

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Molecular detection of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis among smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients in Jigjiga town, Ethiopia

Mussie Brhane¹ Ameha Kebede² Yohannes Petros²

Department of Tuberculosis Culture and DST Laboratory, Harar Health Research and Regional Laboratory, Harar, Ethiopia; Department of Biology, College of Computational and Natural Sciences, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia

Background: Molecular methods that target drug resistance mutations are suitable approaches for rapid drug susceptibility testing to detect multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB). The aim of the study was to determine MDR-TB cases and to analyze the frequency of gene mutations associated with rifampicin (RIF) and/or isoniazid (INH) resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* among smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients.

Methods: Institution-based cross-sectional study design was employed. Sputum specimens were collected, and using a pretested questionnaire, data for associated risk factors for drug resistance were collected from 105 consecutive smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients in Karamara General Hospital. Specimens were transported to Harar Health Research and Regional Laboratory, Harar, where molecular drug susceptibility testing was performed using GenoType® MTBDRplus assay.

Results: Of the total 105 sputum specimens, 98 (93.3%) gave interpretable results, in which 67 (68.4%) were new cases and 31 (31.6%) were previously treated cases. Of these, 80 (81.6%) were sensitive to both drugs and 18 (18.4%) were resistant to RIF and/or INH. The prevalences of MDR-TB in total cases, new, and previously treated cases were 10 (10.2%), 3 (4.5%), and 7 (22.6%), respectively. Among the ten total RIF-resistant specimens, eight (80%) had resulted because of absence of *rpoB* WT8 and presence of MUT3 and in all specimens, the amino acids changed were *Ser531Lue*. Of the 18 total INH-resistant specimens, 15 (83.3%) had mutations in the *katG* gene (*katG* MUT1, *Ser315Thr1*), indicating high-level resistance, while 3 (14.7%) had mutations in the *inhA* promoter gene (*Cys15Thr*), indicating low-level resistance.

Conclusion: Among the mutations associated with resistance to RIF and INH, the majority were in codon 531 of the *rpoB* gene and codon 315 of the *katG* gene. Relatively high prevalence of MDR-TB was observed in the study.

Keywords: GenoType®MTBDRplus, isoniazid, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, rifampicin, smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis

Background

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC), with a majority of the cases caused by *M. tuberculosis* (MTB). With an estimated 8.6 million new cases of TB (13% coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus) and 1.3 million deaths in 2012, TB remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Ethiopia is one of the 22 high-burden TB countries. Drug-resistant TB (DR-TB), including multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB, that is resistant to at least isoniazid [INH] and rifampicin [RIF], the two most important first-line anti-TB drugs), has emerged as a serious threat to global health. In 2012,

Correspondence: Mussie Brhane
Tuberculosis Culture and DST
Laboratory, Harar Health Research and
Regional Laboratory, PO Box: 819, Harar,
Harar Regional State, Ethiopia
Tel +251 25 91 342 7484
Email musbr1836@gmail.com

3.6% of newly diagnosed and 20% of previously treated cases were estimated to have MDR-TB globally, with noticeable geographic variations in prevalence.² Based on the second round drug resistance survey performed in Ethiopia (2011–2013), MDR-TB prevalence was reported as 2.8% in new and 18.6% in previously treated TB patients.⁵

Drug resistance develops due to random genetic mutations in particular genes responsible for resistance in MTB strains, usually because of inappropriate treatment of patients. Accurate and rapid detection of TB, including DR-TB, is critical for improving patient outcomes and decreasing TB transmission. The effectiveness of a standard anti-TB treatment regimen correlates well with the in vitro drug susceptibility pattern of the infecting tubercle bacilli. The results of drug susceptibility testing (DST) help select a proper treatment regimen or modify the treatment regimen for a better management of patients and for surveillance and timely control of the spread of DR-TB in the community.8 For proper treatment and control of TB, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended countries to expand their capacity for culture-based DST and consider new, molecular-based assays for diagnosing drug resistance.9

Molecular methods that target drug resistance mutations are a suitable approach for a rapid DST.3 Rapid tests can provide results within days (even without culture, ie, directly on specimens), and thus enable prompt and appropriate treatment, decrease morbidity and mortality, and control transmission.¹⁰ In 2008, WHO recommended the use of molecular line probe assays (LPAs) for the diagnosis of MDR-TB.9 The GenoType®MTBDRplus (Hain Lifescience GmbH, Nehren, Germany) assay is a commercial LPA developed for the detection of INH and RIF resistance in MTB isolates and smear-positive specimens. This assay is a DNA strip assay, which uses polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and reverse hybridization, that detects the most common mutations found in the rpoB, katG, and inhA genes.8 The mutations that predominate in RIF-resistant MTB strain are located in an 81 bp "core region" of the rpoB gene (95% of all RIF-resistant strains). 11 Resistance to INH is conferred by mutations in catalase peroxidase enzyme gene, katG, and in the inhA regulatory region. 12,13 Mutations in katG gene and inhA gene were related to high- and low-level INH resistance, respectively.14

Ethiopia is working toward controlling the emergence and spread of drug resistance in the general population.³ The eastern part of Ethiopia is known for its high TB case load, and anti-TB drugs have been in use for a long time in the area before the implementation of Direct Observed Treatment

Short course (DOTS).¹⁵ The prevalence of drug-resistant TB in Somali region of Ethiopia, in general, and Jigjiga area, in particular, is not well documented. So far, there is no report of the prevalence of MDR-TB among new and previously treated cases in the study area. The diagnosis of drug-resistant TB is made only by laboratories performing DST. Indeed, the LPAs test may be used to improve the management of TB and MDR-TB incidence in the study area as in most of the industrialized countries.¹⁶ Thus, the aim of the study was to determine the drug resistance pattern, including MDR-TB cases, and to analyze the frequency of gene mutations associated with RIF and/or INH resistance of MTB among smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) patients attending Karamara General Hospital in Jigjiga town.

Materials and methods

Study design and area

In this study, institution-based cross-sectional study design was employed. This study was conducted in a health institution in which data for associated risk factors for drug resistance were collected using a pretested questionnaire and sputum specimens were collected from smear-positive PTB patients for molecular detection of drug-resistant MTB during the study period. The study was conducted at Karamara General Hospital, which is the only public hospital located in Jigjiga town and the main center for TB diagnosis and treatment in the region.

Eligibility criteria

In general, smear-positive PTB patients and new and/or previously treated cases who gave consent to participate were eligible to be included in the study. Seriously ill individuals, individuals who were <18 years of age, Extra-PTB and new patients who had already started TB treatment were excluded from the study. Likewise, previously treated patients, who had already started retreatment regimens, were also excluded from the study.

Sample size determination and sampling techniques

The required sample size was determined by using single population formula and considering the expected proportion of RIF resistance (6%),¹⁷ desired precision of 5% at 95% confidence interval, and expected losses of 20%. Thus, the final sample size of the study was 105 smear-positive PTB patients. The study subjects were identified by using consecutive sampling until the required sample size was reached.

Sample collection and processing

In this study, about 5 mL of sputum sample from each of the 105 consecutive AFB smear-positive PTB patients was collected in 50 mL sterile, plastic, screw-capped centrifuge tubes and transported to Harar Health Research and Regional Laboratory in Harar town using a triple package transport system for further analysis. The sputum specimens were then handled in class II biosafety cabinet in a biosafety level-2 laboratory. Sputum specimens were decontaminated by N-acetyl-L-cysteine sodium hydroxide method with a final concentration of 1%. Then, the decontaminated samples were used for detection of gene mutations associated with RIF and INH resistance using the GenoType® MTBDRplus assay method (Hain Lifescience GmbH). A direct smear was performed from the sediment, stained by ZN staining, and scored according to the bacillary load as described by the national guideline.³ Also, 0.5 mL of the processed sample was used for DNA isolation in a screw-capped tube. 18,19

GenoTypeMTBDRplus DST

The molecular assay method used for detection of RIF- and INH-resistant mutations and identification was performed using GenoType®MTBDRplus assay version 2.0 (Hain Lifescience GmbH) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The assay was a rapid and accurate test to identify cases with MDR-TB.³ Using multiplex PCR, the genes responsible for drug resistance, such as *katG*, *inhA*, and *rpoB*, were amplified and the resulting biotin-labeled amplicons were hybridized to DNA probes bound to membrane on the strip. This was followed by addition of a conjugate and substrate to detect visible band patterns on the strip, which was performed manually using a shaker water bath at 45°C. Then, the strips were allowed to dry and interpreted according to the manufacturer's recommendation. ^{18,19}

For each gene, the assay was done to test for the presence of wild-type (WT) and mutant (MUT) probes. Each strip of the assay had 27 reaction zones, including six controls (conjugate, amplification, MTBC, *rpoB*, *katG*, and *inhA* controls), eight *rpoB* WT (WT-1 to WT-8) and four MUT (*rpoB* MUT *Asp516Val*, *rpoB* MUT *His526Tyr*, *rpoB* MUT *His526Asp*, and *rpoB* MUT *Ser531Leu*) probes, one *katG* WT (WT-315) and two MUT probes (*katG* MUT *Ser315Thr1* and *katG* MUT *Ser315Thr2*), and two *inhA* WT (WT-15/-16 and WT-8) and four MUT probes (*inhA* MUT1 *Cys15Thr*, *inhA* MUT2 *Ala16Gly*, *inhA* MUT3A *Thr8Cys*, and *inhA* MUT3B *Thr8Ala*). This assay included three steps: DNA extraction, multiplex PCR amplification, and reverse hybridization. These steps were carried out in three separate rooms of the facility with restricted access and unidirectional workflow.¹⁸

Quality control

All procedures were carried out using standard operating procedures. Based on the assay's manufacturer recommendation, for each batch of specimens in which LPA was performed, an "extraction positive control" (ATCC MTB strain H₃₇Rv which was susceptible to all standard anti-TB drugs,), an "extraction negative control" (molecular grade water), and a "PCR master mix negative control" were used as quality control. When any of the quality controls failed, the assay was repeated starting from DNA extraction.

Data processing and analysis

In this study, primary data were collected from the assay using standard formats recommended by the manufacturer. The investigator checked, sorted, and reviewed the data manually for errors and inconsistencies. Data entry and analysis was performed using SPSS version 16 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A bivariate logistic regression analysis was done to select the variables to be entered into the final logistic multivariable analysis. Explanatory variables (P<0.25) were entered into the final logistic regression (multivariate) model, based on the likelihood ratio. The multivariate logistic regression model was constructed using forward method. Associations between the independent variables and the outcome variable were assessed using adjusted odds ratio (AOR) and 95% confidence interval for the AOR, and P-values <0.05 were used as the cutoff point for statistical significance.

Ethical clearance

Ethical clearance was obtained from Haramaya University Postgraduate Program Directorate Ethical Review Committee. Permission was also obtained from the Somali Regional Health Bureau and from Harar Regional Laboratory. Informed oral consent was obtained from the study participants. Confidentiality of the participants was kept by the investigator.

Results

Characteristics of the study participants

In this study, of the total 105 study participants' specimens, 98 (93.3%) gave interpretable results. The rest were excluded because DNA of MTBC was not detected in the specimens using GenoTypeMTBDRplus assay. A majority of the patients, 58 (59.2%), were males and 40 (40.8%) were females (Table 1). The mean and median age was 35 and 33 years, respectively. Of the total, 67 (68.4%) were new smear-positive PTB patients and 31 (31.6%) were previously

Brhane et al Dovepress

Table I Distribution of the study participants (N=98) by sociodemographic characteristics, lifestyle and HIV status vis-à-vis their previous history of treatment

Variables	New cases (n=67),	Previously treated cases	Total (N=98),
	F (%)	(n=31), F (%)	F (%*)
Sex			
Female	30 (44.8)	10 (32.3)	40 (40.8)
Male	37 (52.2)	21 (67.7)	58 (59.2)
Age (years)			
18–30	30 (44.8)	12 (38.7)	42 (42.9)
31–50	31 (46.2)	13 (41.9)	44 (44.9)
>50	6 (9)	6 (19.4)	12 (12.2)
Mean (SD)	()	,	35 (12.53)
Educational status			(,
Illiterate	26 (38.8)	13 (41.9)	39 (39.8)
Read and write	35 (52.2)	14 (45.2)	49 (50)
College and above	6 (9)	4 (12.9)	10 (10.2)
Residence	• •	. ,	, ,
Rural areas	18 (26.9)	10 (32.3)	28 (28.6)
Urban	49 (73.1)	21 (67.7)	70 (71.4)
Degree of smear positivity			
Scanty	7 (10.4)	_	7 (7.1)
+1	33 (49.3)	18 (58.1)	51 (52.1)
+2	16 (23.9)	7 (22.6)	23 (23.5)
+3	II (16. 4)	6 (19.3)	17 (17.3)
HIV status			
Nonreactive	64 (95.5)	26 (83.9)	90 (91.8)
Reactive	3 (4.5)	5 (16.1)	8 (8.2)
Family history of TB patients		·	
No	57 (85.1)	21 (67.7)	78 (79.6)
Yes	10 (14.9)	10 (32.3)	20 (20.4)
Close contact to TB patients			
No	58 (86.6)	26 (83.9)	84 (85.7)
Yes	9 (13.4)	5 (16.1)	14 (14.3)
Alcohol usage			
No	62 (92.5)	31 (100)	93 (94.9)
Yes	5 (7.5)	_	5 (5.1)
Smoking status			
No	58 (86.6)	26 (83.9)	84 (85.7)
Yes	9 (13.4)	5 (16.1)	14 (14.3)

Notes: %, (F/N) 100; %*, (F/n) 100.

Abbreviations: F, frequency; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; SD, standard deviation; TB, tuberculosis.

treated smear-positive patients. The study participants' sociodemographic characteristics, lifestyle, and medical history are presented in Table 1.

RIF and/or INH resistance pattern

Overall, of the valid results obtained from 98 samples, 80 (81.6%) were sensitive to both drugs, 18 (18.4%) were resistant to RIF and/or INH, and 10 (10.2%) were MDR-TB cases. In this study, among the total 67 new cases observed, 3 (4.5%) showed MDR-TB. On the other hand, of the total 31 cases previously treated, 7 (22.6%) were MDR-TB cases. The prevalence of any resistance to RIF and INH was 10 (10.2%) and 18 (18.4%), respectively (Table 2). While there

was no monoresistance to RIF, monoresistance to INH was 8 (8.2%): 5 (7.5%) among new cases and 3 (9.7%) among previously treated cases.

Association between risk factors and drug resistance patterns

In the present study, multivariate logistic regression (Table 3) analysis was performed to determine the association between risk factors and any drug resistance among the study participants. On the basis of the outcomes of bivariate analysis, the risk factors (independent variables), age, educational status, and alcohol usage, were excluded from the final (multivariate) regression analysis ($P \ge 0.25$). Therefore,

Table 2 GenoType® MTBDRplus assay results among new and previously treated cases in Karamara General Hospital, Jigjiga town

Resistant status	New cases (n=67),	Previously treated	Total (N=98),
	F (%)	cases (n=31), F (%)	F (%*)
Susceptible	59 (88.1)	21 (67.7)	80 (81.6)
Any resistance	8 (11.9)	10 (32.3)	18 (18.4)
RIF	3 (4.5)	7 (22.6)	10 (10.2)
INH	8 (11.9)	10 (32.3)	18 (18.4)
Monoresistance			
RIF	0	0	0
INH	5 (7.5)	3 (9.7)	8 (8.2)
Multidrug resistance	3 (4.5)	7 (22.6)	10 (10.2)

Notes: %, (F/N) 100; %*, (F/n) 100.

Abbreviations: F, frequency; INH, isoniazid; RIF, rifampicin.

Table 3 Bivariate and multivariate analyses for selected characteristics of the study participants and their association with any resistance to rifampicin and/or isoniazid based on GenoType® MTBDRplus assay

Variables	Any drug resistance (%)	COR (95% CI)	P-value	AOR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex					
Female	5/40 (12.5)	R	0.219	R	0.707
Male	13/58 (22.4)	2.02 (0.66-6.21)		1.36 (0.27-6.85)	
Degree of S+					
Scanty	1/7 (14.3)	R		R	
+1	11/51 (21.6)	2.67 (0.14-49.76)	0.511	5.06 (0.09-227.72)	0.427
+2	5/23 (21.7)	4.4 (0.52-36.94)	0.172	3.02 (028-32.62)	0.362
+3	1/17 (5.9)	4.44 (0.47–42.18)	0.194	10.82 (0.88-133.87)	0.630
Residence	, ,	, ,		,	
Rural	8/28 (28.6)	R	0.105	R	0.090
Urban	10/70 (14.3)	0.42 (0.15-1.20)		0.30 (0.08-1.21)	
HIV status					
No	15/90 (16.7)	R	0.161	R	0.626
Yes	3/8 (37.5)	3.00 (0.65-13.92)		1.87 (0.15-23.33)	
Previous Rx histo	ory of TB				
No	8 /67 (11.9)	R	0.005	R	0.017*
Yes	10/31 (32.3)	4.71 (1.61-13.80)		6.86 (1.41-33.32)	
Family history of	тв				
No	9/78 (10.3)	R	0.008	R	0.212
Yes	9/20 (45)	4.53 (1.49-13.81)		2.79 (0.56-14.04)	
Close contact wi	th TB pts				
No	8/84 (9.5)	R	0.000	R	0.006*
Yes	10/14 (71.4)	9.87 (2.83-34.36)		12.41 (2.06-74.86)	
Smoking status					
No	13/84 (15.5)	R	0.002	R	0.045*
Yes	7/14 (50)	6.64 (1.95-22.58)		9.66 (1.06-83.30)	

Note: *Significant (P<0.05). P-values written in bold were aimed to show significant variables (their P-value is less than 0.05) among the risk-factors

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; COR, crude odds ratio; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; pts, patients; R, reference value; Rx, treatment; S+, smear positive; TB, tuberculosis.

previous treatment history of TB (P=0.017), close contact with TB patients (P=0.006), and smoking cigarette (P=0.045) were significantly associated with the development of any drug resistance.

Frequency of gene mutations among drug-resistant specimens

Results of the molecular method DST showed that 18 of the specimens had mutations conferring resistance to both RIF and INH or INH only (Table 4). Mutations conferring resistance to both INH and RIF were detected in 18 and 10 of the specimens, respectively. MTB obtained from ten of the samples showed mutations in both *rpoB* gene and *katG* gene or *inhA* promoter region, indicating that they were MDR. Among the total ten RIF-resistant MTB, the distribution of mutant genes in various regions showed that eight were because of the absence of *rpoB* WT8 and presence of *rpoB* MUT3 and the amino acids changed were *Ser531Lue*.

Table 4 Determination of frequency of gene mutations associated with resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin by GenoType®MTBDRplus assay

Anti-TB drug	Number of resistant specimens	Patterns of gene mutations (WT/MUT)	Amino acid changes	F (%)
Isoniazid	18	∆katG WT/katG MUT1	Ser3 I 5Thr I	15 (83.3)
		ΔinhA WT1/inhA MUT1	Cys I 5Thr	3 (16.7)
Rifampicin	10	ΔrpoB WT8/rpoB MUT3	Ser531Leu	8 (80)
		∆rpoB WT7/rpoB MUT2A	His526Tyr	I (I0)
		∆rpoB WT7/rpoB MUT2B	His526Asp	I (I0)

Abbreviations: Δ , deletion; F, frequency; MUT, mutant; TB, tuberculosis; WT, wild-type; (WT/MUT), deletion of wild-type and presence of mutant.

Whereas the remaining were: one was due to the absence of rpoB WT7 and presence of *rpoB* MUT2B, with an amino acid change of *His526Asp*, and another one was due to deletion in *rpoB* WT7/*rpoB* MUT2A, with a change in amino acids *His526Tyr* (Table 4). All mutations in the *rpoB* gene, which indicated RIF resistance, also had mutation in either *katG* gene or *inhA* promoter region that showed INH resistance.

Mutations associated with INH resistance were more often encountered, as compared to those seen in RIF resistance specimens. Of the total 18 INH-resistant specimens, 15 had mutations in the codon 315 of *katG* (*katG* WT/*katG* MUT1) gene, with a change in amino acids *Ser315Thr1*, indicating high-level resistance, while 3 of the specimens had mutation in the codon 15 of *inhA* promoter gene (*inhA* WT1/*inhA* MUT1), with a change in amino acids *Cys15Thr*, indicating low-level resistance. There was no specimen that showed mutations at both *katG* and *inhA* genes. All *katG* gene mutations were as a result of the absence of WT probes and the presence of mutant probes, as was the case with the *inhA* gene mutations (Table 4).

Discussion

Rapid identification of drug resistance, particularly MDR-TB, is most important to help reduce the spread of disease. The MTBDR plus assay has been widely used in clinical routines for identification of MTB complex and detection of RIF and INH resistance. The purpose of the study was to identify DR-TB including MDR-TB and to determine the frequency of mutations conferring resistance to RIF and INH in clinical specimens in the study area.

In the present study, the overall prevalence of MDR-TB cases was 10.2%. According to the review paper by Biadglegne et al,²⁰ the proportion of MDR-TB cases varies from place to place in Ethiopia. The finding from the present study is comparable to the studies conducted by Hussein et al²¹ However, the studies conducted by Agonafir et al²² and Abate et al²³ reported significantly higher rate of MDR-TB cases. On the other hand, studies conducted in other parts of the country reported lower prevalence of MDR-TB cases.^{20,24}

In the present study, the prevalence of MDR-TB among new and previously treated cases was 4.5% and 22.6%, respectively. This is a higher rate compared to the second round drug resistance survey performed in Ethiopia from 2011 to 2013, where MDR-TB prevalence was shown to be 2.8% and 18.6% among new and previously treated TB patients, respectively.⁵ Similarly, this study has also revealed higher prevalence of MDR-TB than the global MDR-TB incidence reported by WHO, in which 3.6% of newly diagnosed and 20% of retreatment cases were estimated to have MDR-TB.²

Among new patients, 4.5% were MDR-TB cases (Table 2). This result was higher than those reported from previous studies conducted in Ethiopia which showed values ranging from 0.9% to 2.3%^{22,24–26} and other studies conducted in African countries such as Kenya (0.54%),²⁷ Madagascar (0.2%),²⁸ and Tanzania (0.4%).²⁹ Studies conducted in other parts of the world also reported low rates of MDR-TB among new cases.^{30,31} However, a higher rate of MDR-TB in new cases was observed in other studies: 7.7% in Swaziland,³² 5.8% in Mozambique,³³ and 5.2% in Somalia.³⁴ This indicates that there was high transmission of drug resistance in the community.

Similarly, in the present study, MDR-TB was observed to be higher (22.6%) among previously treated cases than in studies conducted in eastern Amhara region of Ethiopia (18.5%),²⁴Kenya (8.5%),²⁷ Madagascar (3.4%),²⁸ and Uganda (12.7%).35 But in this study, MDR-TB was lower than that reported by Abate et al²³ (46.3%). In studies conducted by Liu et al³⁶ in northeastern China and Choi et al³⁷ in Korea, the prevalence of MDR-TB was greater than that found in the present study among previously treated cases. In this study, there was a higher proportion of MDR-TB than that reported from other parts of Ethiopia previously. This may possibly be due to ineffective functioning of TB control programs in the study area, which included irregular supply of anti-TB drugs, less-organized patient diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up, and improper patient adherence to treatment, which might have contributed to the high prevalence of MDR-TB cases in the study area.

In the present study, it was observed that drug resistance was significantly associated (*P*<0.05) with previous history of TB treatment (Table 3). In agreement with this finding, previous studies in Ethiopia reported that previous history of treatment was the most significant risk factor for drug resistance. ^{22–24,38,39} Similar reports were also documented in studies conducted in East Africa, India, and China. ^{37,40} The association of previous history of treatment with anti-TB to DR-TB in this study might be explained by inadequate or irregular drug supply, inappropriate chemotherapy regimens, and lack of supervision of treatment in hospitals. Compared to other studies, in the present study, close contact with TB patients and smoking cigarette were also significantly associated with the development of drug resistance.

RIF resistance is often considered as a surrogate marker for checking MDR-TB.41,42 This hypothesis is supported by the finding in the present study that 100% of the RIFresistant specimens were MDR (Table 2). In this study, 10 (10.4%) rpoB gene mutations that indicated RIF resistance were detected. Previous studies reported that 95% of RIFresistant MTB worldwide have mutations within the 81 bp core region of the rpoB gene, mainly in the region of codon 507–533. 11,14,43 In the present study, mutations in this region were detected in 100% of RIF-resistant specimens. Of the total rpoB gene mutations detected, the most frequently mutated codon in the study was codon 531 (80%) at position Ser531Leu, which is similar to that reported in previous studies. 17,44,45 The remaining 20% of the mutations were at the position of codon 526 (an amino acid change of His526Asp and His526Tyr).

In this study, the percentage of gene mutation at position *Ser531Leu* (80%) was higher than that reported by Tessema et al,¹⁷ which was 73% at that position, whereas a study conducted in western Poland detected slightly higher proportion (82.7%).⁴⁴ In the present study, it was observed that there was no mutation detected at the WT probes alone, that is, all mutations at the WT were also detected at the MUT probes. This is different from the previously reported gene mutation distribution, in which 60% and 20% of the mutations were only detected at the WT probes by Zhang et al⁴⁶ and Tessema et al,¹⁷ respectively. This reflects the difference in the distribution of gene mutations associated with RIF resistance in different geographic locations.

Previous studies have already indicated that INH resistance was mediated by mutations in several genes, most commonly *katG*, particularly in codon 315, and the promoter region of *inhA* gene. ^{11,13} Many researchers have also shown that 40%–95% of INH resistance may be defined as

high-level drug resistance due to the *katG* gene mutations, while 8%–43% of INH resistance may be defined as low-level drug resistance mainly caused by mutations in the promoter region of *inhA* gene. 11,13,14 In the present study, 83.3% of INH resistance was attributed to *katG* mutations, which conferred high-level resistance to INH, in addition to a low-level drug resistance observed in 16.7% of INH-resistant cases (Table 4), which were within the ranges reported by previous studies.

Furthermore, several studies have also shown that 75%–90% of the katG gene mutations are recognized as mutations in the 315th codon of the katG gene, which mainly results in Ser315Thr1 and Ser315Thr2 changes. 11,14,45 The present study revealed that katG gene mutation responsible for Ser315Thr1 amino acid substitution was observed in 83.3% of INH-resistant MTB, which is comparable to the reports from Nepal (81.2%), Pakistan (84%), Lithuania (85.7%), and Germany (88.4%). 41,45,47 One study conducted in Ethiopia has even shown higher prevalence of katG gene mutations (94%) among INH-resistant MTB.19 The same study also reported that 100% of INH resistance was due to katG gene mutations causing amino acid substitutions at the position Ser315Thr1. Another study showed that 8%–43% of INH resistance is defined as low-level drug resistance mainly caused by the mutations in the promoter region of inhA gene. 46 The results of this study also revealed a 16.7% low-level drug resistance, which is within the range reported by Zhang et al,46 but higher than that reported by Tessema et al¹⁷ from Ethiopia.

Conclusion

In this study, among the mutations associated with the resistance to RIF and INH, the majority were in codon 531 of the *rpoB* gene and codon 315 of the *katG* gene. Relatively high prevalence of MDR-TB was observed in the study area, compared to the second round national drug resistance survey among both new and previously treated participants. This situation might threaten efforts of TB control activities and further aggravate development of MDR-TB. So, the study underscores the importance of establishing advanced diagnostic facilities for early detection of MDR-TB, and strengthening of laboratories for TB culture and DST should be promoted in the study area. Previous history of anti-TB treatment, close contact with TB patients, and smoking cigarette were important risk factors for the development of drug resistance. Therefore, it is essential to address the problems of development of DR-TB by establishing good TB control programs (DOTS and DOTS plus).

Dovepress

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Haramaya University Postgraduate Program Directorate Department of Biology, Harar Health Research and Regional Laboratory, and Karamara General Hospital for their unreserved help throughout the research period. We also acknowledge Mr Tewodros Girma and staffs of Harar Health Research and Regional Laboratory, Harar, where the GenoTypeMTBDRplus assay was done. Finally, our gratitude goes to all patients who were willing to participate in the study.

Author contributions

Mussie Brhane was the primary researcher, who conceived and designed the study, participated in sample collection, performed molecular DST, conducted data analysis, and drafted the manuscript for publication. Ameha Kebede and Yohannes Petros conceived and designed the study, participated in data analysis, and supervised and reviewed the initial and final drafts of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Varaine F, Kenkens M, Grouzard V. Tuberculosis: Practical Guide to Clinicians, Nurses, Laboratory Technicians and Medical Auxiliaries. 5th ed. France, Paris: Medecins Sans Frontieres. 2010:164.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global Tuberculosis Report 2013.
 WHO/HTM/TB/2013.11. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia. Guidelines for Clinical and Programmatic Management of TB, TB/HIV and Leprosy in Ethiopia.
 5th ed. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia; 2013.
- Gandhi NR, Nunn P, Dheda K, et al. Multi drug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: a threat to global control of tuberculosis. *Lancet*. 2010;375:1830–1843.
- Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia. Guidelines on Programmatic Management of Drug Resistance TB in Ethiopia. 2nd Ed. Addis Ababa: Federal Ministry of Health of Ethiopia; 2014.
- Hillemann D, Rusch-Gerdes S, Richter E. Evaluation of the Geno-TypeMTBDRplus assay for rifampin and isoniazid susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains and clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2007;45(8):2635–2640.
- Steingart KR, Schiller I, Horne DJ, Pai M, Boehme CC, Dendukuri N. Xpert® MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;21;(1):CD009593.
- Woldemeskel D, Abate G, Lakew M, et al. Evaluation of a direct colorimetric assay for rapid detection of rifampicin resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Ethiop J Health Dev. 2005;19(1):51–54.
- 9. WHO. Molecular Line Probe Assays for Rapid Screening of Patients at Risk of Multidrug Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB): Policy Statement. Geneva: WHO; 2008.
- Heifets LB, Cangelosi GA. Drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacte-rium tuberculosis*: a neglected problem at the turn of the century. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 1999;3(7):564–581.

- Riccardi G, Pasca MR, Buroni S. Mycobacterium tuberculosis: drug resistance and future perspectives. Future Microbiol. 2009;4(5): 597–614.
- Alcaide F, Coll P. Advances in rapid diagnosis of tuberculosis disease and anti-tuberculous drug resistance. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin*. 2011;29(Suppl 1):34–40.
- Zhang Y, Yew WW. Mechanisms of drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009;13(11):1320–1330.
- Vilcheze C, Jacobs WR Jr. The mechanism of isoniazid killing: clarity through the scope of genetics. *Annu Rev Microbiol*. 2007;61:35–50.
- Mitike G, Kebede D, Yeneneh H. Prevalence of anti-tuberculosis drug resistance in Harar Tuberculosis Centre, Ethiopia. *East Afr Med J.* 1997;4(3):158–161.
- WHO. Guidelines for Programmatic Management of Drug-Resistant TB, 2011 Update. WHO/HTM/TB/2011.6. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2011.
- Tessema B, Beer J, Emmrich F, Sack U, Rodloff AC. Analysis of gene mutations associated with isoniazid, rifampicin and ethambutol resistance among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2012;12:37.
- Barnard M, Parsons L, Miotto P, et al. Molecular Detection of Drug-Resistance Tuberculosis by Line Probe Assay. Laboratory manual For resource-limited settings. Geneva, Switzerland: FIND; 2012.
- 19. Hain Lifescience GmbH. *Line Probe Assay; GenoTypeMTBDRplus VER 2.0, Instruction for Use, 2012* [Thesis]. Nehren, Germany: Hain Lifescience GmbH.
- Biadglegne F, Sack U, Rodloff AC. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Ethiopia: efforts to expand diagnostic services, treatment and care. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2014;3:31.
- Hussein B, Debebe T, Wilder-Smith A, Ameni G. Drug susceptibility test on *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated from pulmonary tuberculosis in three sites of Ethiopia. *Afr J Microbiol Respir*. 2017(9):791–796.
- Agonafir M, Eshetu Lemma E, Woldemeskel D, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Ethiopia. *Int* J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2010;14(10):1259–1265.
- Abate D, Taye B, Abseno M, Biadgilign S. Epidemiology of antituberculosis drug resistance patterns and trends in tuberculosis referral hospital in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2012;5:462.
- Esmael A, Ali I, Agonafir M, et al. Drug Resistance Pattern of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Eastern Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. *J Microb Biochem Technol* 2014;6:75–79.
- Abebe G, Abdissa K, Abdissa A, et al. Relatively low primary d r u g resistant tuberculosis in southwestern Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2012;5:225.
- 26. Seyoum B, Demissie M, Worku A, Bekele S, Aseffa A. Prevalence and Drug Resistance Patterns of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* among new smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients in eastern Ethiopia. *Tuberc Res Treat.* 2014;2014:753492.
- Ogaro TD, Githui W, Kikuvi G, Okari J, Wangui E, Asiko V. Antituberculosis drug resistance in Nairobi, Kenya. *Afr J Health Sci.* 2012; 20:21–27.
- Wright A, Zignol M, Van Deun A, et al. Epidemiology of antituberculosis drug resistance 2002–07: an updated analysis of the global project on anti-tuberculosis drug resistance surveillance. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9678):1861–1873.
- Willy U, Ferdinand M, Eduardo V, et al. Primary antimicrobial resistance among Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates from HIV seropositive and HIV seronegative patients in Dares Salaam Tanzania. BMC Res Notes. 2008;1:58.
- Chacón L, Laínez M, Rosales E, Mercado M, Caminero J. Evolution in the resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to anti-tuberculosis drugs in Nicaragua. *Int J Tub Lung Dis*. 2009;13(1):62–67.
- Dye C. Doomsday postponed? Preventing and reversing epidemics of drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2009;7(1):81–87.
- Sanchez-Padilla E, Dlamini T, Ascorra A, et al. High prevalence of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, Swaziland, 2009–2010. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18(1):29–37.

- Nunes EA, De Capitani E, Coelho ME, et al. Patterns of antituberculosis drug resistance among HIV-infected patients in Maputo, Mozambique, 2002–2003. *Intern J Tuberc and Lung Dis.* 2005;9(5): 494–500
- 34. Sindani I, Fitzpatrick C, Falzon D, et al. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, Somalia, 2010–2011. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2013;19(3):478–480.
- Temple B, Ayakaka I, Ogwang S, et al. Rate and amplification of drug resistance among previously-treated patients with tuberculosis in Kampala, Uganda. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;47:1126–1134.
- Liu Q, Zhu L, Shao Y, et al. Rates and risk factors for drug resistance tuberculosis in northeastern China. BMC Public Health. 2013; 13:1171.
- Choi JC, Lim SY, Suh GY, et al. Drug resistance rates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* at a private referral center in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2007;22(4):677–681.
- 38. Hirpa S, Medhin G, Girma B, et al. Determinants of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in patients who underwent first-line treatment in Addis Ababa: a case control study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:782.
- Berhan A, Berhan Y, Yizengaw D. A meta–analysis of drug resistant tuberculosis in Sub-Saharan Africa: how strongly associated with previous treatment and HIV co-infection? *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2013;23(3): 271–282.
- Kidenya BR, Webster LE, Behan S, et al. Epidemiology and genetic diversity of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in East Africa. *Tuberc* (*Edinb*). 2014;94(1):1–7.

- Sajduda A, Brzostek A, Popławska M, et al. Molecular characterization of rifampin- and isoniazid-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains isolated in Poland. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2004;42(6):2425–2431.
- Ramaswamy S, Musser J. Molecular genetic basis of antimicrobial agent resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Tuberc Lung Dis*. 1998;79(1):3–29.
- Zhang Y, Vilcheze C, Jacobs WR. Mechanisms of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. In: *Tuberculosis and the Tubercle Bacillus*. Cole ST, editor. Washington, DC: ASM Press, Am Society Microbiol; 2005:115–142.
- Kozhamkulov U, Akhmetova A, Rakhimova S, et al. Molecular characterization of rifampicin- and isoniazid- resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains isolated in Kazakhstan. *Japan J Infect Dis.* 2011;64(3):253–255.
- Poudel A, Nakajima C, Fukushima Y, et al. Molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolated in Nepal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(6):2831–2836.
- 46. Zhang L, Ye Y, Duo L, et al. Application of genotypeMTBDRplus in rapid detection the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex as well as its resistance to isoniazid and rifampin in a high volume laboratory in southern China. *Mol Biol Rep.* 2011;38(3):2185–2192.
- Bakonyte D, Baranauskaite A, Cicenaite J, Anaida Sosnovskaja A, Stakenas P. Molecular characterization of isoniazid-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates in Lithuania. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2003;47(6):2009 –2011.

Infection and Drug Resistance

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed openaccess journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

