

# Hematoma block for distal radius fractures – prospective, randomized comparison of two different volumes of lidocaine

Journal of International Medical Research
2018, Vol. 46(11) 4535—4538
© The Author(s) 2018
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0300060518799883
journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Hagay Orbach<sup>1</sup>, Nimrod Rozen<sup>1,2</sup>, Barak Rinat<sup>1</sup> and Guy Rubin<sup>1,2</sup>

### **Abstract**

**Objective:** This study aimed to compare analgesic efficacy and safety of different volumes of lidocaine injected into a fracture hematoma (hematoma block [HB]) for reducing distal radius fractures.

**Methods:** Patients were randomly divided into two groups. Group A included patients in whom 10 mL of 2% lidocaine was injected into the fracture site and group B included patients in whom 20 mL of 1% lidocaine was injected. The fracture was manipulated after 15 minutes and the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score was recorded during manipulation. Patients were followed up for approximately 1 hour and complications were recorded.

**Results:** Twenty patients were enrolled in the study (12 women and eight men), with a mean age of 57 years (range, 32–87 years). Demographic findings were similar between the groups. The mean VAS score of group A was  $5.50\pm3.57$  and that in group B was  $3.09\pm2.33$ , with no significant difference between the groups.

**Conclusion:** VAS scores between HB with 20 mL of 1% lidocaine and HB with 10 mL of 2% lidocaine are not significantly different. However, our study suggests that HB with 20 mL of 1% lidocaine has a better analgesic effect than HB with 10 mL of 2% lidocaine.

# **Keywords**

Distal radius fracture, hematoma block, lidocaine, anesthesia, analgesia, Visual Analogue Scale

Date received: 10 April 2018; accepted: 20 August 2018

No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

<sup>1</sup>Orthopedic Department, Emek Medical Center, Afula, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Technion, Haifa, Israel

# Corresponding author:

Guy Rubin, Orthopaedic Department, Emek Medical Center, Rabin Street, Afula, 18101 Israel. Email: guytair@bezeqint.net

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

# **Background**

Manipulation of a displaced radius fracture is routinely performed in the Emergency Department (ED). This manipulation is performed using a variety of anesthetic techniques, such as hematoma block (HB), intravenous block (Bier block), general anesthesia, and nerve block. 1,2 Although many studies have demonstrated the relatively inferior analgesic efficacy of HB, its popularity has dramatically increased over the years because of its safety and simplicity.3 The low efficacy of HB may be attributed to a low volume of lidocaine with only partial distribution of the solution within the fracture site. Therefore, an increasing volume of lidocaine solution injected into the hematoma may improve the analgesic effect. The common dosage for HB is 10 mL of 2% lidocaine.<sup>3,4</sup> To the best of our knowledge, no studies have used higher dosages or volumes of lidocaine solution to improve the analgesic effect of HB. Therefore, this study aimed to compare the analgesic efficacy and safety of different volumes of lidocaine injected into the fracture site.

# Patients and methods

# Patients and procedure

This prospective, randomized, controlled trial was conducted in the ED of our medical center from 2015 to 2017. Inclusion criteria were patients aged 15 years or older with displaced distal radial fractures requiring manipulation. Exclusion criteria were patients who required general anesthesia because of other injuries and those with a known allergy to lidocaine or pregnancy. The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board of Emek Medical Center. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Patients were randomly divided into two groups according to their order of arrival

and underwent HB. Group A included patients in whom a volume of 2 mL of 2% lidocaine was injected into the fracture site. Group B included patients in whom 20 mL of 1% lidocaine was injected into the fracture site. The fracture was manipulated after 15 minutes and the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score was recorded while manipulation was performed. Patients were followed up in the ED for approximately 1 hour and complications were recorded.

# Statistical analysis

The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the two groups with a significance level of 5%. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

### Results

Twenty patients were enrolled in the study (12 women and eight men), with a mean age of 57 years (range, 32–87 years). Demographic findings are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in demographic findings between the two groups. The mean (standard deviation) VAS score of group A was  $5.50 \pm 3.57$  and that of group B was  $3.09 \pm 2.33$  (Table 2). There was no significant difference in the mean VAS score between the two groups (Table 3).

# **Discussion**

HB is a common anesthetic technique for manipulating displaced distal radius fractures.<sup>2</sup> HB involves inserting a needle into the fracture site, aspirating the hematoma to confirm the position, and infiltrating the fracture site with local anesthetic (Figure 1).

Some studies have shown a poorer analgesic effect of HB compared with other methods, such as intravenous regional block (Bier block), general anesthesia, and

Orbach et al. 4537

regional nerve block.<sup>3</sup> However, whether HB has an inferior analgesic effect is inconclusive. A meta-analysis conducted by Handoll et al.<sup>5</sup> included 18 studies with 1200 patients regarding different anesthetic methods for manipulation of displaced

Table 1. Demographic findings.

Group	Α		Group B			
Side Sex		Age (years)	Side	Sex	Age (years)	
R	F	43	L	М	55	
R	М	63	R	F	79	
L	F	87	L	Μ	66	
R	M	62	L	Μ	91	
L	F	54	R	F	37	
R	F	64	L	Μ	32	
R	F	32	L	F	71	
R	F	51	L	Μ	72	
L	F	56	R	F	39	
R	F	39	R	М	57	

R: right; L: left; F: female; M: male.

Table 2. Visual Analogue Scale scores.

Group A	Group B			
10	2			
6	8			
6	0			
7	3			
10	I			
8	3			
4	3			
4	4			
0	5			
0	2			

distal radius fractures. They concluded that there was some indication that HB has a poorer analgesic effect than other methods. However, numerous studies have suggested HB as the treatment of choice because of its simplicity and safety. 4,6,7

In our institute, the preferred method for manipulating displaced distal radius fractures is HB because of its simplicity and availability. Unfortunately, we have found that this procedure is painful for our patients. A review of the literature showed that 10 mL of 1 or 2% lidocaine was the solution of choice for HB.<sup>1,2</sup> We assumed that increasing the volume of the solution injected to the fracture hematoma would allow better distribution of the analgesic material within the fracture Additionally, this increase could improve the analgesic effect without risks of further



Figure 1. X-ray of hematoma block for a distal radius fracture.

Table 3. Analysis of Visual Analogue Scale scores.

Group	n	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimum	25th percentile	Median	75th percentile	Maximum
A	10	5.50	3.57	0.00	4.00	6.00	8.00	10.00
B	10	3.09	2.33	0.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	

complications because the dosage of lidocaine remains unchanged. Younge<sup>8</sup> reported eight patients who developed compartment syndrome of the forearm following HB for manipulation of a displaced distal radius fracture. This author suggested that additional fluid added for HB could precipitate compartment syndrome. In our study, all of our patients were followed up for approximately 1 hour in the ED and sequelae were noted. The cardiotoxicity of lidocaine should be considered. However, Meinig et al.<sup>9</sup> showed that plasma lidocaine levels were well below the toxic threshold after HB for manipulation of distal radius fractures with lidocaine doses of 2.2 to 2.4 mg/kg. In our study, no complications were recorded in any of the patients.

Although the mean VAS score of group A (5.5) appeared to be higher than that of group B (3.09), the difference was not significant. This lack of significance is likely due to the small number of participants in the study. This small number of participants is the main limitation of our study.

### Conclusion

The difference between VAS scores in our two groups was not significant. However, this pilot study suggests that HB with 20 L of 1% lidocaine solution may have a better analgesic effect than HB with 10 mL of 2% lidocaine solution for manipulation of displaced distal radius fractures. However, further investigations with a larger number of patients are required.

# **Declaration of conflicting interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# **Funding**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### References

- Graham CA, Gibson AJ, Goutcher CM, et al. Anaesthesia for the management of distal radius fractures in adults in Scottish hospitals. Eur J Emerg Med 1997; 4: 210–212.
- 2. White JS. Fractures of the distal radius. *Adv Emerg Nurs J* 2013; 35: 8–15.
- 3. Ogunlade SO, Omololu AB, Alonge TO, et al. Haematoma block in reduction of distal radial fractures. *West Afr J Med* 2002; 21: 282–285.
- Myderrizi N and Mema B. The hematoma block an effective alternative for fracture reduction in distal radius fractures. *Med Arh* 2011; 65: 239–242.
- Handoll HH, Madhok R and Dodds C. Anaesthesia for treating distal radial fracture in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002; 3: CD003320.
- Funk L. A prospective trial to compare three anaesthetic techniques used for the reduction of fractures of the distal radius. *Injury* 1997; 28: 209–212.
- Johnson PQ, Noffsinger MA. Hematoma block of distal forearm fractures. Is it safe? Orthop Rev 1991; 20: 977–979.
- 8. Younge D. Haematoma block for fractures of the wrist: a cause of compartment syndrome. *J Hand Surg Br* 1989; 14: 194–195.
- 9. Meinig RP, Quick A, Lobmeyer L. Plasma lidocaine levels following hematoma block for distal radius fractures. *J Orthop Trauma* 1989; 3: 187–191.