### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

WILEY

# Geographic patterns of Lucanus (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) species diversity and environmental determinants in China

Dan Chen<sup>1</sup> | Li-Jun Cao<sup>2</sup> | Jin-Ling Zhao<sup>3</sup> | Xia Wan<sup>1</sup> | Shu-Jun Wei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Anhui University, Hefei, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Plant and Environmental Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>National Engineering Research Center for Agro-Ecological Big Data Analysis & Application, Anhui University, Hefei, China

#### Correspondence

Xia Wan, School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Anhui University, Hefei, China. Email: wanxia@ahu.edu.cn

#### Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31872276 and 31572311

#### Abstract

Clarifying the geographic patterns of species diversity and the determinant factors can provide essential information for species conservation and management. Stag beetles (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) of Lucanus are important saproxylic insects and can be used for biomonitoring forests. Most of Lucanus species are facing conservation concerns due to their limited distribution and fragmented habitats, particularly in China, which has the richest species diversity of this genus. The distribution patterns of species diversity of Lucanus at large spatial scales remain portly understood. We studied the distribution patterns of Lucanus and its environmental and geographic determinants in China. Distribution data for 72 species and subspecies were examined. All these species are distributed in southern China except for Lucanus maculifemoratus dybowskyi, which is mainly distributed in north China. The hotspot for Lucanus in China is southeastern Tibet. Our study indicated that the species richness of *Lucanus* in China was shaped by the precipitation of the wettest and driest month, net primary productivity, digital elevation model, and latitude at a large scale. These variables collectively explained 56.2% of the variation in species richness; precipitation contributed the most (44.1%). Our results provide valuable insights to improve the conservation of Lucanus and can contribute to furthering our understanding of the biogeography of stag beetles in China.

# **1** | INTRODUCTION

Understanding the large-scale geographic patterns of species diversity and the forces driving these patterns is a central topic in ecology and biogeography (Gaston, 2000; James & Mark, 2000; Ornelas et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2011). Investigating such patterns, can provide important information for regional species protection and management (Chesson, 2000; Gaston, 2000; Leibold & McPeek, 2006; Stanley et al., 2014). The distribution pattern of species richness has been investigated at large spatial scale (Brown, 1984; Gaston, 2000; Santos et al., 2011) for plants (Freestone & Inouye, 2006; Matthews

et al., 2019; Qian & Song, 2013), birds (Hawkins et al., 2006; Kissling et al., 2012), mammals (Chen et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2015; Marcelo & Douglas, 2004), insects (Shen et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018), and bacteria (Fuhrman et al., 2008). Latitude was the most common and significant factor influencing species diversity (Gaston, 2000; Rosenzweig, 1992). However, exceptions were found, for example, species distribution patterns varied with area and in different groups (Noah & Jackson, 2006; Silva & Brandao, 2014; Wang et al., 2019).

Hypotheses on the factors shaping large-scale species diversity have been proposed (Brown et al., 2004; Hubbell, 2001; Palmer, 1994). The environmental heterogeneity hypothesis based

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

on climatic factors suggests that higher environmental heterogeneity promotes a number of habitat types and enhances species diversity (David, 1991; Lin et al., 2013; Stein et al., 2014; Tews et al., 2004). Increasingly, studies have found that geographic distance was the primary factor driving species diversity (Freestone & Inouye, 2006; Legendre et al., 2005; Leigh et al., 2004; Murphy et al., 2016). However, studies on leaf beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) indicated that the effects of environment and geographic position were similar (Baselga & Jiménez-Valverde, 2007).

Stag beetles (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) are saproxylic insects that commonly inhabit the lowlands or mountains dominated by broadleaf woody plants. They play important roles in the carbon cycle because their larvae live in and feed on decaying wood, and adults feed on tree sap or decaying fruit (Songvorawit et al., 2017; Tanahashi et al., 2009). Lucanidae species have been used as forest biodiversity indicators in Europe because temperature and deadwood significantly affect the presence of European stag beetles (Lachat et al., 2012; Thomaes et al., 2008). Climate has been speculated as the main driving factor for Colophon (Lucanidae) evolution (Switala et al., 2014). Morphological evidence has suggested that the evolution of mandibles of stag beetles was related to environmental heterogeneity rather than genetic differentiation (Huang & Lin, 2010; Shingleton et al., 2011). These studies on a limited number of species showed that environmental factors are critical to stag beetles. Nevertheless, the diversity distribution patterns of stag beetles at large scales remain poorly understood. More works are necessary to explore the factors on driving distribution patterns of stag beetles. Lucanus is widely accepted as the most typical representative of Lucanidae. Studies of molecular data indicated that Lucanus diverged circa 51.3 mya (95% HPD: 48.7-53.9 mya), and its sister group is Prismognathus (Hosoya & Araya, 2005; Kim & Farrell, 2015). Species (including subspecies) of Lucanus are particularly abundant in the Oriental region, including south and southwest China, India, Laos, Vietnam, and Myanmar (Fujita, 2010; Huang & Chen, 2010; Lin, 2017; Wan, 2007). In the present study, we examined the distribution and diversity patterns of Lucanus species in China and quantified the relative contribution of environmental conditions and spatial factors. The results will help us to understand the species diversity and distribution of these stag beetles.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Collection of species distribution data

Distribution data of *Lucanus* species in China were retrieved from three sources: volumes I-III of "Stag Beetles of China" (Huang & Chen, 2010, 2013, 2017); collecting records from 1982 to 2018 of *Lucanus* preserved in the ecological geology specimen room at Anhui University (collected insects were not listed as "protected"); collecting records from other museums and universities (Wan, 2007), for example, Zoological Museum "La Specola," University of Florence (Firenze, Italy), Museum national d' histoire naturelle (Paris, France), Museo Civico di Storia Naturale (Milan, Italy), Natural History Museum (London, UK), Museum für Naturkunde (Berlin, Germany), Staatliches Museum für Tierkunde (Dresden, Germany), Senckenberg Deutsches Entomologisches Institut (Müncheberg), Entomological Museum of Hebei University (Baoding, China), Institute of Entomology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), Museum of Insect, Chinese Agricultural University (Beijing, China), and the Department of Biology, Shanghai Normal University (Shanghai, China). Distribution data were also obtained through literature surveys, the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) (GBIF.org 14 January 2020) and Bio-Nica website (http://www. bio-nica.info/home/index.html). After removing problematic and duplicate information, we obtained 1856 distribution records for 72 species of Lucanus. Although we attempted to obtain available records for different species, the sampling effort was inevitably uneven.

#### 2.2 | Environmental and geographic data

To analyze the association between species diversity and environmental and geographic factors, we studied 24 variables (Table S1): 19 bioclimatic variables including temperature and precipitation; two vegetation variables: normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and net primary productivity (NPP); and three geographic factors: digital elevation model (DEM), latitude (LAT), and longitude (LON). Data for 19 climate variables were obtained from WorldClim version 2 with a spatial resolution of 5 min (Fick & Hijmans, 2017). NDVI (Xu, 2018), NPP, and DEM data were obtained from the Resource and Environment Data Cloud Platform (http://www.resdc.cn). LAT and LON were transformed from species distribution information.

We extracted raster data in ArcGIS 10.2, including reprojection using Lambert conformal conic projection, resampling, extracting the value by distribution point, and calculating the value in each cell ( $500 \times 500 \text{ km}^2$ ). Among them, 19 bioclimatic variables were characterized by the range (maximum minus minimum) of values of all distribution points in each cell. Similarly, NPP, NDVI, LAT, and LON were characterized by the mean of values; DEM was characterized by the standard deviation of values.

#### 2.3 | Statistical analysis

Species accumulation curves (SAC) were widely used to assess the completeness of sampling effort or sampling completeness (Colwell et al., 2004; Mongombe et al., 2019). To estimate the sampling completeness of *Lucanus* species in China at  $500 \times 500 \text{ km}^2$ , we constructed SACs based on the number of samples (each grid represented a sample). The function "specaccum" in the package *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2013) in R 3.6.1 (R-Core-Team, 2019) was used to find the SAC with the classic method "random," which adds grids in a random order. The number of permutations was set to 100. To eliminate the effect of the square of the study area on species

I FV\_Ecology and Evolution

richness, we used an equal-area grid cell size of  $500 \times 500 \text{ km}^2$  (Ding et al., 2006; Marcelo & Douglas, 2004). We overlapped all species distributions with the  $500 \times 500 \text{ km}^2$  fishnet and then counted the number of species present within each grid as the species richness values for each grid. Drawing fishnets and calculating species richness were carried out in ArcGIS 10.2.

We filtered the 24 variables through Pearson's correlation analysis (|r| > 0.8) to eliminate the strong correlation between environmentally heterogeneous variables (Garg & Tai, 2012). Pearson's correlation analysis was performed in IBM SPSS Statistics v24. To assess the relationship between species richness and environmental variables, we applied generalized linear modeling (GLM) with Poisson regression and negative binomial regression as those models are typically used for count data. We validated the models by applying the log-likelihoods test and the null hypothesis to compare the Poisson and negative binomial GLMs. Regression models and model validation were carried out in R; Poisson GLMs were constructed by the function "glm" in the vegan package; negative binomial GLMs were constructed by the function "glm.nb" in the MASS package (Venables & Ripley, 2002); model selection process was by the function "drop1," all variables being significant at the 5% level suggested that the model selection was finished; model validation was conducted by the function "odTest" in the pscl package (Zeileis et al., 2008).

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Distribution of species diversity

The SAC illustrates trends in species richness with changing number of samples. As the number increases, the SAC increases sharply at first and then slowly, indicating sufficient sampling for data analysis (Figure 1).

In China, the distribution range of Lucanus is 18-43°N and 85-127°E. Seventy-two species of stag beetle species were found (Figure 2). Species richness of the Lucanus species differed significantly between the south (Oriental) and north (Palaearctic) of China. All Lucanus species were distributed in the Oriental realm of China except for Lucanus maculifemoratus dybowskyi. This species is distributed across the south and north, but is mainly distributed in the north and northeast of China. Based on grids of  $500 \times 500$  km<sup>2</sup>, we revealed southeastern Tibet as a hotspot area where the highest species richness of Lucanus was observed (26 species). Fewer grids had high species richness, and many species were only distributed in one or two grids, presenting a narrower distribution. For instance, eight species (L. datunensis, L. formosanus, L. kanoi, L. kurosawai, L. m. taiwanus, L. miwai, L. ogakii, and L. swinhoei) were endemic to Taiwan, and L. datunensis only occurred at Datunshan within the Yangmingshan National Park; L. fanjingshanus only occurred at Fanjingshan; eight species were endemic to Yunnan; and 18 species were endemic to south Tibet (mainly distributed in southeast Tibet).



**FIGURE 1** Sample-based species accumulation curves of *Lucanus* species in China

#### 3.2 | Environmental and spatial associations

Nine variables selected through the correlation analysis, namely, annual mean temperature, temperature seasonality, precipitation of wettest month (PWM), precipitation of driest month (PDM), NDVI, NPP, LAT, LON, and DEM (Table S2). Further model selection of Poisson GLMs only retained five variables (Table 1). All of these terms in the model were significant (p < .01). The output of the negative binomial GLM was similar to the Poisson GLM output (Table 2), except that the corresponding significance level was lower, and the AIC was slightly higher. The chi-square test statistic was equal to 1.5972, and the *p*-value was equal to .1031 (p > .05), which did not support the null hypothesis. Hence, there was strong support for the Poisson GLM.

To further quantify the contribution of different variables to species richness, we classified these five variables into four matrixes: precipitation (PWM, PDM), NPP, LAT, and DEM for conducting variation partitioning. The variation partitioning results showed that the total contribution of these five variables was 56.2%; precipitation (PWM, PDM) independently explained 44.1% (Figure 3), which was much higher than that of several other variables; NPP independently explained 7.5%; DEM independently explained 0.5%; LAT independently explained less than zero (-0.18%).

## 4 | DISCUSSION

China is the main distribution center of *Lucanus* species, but many species of this genus present a narrow distribution, which may be due to a weak dispersion ability or specific habitat needs, or even the different ecological effects caused by environmentally heterogeneous habitats (Tews et al., 2004; Thomaes, 2009; Tini et al., 2017). For example, the species distributed in Taiwan are endemic. Only *L. m. dybowskyi* occurs in north China. This is related to the strong diffusion ability of this species and its unique adaptability to the cold





TABLE 1Regression analysis resultsfor environmental variables regressedagainst species richness with Poissongeneral linear models

	Estimate	SE	z value	P (> z )	codes
(Intercept)	2.589568	0.665381	3.892	9.95E-05	***
PWM	0.008224	0.001121	7.339	2.16E-13	***
PDM	-0.01479	0.002864	-5.164	2.42E-07	***
NPP	0.000137	4.13E-05	3.31	0.000935	***
DEM	0.00119	0.000359	3.317	0.000909	***
LAT	-0.06731	0.025237	-2.667	0.007649	**

*Note*: Signif. codes: "\*\*\*" indicated that 0 ; "\*\*" indicated that <math>0.001 ; Null deviance: 122.152 on 22 degrees of freedom; Residual deviance: 33.894 on 17 degrees of freedom; AIC: 125.78.

	Estimate	SE	z value	Pr(> z )	Signif. codes	
(Intercept)	2.55E+00	7.89E-01	3.234	0.00122		**
PWM	8.03E-03	1.48E-03	5.415	6.12E-08		***
PDM	-1.46E-02	3.58E-03	-4.062	4.86E-05		***
NPP	1.23E-04	5.49E-05	2.249	0.02449		*
DEM	1.28E-03	4.52E-04	2.825	0.00473		**
LAT	-6.68E-02	2.90E-02	-2.302	0.02131		*

*Note*: Signif. codes: "\*\*\*" indicated that 0 ; "\*\*" indicated that <math>0.001 ; "\*" indicated that <math>0.01 ; Null deviance: 82.949 on 22 degrees of freedom; Residual deviance: 23.774 on 17 degrees of freedom; AIC: 126.18.

Abbreviations: DEM, digital elevation model; LAT, latitude; NPP, net primary productivity; PDM, precipitation of driest month; PWM, precipitation of wettest month.

environment and limited broad-leaved forests (Fang & Yoda, 1991; Qiao et al., 2015).

At large spatial scales, previous studies tended to report species richness showing a certain pattern along the latitude gradient (Archibald et al., 2016; Dunn et al., 2009; Stegen et al., 2012). In our study, due to the limited number of species and a large proportion of endemic species, we only considered the species distribution patterns at a large scale ( $500 \times 500 \text{ km}^2$ ). Although there was no obvious pattern along latitude, this factor still has an important effect on species richness according to the results of the regression

TABLE 2Regression analysis resultsfor environmental variables regressedagainst species richness with negativebinomial general linear models



**FIGURE 3** Results of variation partitioning for *Lucanus* species richness. Variation partitioning according to four matrixes. PWM, precipitation of wettest month; PDM, precipitation of driest month; NPP, net primary productivity; DEM, digital elevation model; LAT, latitude

model. However, the results of variation partitioning indicated negative explained variances. This situation could arise when certain relationships are present in the data, and no solution is available to meaningfully remove them (Peres-Neto et al., 2006).

The other four factors included in the regression model all had positive independent contributions to the variation in species richness. Among them, precipitation contributed the most. Precipitation could have indirect effects that influence the species richness of *Lucanus* because larvae of these stag beetles live in and feed on dead wood (Songvorawit et al., 2017). Wood decay is correlated with moisture content (Crockatt & Bebber, 2015; Herrmann & Bauhus, 2013). Therefore, moderate water content enhances the occurrence and abundance of larval stag beetles (Songvorawit et al., 2017). Huang (2014) reported that climate change may threaten the survival of *Lucanus* species due to their specific habitat preferences. However, our model did not include temperature, which is unexpected.

Lucanus inhabit mature deciduous forests, especially oak woodland, and feed on dead wood (Bardiani et al., 2017). NPP was also one of the major factors in our model, which is an important manifestation of vegetation productivity. The species richness of Lucanus can be directly affected by vegetation. NPP was positively correlated with Lucanus species richness, which means that high vegetation productivity can provide more resources, indicating that more species can be accommodated. Furthermore, studies revealed that plant cover has a significant effect on the diversity of many other groups, such as ladybugs (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) (Sushko, 2018), stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) (Reisig et al., 2015), and small mammals (Keller & Schradin, 2008). However, plant diversity is under increasing threat due to human activities (Bardiani et al., 2017; Sharrock et al., 2011). Therefore, we suggest that strategies for conserving Lucanus should focus on protecting habitats. An interesting example included the use of artificial habitats comprised of rotten woodpiles and buckets with rotten deciduous leaves for

larvae provides us with references (New, 2005). Besides, studies on the distribution patterns of ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) and ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) in China reported that changes in altitude could be a key factor affecting the distribution of species diversity (Shen et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017). This result is consistent with our results, but the independent contribution of DEM was low.

With global climate change and large-scale deforestation, many species of *Lucanus* are facing problems from habitat fragmentation and loss. These species must be urgently protected. *L. datunensis* is the only species that is currently protected in China, and there are no protection measures or threat level assessments for the others. The distribution information for *Lucanus* in China is lacking. Therefore, our research can attract the attention of relevant researchers to undertake more effective monitoring and protection plans. Our analysis only found part of the relevant factors, and more factors (e.g., percentage of broad-leaved forest) and smaller scales should be explored in the future.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the laboratory colleagues Wen-Wen Chen, Qiang-Qiang Wu, and Jiao-Jiao Yuan for their kind help on data analyses. We also thank Dr. Luca Bartolozzi, Dr. Feng Zhang, and Dr. Tian-Long Cai for providing suggestions on revision. The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 31872276 and NO. 31572311).

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There have no competing interests exist.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dan Chen: Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Visualization (equal); Writingoriginal draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (lead). Xia Wan: Conceptualization (lead); Resources (lead); Supervision (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). Li-Jun Cao and Jin-Ling Zhao: Formal analysis (supporting); Methodology (supporting). Shu-Jun Wei: Methodology (supporting); Writing-review and editing (supporting).

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Dryad, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.08kprr4zx.

## ORCID

Dan Chen (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6323-8492

#### REFERENCES

- Archibald, S. B., Bossert, W. H., Greenwood, D. R., & Farrell, B. D. (2016). Seasonality, the latitudinal gradient of diversity, and Eocene insects. *Paleobiology*, 36(3), 374–398. https://doi.org/10.1666/09021.1.
- Bardiani, M., Chiari, S., Maurizi, E., Tini, M., Toni, I., Zauli, A., Campanaro, A., Carpaneto, G. M., & Audisio, P. (2017). Guidelines for the monitoring of *Lucanus cervus*. *Nature Conservation*, 20, 37–78. https://doi. org/10.3897/natureconservation.20.12687

Ecology and Evolution

13195

- Baselga, A., & Jiménez-Valverde, A. (2007). Environmental and geographical determinants of beta diversity of leaf beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the Iberian Peninsula. *Ecological Entomology*, 32(3), 312–318. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2007.00880.x
- Brown, J. H. (1984). On the relationship between abundance and distribution of species. *American Naturalist*, 124(2), 255–279. https://doi. org/10.1086/284267
- Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M., & West, G. B. (2004). Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology*, 85(7), 1771–1789. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000
- Chen, Z., He, K., Cheng, F., Khanal, L., & Jiang, X. (2017). Patterns and underlying mechanisms of non-volant small mammal richness along two contrasting mountain slopes in southwestern China. *Scientific Reports*, 7(13277), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13637-0
- Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 31(1), 343–366. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.343
- Colwell, R. K., Mao, C. X., & Chang, J. (2004). Interpolating, extrapolating, and comparing incidence-based species accumulation curves. *Ecology*, 85(10), 2717–2727. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-0557.
- Crockatt, M. E., & Bebber, D. P. (2015). Edge effects on moisture reduce wood decomposition rate in a temperate forest. *Global Change Biology*, 21(2), 698–707. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12676.
- David, J. C. (1991). Energy and large-scale patterns of animal- and plant-species richness. *Plant-Species Richness*, 137(1), 27–49. https:// doi.org/10.2307/2462155.
- Ding, T. S., Yuan, H. W., Geng, S., Koh, C. N., & Lee, P. F. (2006). Macroscale bird species richness patterns of the East Asian mainland and islands: Energy, area and isolation. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33(4), 683–693. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01419.x.
- Dunn, R. R., Agosti, D., Andersen, A. N., Arnan, X., Bruhl, C. A., Cerdá, X., Ellison, A. M., Fisher, B. L., Fitzpatrick, M. C., Gibb, H., Gotelli, N. J., Gove, A. D., Guenard, B., Janda, M., Kaspari, M., Laurent, E. J., Lessard, J., Longino, J. T., Majer, J. D. ..., Sanders, N. J., (2009). Climatic drivers of hemispheric asymmetry in global patterns of ant species richness. *Ecology Letters*, 12(4), 324–333. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01291.x.
- Fang, J. Y., & Yoda, K. (1991). Climate and vegetation in China V. Effect of climatic factors on the upper limit of distribution of evergreen broadleaf forest. *Ecological Research*, 6(1), 113–125. https://doi. org/10.1007/bf02353874.
- Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). Worldclim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal* of *Climatology.37*(12), 4302–4315. https://doi.org/10.1002/ joc.5086.
- Freestone, A. L., & Inouye, B. D. (2006). Dispersal limitation and environmental heterogeneity shape scale-dependent diversity patterns in plant communities. *Ecology*, 87(10), 2425-2432. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2425:DLAEHS]2.0.CO;2
- Fuhrman, J. A., Steele, J. A., Hewson, I., Schwalbach, M. S., Brown, M. V., Green, J. L., & Brown, J. H. (2008). A latitudinal diversity gradient in planktonic marine bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(22), 7774–7778. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803070105

Fujita, H. (2010). The lucanid beetles of the world: Mushi-Sha.

- Garg, A. & Tai, K., editors. (2012). Comparison of regression analysis, Artificial Neural Network and genetic programming in Handling the multicollinearity problem. In: Proceedings of International Conference on Modelling, Identification and Control,, pp. (353–358). Wuhan, Hubei, China.
- Gaston, K. J. (2000). Global patterns in biodiversity. *Nature*, 405, 220–227. https://doi.org/10.1038/35012228
- GBIF.org. (2020). GBIF Occurrence Download. https://doi.org/10.15468/ dl.mlilqo January 14, 2020

- Shingleton, A. W., Gotoh, H., Cornette, R., Koshikawa, S., Okada, Y., Lavine, L. C., Emlen, D. J., & Miura, T. (2011). Juvenile hormone regulates extreme mandible growth in male stag beetles. *PLoS One*, 6(6), e21139. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021139
- Hawkins, B. A., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Jaramillo, C. A., & Soeller, S. A. (2006). Post-Eocene climate change, niche conservatism, and the latitudinal diversity gradient of New World birds. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33(5), 770–780. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01452.x
- Herrmann, S., & Bauhus, J. (2013). Effects of moisture, temperature and decomposition stage on respirational carbon loss from coarse woody debris (CWD) of important European tree species. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 28(4), 346–357. https://doi. org/10.1080/02827581.2012.747622.
- Hosoya, T., & Araya, K. (2005). Phylogeny of Japanese stag beetles (Coleoptera : Lucanidae) inferred from 16S mtrRNA gene sequences, with reference to the evolution of sexual dimorphism of mandibles. *Zoological Society of Japan*, 22(12), 1305–1318. https://doi. org/10.2108/zsj.22.1305
- Huang, H., & Chen, C. C. (2010). Stag Beetles of China I: Formosa Ecological Company.
- Huang, H., & Chen, C. C. (2013). Stag Beetles of China II: Formosa Ecological Company.
- Huang, H., & Chen, C. C. (2017). Stag Beetles of China III: Formosa Ecological Company.
- Huang, J. P. (2014). Modeling the effects of anthropogenic exploitation and climate change on an endemic stag beetle, *Lucanus miwai* (Lucanidae), of Taiwan. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 17(3), 423– 429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2014.03.009
- Huang, J. P., & Lin, C. P. (2010). Diversification in subtropical mountains: Phylogeography, Pleistocene demographic expansion, and evolution of polyphenic mandibles in Taiwanese stag beetle. *Lucanus Formosanus. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution.*, 57(3), 1149–1161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.10.012
- Hubbell, S. (2001). The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography, (1–375). Oxford, UK: Princeton University Press.
- James, H. B., & Mark, V. L. (2000). Concluding remarks: Historical perspective and the future of island biogeography theory. Global Ecology & Biogeography, 9(1), 87–92. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00186.x
- Keller, C., & Schradin, C. (2008). Plant and small mammal richness correlate positively in a biodiversity hotspot. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 17(4), 911–923. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9341-4
- Kim, S. I., & Farrell, B. D. (2015). Phylogeny of world stag beetles (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) reveals a Gondwanan origin of Darwin's stag beetle. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 86, 35–48. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.02.015
- Kissling, W. D., Sekercioglu, C. H., & Jetz, W. (2012). Bird dietary guild richness across latitudes, environments and biogeographic regions. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21(3), 328–340. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00679.x
- Lachat, T., Wermelinger, B., Gossner, M. M., Bussler, H., Isacsson, G., & Müller, J. (2012). Saproxylic beetles as indicator species for dead-wood amount and temperature in European beech forests. *Ecological Indicators*, 23, 323–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoli nd.2012.04.013
- Legendre, P., Borcard, D., & Peresneto, P. R. (2005). Analyzing beta diversity: Partitioning the spatial variation of community composition data. *Ecological Monographs*, 75(4), 435–450. https://doi. org/10.1890/05-0549
- Leibold, M. A., & McPeek, M. A. (2006). Coexistence of the niche and neutral perspectives in community ecology. *Ecology*, 87(6), 1399– 1410. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1399:COTNA N]2.0.CO;2
- Leigh, E. G., Davidar, P., Dick, C. W., Terborgh, J., Puyravaud, J.-P., Steege, H., & Wright, S. J. (2004). Why do some tropical forests have

so many species of trees? *Biotropica*, *36*(4), 447–473. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00342.x

- Lin, G., Stralberg, D., Gong, G., Huang, Z., Ye, W., & Wu, L. (2013). Separating the effects of environment and space on tree species distribution: From population to community. *PLoS One*, 8(2), e56171. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056171
- Lin, X., Wang, Z., Tang, Z., Zhao, S., & Fang, J. (2015). Geographic patterns and environmental correlates of terrestrial mammal species richness in China. *Biodiversity Science.*, 17(6), 652–663. http://doi. org/10.3724/SP.J.1003.2009.09065.
- Lin, Z. Q. (2017). Molecular Phylogeny of Lucanus Scopoli (Coleoptera: Lucanidae), Anhui University. Master thesis: Hefei, Anhui.
- Marcelo, F. T., & Douglas, A. K. (2004). Analysis of determinants of mammalian species richness in South America using spatial autoregressive model. *Ecography*, 27(4), 427–436. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2004.03732.x.
- Matthews, T. J., Sadler, J. P., Kubota, Y., Woodall, C. W., Pugh, T. A. M., & Isaac, N. (2019). Systematic variation in North American tree species abundance distributions along macroecological climatic gradients. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28(5), 601–611. https://doi. org/10.1111/geb.12879
- Mongombe, A., Tamesse, J. L., & Moise, B. F. (2019). Diversity and altitudinal distribution of bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera) on Mount Cameroon. *Tropical Zoology*. 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/03946 975.2019.1680077.
- Murphy, S. J., Salpeter, K., & Comita, L. S. (2016). Higher beta-diversity observed for herbs over woody plants is driven by stronger habitat filtering in a tropical understory. *Ecology*, 97(8), 2074–2084. https:// doi.org/10.1890/15-1801.1.
- New, T. R. (2005). 'Inordinate fondness': A threat to beetles in South East Asia? Journal of Insect Conservation, 9, 147–150. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10841-005-1309-z
- Noah, F., & Jackson, R. B. (2006). The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(3), 626–631. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.05075 35103
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R. B., et al (2013). Package 'vegan'. Community Ecology Package, 2(9), 1–295.
- Ornelas, J. F., León, S. G. D., González, C., Licona-Vera, Y., Ortiz-Rodriguez, A. E., & Rodríguez-Gómez, F. (2015). Comparative palaeodistribution of eight hummingbird species reveal a link between genetic diversity and Quaternary habitat and climate stability in Mexico. *Folia Zoologica*, 64(3), 245–258. https://doi.org/10.25225/ fozo.v64.i3.a6.2015.
- Palmer, M. W. (1994). Variation in species richness: Towards a unification of hypotheses. *Folia Geobotanica*, 29(4), 511–530. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF02883148
- Peres-Neto, P. R., Legendre, P., Dray, S., & Borcard, D. (2006). Variation partitioning of species data matrices: Estimation and comparison of fractions. *Ecology*, 87(10), 2614–2625. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2614:vposdm]2.0.co;2.
- Qian, H., & Song, J. S. (2013). Latitudinal gradients of associations between beta and gamma diversity of trees in forest communities in the New World. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 6(6), 12–18. https://doi. org/10.1093/jpe/rts040
- Qiao, X., Jabot, F., Tang, Z., Mingxi, J., & Fang, J. (2015). A latitudinal gradient in tree community assembly processes evidenced in Chinese forests. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24(3), 314–323. https://doi. org/10.1111/geb.12278.
- R-Core-Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 3.6 (1st ed. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org.
- Reisig, D. D., Reay-Jones, F. P., & Meijer, A. D. (2015). Aggregation and association of NDVI, boll injury, and stink bugs in north carolina cotton.

Journal of Insect Science, 15(1), 134. https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ iev119

- Rosenzweig, M. L. (1992). Species diversity gradients: We know more and less than we thought. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 73(4), 715–730. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382191
- Santos, S., Luttikhuizen, P. C., Campos, J., Heip, C. H. R., & van der Veer, H. W. (2011). Spatial distribution patterns of the peppery furrow shell Scrobicularia plana (da Costa, 1778) along the European coast: A review. *Journal of Sea Research*, 66(3), 238–247. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.seares.2011.07.001
- Sharrock, S., Oldfield, S., & Wilson, O. 2011-2020. Plant Conservation Report: A review of progress towards the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation 2011-2020. In Technical Series Montréal: Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity in collaboration with Botanic Gardens Conservation Internationa; 2014.
- Shen, M. W., Chen, S. B., Bi, M. J., Chen, W. D., & Zhou, K. X. (2016). Relationships between geographic patterns of ant species richness and environmental factors in China. *Acta Ecologica Sinica*, 36(23), 7732–7739. https://doi.org/10.5846/stxb201510312201.
- Silva, R. R., & Brandao, C. R. (2014). Ecosystem-wide morphological structure of leaf-litter ant communities along a tropical latitudinal gradient. *PLoS One*, 9(3), e93049. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0093049
- Songvorawit, N., Butcher, B. A., & Chaisuekul, C. (2017). Decaying wood preference of stag beetles (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) in a tropical dry-evergreen forest. *Environmental Entomology*, 46(6), 1322–1328. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvx143
- Stanley, W. T., Rogers, M. A., Kihaule, P. M., & Munissi, M. J. (2014). Elevational distribution and ecology of small mammals on Africa's highest mountain. *PLoS One*, 9(11), e109904. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109904
- Stegen, J. C., Enquist, B. J., & Ferriere, R. (2012). Eco-evolutionary community dynamics: Covariation between diversity and invasibility across temperature gradients. *Amercian Society of Naturalists*, 180(4), E110–E126. https://doi.org/10.1086/667577.
- Stein, A., Gerstner, K., & Kreft, H. (2014). Environmental heterogeneity as a universal driver of species richness across taxa, biomes and spatial scales. *Ecology Letters.*, 17(7), 866–880. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ele.12277
- Sushko, G. G. (2018). Effect of vegetation cover on the abundance and diversity of ladybirds (Coccinellidae) assemblages in a peat bog. *Biologia*, 73(4), 371–377. https://doi.org/10.2478/s1175 6-018-0045-2
- Switala, A. K., Sole, C. L., & Scholtz, C. H. (2014). Phylogeny, historical biogeography and divergence time estimates of the genus Colophon Gray (Coleoptera: Lucanidae). Invertebrate Systematics, 28(3), 326– 336. https://doi.org/10.1071/IS13054
- Tanahashi, M., Matsushita, N., & Togashi, K. (2009). Are stag beetles fungivorous? Journal of Insect Physiology, 55(11), 983–988. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2009.07.002
- Tews, J., Brose, U., Grimm, V., Tielbörger, K., Wichmann, M. C., Schwager, M., & Jeltsch, F. (2004). Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: The importance of keystone structures. *Journal of Biogeography*, 31(1), 79–92. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.0305-0270.2003.00994.x
- Thomaes, A. (2009). A protection strategy for the stag beetle (Lucanus cervus, (L., 1758), Lucanidae) based on habitat requirements. In Proceedings of the 5th Symposium and Workshop on the Conservation of Saproxylic Beetles. (pp. 149–160).
- Thomaes, A., Kervyn, T., & Maes, D. (2008). Applying species distribution modelling for the conservation of the threatened saproxylic Stag Beetle (*Lucanus cervus*). *Biological Conservation*, 141(5), 1400–1410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.03.018
- Tini, M., Bardiani, M., Chiari, S., Campanaro, A., Maurizi, E., Toni, I. et al (2017). Use of space and dispersal ability of a flagship

saproxylic insect: A telemetric study of the stag beetle (*Lucanus cervus*) in a relict lowland forest. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 11, 116–129.

- Venables, W. N., & Ripley, B. D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S.* Springer.
- Wan, X. (2007). Study on the Systematics of Lucanidae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea), Beijing, China: Institute of Zoology, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, PhD thesis.
- Wang, F., Xiong, Z., Yan, X., Dai, X., Li, Y., & Wang, L. (2019). Geographical distribution pattern of species diversity of the Genus Salix L. and its relationship with climate in China. *Climatic and Environmental Research*, 24(2), 262–276. https://doi.org/10.3878/j. issn.1006-9585.2018.18122.
- Xu, X. L. (2018). Spatial Distribution Dataset of Annual Vegetation Index (NDVI) in China. In Data Registration and Publishing System of the Resource and Environmental Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (http://wwwresdccn/DOI). https://doi.org/1012078/20180 60601
- Yang, Y., Yang, G., & Wang, J. (2017). Effects of topographic factors on the distribution pattern of carabid species diversity in the Helan Mountains, northwestern China. Acta Entomologica Sinica, 60(9), 1060-1073. https://doi.org/10.16380/j.kcxb.2017.09.010.

- Zeileis, A., Kleiber, C., & Jackman, S. (2008). Regression models for count data in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 27(8). 1–25. https://doi. org/10.18637/jss.v027.i08.
- Zhang, Y. S., Ouyang, F., Men, X. Y., Feng, G. E., & Yuan, Z. M. (2018). Effects of regional agricultural landscape pattern on the community of ladybeetles in wheat fields. *Acta Entomologica Sinica*, 61(4), 468– 476. https://doi.org/10.16380/j.kcxb.2018.04.009.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Chen D, Cao L-J, Zhao J-L, Wan X, Wei S-J. Geographic patterns of *Lucanus* (Coleoptera: Lucanidae) species diversity and environmental determinants in China. *Ecol Evol.* 2020;10:13190–13197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/</u>ece3.6911