



# **Corrigendum: Distribution and Diversity of Comammox** *Nitrospira* **in Coastal Wetlands of China**

Dongyao Sun<sup>1</sup>, Xiufeng Tang<sup>1</sup>, Mengyue Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Zongxiao Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Lijun Hou<sup>2</sup>, Min Liu<sup>1,3</sup>, Baozhan Wang<sup>4</sup>, Uli Klümper<sup>5</sup> and Ping Han<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Geographic Information Science (Ministry of Education), School of Geographic Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup> Institute of Eco-Chongming, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China, <sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Microbiology for Agricultural Environment (Ministry of Agriculture), College of Life Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, <sup>5</sup> Institute for Hydrobiology, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

Keywords: comammox, Nitrospira, estuarine tidal flat wetlands of China, distribution, salinity

#### A Corrigendum on

**Distribution and Diversity of Comammox** *Nitrospira* **in Coastal Wetlands of China** *Sun, D., Tang, X., Zhao, M., Zhang, Z., Hou, L., Liu, M., et al.* (2020). *Front. Microbiol.* 11:589268. *doi:* 10.3389/fmicb.2020.589268

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited and reviewed by:

Anne E. Taylor, Oregon State University, United States

\*Correspondence: Ping Han phan@geo.ecnu.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Physiology and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 28 June 2021 Accepted: 31 July 2021 Published: 26 August 2021

#### Citation:

Sun D, Tang X, Zhao M, Zhang Z, Hou L, Liu M, Wang B, Klümper U and Han P (2021) Corrigendum: Distribution and Diversity of Comammox Nitrospira in Coastal Wetlands of China. Front. Microbiol. 12:731921. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.731921 In the original article, there was a mistake in Figure 1, *Location of sampling sites in the estuarine tidal flat wetlands of China.* as published. There was a mistake in the scale label. The corrected Figure 1 appears below.

In the original article, there was a mistake in Figure 4, (*A*) Abundance of ammonia-oxidizers in the distinct areas based on qPCR results. as published. There was a mistake in the values on the Y-axis. The corrected Figure 4 appears below.

In the original article, there was a mistake in Figure 5, *Network analysis of all ammonia oxidizers. Different colored circles represent different ammonia oxidants, orange lines represent negative interaction, black lines represent positive interaction.* as published. The colors indicating negative and positive interactions were not clearly shown. The corrected Figure 5 appears below.

In the original article, there was a mistake in Table 1, *Distribution of ammonia-oxidizers in different ecosystems*. as published. The values and units were not correctly indicated in the Table. The corrected Table 1 appears below.

In the original article, there was an error. There were some errors in the values of copy numbers. A correction has been made to the Abstract:

Complete ammonia oxidizers (comammox), able to individually oxidize ammonia to nitrate, are considered to play a significant role in the global nitrogen cycle. However, the distribution of comammox *Nitrospira* in estuarine tidal flat wetland and the environmental drivers affecting their abundance and diversity remain unknown. Here, we present a large-scale investigation on the geographical distribution of comammox *Nitrospira* along the estuarine tidal flat wetlands of China, where comammox *Nitrospira* were successfully detected in 9 of the 16 sampling sites. The abundance of comammox *Nitrospira* ranged from  $4.15 \times 10^5$  to  $6.67 \times 10^6$  copies/g, 2.21-to 5.44-folds lower than canonical ammonia oxidizers: ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA). Phylogenetic analysis based on the alpha subunit of the



ammonia monooxygenase encoding gene (amoA) revealed that comammox Nitrospira Clade A, mainly originating from upstream river inputs, accounts for more than 80% of the detected comammox Nitrospira, whereas comammox Nitrospira clade B were rarely detected. Comammox Nitrospira abundance and dominant comammox Nitrospira OTUs varied within the estuarine samples, showing a geographical pattern. Salinity and pH were the most important environmental drivers affecting the distribution of comammox Nitrospira in estuarine tidal flat wetlands. The abundance of comammox Nitrospira was further negatively correlated with high ammonia and nitrite concentrations. Altogether, this study revealed the existence, abundance and distribution of comammox Nitrospira and the driving environmental factors in estuarine ecosystems, thus providing insights into the ecological niches of this recently discovered nitrifying consortium and their contributions to nitrification in global estuarine environments.

In the original article, there was an error. There were some errors in the values of copy numbers.

# A correction has been made to **RESULTS**, Abundance of Comammox Nitrospira and Canonical Ammonia Oxidizers, Paragraph 1:

In the ammonia oxidizing community comammox *Nitrospira* was significantly less abundant than canonical ammoniaoxidizers (Supplementary Figure 3). While AOA and AOB were detected in all tested sediment samples, comammox *Nitrospira* were detected in only 9 of the 16 samples. Among those 9 samples, all contained Comammox *Nitrospira* clade A *amoA*, with abundances between  $4.15 \times 10^5$  and  $6.67 \times 10^6$  copies/g dry soil. Comammox *Nitrospira* clade B *amoA* was only detected in 2 samples, but dominated comammox Nitrospira abundance in these samples  $(6.28 \times 10^5 - 4.01 \times 10^6 \text{ copies/g dry soil})$ . Comammox Nitrospira was widespread in most parts of the tested wetland areas, and their abundance showed spatial patterns, similar to those detected for the PNRs, with higher abundance in the central  $(9.41 \times 10^6 \pm 1.28 \times 10^6 \text{ copies/g dry soil})$  than southern  $(2.77 \times 10^6 \pm 2.53 \times 10^6$  copies/g dry soil) and northern  $(1.55 \times 10^6 \pm 6.3 \times 10^5 \text{ copies/g dry soil})$  latitudes (Figure 4). The highest copy number of comammox Nitrospira amoA genes was detected at central latitude site MLX (6.66  $\times$  $10^6$  copies/g dry soil), and the lowest one was recorded at the most southern site NLJ (6.47  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> copies/g dry soil). Again, no significant correlation with temperature (p > 0.05), but a significant positive correlation with Fe<sub>2</sub>C (r = 0.403, p < 0.01, n = 27) and a negative correlation with salinity (r = -0.321, p < 0.05, n = 27) were detected (Supplementary Figure 1), further indicating the strong effect of salinity and metal ions on ammonia oxidation.

In the original article, there was an error. There were some errors in the values of copy numbers.

# A correction has been made to **RESULTS**, Abundance of **Comammox Nitrospira and Canonical Ammonia Oxidizers**, *Paragraph 2*:

Among the canonical ammonia oxidizers, which were detected in all samples, abundance ranged from  $1.15 \times 10^6$  to  $3.66 \times 10^7$  copies/g dry soil (AOA) and  $1.76 \times 10^5$  to  $1.73 \times 10^7$  copies/g dry soil (AOB) (Supplementary Figure 3). In 10 of the 16 estuarine tidal flat wetland samples AOA showed higher abundance than AOB (Supplementary Figure 4). The abundance of AOA was positively correlated with temperature (r = 0.44, p < 0.01, n = 48) with highest abundance in estuaries of central and southern latitudes. Contrary, AOB were mainly distributed across the central and northern latitudes, and dominated ammonia oxidizer abundances at the northern latitudes.

In the original article, there was an error. There were some errors in the values of copy numbers.

### A correction has been made to **DISCUSSION**, **Distribution** of Comammox Nitrospira in Estuarine Tidal Flat Wetlands of China, Paragraph 1:

Comammox Nitrospira were detected from 9 of the 16 sampling sites. The abundance of comammox Nitrospira ranged from  $4.15 \times 10^5$  to  $6.66 \times 10^6$  copies/g, 2.21- to 5.44-folds lower than canonical ammonia oxidizers: AOA and AOB, which were both detected at every sampling location. The three types of microorganisms use ammonia as an energy substance, and hence are in direct nutrient competition. However, they are able to coexist in most environments. In the estuarine tidal flat wetlands nitrifying microbial network (AOA, AOB, and comammox Nitrospira) (Figure 5), the correlation between all species is mainly positive (98.17%) and their abundance is equally correlated with the detected PNRs. The average ratio of comammox Nitrospira to AOA and AOB is 0.18 and 0.46. From the proportion of abundance, the contribution of comammox *Nitrospira* to the PNRs and hence nitrification may be smaller than that of AOA and AOB. The abundance of AOA was higher than that of AOB in 10 of the 16 sediment



communities in the estuary tidal flat wetlands of China. Red triangle: Southern estuaries (MJ, ZJ, MLX, NLJ); Green square: Central estuaries (BCYH, SYH, CJ, OJ); Blue circle: Northern estuaries (YR).



TABLE 1	Distribution of ammonia-oxidizers in different ecosystems.
---------	--

Country	Ecosystem	AOA	АОВ	comammox <i>Nitrospira</i> clade A	comammox <i>Nitrospira</i> clade B	References
America	Recirculating aquaculture systems	$0.94 \times 10^{8}$ -3.4 × 10 <sup>8</sup> (copies/g)	$2.6 \times 10^3$ -5.0 × $10^5$ (copies/g)	$1.6 \times 10^{8}$ -4.2 × $10^{8}$ (copies/g)	_	Bartelme et al., 2017
Denmark	Drinking water	$1.2 \times 10^{3-}3.4 \times 10^{3}$ (copies/m <sup>3</sup> )	$1.6 \times 10^{7}$ -10.0 × $10^{7}$ (copies/m <sup>3</sup> )	$0.82 \times 10^{8}$ -2.58 $\times 10^{8}$ (copies/m <sup>3</sup> )	-	Tatari et al., 2017
Austria	Waste water treatment plant	-	1.3 × 10 <sup>3</sup> -2.1 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	$3.4 \times 10^2$ -6.8 × $10^2$ (copies/ng DNA)	-	Pjevac et al., 2017
China	Overlying water in river	$3.34 \times 10^{3}$ -2.18 $\times 10^{7}$ (copies/L)	$1.06 \times 10^{5}$ -2.98 $\times 10^{7}$ (copies/L)	$1.25 \times 10^4$ (copies/L)	-	Zhang et al., 2019
China	Agriculture soil	-	-	$4.14 \times 10^4$ -1.65 $\times 10^7$ (copies/g)	$9.44 \times 10^2$ -2.12 × 10 <sup>6</sup> (copies/g)	Xu et al., 2020
Italy	Rice paddy soil	2.1 × 10 <sup>3</sup> -3.1 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	-	$3.6 \times 10^2$ -4.6 × $10^2$ (copies/ng DNA)	$3.5 \times 10^2$ -4.5 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	Pjevac et al., 2017
Italy	Forest soil	$1.4 \times 10^2$ -2.6 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	1.7 × 10 <sup>3</sup> -3.5 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	-	2.9 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -4.9 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	Pjevac et al., 2017
China	River sediment	1.84 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -3 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	$9.3 \times 10^{1}$ - $3.4 \times 10^{3}$ (copies/ng DNA)	1.8 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -2.8 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	-	Zhao et al., 2019
China	Intertidal sediment	1.7 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -4.9 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	2.2 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -5.4 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	1.6 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -3.2 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	-	Zhao et al., 2019
China	Estuary tidal wetland sediment	1.15 × 10 <sup>6</sup> -1.66 × 10 <sup>7</sup> (copies/g) or 5.71 × 10 <sup>1</sup> -6.27 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	$1.76 \times 10^{5}$ -1.73 × 10 <sup>7</sup> (copies/g) or 1.05 × 10 <sup>1</sup> -1.57 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	$4.15 \times 10^{5}$ -6.67 × 10 <sup>6</sup> (copies/g) or 2.74 × 10 <sup>1</sup> -7.02 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	6.28 × 10 <sup>5</sup> -4.01 × 10 <sup>6</sup> (copies/g) or 1.1 × 10 <sup>2</sup> -2.65 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (copies/ng DNA)	This study

samples, with the AOA/AOB ratio ranging from 0.22 up to 205. No significant decreases of PNRs could be observed in intertidal sediment after AOB were inhibited by ampicillin,

implying that AOA might play the most important role for the nitrification potential in this specific ecosystem (Zheng et al., 2014).

## REFERENCES

- Bartelme, R. P., McLellan, S. L., and Newton, R. J. (2017). Freshwater recirculating aquaculture system operations drive biofilter bacterial community shifts around a stable nitrifying consortium of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and comammox Nitrospira. Front. Microbiol. 8:101. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00101
- Pjevac, P., Schauberger, C., Poghosyan, L., Herbold, C. W., Van Kessel, M. A., Daebeler, A., et al. (2017). AmoA-Targeted polymerase chain reaction primers for the specific detection and quantification of comammox Nitrospira in the environment. Front. Microbiol. 8:1508. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.0 1508
- Tatari, K., Musovic, S., Gulay, A., Dechesne, A., Albrechtsen, H., and Smets, B. F. (2017). Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water production: dominance of *Nitrospira* spp. *Water Res.* 127, 239–248. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.10.023
- Xu, S., Wang, B., Li, Y., Jiang, D., Zhou, Y., Ding, A., et al. (2020). Ubiquity, diversity, and activity of comammox *Nitrospira* in agricultural soils. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 706:135684. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135684
- Zhang, S., Xia, X., Li, S., Zhang, L., Wang, G., Li, M., et al. (2019). Ammonia oxidizers in high-elevation rivers of the Qinghai-tibet plateau display distinctive distribution patterns. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 85:e01701-19. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01701-19

- Zhao, Z., Huang, G. H., He, S., Zhou, N., Wang, M., Dang, C., et al. (2019). Abundance and community composition of comammox bacteria in different ecosystems by a universal primer set. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 691, 146–155. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.131
- Zheng, Y., Hou, L., Newell, S. E., Liu, M., Zhou, J., Zhao, H., et al. (2014). Community dynamics and activity of ammonia-Oxidizing prokaryotes in intertidal sediments of the Yangtze estuary. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 408–419. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03035-13

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Sun, Tang, Zhao, Zhang, Hou, Liu, Wang, Klümper and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.