Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Utilizing a Wireless Radar Framework in Combination With Deep Learning Approaches to Evaluate Obstructive Sleep Apnea Severity in Home-Setting Environments

Kun-Ta Lee¹, Wen-Te Liu 10²⁻⁶, Yi-Chih Lin^{3,7}, Zhihe Chen⁸, Yu-Hsuan Ho⁹, Yu-Wen Huang⁹, Zong-Lin Tsai⁹, Chih-Wei Hsu⁹, Shang-Min Yeh⁹, Hsiao Yi Lin⁹, Arnab Majumdar⁸, Yen-Ling Chen¹⁰, Yi-Chun Kuan 10^{3,11-13}, Kang-Yun Lee^{4,6}, Po-Hao Feng^{4,6}, Kuan-Yuan Chen^{4,6}, Jiunn-Horng Kang 10^{5,6,14-16}, Hsin-Chien Lee¹⁷, Shu-Chuan Ho^{2,6,*}, Cheng-Yu Tsai 10^{2-6,16,18,*}

¹Respiratory Therapy Room, Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan; ²School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ³Sleep Center, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan; ⁴Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan; ⁵Research Center of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁶Research Center of Thoracic Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁷Department of Otolaryngology, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, New Taipei City, Taiwan; ⁸Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁹Advanced Technology Lab, Wistron Corporation, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁰Institute of Biomedical Informatics, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹¹Department of Neurology, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹³Taipei Neuroscience Institute, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁴Department of Physical Medical university Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁵Graduate Institute of Nanomedicine and Medical Engineering, College of Biomedical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁶Professional Master Program in Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, College of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁷Department of Psychiatry, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁸School of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁹Department of Psychiatry, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁸School of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁸School of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹⁸School of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ¹

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Cheng-Yu Tsai, School of Biomedical Engineering, College of Biomedical Engineering, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email b117101018@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Medical University, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, College of Respiratory Therapy, College of Respiratory Therapy, 250 Wuxing Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143, Email shu-Chuan@tmu.edu.tw; Shu-Chuan Ho, School of Respiratory Therapy, Street, Taipei, 11031, Taiwan, Tel +886-2-2736-1661, Fax +886-2-2739-1143,

Objective: Common examinations for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are polysomnography (PSG) and home sleep apnea testing (HSAT). However, both PSG and HSAT require that sensors be attached to a subject, which may disturb their sleep and affect the results. Hence, in this study, we aimed to verify a wireless radar framework combined with deep learning techniques to screen for the risk of OSA in home-based environments.

Methods: This study prospectively collected home-based sleep parameters from 80 participants over 147 nights using both HSAT and a 24-GHz wireless radar framework. The proposed framework, using hybrid models (ie, deep neural decision trees), identified respiratory events by analyzing continuous-wave signals indicative of breathing patterns. Analyses were performed to examine correlations and agreement of the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) with results obtained through HSAT and the radar-based respiratory disturbance index based on the time in bed from HSAT (bRDI_{TIB}). Additionally, Youden's index was used to establish cutoff thresholds for the bRDI_{TIB}, followed by multiclass classification and outcome comparisons.

Results: A strong correlation ($\rho = 0.87$) and high agreement (93.88% within the 95% confidence interval; 138/147) between the AHI and bRDI_{TIB} were identified. The moderate-to-severe OSA model achieved 83.67% accuracy (with a bRDI_{TIB} cutoff of 21.19 events/h), and the severe OSA model demonstrated 93.21% accuracy (with a bRDI_{TIB} cutoff of 28.14 events/h). The average accuracy of multiclass classification using these thresholds was 78.23%.

Conclusion: The proposed framework, with its cutoff thresholds, has the potential to be applied in home settings as a surrogate for HSAT, offering acceptable accuracy in screening for OSA without the interference of attached sensors. However, further optimization and verification of the radar-based total sleep time function are necessary for independent application.

381

Keywords: obstructive sleep apnea, OSA, home sleep apnea testing, HSAT, wireless radar framework, apnea-hypopnea index, AHI, respiratory disturbance index based on the time in bed from HSAT, bRDI_{TIB}

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common sleep disorder characterized by repeated episodes of partial or complete obstruction of the upper airway during sleep, leading to a reduction in or complete cessation of airflow.¹ Globally, OSA is recognized as a significant public health issue, affecting an estimated 1 billion individuals aged 30–65 years, with approximately 425 million experiencing moderate-to-severe forms of the condition.² This disorder has been associated with a variety of comorbidities, including increased risks of cognitive decline, metabolic syndrome, and even acute myocardial infarction.^{3,4} Hence, the importance of early diagnosis and prompt treatment cannot be overstated, as these measures are vital for the effective management of OSA.

In-laboratory polysomnography (PSG) is widely regarded as the gold standard for diagnosing OSA. This comprehensive test monitors various physiological parameters during sleep, such as brain activity, eye movement, muscle tone, heart rate, and breathing patterns.⁵ Clinically, PSG is used to determine the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) by scoring respiratory events, including apnea and hypopnea, and dividing the total number of these events by the total sleep time to assess the severity of OSA.⁶ However, this examination requires an overnight stay in a sleep laboratory, which can be inconvenient due to excessive waiting times and may be costly for patients.⁷ The first-night effects or the complex equipment of in-laboratory PSG may also affect the accuracy of the diagnosis due to the unfamiliar sleep environment or limited sleep positions in the hospital.^{8,9} As a result, home sleep apnea testing (HSAT) has been proposed as a more-accessible and cost-effective alternative for diagnosing OSA, allowing patients to undergo testing in a home-based setting while still providing acceptable assessments of OSA.¹⁰ However, even with HSAT, which uses fewer channels, patients who are easily awakened by attached sensors or cables may still experience effects on their sleep examination outcomes.¹¹ Developing wireless techniques is essential to mitigate the interference caused by hardware during sleep examinations.

To avoid disruptions from sleep testing equipment, it may be worthwhile to utilize contactless approaches. Prior research employed video or images collected by infrared cameras and motion sensors to develop models to identify respiratory events (ie apnea or hypopnea) during sleep.¹² Nonetheless, these wireless models are still in their early development phases and face various limitations. Specifically, factors such as the quality of the image data and impacts of minor movements, like eye blinking, can affect the accuracy of the results. Another study proposed a novel algorithm to predict the risk of having OSA via three-dimensional (3D) facial photographs; however, certain facial characteristics (eg, beards), may partially limit its further application.¹³ Other studies extensively explored using wireless radar frameworks, such as ultra-wideband and Doppler radar, to detect respiratory events during sleep, highlighting their potential applications.^{14,15} However, those proposed approaches predominantly rely on ultra-wideband frequency, which can be relatively expensive and require extensive hardware for radar signal transmission and reception. For example, an analysis of the features and costs of different radar-based techniques indicated that continuous-wave radar is more appropriate for practical use due to its cost-efficiency and sufficient accuracy in detecting human physiological movements.¹⁶ A relevant study demonstrated the possibility of automated detection of apnea-hypopnea events for OSA diagnoses using a continuous-wave radar framework (60 GHz frequency-modulated) combined with convolutional recurrent neural networks by comparing the estimated results with PSG observations from 44 participants.¹⁷ Their findings showed strong correlations (Pearson correlation $\rho=0.81-0.95$) and acceptable agreement (intraclass correlation $\rho=0.78-0.93$) between the estimates and ground-truth AHI values. Although previous results demonstrated the feasibility of using contactless radar as a surrogate for PSG in identifying OSA severity, those studies were primarily conducted in hospitalbased settings and have not been validated in home-based environments. Therefore, further investigations with a morediverse distribution of OSA severity are required to validate the wireless radar framework for sleep monitoring and clarify its feasibility in home-based environments.

In this prospective study, we explored the feasibility of using a wireless framework (with continuous-wave radar modules) combined with deep learning techniques to evaluate OSA severity in home-based settings. The hypothesis was

that radar-derived signals could capture respiratory patterns linked to sleep-disordered breathing, and analyzing these signals with trained deep-learning approaches could assist in evaluating OSA severity. We enlisted a cohort of 80 participants in northern Taiwan, recorded 147 nights of home-based sleep parameters as determined by HSAT and the proposed radar framework, and compared them. Additionally, cutoff thresholds for the proposed radar framework were established to screen for various levels of OSA severity. Findings of the present study demonstrated the feasibility of using a novel wireless radar-based sleep monitoring framework to provide accurate and reliable examination outcomes in home-setting environments.

Materials and Methods

Research Ethics and Protocols

The device specifications and safety certifications of the utilized radar framework (home-based sleep monitoring type) were submitted to the ethics committee for assessment. The research protocol received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board at the Office of Human Research at a medical university in northern Taiwan (no. N202305074) and the relevant procedures complied with the *Declaration of Helsinki*. All recruited individuals were provided comprehensive information about the study and gave written informed consent before the research commenced. Data collection, processing, analysis, and maintenance were carried out following the approved protocols.

Participant Enrollment

This prospective study was conducted in northern Taiwan, with participants recruited by attending physicians at a sleep center in New Taipei City between January and September 2022. Patients who suspected themselves of snoring or were suspected of having OSA were invited to participate. Specifically, those who agreed to participate in the study were referred for continuous recording of sleep parameters for two to three nights in a home-based setting. The enrollment criteria were as follows: (1) being aged 20–75 years, (2) having no contraindications for HSAT, and (3) being able to independently operate the HSAT and set up the wireless radar framework after receiving comprehensive education.¹⁸ As illustrated in Figure 1, the wireless radar framework was required to cover the upper body area within a distance ranging 75–90 cm. Next, participants were asked to wear the HSAT to measure sleep parameters. Since these two examinations were synchronized with internet time, the measurements obtained through the HSAT and wireless radar framework were automatically aligned based on the start time for further investigation.

Parameters of HSAT

In this prospective study, home-based sleep metrics were recorded using two established HSAT systems: ApneaLink (ResMed., San Diego, CA, USA) and Alice NightOne (Philips-Respironics, Murrysville, PA, USA). Both monitoring systems operate on battery power and have sensors to record signals that include nasal airflow, chest and abdominal movements, and pulse oximetry, with approximately 10 h of data collection possible.^{19,20} ApneaLink (vers. 10.20) and Sleepware G3 (vers. 3.9.2) software were employed to automatically analyze the signals for each system, which were then manually reviewed again by certified technologists. Default settings for apnea and hypopnea events, as defined by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, were pre-configured in both systems.²¹ Specifically, an apneic episode was characterized by airflow reduction of 80% of the baseline for a minimum of 10s. A hypopneic episode involved an airflow decrease to 50–80% of the baseline for at least 10s. For the derived sleep outcomes, the total number of respiratory events, including the apnea index (AI), hypopnea index (HI), and their combined measure, namely the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI), were calculated based on the total in-bed time. The oximetry and pulse metrics, including the oxygen desaturation index (ODI), mean oxygen saturation (mean SpO₂), and mean, maximum, and minimum pulse, were obtained. The severity of OSA in the present study was categorized as normal (AHI < 5 events/h), mild (AHI of 5–15 events/h), moderate (AHI of 15–30 events/h), or severe (AHI of ≥ 30 events/h).²²

Wireless Radar Framework and the Derived Index

This study utilized wireless radar sleep monitoring devices that had obtained safety certification in the motion-detection wireless category from the Taiwan National Communications Commission (CCAF19LP2510T5) and had received



Figure I Size of the wireless radar framework and the installation illustration in a home-setting environment during home sleep apnea testing. Physiological signals were collected through home sleep testing equipment to calculate sleep parameters, and a wireless radar framework was simultaneously used to capture continuous-wave signals for monitoring breathing patterns. Notably, the utilized framework required the monitoring distance to range between 75 and 90 cm.

medical device approval for the non-contact monitoring system category from the Taiwan Ministry of Health and Welfare (license no. 007955). The device employs radio frequency modules to capture signals (24-GHz continuous-wave, with a wavelength of about 12.5 mm, to monitor chest wall movements). The primary reason for utilizing this specific frequency is that chest displacement caused by respiration and cardiac activity typically ranges 1–12 and 0.1–0.5 mm, respectively. When a subject is positioned 1-1.5 m from the device, the 24-GHz radar represents the optimal frequency band for clinically measuring physiological signals. Subsequently, the detected signals are analyzed through deep neural decision trees, hybrid models that integrate machine learning and deep learning features to predict respiratory events, and then the radar-based respiratory disturbance index (bRDI) was calculated. More technically, the deep neural decision tree consists of a neural network with a depth of 11 (with 4-10 neural nodes per layer), concatenated with a single decision tree to generate the final model output. Hyperparameters for these binary classification models were set as follows: a learning rate of 0.05, a batch size of 400, 200 training epochs, and a feature usage rate of 0.5.^{23,24} After model generalization, the models were trained and validated to optimize model weights for event classification (ie, as a respiratory event or normal breathing) on a dataset of 29,496 instances (each lasting 30s). This dataset included both apneic and hypopneic events (N = 15,264) and normal respiratory data with no events (N = 14,232), collected from approximately 100 participants (male-to-female ratio: 3:2) across various sleep positions. Figure 2 presents the sensed signal waveforms of normal breathing and various respiratory events captured via HSAT and the wireless radar framework. Notably, the utilized wireless framework was validated by comparing the predicted bRDI and AHI from inlaboratory PSG.²⁵ In more technical details regarding the application in practical scenarios, the radar devices emitted radio frequency signals toward a participant lying in bed and detected the reflected signals. These signals underwent entropy calculations to detect patterns like peak-to-peak intervals, valley-to-valley intervals, and wave peak magnitudes, providing approximate respiratory patterns, which were then processed through a hybrid model to estimate the total abnormal breathing events to calculate the bRDI. Additionally, this device framework facilitated determination of the total sleep time by identifying distinct sleep stages, including wakefulness, rapid-eye-movement (REM), and non-REM (NREM) sleep, based on a similar structure of the aforementioned model (ie, with a neural network depth of 13). The development of this function underwent training and validation using a dataset comprising wake (N = 9833), NREM (N =



Figure 2 Signal waveforms of normal breathing patterns and those during hypopneic and apneic events captured using a wireless radar framework (Andar) and home sleep apnea testing (HSAT). The waveform signals from HSAT and the wireless radar framework illustrate different breathing patterns. Subpart (**A**) shows normal breathing, where both frameworks display regular and consistent waveforms corresponding to typical respiration. In subpart (**B**), a hypopnea event is depicted, characterized by a reduction in the waveform amplitude, indicating partial airway obstruction. Finally, subpart (**C**) represents an apneic event, with flattened waveforms demonstrating the absence of airflow due to complete airway obstruction.

11,503), and REM (N = 8160) stages, collected from the same subjects as the respiratory data. <u>Figure S1</u> illustrates a comparison of sleep stages classified by the radar system with those determined by in-laboratory PSG.

Notably, the current research primarily focused on investigating application of a wireless radar framework. Therefore, in this study, the bRDI calculated based on the time in bed derived by HSAT (bRDI_{TIB}) was mainly used for comparison with the AHI from HSAT to align with the same time intervals. Regarding comprehensive information on specifications, installation procedures, and usage precautions for the device (such as warnings about signal errors), refer to prior research, which validated this wireless framework against in-laboratory PSG, demonstrating the accuracy of its derived parameters compared to hospital-based AHI.²⁵

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses in this study were conducted using Scikit-learn (vers. 0.21.2), an open-source statistics library implemented in Python.²⁶ Baseline characteristics of enrolled individuals and indices from HSAT and the wireless radar framework were expressed as the mean with the standard deviation (SD). To investigate the relationship between the AHI (HSAT-based) and bRDI (radar-based), a Pearson correlation analysis was conducted with a significance threshold of p < 0.05. A Bland-Altman plot, a graphical method for comparing two quantitative measurements, was used to evaluate agreement between HSAT and the wireless radar framework.²⁷ The plot displays the difference between data points against the average of each pair on the x- and y-axes. The mean difference is represented by a horizontal line, while the upper and lower limits of agreement are calculated as the mean difference \pm 1.96 times the SD of the differences. These limits were used to identify systematic bias between the two examinations and evaluate the degree of agreement.²⁸ Additionally, this study investigated the correlation and agreement among severe OSA data, which are presented in Figure S1.

Next, based on clinical guidelines and practical experience, active treatment was advised for patients with moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI \ge 15 events/h),²⁹ while a curative intervention was strongly recommended for patients with severe

OSA (AHI \geq 30 events/h).³⁰ Consequently, this study investigated the classification ability of the wireless radar device to differentiate these aforementioned three severity risks, specifically general OSA risk (AHI \geq 5 events/h vs AHI < 5 events/h), moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI \geq 15 events/h vs AHI < 15 events/h), and severe OSA (AHI \geq 30 events/h vs AHI < 30 events/h).³¹ Initially, the performances of both devices were compared utilizing the three cutoff thresholds of HSAT-based AHI (ie, \geq 5, \geq 15, and \geq 30 events/h). Following this, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to identify optimal bRDI cutoff thresholds based on Youden's index.³² These derived cutoff thresholds were employed to separately classify general OSA, moderate-to-severe OSA, and severe OSA, and the area under the ROC (AUROC) was used to evaluate the performance of the established models. Additionally, the study evaluated and compared multiclassification performances (normal, mild, moderate, and severe OSA) using both cutoff thresholds of the AHI (HSAT-based) and bRDI (radar-based).

Results

Demographic Characteristics and Sleep Metrics

Table 1 presents demographic characteristics, sleep metrics (HSAT-based), and radar-based indices of the 80 enrolled participants. There were 66 males, forming the majority of participants, and 14 females, with an overall mean age of 48.05 (SD = 11.43) years. Regarding the home-based sleep metric summary across 147 days of recording, the mean time in bed was 370.29 (SD = 113.23) min. For the sleep disorder indices, which were calculated based on the time in bed, the mean AHI was 28.42 (SD = 29.52) events/h, and the ODI was 18.71 (SD = 16.78) events/h. For the radar-based index, the mean bRDI_{TIB} was 26.89 (SD = 17.82) events/h, while the mean bRDI_{pred} was 25.95 (SD = 17.33) events/h. For the determined OSA severity, 17.01% of the recordings were classified as normal (25 days), 30.61% as mild (45 days), 18.37% as moderate (27 days), and 34.01% as severe (50 days).

Correlations and Agreement Between HSAT and the Wireless Radar Framework

Figure 3 illustrates the correlation and agreement of the AHI derived from HSAT with the bRDI_{TIB} obtained from the wireless radar framework. As depicted in Figure 3a, the two measures were significantly and highly correlated ($\rho = 0.87$, p < 0.01). The average difference between the HSAT-based AHI and radar-based bRDI_{TIB} results was -5.3 (SD = 18.35)

Variable	Mean ± SD	Variable	Mean ± SD
Baseline characteristics		Sleep disorder index* (events/h)	
Age (years)	48.05 ± 11.36	AHI	28.42 ± 29.52
Sex (Male / Female)	66 / 14	AI	14.43 ± 14.3
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.69 ± 4.43	н	13.99 ± 16.5
Waist girth (cm)	90.84 ± 10.96	ODI	18.71 ± 16.78
Neck girth (cm)	39.21 ± 4.0	Snoring index	69.18 ± 111.89
Home-based sleep summary		OSA severity (n, %)	
TIB (min)	370.29 ± 113.23	Normal	25 (17.01%)
Mean SpO ₂ (%)	93.92 ± 1.71	Mild	45 (30.61%)
Mean pulse (bpm)	64.71 ± 9.13	Moderate	27 (18.37%)
Max pulse (bpm)	100.8 ± 26.06	Severe	50 (34.01%)
Min pulse (bpm)	52.55 ± 8.01		
Radar-based index* (events/h)			
bRDI _{тів}	26.89 ± 17.82		
bRDI _{pred}	25.95 ± 17.33		

Table I Demographic Characteristics and Home-Based Sleep Parameters of 80 Enrolled IndividualsAcross 147 days

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. *Indices were calculated based on the in-bed time.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; TIB, time in bed; bpm, beats per minute; SpO_2 , saturation of peripheral oxygen; $bRDI_{TIB}$, radar-based respiratory disturbance index (based on time in bed derived from home sleep apnea testing); $bRDI_{pred}$, radar-based respiratory disturbance index (based on the predicted total sleep time derived from radar); AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; AI, apnea index; HI, hypopnea index; ODI, oxygen desaturation index for \geq 3%; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea.



Figure 3 Correlation and Bland-Altman plots comparing the apnea-hypopnea index obtained from home sleep apnea testing (HSAT) with the radar-based respiratory disturbance index (bRDI) collected via a wireless radar framework (Andar). (a) Correlation between the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) derived from HSAT and the respiratory disturbance index (bRDI) retrieved from the wireless radar framework. (b) Degree of agreement between results from HSAT (ie, AHI) and the wireless radar framework (ie, bRDI).

events/h (Figure 3b). The 95% confidence interval (CI) for the differences ranged -23.65 to 13.06 events/h, with 93.88% (138/147) of recorded days falling within this range. This study further analyzed the correlation and agreement among severe OSA data, which are presented in Figure S2. The correlation between the AHI and bRDI_{TIB} remained significant ($\rho = 0.29$, p < 0.05). Regarding agreement, 92.0% (46/50) of the recorded days still fell within this range.

Binary Classification Outcomes of the Wireless Radar Framework Across Different Cutoff Thresholds

Table 2 illustrates the binary classification performance of the three severity models based on HSAT-derived AHI cutoff thresholds. For the general OSA model (AHI \geq 5 events/h), the wireless radar framework with this cutoff threshold demonstrated an accuracy of 83.67% (SD = 5.98%) and an AUROC of 55.18% (SD = 8.04%). For the moderate-to-severe OSA model (AHI \geq 15 events/h), the wireless radar framework with this cutoff threshold demonstrated an accuracy of 79.59% (SD = 6.52%) and an AUROC of 78.64% (SD = 6.63%). For the severe OSA model (AHI \geq 30 events/h), the wireless radar framework with this cutoff threshold attained an accuracy of 92.52% (SD = 4.25%) and an AUROC of 91.42% (SD = 4.53%).

Using the corresponding cutoff thresholds derived from Youden's index for the wireless radar framework, Table 3 presents the classification performance of the three severity models. For the general OSA model, the cutoff threshold for the bRDI_{TIB} was set to 12.91 events/h, which identified 112 days with values above this threshold. This model achieved an accuracy of 90.48% (SD = 4.75%) and an AUROC of 91.08% (SD = 4.61%). For the moderate-to-severe OSA model, the cutoff threshold for the bRDI_{TIB} was set to 21.19 events/h, which identified 76 days with values that exceeded this threshold. This model achieved an accuracy of 83.67% (SD = 5.98%) and an AUROC of 83.71% (SD = 5.97%). Next, the severe OSA model utilized a cutoff threshold of 28.14 events/h for the bRDI_{TIB}, which identified 54 days with values above this level. The accuracy of this model was 93.21% (SD = 4.07%) with an AUROC of 92.95% (SD = 4.14%).

Variable General Moderate-to-severe Severe **OSA** model OSA model **OSA** model Cutoff* (events/h) AHI: 5 AHI: 15 AHI: 30 N (\geq cutoff / < cutoff) 122 nights / 25 nights 77 nights / 70 nights 50 nights / 97 nights Precision (%) 84.51 ± 5.85 72.38 ± 7.23 89.81 ± 4.89 98.36 ± 2.05 98.71 ± 1.83 88.01 ± 5.25 Recall (%) Accuracy (%) 83.67 ± 5.98 79.59 ± 6.52 92.52 ± 4.25 90.91 ± 4.65 83.52 ± 6.0 88.89 ± 5.08 FI-score (%) AUC (%) 55.18 ± 8.04 78.64 ± 6.63 91.42 ± 4.53

Table 2 Classification Results for Identifying the Risk of Demonstrating Moderate-to-Severe and Severe Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) Using a Wireless Radar System WithHome Sleep Apnea Testing (HSAT) Severity Criteria (N = 80 With Data From 147 Days)

Notes: *According to clinical guidelines, the cutoff values are defined as follows: general OSA (AHI \geq 5 events/h), moderate-to-severe OSA (AHI \geq 15 events/h), and severe OSA (AHI \geq 30 events/h). Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; AUC, area under the curve.

Variable	General OSA Model	Moderate-to-severe OSA Model	Severe OSA Model
Cutoff* (events/h)	bRDI: 12.91	bRDI: 21.19	bRDI: 28.14
N (\geq cutoff / < cutoff)	112 nights / 35 nights	76 nights / 71 nights	54 nights / 93 nights
Precision (%)	98.21 ± 2.14	85.33 ± 5.72	88.68 ± 5.12
Recall (%)	90.16 ± 4.81	83.12 ± 6.06	92.16 ± 4.35
Accuracy (%)	90.48 ± 4.75	83.67 ± 5.98	93.21 ± 4.07
FI-score (%)	94.02 ± 3.83	84.21 ± 5.89	90.38 ± 4.77
AUC (%)	91.08 ± 4.61	83.71 ± 5.97	92.95 ± 4.14

Table 3 Classification Results for Identifying the Risk of Demonstrating Moderate-to-Severe and SevereObstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) Using a Wireless Radar System With Retrieved Cutoff Thresholds (N = 80With Data From 147 Days)

Notes: *According to the Youden index. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. **Abbreviations:** bRDI, radar-based respiratory disturbance index; AUC, area under the curve.

Multiclass Classification Outcomes of the Wireless Radar Framework Across Different Cutoff Thresholds

Regarding the multiclass classification for specifically identifying risks of having normal, mild, moderate, and severe OSA, the present study employed three different thresholds. First, Table 4 illustrates multiclass classification performances based on HSAT-derived AHI cutoff thresholds (ie, of 5, 15, and 30 events/h). An overall accuracy of 56.46%, an average recall of 52.78%, and a precision of 56.96% were obtained from the established model. Subsequently, using corresponding cutoff thresholds derived from Youden's index for the wireless radar framework (bRDI_{TIB} of 12.91, 21.19,

Table 4 Classification Results for Identifying the Risk of Demonstrating Normal,Mild, Moderate or Severe Obstructive Sleep Apnea Using a Wireless Radar SystemWith Home Sleep Apnea Testing (HSAT) Severity Criteria (N = 80 With DataFrom 147 Days)

HSAT	Wireless Radar Sleep Monitoring Device (Andar)				Recall (%)
	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Normal	3	21	I	0	12.0%
Mild	2	15	28	0	33.33%
Moderate	0	I	21	5	77.78%
Severe	0	0	6	44	88.0%
Precision (%)	60.0%	40.54%	37.51%	89.80%	56.46%

Abbreviation: HSAT, home sleep apnea testing.

HSAT	Wireless Radar Sleep Monitoring Device (Andar)			Recall (%)	
	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Normal	23	2	0	0	92.0%
Mild	П	23	10	I I	51.11%
Moderate	I	10	10	6	37.04%
Severe	0	2	2	46	92.0%
Precision (%)	65.71%	62.16%	45.45%	86.79%	69.39%

Table 5 Classification Results for Identifying the Risk of Demonstrating Normal,Mild, Moderate or Severe Obstructive Sleep Apnea Using a Wireless Radar SystemWith Retrieved Cutoff Thresholds (N = 80 With Data From 147 Days)

Abbreviation: HSAT, home sleep apnea testing

and 28.14 events/h), Table 5 presents the classification performances. This model attained an overall accuracy of 69.39%, an average recall of 68.04%, and a precision of 65.03%.

Discussion

To assess the feasibility of employing a wireless radar framework in home-setting environments, in this prospective study, we collected sleep metrics from HSAT and the wireless radar framework and conducted comparisons. Based on the collected sleep dataset from 80 participants across 147 nights, this study validated the accuracy of the proposed wireless radar framework combined with deep learning techniques for screening OSA severity. Results showed a high measurement agreement compared to HSAT results. Additionally, derived cutoff thresholds for normal, moderate-to-severe, and severe OSA were separately established. These outcomes suggested that the proposed framework can be effectively applied in home-based sleep environments, offering the advantage of noncontact measurement to avoid the risk of interference with sleep results due to attached sensors or examination equipment.

Prior studies compared outcomes of various novel sleep monitoring devices to PSG or HSAT to evaluate their prediction performance. For example, researchers utilized a wearable device (patch-type) that integrated a single-lead electrocardiogram and a three-axis accelerometer to screen for OSA risk, achieving outcomes with AUROCs of 0.82 for the moderate-to-severe OSA model and 0.91 for the severe OSA model.³³ However, such a type of device is not recommended for individuals with atrial fibrillation, an implanted pacemaker, ventricular tachycardia, or pregnancy due to the difficulty of analyzing cardiac signals amidst excessive arrhythmias.³⁴ Since the approach of the present proposed framework wirelessly detects breathing patterns to identify respiratory events, it may be less susceptible to the effects on sleep caused by attached sensing devices.³⁵ In addition, as aforementioned, the proposed framework was validated against in-laboratory PSG, demonstrating high accuracies of 90.3% for the moderate-to-severe OSA model and 92.4% for the severe OSA mode.²⁵ Taken together, the present results elucidate application of the proposed wireless framework for identifying respiratory events, suggesting its suitability for OSA risk screening in home-based environments.

Regarding differences in wireless techniques and their respective advantages and disadvantages, high-frequency wireless methods provide greater resolution for detecting small movements but are limited by shorter ranges and sensitivities to obstructions like clothing. Conversely, low-frequency techniques, though less sensitive, penetrate materials more effectively and are suitable for detecting chest movements over short distances, such as in bed setups. Therefore, the trade-off between frequency and sensitivity must be carefully considered. The present study employed high-frequency wireless methods, specifically utilizing a 24-GHz continuous-wave radar framework with a wavelength of approximately 12.5 mm. Specifications of this type of radar are suitable for detecting typical respiratory- and heart rate-induced chest movements, which respectively normally range 1–12 and 0.1–0.5 mm.^{36,37} Previously, researchers utilized this specific frequency range to detect signals, especially in the thoracic space. For example, one study employed a 24-GHz radar embedded as a medical device to detect cardiograms, and their results revealed a high accuracy of 98% in cardiac timing detection.³⁸ Similarly, another study employed 24–24.5-GHz frequency-modulated continuous-wave radar

to establish a vital sign monitoring system that demonstrated highly accurate measurements of respiratory and heart rates, validated based on both simulation and experimental datasets.³⁹ Altogether, when considering the costs and benefits of using an ultra-wideband method, the 24-GHz continuous-wave radar utilized in this study appears to be the optimal choice for measuring breathing patterns and chest wall movements, particularly when individuals are positioned approximately 1–1.5 m from the measurement device. However, in multiclass classification, the current outcomes demonstrated relatively low recall in distinguishing between normal and mild cases but showed adequate performance in moderate and severe classifications. This may be attributed to detection limitations of subtle chest wall movements caused by partial obstructions, which require further improvement. Additionally, obstructions like clothing or duvets may further impact respiratory event identification. Therefore, a more-comprehensive dataset, including patients with normal and mild OSA, is needed to enhance the performance of this wireless approach.

There are several strengths to the present study. First, building on our previous outcomes that focused on validating the accuracy of using the proposed radar framework as an alternative to in-laboratory PSG, this study further investigated the feasibility of employing such a system in a home setting and compared measurements with those from another routine home sleep examination (ie, HSAT).²⁵ The statistical findings demonstrated strong correlations between the AHI from HSAT and bRDI_{TIB} from the wireless radar framework, along with a high concordance between these two approaches. This indicates that the proposed wireless approach could feasibly serve as a surrogate for HSAT and be further applied in home-based sleep environments. Next, this study demonstrated that using cutoff thresholds for moderate-to-severe OSA and severe OSA yielded classification results with satisfactory prediction accuracies and adequate AUROC values per the derived radar-based index. Additionally, the proposed system, which consisted solely of an ensemble radar device combined with deep learning models, enabled the automated estimation of reasonably accurate bRDI_{TIB} results. Most importantly, due to their wireless nature, radar devices are suitable for individuals whose sleep behaviors may be easily disrupted by attached sensors or laboratory sleep environments, providing more accurate and representative sleep metrics. Additionally, the proposed framework does not require technician attendance and can be applied in a home-setting environment, allowing for continuous monitoring and result scoring. Hence, using this framework, outcomes of multi-day examinations can be obtained, enabling comprehensive data analysis to accurately and sensitively screen for OSA severity.

There are some limitations to the current study. First, most of the participants were male, which is a frequent constraint in sleep disorder research and may have introduced a gender bias. The present study only enrolled patients who had no contraindications for HSAT, which may have avoided effects due to underlying diseases or medications. However, other factors, such as individual upper airway anatomy and craniofacial factors, oral hygiene, and personal habits (eg, cigarette or caffeine usage), can still affect breathing patterns (eg, buccal respiration).^{40,41} In addition, previous researchers proposed using 3D facial photographs to analyze craniofacial anatomy for predicting the risk of OSA and demonstrated approximately 90% accuracy.¹³ These factors should be considered when utilizing the proposed wireless framework. Regarding comparisons of the sleep disorder index between HSAT and the wireless framework, outcomes of this study were mainly based on the time in bed derived from HSAT. Although the proposed framework enabled an estimate of the total sleep time utilizing the radar signal, the reliability of these functions requires further refinement and validation in future studies. Some studies proposed various machine learning approaches to classify sleep stages, which could be considered for further integration into the proposed wireless radar framework.^{42,43} Additionally, compared to outcomes from HSAT, the proposed framework primarily focused on detecting respiratory events and was unable to present oximetry-related details due to its contactless nature. However, the absence of oximetry data may hinder the precise identification of oxygen desaturation conditions, which can assist in accurately determining respiratory events or even further classify other OSA phenotypes.^{44,45} Hence, integrating oximetry data should be considered to more comprehensively evaluate OSA severity. Next, the current models were primarily designed to detect respiratory events (ie, apnea or hypopnea). However, they did not effectively distinguish between central and obstructive types of these events. Future work should consider improving the models to differentiate between central and obstructive event types by integrating additional physiological signals or advanced machine-learning techniques. Collectively, future studies should focus

Conclusions

To explore the feasibility of using a wireless radar framework in a home setting, in this prospective study, we collected data from HSAT and a wireless radar framework, combined with deep learning methods to compare results. Across 147 days of sleep data from 80 participants, significant, high correlation ($\rho = 0.87$) and agreement (93.88%) between the AHI of HSAT and the bRDI_{TIB} of the wireless radar framework were determined. Additionally, OSA severity classification models using AHI criteria (HSAT-based) or derived cutoff thresholds for the bRDI_{TIB} (radar-based) were established, allowing for a comparison between binary and multiclassification performances in determining OSA severity. The mild-to-severe OSA models exhibited adequate accuracy with the AHI (79.59%) and bRDI_{TIB} (83.67%). For the severe OSA models, high accuracy was achieved with both the AHI (92.52%) and bRDI_{TIB} (93.21%). In the multiclassification models, the AHI-based model achieved 72.11% accuracy, while the model based on bRDI_{TIB} achieved 78.23% accuracy. Altogether, the wireless radar framework combined with deep learning models (ie, a deep neural decision tree model) introduced in this study could be considered for application in home-setting environments as an alternative to HSAT for rapid OSA risk screening, offering the advantage of contactless monitoring. However, further research and optimization are necessary to refine and empirically validate the accuracy of this framework.

Highlights

- We proposed a wireless radar framework combined with deep learning techniques for screening OSA severity in home-based environments.
- The feasibility of employing a wireless radar framework for sleep monitoring in home-based environments was assessed.
- Specific cutoff thresholds for OSA (AHI ≥ 5 events/h), moderate-to-severe level (AHI ≥ 15 events/h), and severe level (AHI ≥ 30 events/h) using the radar framework were derived.
- Reliable accuracy and high measurement agreement between the proposed wireless system and HSAT were demonstrated.

Abbreviations

AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; AI, apnea index; AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; AUC, area under the curve; ArI, arousal index; BMI, body-mass index; HI, hypopnea index; NREM, non-rapid eye movement; ODI, oxygen desaturation index for \geq 3%; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; PSG, polysomnography; RDI_{PSG_TST}, respiratory disturbance index obtained through radar device measurement and divided by the total sleep time determined by polysomnography; RDI_{Radar-Based_TST}, respiratory disturbance index obtained through radar device measurement and divided by the total sleep time determined by the radar system; REM, rapid eye movement; SD, standard deviation; SpO₂, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation; TST, total sleep time; WASO, wake time after sleep onset.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the contributions of all the participants in this study.

Funding

This study was funded by the National Science and Technology Council of Taiwan (NSTC 112-2634-F002-003 and NSTC 113-2222-E-038-003) and Taipei Medical University (grant number 111TMU-SHH-36 and TMU113-AE1-B03). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Gharibeh T, Mehra R. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: natural history, diagnosis, and emerging treatment options. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2010;2:233–255. doi:10.2147/NSS.S6844
- Benjafield AV, Ayas NT, Eastwood PR, et al. Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. Lancet Respir Med. 2019;7(8):687–698. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30198-5
- 3. Tsai C-Y, Hsu W-H, Lin Y-T, et al. Associations among sleep-disordered breathing, arousal response, and risk of mild cognitive impairment in a northern Taiwan population. J Clin Sleep Med. 2022;18(4):1003–1012. doi:10.5664/jcsm.9786
- 4. Gami AS, Somers VK. Obstructive sleep apnoea, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular outcomes. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:709-711.
- 5. Keenan SA. Polysomnographic technique: an overview. Sleep Disord Med. 1994;1994:79-94.
- 6. Malhotra A, Ayappa I, Ayas N, et al. Metrics of sleep apnea severity: beyond the apnea-hypopnea index. *Sleep*. 2021;44(7):zsab030. doi:10.1093/ sleep/zsab030
- 7. Flemons WW, Douglas NJ, Kuna ST, et al. Access to diagnosis and treatment of patients with suspected sleep apnea. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2004;169(6):668–672. doi:10.1164/rccm.200308-1124PP
- Hutchison KN, Song Y, Wang L, et al. Analysis of sleep parameters in patients with obstructive sleep apnea studied in a hospital vs. a hotel-based sleep center. J Clin Sleep Med. 2008;4(2):119–122. doi:10.5664/jcsm.27127
- 9. Liu W-T, Lin S-Y, Tsai C-Y, et al. Comparison of hospital-based and home-based obstructive sleep apnoea severity measurements with a single-lead electrocardiogram patch. *Sensors*. 2021;21(23):8097. doi:10.3390/s21238097
- Van Pee B, Massie F, Vits S, et al. A multicentric validation study of a novel home sleep apnea test based on peripheral arterial tonometry. Sleep. 2022;45(5):zsac028. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsac028
- 11. Miller JN, Schulz P, Pozehl B, et al. Methodological strategies in using home sleep apnea testing in research and practice. *Sleep Breathing*. 2018;22 (3):569–577. doi:10.1007/s11325-017-1593-3
- 12. Li -Y-Y, Wang S-J, Hung Y-P. A vision-based system for in-sleep upper-body and head pose classification. Sensors. 2022;22(5):2014. doi:10.3390/ s22052014
- Eastwood P, Gilani SZ, McArdle N, et al. Predicting sleep apnea from three-dimensional face photography. J Clin Sleep Med. 2020;16(4):493–502. doi:10.5664/jcsm.8246
- Kang S, Lee Y, Lim Y-H, et al. Validation of noncontact cardiorespiratory monitoring using impulse-radio ultra-wideband radar against nocturnal polysomnography. Sleep Breathing. 2020;24(3):841–848. doi:10.1007/s11325-019-01908-1
- 15. Rahman T, Adams AT, Ravichandran RV, et al. Dopplesleep: a contactless unobtrusive sleep sensing system using short-range Doppler radar. In: Proceedings of the 2015 ACM international joint conference on pervasive and ubiquitous computing; 2015.
- Obadi AB, Soh PJ, Aldayel O, et al. A survey on vital signs detection using radar techniques and processing with FPGA implementation. *IEEE Circuits Syst Mag.* 2021;21(1):41–74. doi:10.1109/MCAS.2020.3027445
- 17. Choi JW, Kim DH, Koo DL, et al. Automated detection of sleep apnea-hypopnea events based on 60 ghz frequency-modulated continuous-wave radar using convolutional recurrent neural networks: a preliminary report of a prospective cohort study. *Sensors*. 2022;22(19):7177. doi:10.3390/ s22197177
- Kapur VK, Auckley DH, Chowdhuri S, et al. Clinical practice guideline for diagnostic testing for adult obstructive sleep apnea: an American Academy of Sleep Medicine clinical practice guideline. J Clin Sleep Med. 2017;13(3):479–504. doi:10.5664/jcsm.6506
- 19. Erman MK, Stewart D, Einhorn D, et al. Validation of the ApneaLink[™] for the screening of sleep apnea: a novel and simple single-channel recording device. J Clin Sleep Med. 2007;3(4):387–392. doi:10.5664/jcsm.26861
- Li CX, Zhang YF, Zhu Z, et al. Diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea using a bio-radar contact-free system compared with an established HST device in older adults. Sleep Health. 2023;9(3):381–386. doi:10.1016/j.sleh.2023.01.001
- 21. Berry RB, Brooks R, Gamaldo C, et al. AASM scoring manual updates for 2017 (Version 2.4). J Clin Sleep Med. 2017;13(5):665–666. doi:10.5664/ jcsm.6576
- 22. Quan S, Gillin JC. Sleep-related breathing disorders in adults: recommendations for syndrome definition and measurement techniques in clinical research. editorials. *Sleep*. 1999;22(5):662–689. doi:10.1093/sleep/22.5.662
- 23. Gu C. Short-range noncontact sensors for healthcare and other emerging applications: a review. Sensors. 2016;16(8):1169. doi:10.3390/s16081169
- 24. Alaminos D, Becerra-Vicario R, Fernández-Gámez MÁ, et al. Currency crises prediction using deep neural decision trees. *Appl Sci.* 2019;9 (23):5227. doi:10.3390/app9235227
- 25. Lin S-Y, Tsai CY, Majumdar A, et al. Combining wireless radar sleep monitoring device with deep machine learning techniques to assess obstructive sleep apnea severity. J Clin Sleep Med. 2024;20(8): 1267–1277. doi:10.5664/jcsm.11136
- 26. Pedregosa F. Scikit-learn: machine learning in python. J Mach Learn Res. 2011;12:2825-2830.
- 27. Tsai C-Y, Liu Y-S, Majumdar A, et al. Association between cyclic variation in the heart rate index and biomarkers of neurodegenerative diseases in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a pilot study. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2022;98:37–44. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2022.01.019
- Dewitte K, Fierens C, Stockl D, et al. Application of the Bland–Altman plot for interpretation of method-comparison studies: a critical investigation of its practice. *Clin Chem.* 2002;48(5):799–801. doi:10.1093/clinchem/48.5.799
- Kapur VK, Baldwin CM, Resnick HE, et al. Sleepiness in patients with moderate to severe sleep-disordered breathing. Sleep. 2005;28(4):472–478. doi:10.1093/sleep/28.4.472
- 30. Abad VC, Guilleminault C. Treatment options for obstructive sleep apnea. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2009;11(5):358–367. doi:10.1007/s11940-009-0040-6
- 31. Tsai C-Y, Huang H-T, Cheng H-C, et al. Screening for obstructive sleep apnea risk by using machine learning approaches and anthropometric features. *Sensors*. 2022;22(22):8630. doi:10.3390/s22228630

- 32. Lee J-H, Kim Y-T, Lee J-B, et al. A performance comparison between automated deep learning and dental professionals in classification of dental implant systems from dental imaging: a multi-center study. *Diagnostics*. 2020;10(11):910. doi:10.3390/diagnostics10110910
- 33. Hsu Y-S, Chen T-Y, Wu D, et al. Screening of obstructive sleep apnea in patients who snore using a patch-type device with electrocardiogram and 3-axis accelerometer. J Clin Sleep Med. 2020;16(7):1149–1160. doi:10.5664/jcsm.8462
- 34. Adamson DL, Nelson-Piercy C. Managing palpitations and arrhythmias during pregnancy. Postgrad Med J. 2008;84(988):66–72. doi:10.1136/ hrt.2006.098822
- Tran VP, Al-Jumaily AA, Islam SMS. Doppler radar-based non-contact health monitoring for obstructive sleep apnea diagnosis: a comprehensive review. Big Data Cogn Comput. 2019;3(1):3. doi:10.3390/bdcc3010003
- 36. Lv W, He W, Lin X, et al. Non-contact monitoring of human vital signs using FMCW millimeter wave radar in the 120 GHz band. *Sensors*. 2021;21 (8):2732. doi:10.3390/s21082732
- 37. Zhang F, Wang Z, Jin B, et al. Your smart speaker can "hear" your heartbeat! Proc ACM Interact Mob Wearable Ubiquitous Technol. 2020;4(4): Article161. doi:10.1145/3432237
- Dong S, Li Y, Gu C, Mao J. Robust cardiac timing detection technique with vectors analytic demodulation in Doppler cardiogram sensing. IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques; 2024.
- Zhang BC. Design of vital sign measurement based on 24G FMCW radar. In 2020 International Conference on Microwave and Millimeter Wave Technology (ICMMT). 2020. IEEE.
- 40. Tantisuwat A, Thaveeratitham P. Effects of smoking on chest expansion, lung function, and respiratory muscle strength of youths. J Phys Ther Sci. 2014;26(2):167–170. doi:10.1589/jpts.26.167
- 41. Mahdi SS, Jafri HA, Allana R, Amenta F, Khawaja M, Qasim SS. Oral manifestations of Rett syndrome—a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(3):1162. doi:10.3390/ijerph18031162
- 42. Abbasi SF, Jamil H, Chen W. EEG-based neonatal sleep stage classification using ensemble learning. Comput Mater Contin. 2022;70:4619-4633.
- Siddiqa HA, Irfan M, Abbasi SF, et al. Electroencephalography (EEG) based neonatal sleep staging and detection using various classification algorithms. CMC-Comput Mater Con. 2023;77(2):1759–1778. doi:10.32604/cmc.2023.041970
- 44. Tsai C-Y, Kuan Y-C, Hsu W-H, et al. Differentiation model for insomnia disorder and the respiratory arousal threshold phenotype in obstructive sleep apnea in the Taiwanese population based on oximetry and anthropometric features. *Diagnostics*. 2022;12(1):50. doi:10.3390/diagnostics12010050
- 45. Zhu J, Zhou A, Gong Q, et al. Detection of sleep apnea from electrocardiogram and pulse oximetry signals using random forest. *Appl Sci.* 2022;12 (9):4218. doi:10.3390/app12094218

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

Dovepress Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-multidisciplinary-healthcare-journal

393