, for the case in which a large number of specimens must be identified by MALDI-TOF MS, the automation of sample homogenization, which is less laborious, is conceivable.

As whole specimen homogenization in formic acid degrades DNA, the effect of manual disruption of *An. gambiae* in sterile distilled water on MS profiles was tested (Additional file 1: Figure S1C). This homogenization method is compatible with DNA extraction for the eventual future validation of identification by molecular biology. With the exception of the decreased intensity of one peak (*i.e.*, 8641.4 kDa), MS patterns were reproducible. Water can then be used for both MALDI-TOF MS and DNA isolation analysis as previously described [17,19].

The collection sites and laboratory locations for the monitoring of field mosquitoes at aquatic stages using MS analysis could be separated by variable distances. Thus, different modes of storage of immature stages were investigated. L3 larvae of An. gambiae mosquitoes were stored for different time periods (i.e., 7, 14, 21, 28 and at least 60 days) frozen or in 70% ethanol at room temperature. Storage up to two months at -20°C had no deleterious effect on the MS profiles (Additional file 1: Figure S1D). Conversely for mosquito larvae stored in ethanol, the intensity of some peaks was modified, and background noise was noticeable and appeared linked to the length of time in storage (Figure 1E-G). Storage in ethanol has already been reported to be deleterious for arthropod MALDI-TOF MS analysis [21,26]. Thus, freezing is the recommend mode of storage when mosquito aquatic stages cannot be analyzed immediately.

Taken together, the recommended procedure for MALDI-TOF MS analyses of Culicidae aquatic stages is to homogenize the entire specimen manually or automatically, either in water or formic acid. Moreover, storage by freezing appears better than the 70% ethanol preservation mode.

# MALDI-TOF MS analysis and reference spectra database assembly

The MALDI-TOF MS approach to identify mosquito species at aquatic stages was assessed. A total of 95 mosquito specimens from 6 species at 4 distinct aquatic developmental stages (*i.e.*, from L2 to L4 and pupae at day 1), including at least 2 species from the 3 main Culicidae genera (*i.e.*, *Anopheles*, *Aedes* and *Culex*), were subjected to MALDI-TOF MS analysis. As observed for *An. gambiae* and *Ae. albopictus*, the MS spectra of each species according to developmental stage were reproducible after spectral analysis and alignment. The alignment of representative spectra from the different species tested at the L3 larval developmental stage is presented in Figure 4A. On average, 96 peaks were detected per spectrum at L3, ranging between 59 and 116 peaks using ClinProTools. At the P1 stage, 70 peaks ranging from 52 to 83 were retrieved.

The cluster analysis using two main spectra of each species at the L3 larval stage including the two colonies of Ae. albopictus is shown in Figure 4B. All specimens were correctly classified at the species level and no inter-species overlapping was detected. Despite the similarity of some profiles, notably for cryptic species such as Cx. p. pipiens and Cx. p. molestus or An. gambiae and An. coluzzii, each species clustered on discrete branches. Moreover, the two colonies of Ae. albopictus from different geographical origins clustered together but were found in distinct branches. Surprisingly, the mosquitoes from the Aedes genus (i.e., Ae. albopictus and Ae. aegypti) did not cluster in the same region of the dendogram. These results indicated that, despite the correct classification of the specimens at the species level, MALDI-TOF MS does not seem to be a relevant tool for phylogenetic analysis as previously described [21,29].

To identify discriminatory peaks among the 6 mosquito species analyzed in the present study, 16 spectra per species at the L3 and P1 stages were analyzed using the Genetic Algorithm (GA) tool from ClinProTools software. After verification of the peak report in the average spectrum of the 6 mosquito species tested, 35 and 23 discriminatory masses were determined at L3 and P1, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). The combination of the presence/absence of these discriminant peaks displayed RC and CV values of 100% at the L3 stage. At the Dieme *et al. Parasites & Vectors* 2014, **7**:544 http://www.parasitesandvectors.com/content/7/1/544



P1stage, RC and CV values of 100% and 99.24%, respectively, were obtained. Subsequently, two to six specimens at the 4 developmental stages (*i.e.*, L2 to L4 and P1) and per species were used to create a MS reference database (Table 1).

## Validation step

The reproducibility and accuracy of the reference database were tested in a validation study. Then, 149 Culicidae specimens at the four aquatic developmental stages (from L2 to L4 and P1) from the sic mosquito species

Number	Mass m/z [Da]	Start mass m/z [Da]	End mass m/z [Da]	An. gambiae	An. coluzzii	Ae. aegypti	Ae. albopictus	Cx. p. pipiens	Cx. p. molestus
1	2213.63	2204.71	2220.92	-	-	-	-	+	+
2	2227.55	2226.53	2235.88	+	+	-	-	-	-
3	2709.15	2702.45	2719.88	+	+	-	-	-	-
4	3002.61	2991.93	3005.19	-	+	-	+	-	-
5	3198.93	3187.73	3204.2	-	+	-	-	-	-
6	3344.75	3337.51	3354.32	-	-	-	+	-	-
7	3460.33	3451.2	3472.71	+	+	-	-	-	-
8	3724.44	3711.33	3735.47	+	+	-	+	-	-
9	4074.85	4063.87	4087.13	-	+	-	-	-	-
10	4331.99	4321.54	4346.68	+	+	-	-	-	-
11	4401.47	4398.34	4417.65	-	-	-	-	+	+
12	4431.45	4418.55	4435.31	-	-	-	-	+	+
13	4461.79	4449.63	4471.79	-	-	-	-	+	+
14	4532.78	4518.9	4547.36	-	-	-	-	+	-
15	4607.44	4588.57	4609.64	+	+	-	+	-	-
16	4668.7	4659.29	4680.67	+	-	-	-	-	-
17	4942.9	4927.38	4957.08	-	-	-	+	+	+
18	5193.44	5180.07	5200.56	+	+	-	-	-	-
19	5211.24	5200.56	5228.38	+	+	-	-	-	-
20	5574.68	5560.73	5593.11	+	-	-	-	-	-
21	5615.54	5597.96	5632.36	-	+	+	-	-	-
22	5662.87	5652.69	5667.51	-	-	-	+	-	-
23	5734.88	5718.69	5751.92	-	-	-	-	+	-
24	5981.4	5961.06	5993.71	-	-	+	-	+	-
25	6031.11	6022.16	6051.79	-	-	-	-	+	-
26	6423.55	6416.53	6426.92	-	-	-	+	-	-
27	6458.42	6445.18	6478.9	-	-	-	+	-	-
28	6691.94	6669.95	6713.95	-	-	-	+	-	-
29	6791.36	6774	6794.05	-	-	+	+	-	-
30	6797.41	6794.05	6817.84	+	+	-	-	+	+
31	7115.51	7095.02	7129.98	+	+	-	-	-	-
32	7558.82	7541.81	7580.28	-	-	+	-	-	-
33	8633.2	8600	8648.73	+	+	-	-	-	-
34	8690.6	8676.18	8721.32	+	-	-	-	-	-
35	9070.26	9045	9102.62	-	+	-	-	-	+
Total				14	16	4	10	10	7

Table 2 Discriminating mass peaks between	the six Culicidae	e species included	i in the reference M	S database of
MALDI-TOF at the L3 aquatic stage				

were subjected to MALDI-TOF MS analysis. The resulting spectra were queried against the MS reference database yielding more than 98% (n = 147/149) correct identification at the species level regardless of the developmental stage with identification LSVs between 1.940 and 2.795 (Table 1). Lower LSVs were obtained for *Ae. albopictus* specimens reared at the Pasteur Institute than those collected at Manaus in Brazil. In the reference spectra database, only *Ae. albopictus* specimens from aquatic stages reared at the URMITE insectarium were included. Thus, despite the correct identification, these lower LSVs could be

Number	Mass m/z [Da]	Start mass m/z [Da]	End mass m/z [Da]	An. gambiae	An. coluzzii	Ae. aegypti	Ae. albopictus	Cx. p. pipiens	Cx. p. molestus
1	2999.89	2990.67	3002.65	-	-	+	+	+	+
2	3026.17	3025.58	3036.29	-	-	-	-	+	+
3	3437.1	3433.23	3448.71	+	+	-	-	-	-
4	3514.66	3501.34	3526.44	-	-	-	+	-	-
5	3593.03	3586.61	3601.84	-	+	-	-	-	-
6	4020.58	4006.76	4031.99	+	+	-	-	-	-
7	4209.88	4197.71	4215.43	-	-	-	-	-	+
8	4225.44	4215.43	4231.37	-	+	-	-	-	-
9	4240.36	4231.37	4244.23	-	-	-	+	+	-
10	4280.13	4270.75	4297.96	-	-	-	-	-	+
11	4330.76	4321.31	4350.08	+	+	-	-	-	-
12	4398.69	4393.23	4416.32	-	-	-	-	+	+
13	4558.05	4545.6	4566.28	+	+	-	-	+	-
14	4607.52	4603.55	4620.78	+	+	-	+	-	-
15	4645.98	4639.27	4660.39	-	-	-	-	-	+
16	5157.09	5148.73	5173.1	-	-	+	-	-	-
17	5435.44	5413.08	5437.56	-	-	-	-	+	+
18	5663.42	5651.19	5685.79	-	-	-	+	-	-
19	5915.95	5905.68	5930.73	-	+	+	+	+	+
20	6347.7	6336.1	6366.85	+	-	-	-	-	-
21	6464.08	6443.81	6467.51	-	-	-	+	-	-
22	8633.57	8597.27	8664.94	+	+	-	-	-	-
23	10873.74	10844.92	10893.96	+	+	-	-	-	-
Total				8	10	3	7	7	8

Table 3 Discriminating mass peaks between the six Culicidae species included in the reference MS database of MALDI-TOF at the P1 aquatic stage

attributed to intra-species MS profile variations from specimens coming from distinct geographical origins. The detection of spectral differences for arthropods from the same species stemming from distinct locations has already been reported in tsetse flies [24] and in phlebotomine sand flies [25]. These MS profile variations could result from confounding effects between environmental and biologic factors. However, the correct identification of Ae. albopictus with high LSVs suggests that MALDI-TOF MS tools may be useful for the identification of other colonies from this same species at aquatic stages. Reliable identification is particularly important as Ae. albopictus have colonized every continent except Antarctica [34]. The widespread distribution of this mosquito species, a vector of arboviruses of public health significance, makes the monitoring and control of Ae. albopictus the best disease prevention method [5,35]. Therefore, the rapid identification of aedine species at aquatic stages may be helpful for the monitoring and control of this invasive mosquito species and the precise targeting of breeding sites. These results suggest that the implementation of the MS reference database with spectra from specimens of species already included in the database but collected in distinct areas (*i.e.*, specimens from the area of observation) seems a valid initiative to improve identification of local mosquitoes.

Mosquitoes from the *Cx. pipiens* complex include 6 members, such as *Cx. pipiens pipiens* and *Cx. p. molestus*, which exhibit different physiological and behavioral traits that greatly influence their vectorial capacity [36,37]. Moreover, *Cx. p. pipiens* and *Cx. p. molestus* subspecies are morphologically similar and their identification, in temperate latitudes, has been associated particularly in differences of larval habitats [37]. Therefore, the development of a new tool for distinguishing the two mosquitoes from the *Cx. pipiens* complex at aquatic stages would be indispensable. Here, there was no ambiguity in their identification by applying the MALDI-TOF MS strategy.

Finally, among the entire specimens tested, only two were incorrectly identified at the species level (Table 1). Two *An. gambiae* specimens at the L4 stage were identified as *An. coluzzii* at the L3 stage as the top-ranking hit.

This misidentification may be attributed to the low diversity of the MS spectra between these two Anopheles species belonging to the same complex. The accurate paired comparison of MSP from An. gambiae and An. coluzzii, indicated that 8 and 4peaks could distinguish them at the L3 and P1 aquatic developmental stages, respectively. Although 97.3% (73/75) of the specimens from this Anopheles complex tested blindly were unambiguously distinguished, additional experiments notably using Anopheles specimens collected in the field are needed to confirm the utility of MALDI-TOF MS for the reliable classification of these species at their aquatic stages. Moreover, it is possible that the combination of the low diversity of MS spectra between these two species and the intra-species profile variations due to geographical origins may alter the distinction of these two Anopheles molecular forms at aquatic stages. Nevertheless, the discrimination of An. coluzzii from An. gambiae has been previously demonstrated to be possible at the adult stages by MALDI-TOF MS [27,29]. Therefore, confirmation is possible with larval specimens collected at the same breeding site, reared in the laboratory until adult stage and submitted to MALDI-TOF MS. Alternatively, it would also be possible to utilize previously discussed molecular strategies in parallel with MALDI-TOF MS analysis in cases of uncertainty identification.

Interestingly, more than 80% of the specimens were correctly identified at their respective developmental stage level. The imprecision in the aquatic stage determination is ascribed to the sharing of numerous MS peaks in the course of their early (*e.g.*, L2 or L3) or late (*e.g.*, P1) life cycle. Specific MS signatures could be then attributed to early and late aquatic developmental stages. Thus, the addition of some reference spectra from early (*i.e.*, L2 or L3) and late (*i.e.*, P1) stages of a new species would be sufficient for their future identification.

To control for the accuracy of juvenile mosquito identification by MALDI-TOF MS, these 149 specimens were also tested blindly against our in-house arthropod database composed of several species of mosquitoes (n = 30), fleas (n = 5) and ticks (n = 6) at adult stages [22,26,28,29]. The highest LSV obtained was less than 1.5, which corresponds to a misidentification and underlines the absence of cross-recognition.

#### Conclusions

Beyond the demonstration that MALDI-TOF MS could successfully identify distinct mosquito species from three genera at the juvenile stages, the present work emphasized that this proteomic tool may also reveal distinct cryptic species, molecular forms from a complex species and distinct mosquito species according to geographical origin. Despite the lack of exact determination of developmental stage due to the conservation of MS profiles during part of the mosquito aquatic life cycle, it is possible to define whether a specimen is in the early or late part of its developmental aquatic stage. The quick identification of juvenile mosquitoes by MALDI-TOF MS could be an alternative tool to monitor Culicidae vectors. It now becomes conceivable to perform accurate "live" monitoring of mosquito breeding sites and implement anti-vectorial measures according to the mosquito fauna detected prior to their emergence.

# **Additional file**

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** Effect of sample preparation methods and storage conditions on the spectra quality. Comparison of the MALDI-TOF MS spectra of whole *An. gambiae* at the L3 stage homogenized manually with a pestle (A), automatically with a FastPrep apparatus (B), manually in deionized water followed by acidic extraction (C); stored at –20°C for 60 days and homogenized manually with a pestle (D); stored in 70% ethanol for 7 (E), 14 (F) and 60 (G) days. au, arbitrary units; m/z, mas-to-charge ratio.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AL, PP, RD. Performed the experiments: DC, YA, VRA. Analyzed the data: AL, PP. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: BJM, FAB. Wrote the paper: AL, PP. Contributed to the paper redaction: FAB, RD, DC. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Pr Ousmane Faye (Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD), Dakar, Senegal) for kindly providing *An. coluzzii* specimens and Christophe Flaudrops (URMITE-IRD198, Marseille, France) for his advice on the analysis of MALDI-TOF MS profiles. We would like to thank Ricardo Lourenço-de-Oliveira for providing mosquitoes from Manaus in Brazil. This manuscript has been reviewed and corrected by American Journal Experts.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Université, Unité de Recherche en Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Emergentes (URMITE), UM63, CNRS 7278, IRD 198 (Dakar, Sénégal), Insern 1095, WHO collaborative center for rickettsioses and other arthropod borne bacterial diseases, Faculté de Médecine, 27 bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille cedex 5, France. <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Ecologie Vectorielle et Parasitaire, Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, Dakar, Senegal. <sup>3</sup>Department of Virology, Institut Pasteur, Arboviruses and Insect Vectors, Paris, France.

#### Received: 8 August 2014 Accepted: 17 November 2014 Published online: 02 December 2014

#### References

- McGraw EA, O'Neill SL: Beyond insecticides: new thinking on an ancient problem. Nat Rev Microbiol 2013, 11(3):181–193.
- Fontaine A, Diouf I, Bakkali N, Misse D, Pages F, Fusai T, Rogier C, Almeras L: Implication of haematophagous arthropod salivary proteins in hostvector interactions. *Parasit Vectors* 2011, 4:187.
- 3. Fillinger U, Lindsay SW: Larval source management for malaria control in Africa: myths and reality. *Malar J* 2011, **10**:353.
- Curtis CF, Jana-Kara B, Maxwell CA: Insecticide treated nets: impact on vector populations and relevance of initial intensity of transmission and pyrethroid resistance. J Vector Borne Dis 2003, 40(1–2):1–8.
- Tolle MA: Mosquito-borne diseases. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care 2009, 39(4):97–140.
- Killeen GF, Fillinger U, Knols BG: Advantages of larval control for African malaria vectors: low mobility and behavioural responsiveness of immature mosquito stages allow high effective coverage. *Malar J* 2002, 1:8.

- Diallo D, Diagne CT, Hanley KA, Sall AA, Buenemann M, Ba Y, Dia I, Weaver SC, Diallo M: Larval ecology of mosquitoes in sylvatic arbovirus foci in southeastern Senegal. *Parasit Vectors* 2012, 5:286.
- Munstermann LE, Conn JE: Systematics of mosquito disease vectors (Diptera, Culicidae): impact of molecular biology and cladistic analysis. Annu Rev Entomol 1997, 42:351–369.
- Collins FH, Paskewitz SM: A review of the use of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) to differentiate among cryptic Anopheles species. Insect Mol Biol 1996, 5(1):1–9.
- Scott JA, Brogdon WG, Collins FH: Identification of single specimens of the Anopheles gambiae complex by the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1993, 49(4):520–529.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R: DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol* 1994, 3(5):294–299.
- Hebert PD, Cywinska A, Ball SL, de Waard JR: Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc Biol Sci 2003, 270(1512):313–321.
- Foster PG, Bergo ES, Bourke BP, Oliveira TM, Nagaki SS, Sant'Ana DC, Sallum MA: Phylogenetic analysis and DNA-based species confirmation in Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus). PLoS One 2013, 8(2):e54063.
- 14. Sandrin TR, Goldstein JE, Schumaker S: MALDI TOF MS profiling of bacteria at the strain level: a review. *Mass Spectrom Rev* 2013, **32**(3):188–217.
- Campbell PM: Species differentiation of insects and other multicellular organisms using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry protein profiling. Syst Entomol 2005, 30:186–190.
- Feltens R, Gorner R, Kalkhof S, Groger-Arndt H, von Bergen M: Discrimination of different species from the genus *Drosophila* by intact protein profiling using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry. *BMC Evol Biol* 2010, 10:95.
- Kaufmann C, Schaffner F, Ziegler D, Pfluger V, Mathis A: Identification of field-caught Culicoides biting midges using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry. *Parasitology* 2012, 139(2):248–258.
- Kaufmann C, Steinmann IC, Hegglin D, Schaffner F, Mathis A: Spatio-temporal occurrence of *Culicoides* biting midges in the climatic regions of Switzerland, along with large scale species identification by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Parasit Vectors* 2012, 5:246.
- Kaufmann C, Ziegler D, Schaffner F, Carpenter S, Pfluger V, Mathis A: Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry for characterization of *Culicoides* nubeculosus biting midges. *Med Vet Entomol* 2011, 25(1):32–38.
- Steinmann IC, Pfluger V, Schaffner F, Mathis A, Kaufmann C: Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry for the identification of ceratopogonid and culicid larvae. *Parasitology* 2013, 140(3):318–327.
- Karger A, Kampen H, Bettin B, Dautel H, Ziller M, Hoffmann B, Suss J, Klaus C: Species determination and characterization of developmental stages of ticks by whole-animal matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 2012, 3(2):78–89.
- Yssouf A, Flaudrops C, Drali R, Kernif T, Socolovschi C, Berenger JM, Raoult D, Parola P: Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for rapid identification of tick vectors. J Clin Microbiol 2013, 51(2):522–528.
- Hoppenheit A, Murugaiyan J, Bauer B, Clausen PH, Roesler U: Analysis of Glossinapalpalis gambiensis and Glossina tachinoides from two distant locations in Burkina Faso using MALDI TOF MS. Parasitol Res 2014, 113(2):723–726.
- Hoppenheit A, Murugaiyan J, Bauer B, Steuber S, Clausen PH, Roesler U: Identification of Tsetse (*Glossina* spp.) using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time of flight mass spectrometry. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2013, 7(7):e2305.
- Dvorak V, Halada P, Hlavackova K, Dokianakis E, Antoniou M, Volf P: Identification of phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry. *Parasit Vectors* 2014, 7:21.
- Yssouf A, Socolovschi C, Leulmi H, Kernif T, Bitam I, Audoly G, Almeras L, Raoult D, Parola P: Identification of flea species using MALDI-TOF/MS. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2014, 37(3):153–157.
- Muller P, Pfluger V, Wittwer M, Ziegler D, Chandre F, Simard F, Lengeler C: Identification of cryptic Anopheles mosquito species by molecular protein profiling. *PLoS One* 2013, 8(2):e57486.

- Yssouf A, Parola P, Lindstrom A, Lilja T, L'Ambert G, Bondesson U, Berenger JM, Raoult D, Almeras L: Identification of European mosquito species by MALDI-TOF MS. *Parasitol Res* 2014, 113(6):2375–2378.
- Yssouf A, Socolovschi C, Flaudrops C, Ndiath MO, Sougoufara S, Dehecq JS, Lacour G, Berenger JM, Sokhna CS, Raoult D, Parola P: Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry: an emerging tool for the rapid identification of mosquito vectors. *PLoS One* 2013, 8(8):e72380.
- Schaffner F, Kaufmann C, Pfluger V, Mathis A: Rapid protein profiling facilitates surveillance of invasive mosquito species. *Parasit Vectors* 2014, 7:142.
- 31. Awono-Ambene HP, Diawara L, Robert V: Comparison of direct and membrane feeding methods to infect *Anopheles arabiensis* with *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2001, **64**(1–2):32–34.
- Vazeille M, Moutailler S, Coudrier D, Rousseaux C, Khun H, Huerre M, Thiria J, Dehecq JS, Fontenille D, Schuffenecker I, Despres P, Failloux AB: Two Chikungunya isolates from the outbreak of La Reunion (Indian Ocean) exhibit different patterns of infection in the mosquito, Aedes albopictus. *PLoS One* 2007, 2(11):e1168.
- Zhang YL, Xue RY, Cao GL, Zhu YX, Pan ZH, Gong CL: Shotgun proteomic analysis of wing discs from the domesticated silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) during metamorphosis. *Amino Acids* 2013, 45(5):1231–1241.
- Bonizzoni M, Gasperi G, Chen X, James AA: The invasive mosquito species *Aedes albopictus*: current knowledge and future perspectives. *Trends Parasitol* 2013, 29(9):460–468.
- Fischer D, Thomas SM, Neteler M, Tjaden NB, Beierkuhnlein C: Climatic suitability of Aedes albopictus in Europe referring to climate change projections: comparison of mechanistic and correlative niche modelling approaches. Euro Surveill 2014, 19(6):pii: 20696.
- Medlock JM, Snow KR, Leach S: Potential transmission of West Nile virus in the British Isles: an ecological review of candidate mosquito bridge vectors. *Med Vet Entomol* 2005, 19(1):2–21.
- Farajollahi A, Fonseca DM, Kramer LD, Marm Kilpatrick A: "Bird biting" mosquitoes and human disease: a review of the role of *Culex pipiens* complex mosquitoes in epidemiology. *Infect Genet Evol* 2011, 11(7):1577–1585.

#### doi:10.1186/s13071-014-0544-0

**Cite this article as:** Dieme *et al.*: Accurate identification of Culicidae at aquatic developmental stages by MALDI-TOF MS profiling. *Parasites & Vectors* 2014 **7**:544.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit