

Review



# **Comparative Pathobiology of the Intestinal Protozoan Parasites** *Giardia lamblia, Entamoeba histolytica,* **and** *Cryptosporidium parvum*

# Andrew Hemphill \*, Norbert Müller and Joachim Müller

Institute of Parasitology, University of Berne, Länggass-Strasse 122, 3012 Berne, Switzerland \* Correspondence: and rew.hemphill@vetsuisse.unibe.ch; Tel.: +41-31-631-23-84

Received: 1 July 2019; Accepted: 25 July 2019; Published: 29 July 2019



**Abstract:** Protozoan parasites can infect the human intestinal tract causing serious diseases. In the following article, we focused on the three most prominent intestinal protozoan pathogens, namely, *Giardia lamblia, Entamoeba histolytica,* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*. Both *C. parvum* and *G. lamblia* colonize the duodenum, jejunum, and ileum and are the most common causative agents of persistent diarrhea (i.e., cryptosporidiosis and giardiasis). *Entamoeba histolytica* colonizes the colon and, unlike the two former pathogens, may invade the colon wall and disseminate to other organs, mainly the liver, thereby causing life-threatening amebiasis. Here, we present condensed information concerning the pathobiology of these three diseases.

Keywords: diagnosis; immunopathology; intestinal infections; pathogens; treatment

# 1. Introduction

Besides bacterial and viral pathogens, protozoan parasites can also infect the human intestinal tract and cause serious diseases [1]. In this article, we will focus on the three most relevant protozoal pathogens, namely, *Cryptosporidium parvum* (and closely related Coccidia), *Giardia lamblia*, and *Entamoeba histolytica*. We regard these organisms as obligate pathogens because they may cause symptoms in otherwise completely healthy individuals and disappear after clearance by the immune system and/or successful chemotherapy. Conversely, opportunistic pathogens are found in healthy individuals as a part of the normal microbiome and cause symptoms in challenged individuals only [2]. A (controversial) example is *Blastocystis hominis*, one of the most frequent eukaryotes isolated from feces [3] and pathogenic in immunocompromised and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) patients [4]. Microsporidia belong to the kingdom of fungi [5] and would be the topic of a more detailed review on systemic mycoses.

*Giardia lamblia* and *C. parvum* are the most common pathogenic intestinal protozoan parasites and causative agents of persistent diarrhea in humans [6]. In the United States and in Europe, the annual incidences for the two parasitoses are around  $10^4$  each. *Entamoeba histolytica* causes life-threatening amebiasis after invasion of the colon wall and advancement to the liver and other organs. In the EU and the US, most cases of amebiasis are associated with travelers coming from endemic areas. Worldwide, the annual incidence is estimated at around 100 million individuals (Table 1).

The three intestinal protozoans discussed in this review have very simple biological cycles. There are no intermediate hosts. Cysts or oocysts (*Cryptosporidium*) are excreted in feces and (auto) infection occurs via ingestion of these permanent stages (Figure 1).

As a consequence, due to the poor hygienic conditions, the prevalence of these three intestinal protozoans is, however, much higher in underdeveloped countries thereby constituting a major problem for global health (see Table 2 for an overview).

Species	Classification (Super Groups)	Incidence			Pathogonicity	Levelleville
		World <sup>1</sup>	US <sup>2</sup>	EU <sup>3</sup>	- ramogenicity	Localization
Balantidium coli	Cliliata (Diaphoretickes)		Rare			colon
Blastocystis sp.	Stramenopile (Diaphoretickes)		Very high		opportunistic (?)	colon
Cryptosporidium parvum	Apicomplexa (Diaphoretickes)	nk	8–9	7	obligate	duodenum, jejunum, ileum
Dientamoeba fragilis	Trichomonadina (Excavata)		Common		unclear, most likely same as <i>G. lamblia</i>	colon
Entamoeba histolytica	Amoebozoa (Amorphea)	100	rare	rare	obligate	colon, liver
Giardia lamblia	Diplomonadida (Excavata)	250	15	18	obligate	duodenum, jejunum, ileum
Microsporidia sp.	Fungi (Amorphea)		Very high		opportunistic	colon

<sup>1</sup> WHO (World health organization), NIH (National Institute of Health) (×10<sup>6</sup>/year); <sup>2</sup> CDC (Center of Disease Control), data for 2011–2012; <sup>3</sup> ECDC (European Center of Disease Control), data for 2014–2015 (both ×10<sup>3</sup>/year). nk, not known. Websites: ecdc.europa.eu; www.cdc.gov; www.nlm.nih.gov; www.who.int.



Figure 1. Simplified biological cycle of Giardia lamblia, Entamoeba histolytica, and Cryptosporidium parvum.

**Table 2.** Overview of diseases caused by the protozoans presented in this review; see Reference [1] and text of this review for further references.

		Giardiasis	Amebiasis	Cryptosporidiosis		
Pathogen		Giardia lamblia	Entamoeba histolytica	Cryptosporidium parvum		
TRANSMISSIO	N	Via (Oo)cysts in feces				
Symptoms						
Acute		Persistent diarrhea (>1 w), malabsorption.	Diarrhea, abdominal pain.	Mild-to-acute diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, low-grade fever.		
Chronic		Malabsorption, loose stools, gassiness, cramping, fatigue, liver or pancreatic inflammations.	Fever, sepsis, liver abscesses, skin lesions.	Severe diarrhea, vomiting, malabsorption, volume depletion and wasting, biliary and respiratory involvement in immunodeficient persons.		
Diagnosis						
D:	Feces	Microscopy (cysts), coproantigen	Microscopy (trophozoites, cysts),	Microscopy, coproantigen test, PCR,		
Se	Serology		Positive in the case of extraintestinal infection.	enzyme-immunoassays.		
Differential Diagnosis		a		Giardiasis, Rotavirus, Cyclospora cayetanensis, Clostridium		
		Cryptosporidiosis, IBS, celiac.	IBD, cancer, bacterial infections.	difficile. Microsporidia, IBS, celiac.		
MANAGEMEN	г					
First line trea	atment	Metronidazole (500 to 750 mg p.o. t.i.	d., 10 d)	Immunocompetent: NTZ (nitazoxanide)100–500 mg p.o. twice daily, 3 d. HIV: Antiretroviral therapy, possibly combined with NTZ		
		, от	. ,	or paromomycin/azithromycin. Other immunodeficiencies: NTZ 500 mg twice daily, 14 d.		
Prev	rention	Personal hygiene, water treatment, appropriate cleaning and storage of vegetables.				

# 2. Etiology and Epidemiology

## 2.1. Giardia lamblia

*Giardia lamblia* is an anaerobic, but to some extent also aerotolerant, eukaryote with several prokaryotic properties [7–9] belonging to the phylum Diplomonadida, super-group Excavata [5]. *Giardia* exists in two morphologic forms: the multi-flagellated trophozoite (four pairs of flagella) and the cyst. The trophozoite is dinucleated, pear-shaped, multi-flagellated, 9 to 15  $\mu$ m long, 5 to 15  $\mu$ m wide, and 2 to 4  $\mu$ m thick, with an adhesive disk on the ventral surface (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** *Giardia lamblia* trophozoites and cysts visualized by SEM. (**A**) trophozoites cultured in axenic in vitro culture, exposing either their dorsal surface (d) or ventral disc (vd). Note the multiple flagella (f). Bar = 8  $\mu$ m. (**B**) Trophozoite (T) and cyst (C) stages in a mouse feces sample. Bar = 6.4  $\mu$ m. (**C**) Trophozoites attaching to the intestinal surface of an experimentally infected mouse. Bar = 8  $\mu$ m. (**D**,**E**) Higher magnification view of a trophozoite attaching to the mouse intestinal surface and to human colon carcinoma cells (Caco2) during in vitro culture, respectively. Arrows delineate the periphery of the ventral disc. Bar in (**D**,**E**) = 4  $\mu$ m.

Trophozoites live attached on dudodenal and jejunal epithelial cells and thrive on nutrients from the intestinal fluid with amino acids, especially arginine as their preferred fuel [10,11]. Detached

trophozoites form quadrinucleated, thick-walled cysts (8–10 µm in diameter). The cysts are excreted in the feces and constitute the infectious stage. Thus, giardiasis is caused by fecal contaminations of drinking water [12], food [13], or direct contact with feces [14], waterborne transmission being regarded as a major source [15]. Pathogenesis and virulence depend on the genotype of the *Giardia* strain and the immune and nutritional status of the host. To date, eight genetic assemblages (A to H) have been described. It is well established that isolates from assemblages A and B cause infection in humans [16–18]. There is, however, more recent evidence that isolates from assemblage E are pathogenic for humans, as well [19]. Since these strains can be found in humans as well as in animals, giardiasis can be regarded as a zoonosis [20]. Especially, young children living in poor sanitary conditions are exposed to giardiasis which—in combination with malnutrition or immunosuppression (e.g., HIV)—can be fatal. Moreover, persistent infections in children may cause stunting [21].

## 2.2. Entamoeba histolytica

Human amebiasis is caused by *E. histolytica* (Amoebozoa, Amorphaea). All pathogenic *Entamoeba* are classified as *E. histolytica*, whereas the species *Entamoeba dispar* comprises non-pathogenic *Entamoeba* strains [22]. As for *G. lamblia*, two stages can be distinguished, namely, trophozoites and cysts. The motile, mononucleated trophozoite (10 to 20  $\mu$ m  $\otimes$ , sometimes larger) colonizes the colon (and eventually other organs), where it may transform into the cyst stage having a similar size, but one to four nuclei (Figure 3).





Human infection occurs via the ingestion of excreted cysts, thus via fecal–oral contamination upon waterborne, foodborne, or person-to-person transmission. Therefore, poor sanitation and overcrowding are socio-economic factors favoring amebiasis. In the EU and the US, nowadays, cases of amebiasis are mostly associated to travelers coming from endemic areas. Amebiasis is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality in developing countries [23].

## 2.3. Cryptosporidium sp.

The genus *Cryptosporidium* is classified into the phylum Apicomplexa, class Conoidasida, and order Eucoccidiorida. More recent studies, however, indicate, that this genus is more closely related to Gregarines [24]. Currently, 31 valid *Cryptosporidium* species have been recognized in fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals, and an additional 40 distinct genotypes from a variety of vertebrate hosts are described. Nearly 20 species and genotypes have been reported in humans, of which *C. hominis* and *C. parvum* account for >90% of all cases [25]. *Cryptosporidium hominis* transmission occurs via humans, while *C. parvum* has a high zoonotic potential. There is still a lack of subtyping

tools for many *Cryptosporidium* species of public and veterinary health importance, and the genetic determinants of host specificity of Cryptosporidium species are only poorly understood. Diarrhea is caused through mechanisms involving increased intestinal permeability, chloride secretion, and malabsorption. Although otherwise healthy individuals can acquire infection, other conditions such as immunodeficiency caused by HIV infection, malnutrition, chemotherapy, diabetes mellitus or bone marrow or solid organ transplantation constitute increased risks for more severe and disseminated disease [26]. Livestock, particularly cattle, are important reservoirs of zoonotic infections [27]. The impact is especially devastating in infants in the resource-constrained regions, and disease is associated with an estimated annual death rate of >200,000 children below 2 years of age. An epidemiological study of over 22,000 infants and children in Africa and Asia [28] found that Cryptosporidium was one of the four pathogens responsible for most of the severe diarrhea and was considered the second greatest cause of diarrhea and death in children after *Rotavirus* [29]. Common means of transmission of cryptosporidiosis is by municipal drinking water and water in swimming pools and via contaminated food. A high risk of infection concerns child care workers, parents of infected children, people who handle infected animals, those exposed to human feces through sexual contact, and healthcare providers as well as pregnant women and individuals suffering from immunodeficiency [26].

*Cryptosporidium* has a monoxenous life cycle [30]. Infection takes place via oral ingestion of oocysts containing invasive sporozoites. These sporozoites enter intestinal epithelial cells and form a parasitophorous vacuole (PV) that is located at the apical part of the host cell, just underneath the brush border (Figures 4 and 5).



**Figure 4.** Light microscopy of *Cryptosporidium*. (**A**–**C**) Histological sections of paraffin-embedded *C. parvum*-infected intestinal tissue, stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (**A**) A low-magnification view, where the boxed area in (**A**) is magnified in (**B**). Arrows point towards *C. parvum* parasitophorous vacuoles seen as round bodies on the surface of the epithelial layer. (**D**) Modified Ziehl–Neelsen staining of oocysts (red, circular bodies) in a stool sample. Bar in A = 1450 µm; B and C = 260 µm; D = 7.5 µm.



**Figure 5.** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. Madin Darbey canine kidney (MDCK) cells were infected with *C. parvum* sporozoites and fixed and processed for TEM after 72 h of culture. Sporozoites have formed parasitophorous vacuoles (PVs) on the apical part of the MDCK cells, occupying a space which is still intracellular, but essentially extra-cytoplasmatic, giving rise to meronts. (**B**) A low magnification view of three PVs, with two developing meronts (me) clearly visible. Arrows indicate the outer host cell surface membrane. Bar = 12  $\mu$ m. (**C**) A higher magnification view of the boxed area in (**A**). Asterisks (\*) indicate the membrane of the parasitophorous vacuole, arrows point towards the host cell surface membrane, mn indicates nuclei of developing merozoites. Note the electron-dense zone where the PV is in close contact to the host cell cytoplasm, formed due to the cytoskeletal rearrangements. Bar = 2.5  $\mu$ m.

These sporozoites will then develop into merozoites, which either undergo asexual proliferation, egress, and re-infection of other intestinal cells (named type I merozoites), or develop into type II merozoites and undergo sexual development, leading to the formation of a zygote, which produces an oocyst wall and infective sporozoites. In the case that the oocysts are thin-walled, excystation of sporozoites can take place already in the intestine, leading to auto-infection, while thick-walled oocysts are excreted at very large numbers and are infectious upon oral ingestion. Oocysts are environmentally stable and can survive for many months under temperate and moist conditions, and they are resistant to chlorine levels usually applied in water treatment.

## 3. Pathogenicity and Virulence

## 3.1. General Remarks

The virulence of an intestinal pathogen results from its own genetic background, the competence of the host immune system, its nutritional status (e.g., the acquisition of iron [31]) and the interaction with other intestinal microorganisms (Figure 6).



**Figure 6.** Pathogenicity and virulence. Pathogenicity and virulence are the result of interactions between the genotype of the pathogen, the immune response, the nutritional status, and the intestinal microbiome of the host.

All three parasites discussed here in detail infect their hosts via ingested cysts and colonize the digestive tract. They attach to the epithelial surface of the duodenum/ileum (*Cryptosporidium* sp., *Giardia lamblia*) or colon (*Entamoeba histolytica*) and elicit an immune response involving interleukin (IL)-6 production by T-cells, dendritic cells and mast cells. Interleukin-6 stimulates IL-17-mediated host defense (production of intestinal IgA (Immunoglobulin A) and anti-microbial peptides). Furthermore, mast cell degranulation promotes peristalsis. The resulting inflammatory reactions (see Reference [32] for review) are more (*E. histolytica*) or less (*G. lamblia*) pronounced. Thus, immunopathology may play an important role in the case of *E. histolytica*, where inflammatory reactions may facilitate the penetration of the colon wall and the subsequent systemic spreading causing amebiasis. In the case of giardiasis and cryptosporidiosis, inflammatory reactions are much less pronounced.

## 3.2. Giardia lamblia

Unlike other protozoal pathogens, *G. lamblia* trophozoites and their conversion into cysts can be easily studied in vitro, the genome is sequenced (GiardiaDB.org), molecular genetics are well established, and in vivo-models (e.g., orally cyst-infected mice) are available. Nevertheless, to date, the pathophysiology of giardiasis is not entirely understood [33]. When ingested cysts reach the stomach, their cyst wall is digested and they transform into trophozoites colonizing the epithelium of the duodenum and the proximal jejunum. As a first reaction on part of the host, cells in contact with the trophozoites may undergo apoptosis, epithelial tight junctions are ruptured [34], and CD8+-lymphocytes are activated [35]. As a consequence, brush-border microvilli are shortened [35], resulting in deficiencies in disaccharidases and other enzymes [36]. In a next step, adaptive immune responses are elicited via IL-6-producing dendritic and mast cells [37] and CD4+ T-cells producing IL-17 and TNFalpha [38]. Another potential source of IL-17 are tuft cells that may be stimulated by metabolites excreted by trophozoites thereby enhancing the pro-inflammatory reactions in the intestinal epithelium, as found for closely related protists and for helminths [39]. As a consequence,

inhibits trophozoite proliferation [33,41] and—NO production in neurons—in combination with mast cell degranulation—promotes intestinal peristalsis thus contributing to the expulsion of trophozoites. Although minor, the intestinal microbiota may increase the efficiency of the anti-giardial immune response. In fact, trophozoites may induce dysbiosis of the microbiota resulting in an immunological effect supporting infection [42] (Figure 7). Recent studies consider cysteine proteases involved in pathogenesis, disruption of intestinal epithelial junctions, apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells, and also the degradation of host immune factors (e.g., immunoglobulins and chemokines), mucus depletion, and microbic dysbiosis as major virulence factors [18].

Since IgA recognizes surface proteins as predominant antigens, *G. lamblia* has developed an escape strategy based on the variation of these surface proteins. According to a generally admitted hypothesis, only one (major) variant surface protein (VSP) is expressed on a single trophozoite [43]. The expression of different VSPs—and thus antigenic variation—is triggered by epigenetic mechanisms involving changes in the chromatin state [44] and/or RNA interference [45,46]. Trophozoites surviving the exposure to IgA react by expressing different variants of these so-called "variant surface proteins" thereby escaping the immune response [47]. This strategy is called "antigenic switch" (Figure 8).

Antigenic switch does not occur only as a reaction to exposure to antibodies, but also to drugs [48–50] and is—in all likelihood—epigenetically regulated [51]. Recent results suggest that VSPs play not only a passive role in escaping host immune responses but also may actively participate in damaging epithelial cells via proteolytic activities [52]. An excellent review on parasitic strategies to circumvent host immune reactions is given elsewhere [32].



**Figure 7.** Intestinal colonization of *Giardia lamblia* (Gl) and host defense mechanisms against the parasite. Explanation see text.



**Figure 8.** Illustration of the fundamental paradigm of antigenic variation in *Giardia lamblia*. (A) Trophozoites are stained with a monoclonal antibody directed against the variant surface protein (VSP) H7 (green staining). The presence of trophozoites is visualized by the characteristic staining of the double nuclei. After in vivo culture and re-isolation, the green staining is lost, meaning that new VSPs have replaced VSP H7. (B) Is one VSP replaced by another VSP or by several different VSPs thereby increasing the heterogeneity of the trophozoite population? Transcriptional studies have revealed that after subsequent in vivo cultivation of *G. lamblia* clone H7, the variability of VSPs increases.

#### 3.3. Entamoeba histolytica

*Entamoeba histolytica* trophozoites are easily cultivated in vitro, the genome has been sequenced (AmoebaDB.org), and susceptible and resistant rodent in vivo models are available, thus allowing experimental investigations of virulence factors [53,54]. While passing the digestive tract, ingested cysts liberate trophozoites proliferating within the colon. Unlike *Giardia*, they dwell not only on intestinal fluids but produce cysteine proteases and Gal/GalNAc-lectins both damaging the intestinal mucosa by structural destabilization and cellular destruction of the epithelial cell layer. As a consequence, *E. histolytica* penetrates the intestinal mucosa by evading and, at the same time, exploiting the mucosal immune response of the host. In an initial phase, mucosal inflammation is promoted by secretion of *E. histolytica* macrophage migration inhibitory factor (EhMIF). Supported by tissue-destructive and cytolytic effectors such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and oxygen free radicals (ROS) produced by infiltrating inflammatory cells, focal perforation of the intestinal mucosa may occur allowing the trophozoites to invade the colon wall [55,56].

Infecting *E. histolytica* trophozoites, however, have to face different host defense mechanisms, namely, (i) increased mucus production protecting the epithelial surface; (ii) secretion of defensin 2 and pro-inflammatory cytokines (II-1 $\beta$ , IL-8, TNF- $\alpha$ ) after contact of trophozoites with epithelial cells [57]; (iii) Th1-mediated immune responses during acute amebiasis, and Th2- and Th17-mediated

equently, neutrophils are attracted via I

immune responses during chronic amebiasis [58]. Consequently, neutrophils are attracted via IL-8 and macrophages via IL-1 $\beta$ . IL-17 production favors persistence of infection as shown by comparing IL-17-knock-out to wild-type mice [59]. Since *E. histolytica* trophozoites resist killing by neutrophils, the resulting inflammatory reaction even enhances tissue injury thereby promoting the infection [60]. In 90% of patients, the colon wall is not invaded and the infection remains asymptomatic or with mild symptoms. In the remaining 10%, the colon barrier is broken, and trophozoites spread into the wall and surrounding tissues causing local necrosis and ulcer formation.

Once the colon wall is invaded, however, amebiasis may spread hematogenously to any organ in the body, most commonly the liver and the lungs [60,61]. It is still unclear to which extent host cells are directly involved in the destruction of the colon wall. There is some evidence from in vivo models that the inflammatory reactions of host cells and not proteolytic degradation of the wall by the parasite is responsible for tissue damage, but it is difficult to extrapolate from defined animal models to the situation in patients with diverse physiological backgrounds and immune status [62].

Another intriguing aspect is the interaction with the colon microbiome. Enteric bacteria may stimulate the oxidative stress defenses of *E. histolytica* [63]. Moreover, there are good indications that *E. histolytica* causes dysbiosis of the colon microbiome stimulating immune responses facilitating systemic invasion [64]. It is still unclear to which extent this parasite evades or suppresses [65] host immune responses during this systemic spread (Figure 9).



**Figure 9.** Intestinal colonization and invasion of *E. histolytica* (Eh) and host defense mechanisms against the parasite. For explanations see text.

## 3.4. Cryptosporidium sp.

Unlike *G. lamblia* and *E. histolytica*, *Cryptosporidium* sp. (and other closely related Coccidia, e.g., *Eimeria* sp.) cannot be cultivated axenically [66]. The genome has been sequenced (CryptoDB.org), but genetic manipulation of this protozoal parasite [67] has only recently been established using CRiSPR/Cas-mediated gene targeting [68]. The studies leading to the identification of pathogenicity and virulence factors are performed in coculture systems [69,70] with intestinal [71], biliary [72], tracheal [73] or esophagus [74] epithelial cell layers or organoids [75], where infection, invasion, and differentiation can be studied from a couple of days until several weeks [76]. Based on studies with these systems, factors mediating excystation, invasion, PV formation, intracellular maintenance,

and host cell damage could be identified as important virulence factors [77]. After oral uptake of oocysts, *Cryptosporidium* sporozoites hatch in the small intestine, attach to and invade host epithelial cells [78], preferentially cells in mitosis [79]. This is in good agreement with more recent findings that in pig intestinal cells infected with *C. parvum*, expression of genes involved in mitosis are upregulated, but neither stress- nor apoptosis-related genes [80]. Host-cell apoptosis is dimmed in early stages of infection and promoted in late stages [81–83]. In analogy to related apicomplexan parasites, it can be speculated that *Cryptosporidium* secretes molecules to the host cell that directly interfere with apoptosis signaling cascades at multiple levels as shown for *Toxoplasma gondii* [84] and for *Theileria* sp. [85,86].

Attachment to host cells and invasion is mediated by proteins such as a galactose/N-glactosamin-specific lectin [87] and *T. gondii*-SAG1 homologous proteins [88] released from a set of secretory organelles, which are constituents of the apical complex. Infection requires extensive remodeling of the cytoskeleton [89], and invaded sporozoites are finally located in a parasitophorous vacuole, surrounded by a parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM) that is essentially host cell surface membrane derived and modified after invasion. Once intracellular, parasites remain at the apical domain of the host cell, therefore, the PV is an intracellular but extracytoplasmatic compartment (Figure 5). Thus, the PVM shields parasites from the host defense. Trophozoites are formed and will eventually undergo development into merozoites, as well as sexual development forming sporozoites and oocysts, which are orally infective. Tissue damage occurs through disruption of tight junctions of the epithelium, leading to cytoskeletal alterations, loss of barrier function, and—ultimately—increased cell death. These alterations are caused by lytic enzymes such as phospholipases [90] and proteases [91–94].

As a response, proinflammatory cytokines like interferon gamma (IFN $\gamma$ ) [95], IL-6, IL-12 [96], IL-17 [97], and chemokines are released to the infection site. A detailed review is given in Reference [98] and the references therein. On the one hand, the resulting inflammatory reactions contribute to increased epithelial permeability, impaired intestinal absorption, and increased secretion thereby enhancing the symptoms like malabsorption and diarrhea. On the other hand, they stimulate innate defense mechanisms [95] such as the release of antimicrobial peptides [99] and acquired immune responses leading ultimately to the control of the infection in immunocompetent hosts (see Figure 7).

## 4. Control and Treatment

#### 4.1. Prevention

Since all three pathogens discussed in this review are transmitted via cysts [100], the prevention is water treatment by filtration [101] or disinfection by chlorination or radiation [102]. Moreover, person-to-person and animal-to-person transmission can be prevented by standard attention to hygiene (i.e., hand washing). Treatment of asymptomatic persons excreting cysts is indicated to prevent autoinfection and the spread of infection to healthy persons.

## 4.2. Diagnosis

The standard methodology as well as recent developments in diagnosis of intestinal parasites including *G. lamblia, E. histolytica,* and *C. parvum* have recently been summarized in the updated guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Community of Practice of the American Society of Transplantation [103]. Conventionally, diagnosis is based on the detection of trophozoites or (oo)cysts in stool samples. In addition, *E. histolytica* trophozoites can be identified in aspirates or biopsies sampled during colonoscopy or surgery, respectively. Coprological diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis is mostly performed by modified Ziehl–Neelsen staining of acid-fast *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in fecal smears (see Figure 4). This method is regarded as the gold standard method for diagnosis of this protozoan parasite. While serology is considered to be of minor importance in diagnosis of giardiasis and cryptosporidiosis, ELISA tests demonstrating seroconversion as an indicator of an invasive *E. histolytica* infection are important tools to diagnose acute amebiasis.

Detection of ameba in stool is, however, not conclusive since non-pathogenic *Entamoeba* strains (*E. dispar*) cannot be distinguished from pathogenic strains by a mere morphological examination. This problem is solved by various *E. histolytica*-specific PCR tests [104,105]. A modern multiplex real-time PCR-based method allows the simultaneous detection of *Giardia*, *Entamoeba*, and *Cryptosporidium* in stool samples with high sensitivities and specificities [106]. These tests can be employed not only on stool, but also on biopsy material where trophozoites are rarely visible.

## 4.3. Treatment

Like for other anaerobic pathogens, the first line treatment of amebiasis and giardiasis—as recommended by the WHO and CDC guidelines—is based on compounds containing a nitro group which is reduced, thereby forming toxic intermediates, metronidazole [107] or related nitroimidazoles being the first choice (see Table 2). The nitro-thiazolide nitazoxanide is active not only against *Giardia* (in vitro and in vivo) but also against *Entamoeba* in vitro [108]. Since nitazoxanide and other thiazolides affect host cells also [109], they are not suited for the treatment of systemic infections such as amebiasis. In the case of resistance against nitro drugs which is frequent in *Giardia* [110], the highly effective benzimidazole albendazole may be used as a second line drug [111]. Many natural compounds, such as essential oils, some fatty acids, isoflavones, etc., inhibit the proliferation of *G. lamblia* trophozoites with much higher efficacy than metronidazole (see References [112] and references therein). Therefore, a diet combining low protein contents resulting in less free amino acids, especially arginine, available as fuel [11] with traditional herbs, such as basil, garlic, ginger, oregano etc., may constitute an additional management strategy, especially in the case of chronic giardiasis.

Resistance to nitro drugs also occurs in *E. histolytica* [113]. Therefore, there is a constant interest in novel, druggable targets that are absent in the host [114]. An example for such a target is the metabolism of sulfur-containing amino acids, e.g., methionine gamma-lyase-mediated catabolism [115]. Since virulence of *E. histolytica* strains is directly correlated to their oxygen resistance [116], the inhibition of scavengers of reactive oxygen species such as thioredoxin reductase [117] by auranofin, as identified by an unbiased high throughput screening [118] or by related compounds, could constitute a complementary strategy [119].

Against cryptosporidiosis, chemotherapy would be valuable in immunocompromised patients, but an effective regimen has not been established [26]. Nitazoxanide and other non-nitro-thiazolides are effective against cryptosporidiosis in a rodent model [120], but it is unclear whether the compounds act on the parasite or on the host [109]. For some HIV-infected patients, paromomycin, an oral non-absorbed aminoglycoside from *Streptomyces rimosus*, or paromomycin combined with azithromycin (a macrolide antibiotic) may be at least partially beneficial [121]. In some studies, treatment with nitazoxanide significantly shortened the duration of diarrhea and decreased the risk of mortality in malnourished children [122], and clinical trials showed efficacy in adults [123]. However, other studies showed that nitazoxanide therapy failed to exhibit activity in immunocompromised patients [124,125]. Since the studies showing effectiveness in patients come from one sole source, it is questionable whether there is at all efficacy of nitazoxanide against cryptosporidiosis in patients.

More promising, elevating CD4+ T-cell levels in HIV-infected patients by highly active antiretroviral therapy has led to the cessation of life-threatening cryptosporidial diarrhea [79]. This improvement is likely to result from immune reconstitution but may, in part, also be due to the antiparasitic activity of protease inhibitors. Thus, for therapy in HIV patients, nitazoxanide or paromomycin combined with azithromycin should be considered along with initiating antiretroviral therapy [79,83]. Currently, more promising compounds are being developed [126], including inhibitors of inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase [127], calcium-dependent protein kinase I [128], and lipid kinase [129] as the most striking examples.

#### 4.4. Is Vaccination a Suitable Strategy?

Over the last two centuries, vaccination has proven most useful in fighting against a plethora of life-threatening infective agents. Therefore, it is reasonable that many groups invest in developing vaccination tools and strategies against intestinal protozoan pathogens.

In the case of giardiasis, these attempts are hampered by "antigenic switch" (see above). To circumvent this problem, a potential vaccine strain expressing multiple variant surface proteins (VSPs) on a single cell has been created by disrupting the RNA interference mechanism silencing VSP expression [130]. Allegedly, experimentally infected gerbils can be protected against subsequent infections by "vaccination" with this strain or by immunization with recombinant VSPs.

Cryptosporidiosis can be prevented by vaccination with lyophilized oocysts, as shown for calves [131]. This result is of particular interest for the poultry industry where the closely related *Eimeria* constitute a major problem [132]. As a consequence, there is a constant and continuing effort to identify suitable candidates such as, for example, protein p23 [133], a rhomboid protein [134], or surface glycoproteins [135] as vaccine candidates, either as recombinant proteins, DNA vaccine or expressed in a suitable bacterial strain [136].

Vaccination strategies against both diseases may work in suitable animal models and may even have some relevance for farm animals, but in the case of human patients, they are hampered by the fact that the subpopulations with the highest exposure and the highest risk such as malnourished children and HIV-positive adults are immunocompromised. As consequence, the immune responses elicited by recombinant vaccines are impaired [98]. A successful vaccine candidate against giardiasis should comprise the whole pattern of VSPs that may be expressed on an infective strain. This is impossible since the genomes of the sequenced *Giardia* strains contain several hundred VSP genes, and not all pathogenic strains are amenable to culture and, thus, to sequencing and characterization of their VSP patterns.

Clearly, vaccination seems to be a more promising strategy against amebiasis [137]. Vaccination with the Gal-lectin [138] successfully prevents amebiasis in gerbils [139,140], baboons [141], and as a subunit vaccine in a mouse model [142]. Moreover, other suitable vaccine candidates have been identified in the *Entamoeba* genome [143]. The challenge is to pass from a suitable animal model to tests in humans.

## 5. Conclusions and Outlook

Taken together, intestinal protozoan pathogens, in particular *G. lamblia*, *Cryptosporidium* sp., and *E. histolytica*, cause disabling and even life-threatening diseases. Transmitted by cysts, they can be controlled through water treatment. Antigiardial chemotherapy is well established and alternatives to the main lines of treatment are available. Against cryptosporidiosis, suitable treatment options are, however, lacking and constitute an interesting field for future investigations.

Amebiasis, the most lethal of the three, is also the most difficult to treat. Here, the development of both chemotherapy and vaccination will be one of the most challenging issues in parasitology for the future. Another interesting field for investigations would be the influence of overcome giardiasis, cryptosporidiosis, and infection by non-invading ameba on the gastrointestinal absorption of nutrients, the microflora, and the immune system [31].

Author Contributions: All three authors equally contributed to the conceptualization and the writing of the present article.

Funding: This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation grants 31003A\_163230 and 31003A\_184662.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Larissa Hofmann (Institute of Parasitology, Bern) for providing micrographs of modified Ziehl–Neelsen stained oocyst preparations, Philipp Olias (Institute of Animal Pathology, University of Bern) for providing histological sections of *C. parvum*-infected intestinal tissue, and Dominic Ritler (Institute of Parasitology, Bern) for designing parts of the illustrations.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Van Voorhis, W. Protozoan infections. Sci. Am. Med. 2014. [CrossRef]
- Chabe, M.; Lokmer, A.; Segurel, L. Gut protozoa: Friends or foes of the human gut microbiota? *Trends Parasitol.* 2017, 33, 925–934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Stensvold, C.R.; Clark, C.G. Current status of *Blastocystis*: A personal view. *Parasitol. Int.* **2016**, *65*, 763–771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Roberts, T.; Stark, D.; Harkness, J.; Ellis, J. Update on the pathogenic potential and treatment options for *Blastocystis* sp. *Gut Pathog.* **2014**, *6*, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Adl, S.M.; Simpson, A.G.; Lane, C.E.; Lukes, J.; Bass, D.; Bowser, S.S.; Brown, M.W.; Burki, F.; Dunthorn, M.; Hampl, V.; et al. The revised classification of eukaryotes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 2012, *59*, 429–493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Huang, D.B.; White, A.C. An updated review on Cryptosporidium and Giardia. *Gastroenterol. Clin. North Am.* **2006**, *35*, 291–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lujan, H.D. Mechanisms of adaptation in the intestinal parasite Giardia lamblia. *Essays Biochem.* 2011, 51, 177–191. [CrossRef]
- 8. Müller, N.; Müller, J. Giardia. In *Molecular Parasitology*; Walochnik, J., Duchêne, M., Eds.; Springer: Vienna, Austria, 2016; pp. 93–114.
- 9. Upcroft, J.; Upcroft, P. My favorite cell: Giardia. Bioessays 1998, 20, 256–263. [CrossRef]
- 10. Schofield, P.J.; Edwards, M.R.; Matthews, J.; Wilson, J.R. The pathway of arginine catabolism in Giardia intestinalis. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **1992**, *51*, 29–36. [CrossRef]
- 11. Vermathen, M.; Müller, J.; Furrer, J.; Müller, N.; Vermathen, P. <sup>1</sup>H HR-MAS NMR spectroscopy to study the metabolome of the protozoan parasite *Giardia lamblia*. *Talanta* **2018**, *188*, 429–441. [CrossRef]
- 12. Efstratiou, A.; Ongerth, J.; Karanis, P. Evolution of monitoring for *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in water. *Water Res.* **2017**, *123*, 96–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Utaaker, K.S.; Skjerve, E.; Robertson, L.J. Keeping it cool: Survival of *Giardia* cysts and *Cryptosporidium* oocysts on lettuce leaves. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2017**, 255, 51–57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Waldram, A.; Vivancos, R.; Hartley, C.; Lamden, K. Prevalence of *Giardia* infection in households of *Giardia* cases and risk factors for household transmission. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2017**, *17*, 486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Santos, P.R.; Daniel, L.A. Occurrence and removal of *Giardia* spp. cysts and *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts from a municipal wastewater treatment plant in Brazil. *Environ. Technol.* 2017, *38*, 1245–1254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sahagún, J.; Clavel, A.; Goñi, P.; Seral, C.; Llorente, M.T.; Castillo, F.J.; Capilla, S.; Arias, A.; Gómez-Lus, R. Correlation between the presence of symptoms and the Giardia duodenalis genotype. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2008, 27, 81–83. [CrossRef]
- 17. Heyworth, M.F. Giardia duodenalis genetic assemblages and hosts. Parasite 2016, 23, 13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Allain, T.; Fekete, E.; Buret, A.G. *Giardia* cysteine proteases: The teeth behind the smile. *Trends Parasitol.* **2019**, 35, 636–648. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Zahedi, A.; Field, D.; Ryan, U. Molecular typing of *Giardia duodenalis* in humans in Queensland—First report of Assemblage E. *Parasitology* **2017**, *144*, 1154–1161. [CrossRef]
- 20. Feng, Y.; Xiao, L. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of Giardia species and giardiasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2011**, 24, 110–140. [CrossRef]
- 21. Rogawski, E.T.; Bartelt, L.A.; Platts-Mills, J.A.; Seidman, J.C.; Samie, A.; Havt, A.; Babji, S.; Trigoso, D.R.; Qureshi, S.; Shakoor, S.; et al. Determinants and Impact of *Giardia* Infection in the First 2 Years of Life in the MAL-ED Birth Cohort. *J. Pediatric Infect. Dis. Soc.* **2017**, *6*, 153–160. [CrossRef]
- 22. Gonzalez-Ruiz, A.; Wright, S.G. Disparate amoebae. Lancet 1998, 351, 1672–1673. [CrossRef]
- 23. Smith, L.A. Still around and still dangerous: Giardia lamblia and Entamoeba histolytica. *Clin. Lab. Sci. J. Am. Soc. Med Technol.* **1997**, *10*, 279–286.
- 24. Clode, P.L.; Koh, W.H.; Thompson, R.C.A. Life without a host cell: What is *Cryptosporidium? Trends Parasitol.* **2015**, *31*, 614–624. [CrossRef]
- 25. Certad, G.; Viscogliosi, E.; Chabe, M.; Caccio, S.M. Pathogenic mechanisms of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia*. *Trends Parasitol.* **2017**, *33*, 561–576. [CrossRef]

- 26. Checkley, W.; White, A.C., Jr.; Jaganath, D.; Arrowood, M.J.; Chalmers, R.M.; Chen, X.M.; Fayer, R.; Griffiths, J.K.; Guerrant, R.L.; Hedstrom, L.; et al. A review of the global burden, novel diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccine targets for *Cryptosporidium*. *Lancet*. *Infect*. *Dis*. **2015**, *15*, 85–94. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ryan, U.; Zahedi, A.; Paparini, A. *Cryptosporidium* in humans and animals-a one health approach to prophylaxis. *Parasite Immunol.* **2016**, *38*, 535–547. [CrossRef]
- Sow, S.O.; Muhsen, K.; Nasrin, D.; Blackwelder, W.C.; Wu, Y.; Farag, T.H.; Panchalingam, S.; Sur, D.; Zaidi, A.K.; Faruque, A.S.; et al. The burden of Cryptosporidium diarrheal disease among children <24 months of age in moderate/high mortality regions of sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia, utilizing data from the Global Enteric Multicenter Study (GEMS). *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2016**, *10*, e0004729. [CrossRef]
- 29. Striepen, B. Parasitic infections: Time to tackle cryptosporidiosis. *Nature* **2013**, 503, 189–191. [CrossRef]
- White, A.C.J. Cryptosporidiosis (*Cryptosporidium* species). In *Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*, 8th ed.; Bennett, J.E., Dolin, R., Blaser, M.K., Eds.; Elsevier Churchill Livingstone: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2015; pp. 3173–3183.
- 31. Buret, A.G.; Motta, J.P.; Allain, T.; Ferraz, J.; Wallace, J.L. Pathobiont release from dysbiotic gut microbiota biofilms in intestinal inflammatory diseases: A role for iron? *J. Biomed. Sci.* **2019**, *26*, 1. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sorci, G.; Cornet, S.; Faivre, B. Immune evasion, immunopathology and the regulation of the immune system. *Pathogens* **2013**, *2*, 71–91. [CrossRef]
- 33. Lopez-Romero, G.; Quintero, J.; Astiazaran-Garcia, H.; Velazquez, C. Host defences against *Giardia lamblia*. *Parasite Immunol.* **2015**, *37*, 394–406. [CrossRef]
- 34. Koh, W.H.; Geurden, T.; Paget, T.; O'Handley, R.; Steuart, R.F.; Thompson, R.C.; Buret, A.G. Giardia duodenalis assemblage-specific induction of apoptosis and tight junction disruption in human intestinal epithelial cells: Effects of mixed infections. *J. Parasitol.* **2013**, *99*, 353–358. [CrossRef]
- 35. Cotton, J.A.; Beatty, J.K.; Buret, A.G. Host parasite interactions and pathophysiology in Giardia infections. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2011**, *41*, 925–933. [CrossRef]
- Scott, K.G.; Logan, M.R.; Klammer, G.M.; Teoh, D.A.; Buret, A.G. Jejunal brush border microvillous alterations in Giardia muris-infected mice: Role of T lymphocytes and interleukin-6. *Infect. Immun.* 2000, 68, 3412–3418. [CrossRef]
- 37. Kamda, J.D.; Nash, T.E.; Singer, S.M. Giardia duodenalis: Dendritic cell defects in IL-6 deficient mice contribute to susceptibility to intestinal infection. *Exp. Parasitol.* **2012**, *130*, 288–291. [CrossRef]
- 38. Saghaug, C.S.; Sornes, S.; Peirasmaki, D.; Svard, S.; Langeland, N.; Hanevik, K. Human memory CD4+ T cell immune responses against *Giardia lamblia*. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2015**, *23*, 11–18. [CrossRef]
- Schneider, C.; O'Leary, C.E.; von Moltke, J.; Liang, H.E.; Ang, Q.Y.; Turnbaugh, P.J.; Radhakrishnan, S.; Pellizzon, M.; Ma, A.; Locksley, R.M. A metabolite-triggered tuft cell-ILC2 circuit drives small intestinal remodeling. *Cell* 2018, 174, 271–284. [CrossRef]
- 40. Stäger, S.; Gottstein, B.; Sager, H.; Jungi, T.W.; Müller, N. Influence of antibodies in mother's milk on antigenic variation of Giardia lamblia in the murine mother-offspring model of infection. *Infect. Immun.* **1998**, *66*, 1287–1292.
- Bénéré, E.; Van Assche, T.; Cos, P.; Maes, L. Intrinsic susceptibility of Giardia duodenalis assemblage subtypes A(I), A(II), B and E(III) for nitric oxide under axenic culture conditions. *Parasitol. Res.* 2012, *110*, 1315–1319. [CrossRef]
- 42. Fink, M.Y.; Singer, S.M. The Intersection of Immune Responses, Microbiota, and Pathogenesis in Giardiasis. *Trends Parasitol.* **2017**, *33*, 901–913. [CrossRef]
- 43. Nash, T.E. Surface antigenic variation in Giardia lamblia. Mol. Microbiol. 2002, 45, 585–590. [CrossRef]
- 44. Kulakova, L.; Singer, S.M.; Conrad, J.; Nash, T.E. Epigenetic mechanisms are involved in the control of Giardia lamblia antigenic variation. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2006**, *61*, 1533–1542. [CrossRef]
- 45. Prucca, C.G.; Slavin, I.; Quiroga, R.; Elías, E.V.; Rivero, F.D.; Saura, A.; Carranza, P.G.; Luján, H.D. Antigenic variation in Giardia lamblia is regulated by RNA interference. *Nature* **2008**, *456*, 750–754. [CrossRef]
- 46. Prucca, C.G.; Lujan, H.D. Antigenic variation in Giardia lamblia. Cell Microbiol 2009, 11, 1706–1715. [CrossRef]
- 47. Nash, T.E. Antigenic variation in Giardia lamblia and the host's immune response. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **1997**, 352, 1369–1375. [CrossRef]
- Müller, J.; Ley, S.; Felger, I.; Hemphill, A.; Müller, N. Identification of differentially expressed genes in a Giardia lamblia WB C6 clone resistant to nitazoxanide and metronidazole. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2008, 62, 72–82. [CrossRef]

- 49. Emery, S.J.; Baker, L.; Ansell, B.R.E.; Mirzaei, M.; Haynes, P.A.; McConville, M.J.; Svard, S.G.; Jex, A.R. Differential protein expression and post-translational modifications in metronidazole-resistant *Giardia duodenalis*. *Gigascience* **2018**, *7*. [CrossRef]
- Müller, J.; Braga, S.; Heller, M.; Müller, N. Resistance formation to nitro drugs in *Giardia lamblia*: No common markers identified by comparative proteomics. *Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist.* 2019, 9, 112–119. [CrossRef]
- 51. Gargantini, P.R.; Serradell, M.D.C.; Rios, D.N.; Tenaglia, A.H.; Lujan, H.D. Antigenic variation in the intestinal parasite *Giardia lamblia*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2016**, *32*, 52–58. [CrossRef]
- 52. Cabrera-Licona, A.; Solano-Gonzalez, E.; Fonseca-Linan, R.; Bazan-Tejeda, M.L.; Raul, A.-G.; Bermudez-Cruz, R.M.; Ortega-Pierres, G. Expression and secretion of the *Giardia duodenalis* variant surface protein 9B10A by transfected trophozoites causes damage to epithelial cell monolayers mediated by protease activity. *Exp. Parasitol.* **2017**, *179*, 49–64. [CrossRef]
- 53. Deloer, S.; Nakamura, R.; Mi-Ichi, F.; Adachi, K.; Kobayashi, S.; Hamano, S. Mouse models of amoebiasis and culture methods of amoeba. *Parasitol. Int.* **2016**, *65*, 520–525. [CrossRef]
- Kantor, M.; Abrantes, A.; Estevez, A.; Schiller, A.; Torrent, J.; Gascon, J.; Hernandez, R.; Ochner, C. *Entamoeba histolytica*: Updates in clinical manifestation, pathogenesis, and vaccine development. *Can. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2018, 2018, 4601420. [CrossRef]
- Sanchez, V.; Serrano-Luna, J.; Ramirez-Moreno, E.; Tsutsumi, V.; Shibayama, M. *Entamoeba histolytica*: Overexpression of the gal/galnac lectin, ehcp2 and ehcp5 genes in an in vivo model of amebiasis. *Parasitol. Int.* 2016, 65, 665–667. [CrossRef]
- 56. Ghosh, S.; Padalia, J.; Moonah, S. Tissue destruction caused by *Entamoeba histolytica* parasite: Cell death, inflammation, invasion, and the gut microbiome. *Curr. Clin. Microbiol. Rep.* **2019**, *6*, 51–57. [CrossRef]
- 57. Ayala-Sumuano, J.T.; Tellez-Lopez, V.M.; Dominguez-Robles Mdel, C.; Shibayama-Salas, M.; Meza, I. Toll-like receptor signaling activation by *Entamoeba histolytica* induces beta defensin 2 in human colonic epithelial cells: Its possible role as an element of the innate immune response. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2013**, *7*, e2083. [CrossRef]
- 58. Mortimer, L.; Chadee, K. The immunopathogenesis of *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Exp. Parasitol.* **2010**, *126*, 366–380. [CrossRef]
- 59. Deloer, S.; Nakamura, R.; Kikuchi, M.; Moriyasu, T.; Kalenda, Y.D.J.; Mohammed, E.S.; Senba, M.; Iwakura, Y.; Yoshida, H.; Hamano, S. IL-17A contributes to reducing IFN-gamma/IL-4 ratio and persistence of *Entamoeba histolytica* during intestinal amebiasis. *Parasitol. Int.* **2017**, *66*, 817–823. [CrossRef]
- 60. Cornick, S.; Chadee, K. *Entamoeba histolytica*: Host parasite interactions at the colonic epithelium. *Tissue Barriers* **2017**, *5*, e1283386. [CrossRef]
- 61. Salles, J.M.; Moraes, L.A.; Salles, M.C. Hepatic amebiasis. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Braz. Soc. Infect. Dis.* 2003, 7, 96–110. [CrossRef]
- 62. Perez-Tamayo, R.; Montfort, I.; Garcia, A.O.; Ramos, E.; Ostria, C.B. Pathogenesis of acute experimental liver amebiasis. *Arch. Med. Res.* 2006, *37*, 203–209. [CrossRef]
- 63. Varet, H.; Shaulov, Y.; Sismeiro, O.; Trebicz-Geffen, M.; Legendre, R.; Coppee, J.Y.; Ankri, S.; Guillen, N. Enteric bacteria boost defences against oxidative stress in *Entamoeba histolytica. Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 9042. [CrossRef]
- 64. Watanabe, K.; Gilchrist, C.A.; Uddin, M.J.; Burgess, S.L.; Abhyankar, M.M.; Moonah, S.N.; Noor, Z.; Donowitz, J.R.; Schneider, B.N.; Arju, T.; et al. Microbiome-mediated neutrophil recruitment via CXCR2 and protection from amebic colitis. *PLoS Pathog.* **2017**, *13*, e1006513. [CrossRef]
- 65. Nakada-Tsukui, K.; Nozaki, T. Immune response of amebiasis and immune evasion by *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Front. Immunol.* **2016**, *7*, 175. [CrossRef]
- 66. Müller, J.; Hemphill, A. In vitro culture systems for the study of apicomplexan parasites in farm animals. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2013**, *43*, 115–124. [CrossRef]
- 67. Pawlowic, M.C.; Vinayak, S.; Sateriale, A.; Brooks, C.F.; Striepen, B. Generating and maintaining transgenic *Cryptosporidium parvum* parasites. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **2017**, *46*, 20B.2.1–20B.2.32. [CrossRef]
- Vinayak, S.; Pawlowic, M.C.; Sateriale, A.; Brooks, C.F.; Studstill, C.J.; Bar-Peled, Y.; Cipriano, M.J.; Striepen, B. Genetic modification of the diarrhoeal pathogen *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Nature* 2015, 523, 477–480. [CrossRef]

- 69. King, B.J.; Keegan, A.R.; Robinson, B.S.; Monis, P.T. *Cryptosporidium* cell culture infectivity assay design. *Parasitology* **2011**, *138*, 671–681. [CrossRef]
- 70. Karanis, P.; Aldeyarbi, H.M. Evolution of *Cryptosporidium* in vitro culture. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2011**, *41*, 1231–1242. [CrossRef]
- 71. Castellanos-Gonzalez, A.; Cabada, M.M.; Nichols, J.; Gomez, G.; White, A.C., Jr. Human primary intestinal epithelial cells as an improved in vitro model for *Cryptosporidium paroum* infection. *Infect. Immun.* **2013**, *81*, 1996–2001. [CrossRef]
- 72. Huang, B.Q.; Chen, X.M.; LaRusso, N.F. *Cryptosporidium parvum* attachment to and internalization by human biliary epithelia in vitro: A morphologic study. *J. Parasitol.* **2004**, *90*, 212–221. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, S.; Jian, F.; Zhao, G.; Huang, L.; Zhang, L.; Ning, C.; Wang, R.; Qi, M.; Xiao, L. Chick embryo tracheal organ: A new and effective in vitro culture model for *Cryptosporidium baileyi*. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2012, 188, 376–381. [CrossRef]
- 74. Josse, L.; Bones, A.J.; Purton, T.; Michaelis, M.; Tsaousis, A.D. A Cell Culture Platform for the Cultivation of *Cryptosporidium parvum. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **2019**, *53*, e80. [CrossRef]
- 75. Heo, I.; Dutta, D.; Schaefer, D.A.; Iakobachvili, N.; Artegiani, B.; Sachs, N.; Boonekamp, K.E.; Bowden, G.; Hendrickx, A.P.A.; Willems, R.J.L.; et al. Modelling *Cryptosporidium* infection in human small intestinal and lung organoids. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2018**, *3*, 814–823. [CrossRef]
- 76. Morada, M.; Lee, S.; Gunther-Cummins, L.; Weiss, L.M.; Widmer, G.; Tzipori, S.; Yarlett, N. Continuous culture of *Cryptosporidium parvum* using hollow fiber technology. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2016**, *46*, 21–29. [CrossRef]
- 77. Bouzid, M.; Hunter, P.R.; Chalmers, R.M.; Tyler, K.M. *Cryptosporidium* pathogenicity and virulence. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2013, 26, 115–134. [CrossRef]
- O'Hara, S.P.; Chen, X.M. The cell biology of *Cryptosporidium* infection. *Microbes Infect.* 2011, 13, 721–730. [CrossRef]
- 79. Widmer, G.; Yang, Y.L.; Bonilla, R.; Tanriverdi, S.; Ciociola, K.M. Preferential infection of dividing cells by *Cryptosporidium parvum. Parasitology* **2006**, *133*, 131–138. [CrossRef]
- 80. Mirhashemi, M.E.; Noubary, F.; Chapman-Bonofiglio, S.; Tzipori, S.; Huggins, G.S.; Widmer, G. Transcriptome analysis of pig intestinal cell monolayers infected with *Cryptosporidium parvum* asexual stages. *Parasites Vectors* **2018**, *11*, 176. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Deng, M.; Lancto, C.A.; Abrahamsen, M.S.; Rutherford, M.S.; Enomoto, S. Biphasic modulation of apoptotic pathways in *Cryptosporidium parvum*-infected human intestinal epithelial cells. *Infect. Immun.* 2009, 77, 837–849. [CrossRef]
- 82. Mele, R.; Gomez Morales, M.A.; Tosini, F.; Pozio, E. *Cryptosporidium parvum* at different developmental stages modulates host cell apoptosis in vitro. *Infect. Immun.* **2004**, *72*, 6061–6067. [CrossRef]
- 83. Ojcius, D.M.; Perfettini, J.L.; Bonnin, A.; Laurent, F. Caspase-dependent apoptosis during infection with *Cryptosporidium parvum. Microbes Infect.* **1999**, *1*, 1163–1168. [CrossRef]
- Luder, C.G.; Gross, U. Apoptosis and its modulation during infection with Toxoplasma gondii: Molecular mechanisms and role in pathogenesis. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 2005, 289, 219–237.
- 85. Heussler, V.T.; Rottenberg, S.; Schwab, R.; Küenzi, P.; Fernandez, P.C.; McKellar, S.; Shiels, B.; Chen, Z.J.; Orth, K.; Wallach, D.; et al. Hijacking of host cell IKK signalosomes by the transforming parasite *Theileria*. *Science* **2002**, *298*, 1033–1036. [CrossRef]
- Heussler, V.T.; Machado, J.; Fernandez, P.C.; Botteron, C.; Chen, C.G.; Pearse, M.J.; Dobbelaere, D.A. The intracellular parasite *Theileria parva* protects infected T cells from apoptosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1999, 96, 7312–7317. [CrossRef]
- 87. Bhat, N.; Joe, A.; PereiraPerrin, M.; Ward, H.D. *Cryptosporidium* p30, a galactose/N-acetylgalactosamine-specific lectin, mediates infection in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, *282*, 34877–34887. [CrossRef]
- Howe, D.K.; Gaji, R.Y.; Mroz-Barrett, M.; Gubbels, M.J.; Striepen, B.; Stamper, S. Sarcocystis neurona merozoites express a family of immunogenic surface antigens that are orthologues of the *Toxoplasma gondii* surface antigens (SAGs) and SAG-related sequences. *Infect. Immun.* 2005, 73, 1023–1033. [CrossRef]
- 89. Cardoso, R.; Soares, H.; Hemphill, A.; Leitao, A. Apicomplexans pulling the strings: Manipulation of the host cell cytoskeleton dynamics. *Parasitology* **2016**, *143*, 957–970. [CrossRef]
- 90. Pollok, R.C.; McDonald, V.; Kelly, P.; Farthing, M.J. The role of *Cryptosporidium parvum*-derived phospholipase in intestinal epithelial cell invasion. *Parasitol. Res.* **2003**, *90*, 181–186. [CrossRef]

- 91. Padda, R.S.; Tsai, A.; Chappell, C.L.; Okhuysen, P.C. Molecular cloning and analysis of the *Cryptosporidium parvum* aminopeptidase N gene. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **2002**, *32*, 187–197. [CrossRef]
- Kniel, K.E.; Sumner, S.S.; Pierson, M.D.; Zajac, A.M.; Hackney, C.R.; Fayer, R.; Lindsay, D.S. Effect of hydrogen peroxide and other protease inhibitors on *Cryptosporidium parvum* excystation and in vitro development. *J. Parasitol.* 2004, *90*, 885–888. [CrossRef]
- 93. Perez-Cordon, G.; Nie, W.; Schmidt, D.; Tzipori, S.; Feng, H. Involvement of host calpain in the invasion of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Microbes Infect.* **2011**, *13*, 103–107. [CrossRef]
- Wanyiri, J.W.; Techasintana, P.; O'Connor, R.M.; Blackman, M.J.; Kim, K.; Ward, H.D. Role of CpSUB1, a subtilisin-like protease, in *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in vitro. *Eukaryot. Cell* 2009, *8*, 470–477. [CrossRef]
- 95. McDonald, V.; Korbel, D.S.; Barakat, F.M.; Choudhry, N.; Petry, F. Innate immune responses against *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection. *Parasite Immunol.* **2013**, *35*, 55–64. [CrossRef]
- 96. Perez-Cordon, G.; Yang, G.; Zhou, B.; Nie, W.; Li, S.; Shi, L.; Tzipori, S.; Feng, H. Interaction of *Cryptosporidium parvum* with mouse dendritic cells leads to their activation and parasite transportation to mesenteric lymph nodes. *Pathog. Dis.* **2014**, *70*, 17–27. [CrossRef]
- 97. Drinkall, E.; Wass, M.J.; Coffey, T.J.; Flynn, R.J. A rapid IL-17 response to *Cryptosporidium parvum* in the bovine intestine. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **2017**, *191*, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- 98. Ludington, J.G.; Ward, H.D. Systemic and mucosal immune responses to *Cryptosporidium*-vaccine development. *Curr. Trop. Med. Rep.* 2015, 2, 171–180. [CrossRef]
- 99. Carryn, S.; Schaefer, D.A.; Imboden, M.; Homan, E.J.; Bremel, R.D.; Riggs, M.W. Phospholipases and cationic peptides inhibit *Cryptosporidium parvum* sporozoite infectivity by parasiticidal and non-parasiticidal mechanisms. *J. Parasitol.* **2012**, *98*, 199–204. [CrossRef]
- Schaap, P.; Schilde, C. Encystation: The most prevalent and underinvestigated differentiation pathway of eukaryotes. *Microbiology* 2018, 164, 727–739. [CrossRef]
- 101. Al-Sabi, M.N.; Gad, J.A.; Riber, U.; Kurtzhals, J.A.; Enemark, H.L. New filtration system for efficient recovery of waterborne *Cryptosporidium* oocysts and *Giardia* cysts. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2015, 119, 894–903. [CrossRef]
- 102. Soliman, A.; El-Adawy, A.; Abd El-Aal, A.A.; Elmallawany, M.A.; Nahnoush, R.K.; Eiaghni, A.R.A.; Negm, M.S.; Mohsen, A. Usefulness of sunlight and artificial UV radiation versus chlorine for the inactivation of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts: An in vivo animal study. *Open Access Maced. J. Med Sci.* 2018, *6*, 975–981. [CrossRef]
- 103. La Hoz, R.M.; Morris, M.I.; AST Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. Intestinal parasites including *Cryptosporidium, Cyclospora, Giardia,* and *Microsporidia, Entamoeba histolytica, Strongyloides,* schistosomiasis, and *Echinococcus*: Guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. *Clin. Transpl.* 2019, e13618. [CrossRef]
- 104. Freitas, M.A.; Vianna, E.N.; Martins, A.S.; Silva, E.F.; Pesquero, J.L.; Gomes, M.A. A single step duplex PCR to distinguish *Entamoeba histolytica* from *Entamoeba dispar*. *Parasitology* **2004**, *128*, 625–628. [CrossRef]
- 105. Roy, S.; Kabir, M.; Mondal, D.; Ali, I.K.; Petri, W.A., Jr.; Haque, R. Real-time-PCR assay for diagnosis of *Entamoeba histolytica* infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2005**, *43*, 2168–2172. [CrossRef]
- 106. Parcina, M.; Reiter-Owona, I.; Mockenhaupt, F.P.; Vojvoda, V.; Gahutu, J.B.; Hoerauf, A.; Ignatius, R. Highly sensitive and specific detection of *Giardia duodenalis*, *Entamoeba histolytica*, and *Cryptosporidium* spp. in human stool samples by the BD MAX Enteric Parasite Panel. *Parasitol. Res.* **2018**, *117*, 447–451. [CrossRef]
- Leitsch, D. A review on metronidazole: An old warhorse in antimicrobial chemotherapy. *Parasitology* 2017, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Adagu, I.S.; Nolder, D.; Warhurst, D.C.; Rossignol, J.F. In vitro activity of nitazoxanide and related compounds against isolates of *Giardia intestinalis*, *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Trichomonas vaginalis*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2002, 49, 103–111. [CrossRef]
- 109. Hemphill, A.; Müller, N.; Müller, J. Thiazolides, a novel class of anti-infective drugs, effective against viruses, bacteria, intracellular and extracellular protozoan parasites and proliferating mammalian cells. *Antiinfect. Agents* 2013, *11*, 22–30. [CrossRef]
- 110. Leitsch, D. Drug resistance in the microaerophilic parasite *Giardia lamblia*. *Curr. Trop. Med. Rep.* **2015**, *2*, 128–135. [CrossRef]
- 111. Pungpak, S.; Singhasivanon, V.; Bunnag, D.; Radomyos, B.; Nibaddhasopon, P.; Harinasuta, K.T. Albendazole as a treatment for Giardia infection. *Ann. Trop Med. Parasitol* **1996**, *90*, 563–565. [CrossRef]

- 112. Müller, J.; Hemphill, A.; Müller, N. Treatment of giardiasis and drug resistance. In *Giardia: A Model Organism*; Luján, H., Svärd, S., Eds.; Springer: Wien, Austria; New York, NY, USA, 2011; Available online: https: //pdfs.semanticscholar.org/bca9/a2549ee99e86164d17471aa42d1de5e83adf.pdf (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 113. Bansal, D.; Malla, N.; Mahajan, R.C. Drug resistance in amoebiasis. Indian J. Med. Res. 2006, 123, 115–118.
- 114. Müller, J.; Hemphill, A. New approaches for the identification of drug targets in protozoan parasites. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* **2013**, *301*, 359–401. [CrossRef]
- Ali, V.; Nozaki, T. Current therapeutics, their problems, and sulfur-containing-amino-acid metabolism as a novel target against infections by "amitochondriate" protozoan parasites. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2007, 20, 164–187. [CrossRef]
- 116. Ramos-Martinez, E.; Olivos-Garcia, A.; Saavedra, E.; Nequiz, M.; Sanchez, E.C.; Tello, E.; El-Hafidi, M.; Saralegui, A.; Pineda, E.; Delgado, J.; et al. *Entamoeba histolytica*: Oxygen resistance and virulence. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 2009, *39*, 693–702. [CrossRef]
- 117. Arias, D.G.; Regner, E.L.; Iglesias, A.A.; Guerrero, S.A. *Entamoeba histolytica* thioredoxin reductase: Molecular and functional characterization of its atypical properties. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2012, 1820, 1859–1866. [CrossRef]
- 118. Debnath, A.; Parsonage, D.; Andrade, R.M.; He, C.; Cobo, E.R.; Hirata, K.; Chen, S.; Garcia-Rivera, G.; Orozco, E.; Martinez, M.B.; et al. A high-throughput drug screen for *Entamoeba histolytica* identifies a new lead and target. *Nat. Med.* **2012**, *18*, 956–960. [CrossRef]
- 119. Andrade, R.M.; Reed, S.L. New drug target in protozoan parasites: The role of thioredoxin reductase. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 975. [CrossRef]
- Gargala, G.; Francois, A.; Favennec, L.; Rossignol, J.F. Activity of halogeno-thiazolides against *Cryptosporidium* parvum in experimentally infected immunosuppressed gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2013, 57, 2821–2823. [CrossRef]
- 121. Masur, H.; Brooks, J.T.; Benson, C.A.; Holmes, K.K.; Pau, A.K.; Kaplan, J.E.; National Institutes of Health; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: Updated guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health, and HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 58, 1308–1311. [CrossRef]
- Rossignol, J.F.; Ayoub, A.; Ayers, M.S. Treatment of diarrhea caused by *Cryptosporidium parvum*: A prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of Nitazoxanide. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2001, 184, 103–106. [CrossRef]
- Rossignol, J.F.; Kabil, S.M.; El-Gohary, Y.; Younis, A.M. Effect of nitazoxanide in diarrhea and enteritis caused by *Cryptosporidium* species. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. Off. Clin. Pract. J. Am. Gastroenterol. Assoc.* 2006, 4, 320–324. [CrossRef]
- 124. Abubakar, I.; Aliyu, S.H.; Arumugam, C.; Hunter, P.R.; Usman, N.K. Prevention and treatment of cryptosporidiosis in immunocompromised patients. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2007, CD004932. [CrossRef]
- 125. Amadi, B.; Mwiya, M.; Sianongo, S.; Payne, L.; Watuka, A.; Katubulushi, M.; Kelly, P. High dose prolonged treatment with nitazoxanide is not effective for cryptosporidiosis in HIV positive Zambian children: A randomised controlled trial. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2009**, *9*, 195. [CrossRef]
- 126. Chavez, M.A.; White, A.C., Jr. Novel treatment strategies and drugs in development for cryptosporidiosis. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* **2018**, *16*, 1–7. [CrossRef]
- 127. Johnson, C.R.; Gorla, S.K.; Kavitha, M.; Zhang, M.; Liu, X.; Striepen, B.; Mead, J.R.; Cuny, G.D.; Hedstrom, L. Phthalazinone inhibitors of inosine-5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase from *Cryptosporidium paroum*. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, 23, 1004–1007. [CrossRef]
- 128. Hulverson, M.A.; Vinayak, S.; Choi, R.; Schaefer, D.A.; Castellanos-Gonzalez, A.; Vidadala, R.S.R.; Brooks, C.F.; Herbert, G.T.; Betzer, D.P.; Whitman, G.R.; et al. Bumped-kinase inhibitors for cryptosporidiosis therapy. J. Infect. Dis. 2017, 215, 1275–1284. [CrossRef]
- 129. Manjunatha, U.H.; Vinayak, S.; Zambriski, J.A.; Chao, A.T.; Sy, T.; Noble, C.G.; Bonamy, G.M.C.; Kondreddi, R.R.; Zou, B.; Gedeck, P.; et al. A *Cryptosporidium* PI(4)K inhibitor is a drug candidate for cryptosporidiosis. *Nature* 2017, 546, 376–380. [CrossRef]
- 130. Rivero, F.D.; Saura, A.; Prucca, C.G.; Carranza, P.G.; Torri, A.; Lujan, H.D. Disruption of antigenic variation is crucial for effective parasite vaccine. *Nat. Med.* **2010**, *16*, 551–557. [CrossRef]

- Harp, J.A.; Goff, J.P. Protection of calves with a vaccine against *Cryptosporidium parvum*. J. Parasitol. 1995, 81, 54–57. [CrossRef]
- 132. Lillehoj, E.P.; Yun, C.H.; Lillehoj, H.S. Vaccines against the avian enteropathogens *Eimeria*, *Cryptosporidium* and *Salmonella*. *Anim. Health Res. Rev.* **2000**, *1*, 47–65. [CrossRef]
- 133. Askari, N.; Shayan, P.; Mokhber-Dezfouli, M.R.; Ebrahimzadeh, E.; Lotfollahzadeh, S.; Rostami, A.; Amininia, N.; Ragh, M.J. Evaluation of recombinant P23 protein as a vaccine for passive immunization of newborn calves against *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Parasite Immunol.* **2016**, *38*, 282–289. [CrossRef]
- 134. Yang, Y.; Xue, X.; Yang, Y.; Chen, X.; Du, A. Efficacy of a potential DNA vaccine encoding *Cryptosporidium baileyi* rhomboid protein against homologous challenge in chickens. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2016**, 225, 5–11. [CrossRef]
- Haserick, J.R.; Klein, J.A.; Costello, C.E.; Samuelson, J. *Cryptosporidium parvum* vaccine candidates are incompletely modified with O-linked-N-acetylgalactosamine or contain N-terminal N-myristate and S-palmitate. *PLoS ONE* 2017, *12*, e0182395. [CrossRef]
- 136. Mead, J.R. Challenges and prospects for a *Cryptosporidium* vaccine. *Future Microbiol.* **2010**, *5*, 335–337. [CrossRef]
- 137. Quach, J.; St-Pierre, J.; Chadee, K. The future for vaccine development against *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Hum. Vaccines Immunother.* **2014**, *10*, 1514–1521. [CrossRef]
- 138. Lotter, H.; Tannich, E. The galactose-inhibitable surface lectin of *Entamoeba histolytica*, a possible candidate for a subunit vaccine to prevent amoebiasis. *Behring Inst. Mitt.* **1997**, *99*, 112–116.
- Mann, B.J.; Burkholder, B.V.; Lockhart, L.A. Protection in a gerbil model of amebiasis by oral immunization with *Salmonella* expressing the galactose/N-acetyl D-galactosamine inhibitable lectin of *Entamoeba histolytica*. *Vaccine* 1997, 15, 659–663. [CrossRef]
- Ivory, C.P.; Chadee, K. Intranasal immunization with Gal-inhibitable lectin plus an adjuvant of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides protects against *Entamoeba histolytica* challenge. *Infect. Immun.* 2007, 75, 4917–4922.
  [CrossRef]
- 141. Abd Alla, M.D.; Wolf, R.; White, G.L.; Kosanke, S.D.; Cary, D.; Verweij, J.J.; Zhang, M.J.; Ravdin, J.I. Efficacy of a Gal-lectin subunit vaccine against experimental *Entamoeba histolytica* infection and colitis in baboons (*Papio* sp.). *Vaccine* **2012**, *30*, 3068–3075. [CrossRef]
- 142. Barroso, L.; Abhyankar, M.; Noor, Z.; Read, K.; Pedersen, K.; White, R.; Fox, C.; Petri, W.A., Jr.; Lyerly, D. Expression, purification, and evaluation of recombinant LecA as a candidate for an amebic colitis vaccine. *Vaccine* **2014**, *32*, 1218–1224. [CrossRef]
- 143. Chaudhry, O.A.; Petri, W.A., Jr. Vaccine prospects for amebiasis. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **2005**, *4*, 657–668. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).