

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Cell Host & Microbe

A Sequence Homology and Bioinformatic Approach Can Predict Candidate Targets for Immune Responses to SARS-CoV-2

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Ten experimentally defined regions within SARS-CoV have high homology with SARS-CoV-2
- Parallel bioinformatics predicted potential B and T cell epitopes for SARS-CoV-2
- Independent approaches identified the same immunodominant regions
- The conserved immune regions have implications for vaccine design against multiple CoVs

Grifoni et al., 2020, Cell Host & Microbe 27, 671–680 April 8, 2020 © 2020 Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.03.002

Authors

Alba Grifoni, John Sidney, Yun Zhang, Richard H. Scheuermann, Bjoern Peters, Alessandro Sette

Correspondence alex@lji.org

In Brief

Grifoni et al. identify potential targets for immune responses to the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) by sequence homology with closely related SARS-CoV and by *a priori* epitope prediction using bioinformatics approaches. This analysis provides essential information for understanding human immune responses to this virus and for evaluating diagnostic and vaccine candidates.



A Sequence Homology and Bioinformatic Approach Can Predict Candidate Targets for Immune Responses to SARS-CoV-2

Alba Grifoni,¹ John Sidney,¹ Yun Zhang,² Richard H. Scheuermann,^{1,2,3} Bjoern Peters,^{1,4} and Alessandro Sette^{1,4,5,*} ¹ Division of Vaccine Discovery, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

²J. Craig Venter Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

³Department of Pathology, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA 92093, USA

⁵Lead Contact

*Correspondence: alex@lji.org

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.03.002

SUMMARY

Effective countermeasures against the recent emergence and rapid expansion of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) require the development of data and tools to understand and monitor its spread and immune responses to it. However, little information is available about the targets of immune responses to SARS-CoV-2. We used the Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (IEDB) to catalog available data related to other coronaviruses. This includes SARS-CoV, which has high sequence similarity to SARS-CoV-2 and is the best-characterized coronavirus in terms of epitope responses. We identified multiple specific regions in SARS-CoV-2 that have high homology to the SARS-CoV virus. Parallel bioinformatic predictions identified a priori potential B and T cell epitopes for SARS-CoV-2. The independent identification of the same regions using two approaches reflects the high probability that these regions are promising targets for immune recognition of SARS-CoV-2. These predictions can facilitate effective vaccine design against this virus of high priority.

INTRODUCTION

On December 31, 2019, the Chinese Center for Disease Control (China CDC) reported a cluster of severe pneumonia cases of unknown etiology in the city of Wuhan in the Hubei province of China. Shortly thereafter, public health professionals identified the likely causative agent to be a novel *Betacoronavirus* (SARS-CoV-2). The current outbreak, COVID-19, has 81,109 confirmed cases worldwide with 2,718 deaths, as of February 26, 2020, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) in collaboration with the China CDC and public health centers in other countries. Although the majority of cases have occurred in China, a small number have been confirmed in 24 other countries, including Japan, Thailand, South Korea, Singapore, Vietnam, India, the United States, Canada, Germany, France, Italy, and the United Arab Emirates. These numbers are changing rapidly. For up-to-date information about COVID-19, see the WHO website at https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019.

The Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (IEDB) is a repository of epitope-related information curated from the scientific literature in the context of infectious disease, allergy, and autoimmunity (Vita et al., 2019). The IEDB also provides bioinformatic tools and algorithms that allow for the analysis of epitope data and prediction of potential epitopes from novel sequences. The Virus Pathogen Resource (ViPR) is a complementary repository of information about human pathogenic viruses that integrates genome, gene, and protein sequence information with data about immune epitopes, protein structures, and host responses to virus infections (Pickett et al., 2012).

Limited information is currently available on which parts of the SARS-CoV-2 sequence are recognized by human immune responses. Such knowledge is of immediate relevance and would assist vaccine design and facilitate the evaluation of vaccine candidate immunogenicity, as well as monitoring of the potential consequences of mutational events and epitope escape as the virus is transmitted through human populations.

Although no epitope data are yet available for SARS-CoV-2, there is a significant body of information about epitopes for coronaviruses in general, and in particular for *Betacoronaviruses* like SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, which cause respiratory disease in humans (de Wit et al., 2016; Song et al., 2019). Here, we used the IEDB and ViPR resources to compile known epitope sites from other coronaviruses, map corresponding regions in the SARS-CoV-2 sequences, and predict likely epitopes. We also used validated bioinformatic tools to predict B and T cell epitopes that are likely to be recognized in humans and to assess the conservation of these epitopes across different coronavirus species.

RESULTS

A Wealth of Data Related to Coronaviruses Is Available in the IEDB

Coronaviruses belong to the family *Coronaviradae*, order *Nido*virales, and can be further subdivided into four main genera





Figure 1. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan-Hu-1) Genome Structure with Its Closest Bat Relative (bat-SL-CoVZXC21), Tor2 SARS-CoV, and HCoV-EMC MERS-CoV

Above: Coding sequence (CDS) regions corresponding to homologous proteins between the four viruses are filled with the same color in the genome schematic to indicate homology; regions with no homology to the predicted SARS-CoV-2 proteins are colored white. Below: Table of pairwise protein similarities (expressed as % identity) between SARS-CoV-2 and the other three viruses.

(Alpha-, Beta-, Gamma-, and Deltacoronaviruses). Several Alpha- and Betacoronaviruses cause mild respiratory infections and common cold symptoms in humans, whereas others are zoonotic and infect birds, pigs, bats, and other animals. In addition to SARS-CoV-2, two other coronaviruses, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, caused large disease outbreaks that had high (10%–30%) lethality rates and widespread societal impact upon emergence (Figure 1) (de Wit et al., 2016; Song et al., 2019).

The immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in humans awaits characterization, but human immune responses against other coronaviruses have been investigated. As of January 27, 2020, the IEDB has curated 581 linear, and 81 as discontinuous, B cell epitopes that have been reported in the peerreviewed literature. In addition, 320 peptides have been reported as T cell epitopes (Table 1). The vast majority of these epitopes are derived from *Betacoronavirues*, and more specifically from SARS-CoV, which alone accounts for over 60% of them. In terms of the host in which the various B and T cell epitopes were recognized (Table 2), most epitopes (either B or T) were defined in humans or murine systems. Notably, all but 2 of

the 417 B and T cell epitopes described in humans are from *Betacoronaviruses*, with 398 of them coming from SARS-CoV.

SARS-CoV-2 Similarity to Other Betacoronaviruses

Comparison of a consensus SARS-CoV-2 protein sequence to sequences for SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and bat-SL-CoVZXC21 revealed a high degree of similarity (expressed as % identity) between SARS-CoV-2, bat-SL-CoVZXC21, and SARS-CoV, but a more limited similarity with MERS-CoV (Figure 1). This is in agreement with a recent paper published on February 7, 2020 that shows the highest similarity between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS or SARS-like CoVs (Wu et al., 2020). Further, SARS-Cov is the closest related virus to SARS-CoV-2 for which a significant number of epitopes have been defined in humans (and other species) and that also causes human disease with lethal outcomes. Accordingly, in the following analyses, we focused on comparing known SARS-CoV epitope sequences to the SARS-CoV-2 sequence.

We first assessed the distribution of SARS-CoV-derived epitopes as a function of the protein of origin (Table 3). In the context

Table 1. IEDB Inventory of Coronavirus B and T Cell Epitopes							
Epitope set	Туре	Coronavirus					Total
		Alpha	Beta	Beta			
			SARS-CoV	MERS-CoV	Other		
B cell	Conformational	18	27	23	2	11	81
	Linear	81	405	5	60	30	581
T cell		61	164	25	54	16	320

of B cell responses, most of the 12 antigens in the SARS-CoV proteome are associated with epitopes, with the greatest number derived from spike glycoprotein, nucleoprotein, and membrane protein (Table 3). The paucity of B cell epitopes associated with the other proteins is likely because, on average, B cell epitope screening studies to date have probed regions constituting less than 20% of each respective sequence, including <1% of the Orf 1ab polyprotein. By comparison, the complete span of the spike glycoprotein, nucleoprotein, and membrane protein sequences have been probed at least to some extent in B cell assays.

A similar situation was observed in the case of T cell epitopes. Here, we only considered epitopes whose recognition is restricted by human leukocyte antigen (HLA) major histocompatibility complex (MHC), because MHC polymorphism typically results in different epitopes being recognized in humans and mice.

Defining Immunodominant Regions within the SARS-CoV Genome

B cell epitopes derived from SARS-CoV were mapped back to a SARS-CoV reference sequence using the IEDB's Immunobrowser tool (Dhanda et al., 2018). This tool combines all records available along a reference sequence and produces a response factor (RF) score that accounts for the positivity rate (how frequently a residue was found in a positive epitope) and the number of records (how many independent assays are reported). Dominant regions were identified considering residues stretches where the RF score was ≥ 0.3 .

Analyses of the spike glycoprotein, membrane protein, and nucleoproteins are shown in Figure 2. In the case of the spike glycoprotein (Figure 2A), we identify five regions of potential interest (residues 274–306, 510–586, 587–628, 784–803, and

870–893), all representing regions associated with high immune response rates. Three of these immunodominant regions are located in the S1 subunit in the CTD2 and CTD3 (C-terminal domain), whereas the other two are in the HR1 domain of the S2 subunit.

Next, we aligned the SARS-CoV B cell epitope region sequences to the SARS-CoV-2 sequence to calculate the percentage identity between each of the SARS-CoV-dominant regions and SARS-CoV-2 (Table 4). Of the 10 regions identified, 6 had 90% or more identity with SARS-CoV-2, 2 were between 80%–89% identical, and 2 had lower but still appreciable homology (69% and 78%).

In a similar analysis, T cell epitopes were also found to be predominantly associated with spike glycoprotein and nucleoprotein (Table 3). Table 5 shows a listing of the most dominant SARS-CoV individual epitopes identified to date in humans. We also aligned the SARS-CoV T cell epitope sequences and calculated for each epitope the percentage identity to SARS-CoV-2. For each T cell epitope, Table 5 shows the antigen of origin, the epitope sequence, the homologous SARS-CoV-2 sequence, and the corresponding percentage of sequence identity. Overall, the nucleocapsid phosphoprotein and membrane-derived epitopes were most conserved (8/10 and 2/3, respectively, had \geq 85% identity with SARS-CoV-2). The Orf1ab and surface glycoprotein epitopes were moderately conserved (3/7 and 10/23, respectively, had \geq 85% identity with SARS-CoV-2), and Orf 3a epitopes were the least conserved.

Prediction of SARS-CoV-2 B Cell Epitopes

To define potential B cell epitopes by an alternative method, we used the predictive tools provided with the IEDB. B cell epitope predictions were carried out using the SARS-CoV-2 surface

Table 2. IEDB Inventory of Coronavirus B and T Cell Epitopes								
Epitope set	Host	Coronaviru	Coronavirus ^b					
		Alpha	Beta	Beta				
			SARS-CoV	MERS-CoV	Other			
B cell ^a	Humans	0	306	16	0	0	322	
	Mice	62	154	9	58	20	303	
	Other	42	142	5	6	23	218	
	Tg mice	0	0	0	0	0	0	
T cell	Humans	2	92	0	1	0	95	
	Mice	16	99	25	53	1	194	
	Other	46	1	0	0	15	62	
	Tg mice	0	29	0	0	0	29	

^aB cell includes both conformational and linear epitipes.

^bTotals between Tables 1 and 2 may not be equal as several epitopes are recognized in multiple species.

Table 3. IEDB Inventory of Coronavirus B and T Cell Epitopes					
SARS-CoV Proteins	B Cell	T Cell			
Spike glycoprotein	279	48			
Nucleoprotein	113	33			
Membrane protein	20	4			
Replicase polyprotein 1ab	8	9			
Protein 3a	2	7			
Envelope small membrane protein	2	0			
Non-structural protein 3b	2	0			
Protein 7a	2	0			
Protein 9b	2	0			
Non-structural protein 6	1	0			
Protein non-structural 8a	1	0			
-		17 1			

T cell epitope total includes epitopes recognized in humans and/or transgenic mice.

glycoprotein, nucleocapsid phosphoprotein, and membrane glycoprotein sequences, which, as described above, were found to be the main protein targets for B cell responses to other coronaviruses. In parallel, we performed predictions for linear B cell epitopes with Bepipred 2.0 (Jespersen et al., 2017) and for conformational epitopes with Discotope 2.0 (Kringelum et al., 2012). Both prediction algorithms are available on the IEDB B cell prediction tool page (http://tools.iedb.org/main/bcell/). A full list of B cell epitope prediction results per amino acid position per protein is provided in Table S1.

Using Bepipred 2.0 and a cutoff of ≥ 0.55 (corresponding to a specificity cutoff of 80%) (Jespersen et al., 2017), the surface glycoprotein had the highest number of predicted B cell epitopes, followed by membrane glycoprotein and nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (Table S2). To predict and map conformational B cell epitopes, we used the recently submitted SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein structure (PDB: 6VSB). A list of surface glycoprotein amino acid positions having a high probability of being included in predicted B cell epitopes, based on analysis with the Discotope 2.0 algorithm, is shown in Table S1 (cutoff of ≥ -2.5 , corresponding to 80%) specificity). We then localized the relevant amino acid positions onto the model structure, which allowed the identification of seven predicted epitope residue/regions (491-505, 558-562, 703-704, 793-794, 810, 914, and 1140-1146) in the surface glycoprotein (Figure 3).

Prediction of SARS-CoV-2 T Cell Epitopes

To predict CD4 T cell epitopes, we used the method described by Paul and co-authors (Paul et al., 2015a), as implemented in the Tepitool resource in IEDB (Paul et al., 2016). This approach was designed and validated to predict dominant epitopes independently of ethnicity and HLA polymorphism, taking advantage of the extensive cross-reactivity and repertoire overlap between different HLA class II loci and allelic variants. Here, we selected peptides that have a median consensus percentile \leq 20, a threshold associated with epitope panels responsible for about 50% of target-specific responses. Using this threshold, we identified 241 candidates in the SARS-CoV-2 sequence (see Table S3). In previous experiments, we showed that pools based on similar peptide numbers can be generated by sequential lyophilization (Carrasco Pro et al., 2015). These peptide pools (or megapools) incorporate predicted or experimentally validated epitopes and allow measurement of magnitude and characterization of the phenotype of human T cell responses in infectious disease indications such as *Bordetella pertussis, Mycobacteria tuberculosis*, Dengue, and Zika viruses (Carrasco Pro et al., 2015; da Silva Antunes et al., 2018; Grifoni et al., 2017, 2018). The SARS-CoV-2 CD4 megapool covers all 10 predicted proteins, with the number of potential epitopes proportional to the size of each protein (Table S4).

In parallel, we also sought to define likely CD8 epitopes. Here, a different approach was required because the overlap between different HLA class I allelic variants and loci is more limited to specific groups of alleles, or supertypes (Sidney et al., 2008). Following a previously validated approach (Weiskopf et al., 2013), we assembled a set of the 12 most prominent HLA class I alleles that have been shown to allow broad coverage of the general population, as described in the STAR Methods (see also Table S5). We then performed HLA class I binding predictions using the Net MHC pan 4.0 EL algorithm (Jurtz et al., 2017) available at the IEDB. For each allele, we selected the top 1% scoring peptides in the SARS-CoV-2 sequence, as ranked based on prediction. After eliminating redundancies and nested peptides, we obtained a final "in silico" megapool of 628 unique predicted epitopes. Table S6 lists those unique predicted epitopes per protein, indicating for each their respective HLA restriction(s).

Correspondence between the Epitopes Identified by the Two Different Approaches

The epitopes identified by homology to the experimentally defined SARS-CoV epitopes shown in Tables 4 and 5 were next compared with the epitopes identified by epitope predictions shown in Tables S2, S3, and S6. The epitopes independently identified in both approaches are presumed to be the most valuable leads.

We first compared B cell immunodominant regions identified in SARS-CoV and mapped to the homologous SARS-CoV-2 proteins (Table 4), with the predicted linear (Table S2) and conformational (Table S1) B cell epitopes. Out of the five B cell immunodominant regions from the SARS spike glycoprotein that were mapped to SARS-CoV-2, three regions overlapped with those identified by BebiPred 2.0, and two overlapped with regions predicted by Discotope 2.0 (Figure 3; Table S1). No overlap was observed for the five regions of SARS-CoV membrane protein and nucleoprotein that mapped to SARS-CoV-2 and those predicted by BebiPred 2.0. As stated above, no Discotope 2.0 prediction was available for those two proteins.

The prediction analysis performed with Discotope 2.0 based on the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein PDB structure independently confirms two of the likely epitope regions defined on the basis of SARS-CoV data. Specifically, one dominant epitope corresponds to the 524–598 epitope from Table 5, which overlaps with the 558–562 predicted epitope, and the 802–819 region is also predicted (cf., the predicted 810 residue is in the middle of this region). Finally, the 888–909 region is narrowly missed,



Figure 2. B Cell Immunodominant Regions Based on SARS-Specific Epitope Mapping RF score for each amino acid position was calculated (see STAR Methods) and plotted over the SARS-CoV consensus sequence of spike glycoprotein (A), membrane protein (B), and nucleoprotein (C).

because residue 914, which is predicted, is right outside of the epitope.

When we compared the SARS-CoV T cell epitopes that mapped to SARS-CoV-2 (Table 5) with the predicted CD4 and CD8 T cell epitopes (Tables S3 and S6, respectively), we found that 12 of 17 SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes with high sequence identity (\geq 90%) to the SARS-CoV were independently identified by the two methods. Another 7 of 16 epitopes with moderate sequence identity (70%–89%), and 6 of 12 epitopes with low sequence identity (<70%) were also identified by both methods. The lack of absolute correspondence is not surprising, given that the experimental data are derived from a skewed set of HLA restrictions (largely HLA A*02:01) and that our HLA class I prediction strategy targeted a more limited set of alleles selected to represent the most frequent worldwide variants; at the same time, the class II predictions are expected to cover 50% of the class II responses (Paul et al., 2015b).

DISCUSSION

The present study identifies likely targets of the human immune response to SARS-CoV-2, encompassing both the B and T cell arms of the adaptive immune response. This is of relevance in the face of the ever growing medical and societal urgency surrounding COVID-19, especially given the current scarcity of experimental data regarding any corresponding immune

Table 4. Dominant SARS-CoV B Cell Epitope Regions						
SARS-CoV		SARS-CoV-2				
Sequence	Max RF	Sequence	Protein ^a	Mapped Start-End	Identity (%)	
DAVDCSQNPLAELKCSVKSFEIDK GIYQTSNF	0.504	DAVDCALDPLSETKCTLKS FTVEKGIYQTSN	S	287–317	69	
VCGPKLSTDLIKNQCVNFNFNGL TGTGVLTPSSKRFQPFQQFGRD VSDFTDSVRDPKTSEILDISPCSF GGVSVIT	0.745	VCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVNFNFN GLTGTGVLTESNKKFLPFQQF GRDIADTTDAVRDPQTLEILDI TPCSFGGVSVI	S	524–598	80	
GTNASSEVAVLYQDVNCTDVSTA IHADQLTPAWRIYSTGNN	0.709	GTNTSNQVAVLYQDVNCTEVPVA IHADQLTPTWRVYSTGS	S	601–640	78	
FSQILPDPLKPTKRSFIED	0.365	FSQILPDPSKPSKRSFIE	S	802-819	89	
FGAGAALQIPFAMQMAYRFNGIG	0.367	FGAGAALQIPFAMQMAYRFNGI	S	888–909	100	
MADNGTITVEELKQLLEQWNLVIG	0.460	MADSNGTITVEELKKLLEQWNLVI	М	1–24	92	
PLMESELVIGAVIIRGHLRMA	0.457	PLLESELVIGAVILRGHLRI	М	132–151	90	
PQGLPNNTASWFTALTQHGKEE	0.537	RPQGLPNNTASWFTALTQHGK	Ν	42–62	95	
NNAATVLQLPQGTTLPKGFYA	0.543	NNNAATVLQLPQGTTLPKGF	Ν	153–172	95	
KHIDAYKTFPPTEPKKDKKKKTDEAQ PLPQRQKKQPTVTLLPAADMDD	0.82	NKHIDAYKTFPPTEPKKDKKKKTD EAQPLPQRQKKQPTVTLLPAADM	Ν	355–401	90	
S, surface glycoprotein; M, membrane protein; N, nucleocapsid phosphoprotein						

response. The approach we followed is based on establishing several lines of evidence that clearly pinpoint SARS-CoV as a relevant model to extrapolate likely targets of responses to SARS-CoV-2, the virus associated with COVID-19.

The first line of evidence pertains to the fact that of coronaviruses known to infect humans, SARS-CoV is the most similar in phylogenetic terms to SARS-CoV-2. The second line of evidence is that SARS-CoV-2 is the most (and highly) similar to SARS-CoV at the level of sequence identity. Third, when we critically reviewed the knowledge related to the precise epitopes recognized by adaptive responses in the context of coronaviruses in aggregate, it was apparent that all but 2 of the 417 B and T cell epitopes described in humans to date are from *Betacoronaviruses*, with 398 of them coming from SARS-CoV.

Our analysis showed that certain SARS-CoV regions were dominant for B cell responses and that those regions were well conserved in terms of sequence with SARS-CoV-2. Five regions contain epitopes recognized by neutralizing antibodies in SARS convalescent sera (Guo et al., 2004; Shichijo et al., 2004). Among those, of particular interest is the 587–628 region nesting the 604–625 peptide, which was identified in a SARS convalescent patient and found to have the capacity to elicit antibodies that efficiently prevent infection in non-human primates (Hu et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2016).

Two regions were identified from membrane protein (1–25 and 131–152) (Figure 2B), and three regions were identified for nucleoprotein (43–65, 154–175, and 356–404) (Figure 2C). The two regions in the membrane protein have been shown to elicit marked IgM and IgG responses and a broad spectrum of recognition, highlighting them as potential diagnostic candidates (Chow et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2003). Of the three regions identified in the nucleoprotein, 156–175 has shown strong reactivity against SARS patient sera and immunogenicity in multiple species, including mice, monkeys, and humans (Liu et al., 2006).

Because of the overall high level of sequence similarity of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, we infer that the regions dominant in SARS-CoV have a high likelihood to also be dominant in SARS-CoV-2, even if the actual sequences are different. This hypothesis is in agreement with the recent cryoelectron microscopy (cryoEM) structure of the spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2, showing a high resemblance in the overall structure with the SARS-CoV spike protein (Wrapp et al., 2020). In the same study, however, the authors do not observe cross-recognition of SARS-CoV monoclonal antibodies with the SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, they observed no reactivity with SARS-CoV antibodies that recognize the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor binding domain (RBD), despite the fact that SARS-CoV-2 retains the same capability to bind the ACE2 receptor of SARS-CoV (Wrapp et al., 2020). This suggests that the B cell prediction performed on the RBD domain will require further studies.

We also analyzed the SARS-CoV T cell epitopes. In these cases, epitopic regions and individual epitopes were more widely dispersed throughout the respective proteins, which made the identification of discrete, dominant epitopic regions more difficult. This outcome is not unexpected given that T cells recognize short peptides generated from cellular processing of viral antigens that can be derived from any segment of the protein.

It is generally expected that CD8 T cell epitopes will be derived from both structural and nonstructural proteins (Tian et al., 2019), because both types of proteins are endogenously processed by infected cells. In the case of class II epitopes, structural proteins would be of particular interest, as they are most likely to provide help by cognate interaction (Sette et al., 2008). When examining the homologous regions of SARS-CoV, it has been found that the likely T cell epitopes are positive in assays such as ELISPOT, intra-cellular staining (ICS), and multimer/tetramer staining (see, e.g., Cheung et al., 2007, 2008; Kohyama et al., 2009; Tsao et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2009).

SANSSANS-Cov/2SANS-Cov/2SANS-Cov/2ProteinMapped Siant-EndIdentity (%)VRGWVFGSTIMNIKSG&VI0.15DRB1'04.01IRGWIFGTLDSKTQSLLS101-11860CTFEVDSQFKSGN0.21DRB1'04.01CTFEVNSQFLMDS166-17862DAFSLDVSKKSGN0.22DRB1'04.01CTFEVNSQFLMDS166-17862DAFSLDVSKKSGN0.32DRB1'04.01CTFEVNSQFLMDS317-35583KSFEIDKGIVQTSNFRV0.40DRB1'07.01DRB1'07.01S314-32190DIATSTGVVYVKYKTR0.29Class IINLDSKVGGVVYVKYFLRS424-43390NDATSTGVVYVKYKTR0.29Class IINLDSKVGGVVYVKFLRS424-43496NDATSTGVVYVKYKTR0.29Class IINLDSKVGGVVYVKFLRS462-47494NDATSTGVVYVKYKTR0.29Class IINLDSKVGGVVYVKFLRS462-47494KINDSTSTGVVVKYKTR0.29Class IINLDSKGGVVYVKFLRS462-47494VKAVTMSLGADSSVV0.50DRB1'04.01KFERDISTRVQS462-47494VKAVTMSLGADSSVV0.51DRB1'04.01KFERDISTRVQS462-47494VKAVTMSLGADSSVV0.52DRB1'04.01KFERDISTRVQS869-70772STATSTALGVSVV0.50DRB1'04.01KFERDISTRVQS869-70772SWAVTMSLGADSSVV0.52DRB1'04.01KFERDISTRVQS869-70772SWAVTMSLGADSSVV0.53 <th colspan="6">Table 5. Dominant SARS-CoV T Cell Epitopes</th>	Table 5. Dominant SARS-CoV T Cell Epitopes						
SequencePriCePriCePriCeMapped Start-EndIdentity (%)VFGWVFGSTNANKSQW0.10DPB1'04.01CTFEVYSQPFLMDS166-17862DATSLDVSEKSGN0.62DPB1'04.01QPFLVDLECKQGNS173-16538DATSLDVSEKSGN0.62DPB1'04.01QPFLVDLECKQGNS328-25837SEFEDKGIYOTSNFRV0.32DPB1'04.01SSGGWS374-36782SEFETKGYGVSATKL0.30DPB1'04.01SSGGWS37-38782KLPDDFMCVSATKL0.50PB1'07.01SASFSTFKCYGVSATKLS424-43390NIDATSTGNYYKYKTYL0.29CABSI UNLYKKYKYKTYLS424-43390NIDATSTGNYYKYKTYL0.29DPB1'04.01VLPKEKSNLYFEPEDS45-46883RPFERDISTGNYYKYKTYL0.29DPB1'04.01VLPKEKSNLYFEDS462-47454SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.36DPB1'04.01VLPKEKSNLYFEDS467-476394VEGWYKTYLTKYRGGFN0.30DPB1'04.01VLPKEKSNLYFEDS467-476394SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.50DPB1'04.01VKDWKTPHKYGGGFNS96-96276SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.50DPB1'04.01VKDWKTPHKYGGGFNS96-96276SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.20DPB1'04.01VKDWKTPHKYGGGFNS96-96276SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.20DPB1'04.01VKDWKTPHKYGGGFNS96-96276SIAVTMSLGADSSINF0.20DPB1'04.01VLDUSL	SARS			SARS-CoV-2			
VRGWVFGSTMNNKSGSV0.15DRB 1*0-01IRGWFGTLDEKTGSLLS10-1162CTFEYISDAFSLD0.21DRB 1*0-01CTFEYISQAFLMDS186-17862DASLDYSKSGN0.62DRB 1*0-01CTFEYISQAFLMDS238-28517KSFEDKGINOTSNFRVV0.32DRB 1*0-01TFROTLLLHRSVTFPDS238-28517KSFEDKGINOTSNFRVV0.40DRB 1*0-01, DRBSASFSTFKOYGVSTNFLS304-32178STFFSTFKOYGVSATKL0.50DRB 1*0-01, DRBSASFSTFKOYGVSTNFLS471-43782NLPDORMCV0.55A*02.01KLPDOFTGCVS424-43786NLPDAGKY0.16DRB 1*0-01VLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDS451-48698RFEEDISMYPX0.16DRB 1*0-01VLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDS462-47454KINATINSLGADSSIAY0.15DRB 1*0-01SILAYTMSLGAENSVAYS69-69989TECANLLQYGSFCTQL0.50DRB 1*0-01DRLSTGALVGSFFFFS747-75374KINATINSL0.29A*02.01ALINTLYKOLS96-96271ALINTLYKOL0.50DRB 1*0-01DRLSTGALGKLOVS96-96271ALINTLYKOL0.29A*02.01ALINTLYKOLS976-984100ALINTLYKOL0.29A*02.01ALINTLYKOLS98-96271ALINTLYKOL0.29A*02.01ALINTLYKOLS110-111573ALINTLYKOL0.29A*02.01ALINTLYKOLS98	Sequence	RF Score	HLA Restriction ^a	Sequence	Protein	Mapped Start-End	Identity (%)
CTFEYISDARSLD 0.21 DRB1*04:01 CTFEV/SOPPLMD S 168-77 62 DAFSLDVSEKSGN 0.62 DRB1*04:01 OPFLMDLEGKQGN S 173-185 38 DAFSLDVSEKSGN 0.62 DRB1*04:01 TRFOTLLALHRSYLTPOD S 238-258 17 SKFEIDKGIVOTSNFRVV 0.40 DRB1*07:01 SSSGW S34-321 78 SKFEIDKGIVOTSNFRVV 0.55 A*02:01 KLPDDFTGCV S 424-433 90 NIDATSTGNYNYKYTYL 0.28 Cleass II NLDSKVGGWNYLTFRID S 440-477 56 YLPHGKLRPFERDISNP 0.36 DRB1*04:01 KLPERDISTEYQ S 462-474 54 SSNAYTMSL 0.29 DRB1*04:01 GAMATMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SNAYTMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SIMAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 691-693 89 SINAYTMSL 0.29 DRB1*04:01 VKOWYKTPIKDFGGFNF S 785-802 78 SINAYTMSL 0.29 DRB1*04:01 DKSINTAGAL	VRGWVFGSTMNNKSQSVI	0.15	DRB1*04:01	IRGWIFGTTLDSKTQSLL	S	101–118	50
DAFEJ.DVSEKSGN 0.62 DRB1*04:01 TPFOTLLALRSYLTPGD S 173-H85 88 TNFFAILTAFSPAQDIW 0.32 DRB1*04:01 TFFOTLLALRSYLTPGD S 236-259 17 SSFEIDKGIYOTSNFRVV 0.40 DRB1*04:01, DRB1*07:01 KSFTUKCIYOTSNFRVQ S 304-321 78 STFFSTFKCYGVSATKL 0.50 DRB1*07:01, DRB SAFSTFKCYGVSPTKL S 472-483 90 NIDATSTGNYNYKYRYLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLF S 442-457 56 VLHRGKLAPPERDISNYD 0.16 DRB1*04:01 YLNTKIKSQAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVATMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SILAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVATMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SILAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 980-707 72 SIVATMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SILAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 980-707 72 SIVATMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SILAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 980-707 72 SIVAMYTMSLGADSSIA2	CTFEYISDAFSLD	0.21	DRB1*04:01	CTFEYVSQPFLMD	S	166–178	62
TNFFAultAFSPAQDIW 0.32 DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'07-01, DRB SSGW TFROTLALHENYLTPGD SSGW S 236-258 17 KSFEIDKGIYGTSNFRVV 0.40 DRB 1'04-01, DRB 1'07-01, DRB SAFSTFKCYGVSPTKL S 304-321 78 KLPDDFMGCV 0.55 A'02-01 KLPDDFTGCV S 424-433 90 NIDATSTGNYNYKYRVLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFR S 424-433 90 NIDATSTGNYNYKYRVLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFR S 451-468 58 RPFEEDISNVPFS 0.36 DRB 1'04-01 KLPADFTEVQ S 469-707 72 SIMAYTMSLGADSSIAV 0.15 DRB 1'04-01 SIAYTMSLGARSVAY S 691-699 89 SIMAYTMSL 0.29 A'02-01 SIAYTMSLGARSVAY S 980-892 71 SIMAYTMSLAGKDV 0.42 A'02-01 LINTLVKQL S 986-966 100 VLNDLSRL 0.29 A'02-01 LINTLVKQL S 966-966 100	DAFSLDVSEKSGN	0.62	DRB1*04:01	QPFLMDLEGKQGN	S	173–185	38
KSFEIDKGIYQTSNFRVV 0.40 DRB1'02.01 DRB1'07.01 KSFTÆKGYGVSPTKL S 304-321 78 STFFSTFKCYGVSATKL 0.50 DRB1'07.01 RB SASFSTFKCYGVSPTKL S 371-387 82 KLPDDFMGCV 0.55 A'02.01 KLPDDFTGCV S 424-433 90 NIDATSTGNYNYKYRVLR 0.50 DRB1'04.01 YLYRLFRKSNLKFFERDI S 451-468 58 RPFEDISINVPFS 0.36 DRB1'04.01 KLYRDSTENQ S 462-474 54 SINAYTMSL 0.29 A'02.01 SINAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 691-99 89 SINAYTMSL 0.29 A'02.01 SINAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 78-802 78 SUNAYTMSL 0.20 DRB1'04.01 VKOW/KTPPIKDFGGFNF S 78-802 71 AUNTUKOL 0.42 A'02.01 LITARLOSL S 98-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02.01 LITARLOSL S 98-966 100 VLRAMINTYKOL 0.42 A'02.01<	TNFRAILTAFSPAQDIW	0.32	DRB1*04:01	TRFQTLLALHRSYLTPGD SSSGW	S	236–258	17
STFFSTFXCYGVSATKL 0.50 DR81197.01, DR8 SASFSTFXCYGVGVSPTKL S 371-387 82 KLPDDFMGCV 0.55 A*02.01 KLPDDFTGCV S 424-433 90 NLDATSTGNYNYKYRVLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFR S 440-457 56 YLRHGKLRPFERDISNYP 0.16 DR81104.01 YLVRLFRKSNLKPFERDI S 451-468 58 SINAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DR81104.01 YLVRLFRKSNLKPFERDI S 690-707 72 SINAYTMSL 0.29 A*02.01 SILAYTMSL GAENSVAY S 691-699 89 SINAYTMSL 0.29 A*02.01 VKOMYKTPLKVGGFVF S 747-763 94 VKOMKYTPLKYEGGFWF 0.29 A*02.01 VKOIMKTPLKVQL S 958-962 71 AUTTUKQL 0.29 A*02.01 VLNDLSRL S 969-604 100 VLNDLSRL 0.29 A*02.01 VLNDLSRL S 191-1115 73 RUTFLAKNNL 0.40 A*02.01	KSFEIDKGIYQTSNFRVV	0.40	DRB1*04:01, DRB1*07:01	KSFTVEKGIYQTSNFRVQ	S	304–321	78
KLPDDFMGCV 0.55 A*02:01 KLPDDFTGCV S 424-433 90 NIDATSTONTNYKYRYLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRIF S 440-457 56 VLPRGKLRPFEDISNVP 0.16 DRB1*04.01 VLYRLFKKRNKFRFERDI S 451-468 58 RPFERDISNVPFS 0.36 DRB1*04.01 KPFERDISTE/YQ S 462-474 54 KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DRB1*04.01 CSIAATMSLGAENSVAY S 691-699 89 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 A*02:01 SIIAYTMSL S 691-699 89 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 DRB1*04:01 VKOIYKTPFIKOFGGFW S 78-560 78 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 A*02:01 LITGRICOSL S 996-996 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A*02:01 LITGRICOSL S 996-996 100 VLINDILSRL 0.29 A*02:01 LITGRICOSL S 996-900 100 VLINDILSRL 0.29 A*02:01 LITGRICOSL	STFFSTFKCYGVSATKL	0.50	DRB1*07:01, DR8	SASFSTFKCYGVSPTKL	S	371–387	82
NIDATSTONYNYKYRYLR 0.29 Class II NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFR S 440-457 56 YLRHGKLPFERDISNVD 0.16 DRB1'04.01 YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDI S 442-474 54 KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DRB1'04.01 QSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.29 A'02-01 SIIAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 691-699 89 SIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.29 A'02-01 SIIAYTMSL S 747-763 94 SIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.29 A'02-01 VKQIMYKPIKYGGFFF S 936-966 100 SILTITSTALGKLOWV 0.42 A'02-01 VLNDILSRL S 958-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02-01 VLNDILSRL S 958-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02-01 VLNDILSRL S 196-193 100 VLNDIGRL 0.29 A'02-01 NLIFAKAILATKKOL S 111-1028 100 VLINDIGRL 0.42 A'02-01	KLPDDFMGCV	0.55	A*02:01	KLPDDFTGCV	S	424–433	90
YLRHGKLRPFERDISNVP 0.16 DRB1'04:01 YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDI S 451-468 58 RPFERDISNVPFS 0.36 DRB1'04:01 KPFERDISTEYO S 462-474 54 KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DRB1'04:01 OSIAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 A'102:01 SIIAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 691-699 89 SIVAYTMSL 0.50 DRB TECSNLLLQYGSFCTOL S 747-763 94 VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFNF 0.20 DRB1'04:01 DSLSSTASALGKLQDVV S 936-952 71 ALNTLVKOL 0.29 A'102:01 ULNDILSRL S 976-984 100 UNDILSRL 0.29 A'102:01 ULNGLASL S 996-1004 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.42 A'102:01 ULNGLASL S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A'102:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1102-1115 73 RLTGARNFSPOII 0.60 DRB1'04:01 N	NIDATSTGNYNYKYRYLR	0.29	Class II	NLDSKVGGNYNYLYRLFR	S	440–457	56
RPFERDISNVPFS 0.36 DRB1'04:01 KPFERDISTEIYQ S 462-474 54 KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DRB1'07:01 OSIAAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 A'02:01 SIIAYTMSL S 691-699 89 SIVAYTMSL 0.20 DRB 1'04:01 VKQIYKTPIKYENCS S 747-763 94 VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFN 0.20 DRB 1'04:01 VKQIYKTPIKOFGGFNF S 986-952 71 ALNTLVKQL 0.29 A'02:01 ALINTLVKQL S 996-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 ULTORLOSL S 996-1004 100 QUIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB 1'04:01 ULTORLOSL S 1996-1004 100 QUIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB 1'04:01 HUFVTORNFYEPOI S 1101-1115 73 RUEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 HILTGRLOSL S 1192-1200 100 SWFITORNFFSPOII 0.60 DRB 1'04:01	YLRHGKLRPFERDISNVP	0.16	DRB1*04:01	YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDI	S	451–468	58
KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY 0.15 DRB 1'04:01, DRB 1'07:01 QSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAY S 690-707 72 SIVAYTMSL 0.29 A'02:01 SIIAYTMSL S 691-689 89 TECANLLLQYGSFCTQL 0.50 DR8 TECSNLLLQYGSFCTQL S 747-763 94 VKQMYKTPTLYYFGGFNF 0.20 DR81'04:01 VKQMYKTPTKLQGGFNF S 936-952 71 ALNTLYKQL 0.29 A'02:01 ULNDLSRL S 986-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 ULITGRLQSL S 976-984 100 ULRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.42 A'02:01 ULITGRLQSL S 996-1004 100 QUIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.00 DRB 1'04:01 HWFVTQRNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 RLINEVAKNL S 1185-1103 100 NLINESLIDL 0.29 A'02:01 NLINESLIDL S 1192-1200 100 RFFTLGSTKAQPVKI 0.59 A'02:01	RPFERDISNVPFS	0.36	DRB1*04:01	KPFERDISTEIYQ	S	462–474	54
SIVAYTMSL0.29A'02:01SIIAYTMSLS691-69989TECANLLLQYGSFCTOL0.50DR8TECSNLLLQYGSFCTOLS747-76394VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFNF0.20DR81'04:01VKQIYKTPPIKDFGGFNFS785-80278SELTTTSTALGKLQDVV0.42DR81'04:01DSLSSTASALGKLQDVVS936-95271ALNTLVKQL0.29A'02:01ALNTLVKQLS958-966100ULNDILSRL0.29A'02:01ULNDILSRLS976-984100ULRAAEIRASANLAATK0.20DR81'04:01ULITGRLQSLS966-1004100OLIRAAEIRASANLAATK0.20DR81'04:01HWFVTQRNFYEPQIIS1101-11028100SWFITORNFFSPQII0.60DR81'04:01RLNEVAKNLS1102-1200100NLNESLIDL0.29A'02:01RLNEVAKNLS1192-1200100NLNESLIDL0.80A'02:01FIAGLIAVS122-01228100SITAOPVKI0.80A'02:01TUTLKQGEIOf 3a6-2040SITAOPVKI0.59A'02:01TUTLKQGEIOf 3a6-2022ILAGFVLAAV0.59A'02:01GLMWLSYFIM89-9789HLRMAGHSL0.40Class IHLRIAGHHLM148-15678ALNTPKDHI0.29A'02:01GLMWLSYFIM89-9789HLRMAGHSL0.40Class IHLRIAGHHLN159-167100GETTALLLL0.29A'02:	KSIVAYTMSLGADSSIAY	0.15	DRB1*04:01, DRB1*07:01	QSIIAYTMSLGAENSVAY	S	690–707	72
TECANLLLQYGSFCTQL 0.50 DR8 TECSNLLLQYGSFCTQL S 747-763 94 VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFNF 0.20 DR81'04:01 VKQIYKTPPIKDFGGFNF S 785-802 78 ESLTTTSTALGKLQDVV 0.42 DR81'04:01 DSLSSTASALGKLQDVV S 936-952 71 ALNTLVKQL 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTLVKQL S 958-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 LITGRLQSL S 976-984 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DR81'04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 VENTORNFFSPQII 0.60 DR81'04:01 HIVFVTORNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RINEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1182-1123 100 NUNFSSIDL 0.29 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1122-1200 100 FIAGLAIV 0.29 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 192-120 100 GIAMUSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLA	SIVAYTMSL	0.29	A*02:01	SIIAYTMSL	S	691–699	89
VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFNF 0.20 DRB1'04:01 VKQIYKTPPIKDFGGFNF S 785-802 78 ESLTTTSTALGKLQDVV 0.42 DRB1'04:01 DSLSSTASLGKLQDVV S 936-952 71 ALNTLVKQL 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTLVKQL S 958-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 LITGRLQSL S 976-984 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1'04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 101-11028 100 SWFITGRNFFSPQI 0.60 DRB1'04:01 MUFVTGRNFFSPQI S 1101-11028 100 SWFITGRNFFSPQI 0.60 DRB1'04:01 MUFVTGRNFFSPQI S 1185-1193 100 NUNESLIDL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 FIAGLIAV 0.80 A'02:01 RLNEYAKNL S 122-1228 100 FIAGLIAV 0.80 A'02:01 TALCRVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLAMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 TALCRVL	TECANLLLQYGSFCTQL	0.50	DR8	TECSNLLLQYGSFCTQL	S	747–763	94
ESLITTSTALGKLQDVV 0.42 DRB1'04:01 DSLSSTASALGKLQDVV S 936-952 71 ALNTLVKQL 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTLVKQL S 956-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 VLNDILSRL S 976-984 100 ULTGRLQSL 0.42 A'02:01 LTGRLQSL S 996-1004 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1'04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 SWFITORNFFSPQII 0.60 DRB1'04:01 HWFVTORNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNESLDL S 1185-1193 100 NLNESLDL 0.29 A'02:01 RLNESLDL S 1120-1228 100 FIAGLIAV 0.80 A'02:01 RLAUV S 1220-1228 100 RFTLGSITAOPVKI 0.18 B'58:01 TYTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 GLMWLSYFI M	VKQMYKTPTLKYFGGFNF	0.20	DRB1*04:01	VKQIYKTPPIKDFGGFNF	S	785–802	78
ALNTLVKQL 0.29 A*02:01 ALNTLVKQL S 958-966 100 VLNDILSRL 0.29 A*02:01 VLNDILSRL S 976-984 100 LITGRLQSL 0.42 A*02:01 LITGRLQSL S 996-1004 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1*04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 VENTORINFFSPQII 0.60 DRB1*04:01 HWFVTORINFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 NLINESLIDL 0.29 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 122-028 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A*02:01 RITGTVLKQGEI Of 3a 6-20 40 SITAOPVKI 0.18 B*58:01 TVTLKQGEI Of 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 81-70 100 GLIMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 ALNTKOHI M 184-1	ESLTTTSTALGKLQDVV	0.42	DRB1*04:01	DSLSSTASALGKLQDVV	S	936–952	71
VLNDILSRL 0.29 A'02:01 VLNDILSRL S 976-984 100 LITGRLQSL 0.42 A'02:01 LITGRLQSL S 996-1004 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1'04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 SWFITQRNFFSPQII 0.60 DRB1'04:01 HWFVTQRNFYEPQII S 1181-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1182-1200 100 NLNESLIDL 0.29 A'02:01 RINEVAKNL S 1220-1228 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A'02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 SITAOPVKI 0.18 B'58:01 TVTLKQGEI Of 3a 6-20 40 SITAOPVKI 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 89-97 89 HLRNAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 LLDPGAGTTL N 129-217 </td <td>ALNTLVKQL</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>A*02:01</td> <td>ALNTLVKQL</td> <td>S</td> <td>958–966</td> <td>100</td>	ALNTLVKQL	0.29	A*02:01	ALNTLVKQL	S	958–966	100
LITGRLQSL 0.42 A'02:01 LITGRLQSL S 996-1004 100 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1'04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 SWFITQANFFSPOII 0.60 DRB1'04:01 HWFV1QRNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 NUNESLIDL 0.29 A'02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1120-1228 100 FIAGLIAV 0.80 A'02:01 FIAGLIAV S 120-1228 100 SITAQPVKI 0.80 A'02:01 FIAGLIAV S 120-1228 100 SITAQPVKI 0.18 B'58:01 TULKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLIMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 GLIMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLITAGHHL M 148-156	VLNDILSRL	0.29	A*02:01	VLNDILSRL	S	976–984	100
QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK 0.20 DRB1*04:01 QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK S 1011-1028 100 SWFITQRNFFSPQII 0.60 DRB1*04:01 HWFVTQRNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 NLNESLIDL 0.29 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1192-1200 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A*02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A*02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.18 B*58:01 TVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLIMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLIPQGTTL M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTFKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 GLIPQGTTL N 159-167	LITGRLQSL	0.42	A*02:01	LITGRLQSL	S	996–1004	100
SWFITQRNFFSPQII 0.60 DRB1*04:01 HWFVTQRNFYEPQII S 1101-1115 73 RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 NLNESLIDL 0.29 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1182-1200 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A*02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 RFFTLGSITAQPVKI 0.18 B*58:01 RITGTVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 STAQPVKI 0.29 B*58:01 TVLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 ALDLPOGTTL N 159-167 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLLDR N 215-224 <td< td=""><td>QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK</td><td>0.20</td><td>DRB1*04:01</td><td>QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK</td><td>S</td><td>1011–1028</td><td>100</td></td<>	QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK	0.20	DRB1*04:01	QLIRAAEIRASANLAATK	S	1011–1028	100
RLNEVAKNL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNEVAKNL S 1185-1193 100 NLNESLIDL 0.29 A*02:01 NLNESLIDL S 1192-1200 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A*02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 RFFTLGSITAQPVKI 0.18 B*58:01 RIFTIGTYTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.29 B*58:01 TVLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLDRL N 215-224 80 LALLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 <td>SWFITQRNFFSPQII</td> <td>0.60</td> <td>DRB1*04:01</td> <td>HWFVTQRNFYEPQII</td> <td>S</td> <td>1101–1115</td> <td>73</td>	SWFITQRNFFSPQII	0.60	DRB1*04:01	HWFVTQRNFYEPQII	S	1101–1115	73
NLNESLIDL 0.29 A'02:01 NLNESLIDL S 1192-1200 100 FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A'02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 RFFTLGSITAQPVKI 0.18 B'58:01 RIFTIGTVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.29 B'58:01 TVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 159-167 100 ILQPQGTTL 0.38 B'40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LLLDRLNOL 0.42 A'02:01 LLLDRLNOL N 222-230 100 LLLDRLNOL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 <	RLNEVAKNL	0.42	A*02:01	RLNEVAKNL	S	1185–1193	100
FIAGLIAIV 0.80 A'02:01 FIAGLIAIV S 1220-1228 100 RFFTLGSITAQPVKI 0.18 B'58:01 RIFTIGTVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.29 B'58:01 TVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138-146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A'02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B'40:01 GDAALALLLDR N 215-224 80 LALLLDRL 0.42 A'02:01 LALLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLUDRLNQL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.42 A'02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 <td>NLNESLIDL</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>A*02:01</td> <td>NLNESLIDL</td> <td>S</td> <td>1192–1200</td> <td>100</td>	NLNESLIDL	0.29	A*02:01	NLNESLIDL	S	1192–1200	100
RFFTLGSITAQPVKI 0.18 B*58:01 RIFTIGTVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 6-20 40 SITAQPVKI 0.29 B*58:01 TVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138-146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLIDRL 0.42 A*02:01 LALLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKAYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 316-324 100 <td>FIAGLIAIV</td> <td>0.80</td> <td>A*02:01</td> <td>FIAGLIAIV</td> <td>S</td> <td>1220–1228</td> <td>100</td>	FIAGLIAIV	0.80	A*02:01	FIAGLIAIV	S	1220–1228	100
SITAQPVKI 0.29 B*58:01 TVTLKQGEI Orf 3a 12-20 22 TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A*02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138-146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 326-321 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 322-331 100	RFFTLGSITAQPVKI	0.18	B*58:01	RIFTIGTVTLKQGEI	Orf 3a	6–20	40
TLACFVLAAV 0.59 A'02:01 TLACFVLAAV M 61-70 100 GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A'02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89-97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138-146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A'02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B'40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLDRL 0.29 A'02:01 LALLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNOL 0.42 A'02:01 LLLDRLNOL N 222-230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A'02:01 RLNQLESKM N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A'02:01 RKNYVTQAF N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 A'02:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A'24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345-353 78 <td>SITAQPVKI</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>B*58:01</td> <td>TVTLKQGEI</td> <td>Orf 3a</td> <td>12–20</td> <td>22</td>	SITAQPVKI	0.29	B*58:01	TVTLKQGEI	Orf 3a	12–20	22
GLMWLSYFV 0.59 A*02:01 GLMWLSYFI M 89–97 89 HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148-156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138-146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLDRL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LALLLDRL N 222-230 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 A*02:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100	TLACFVLAAV	0.59	A*02:01	TLACFVLAAV	М	61–70	100
HLRMAGHSL 0.40 Class I HLRIAGHHL M 148–156 78 ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A'02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138–146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A'02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159–167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B'40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215–224 80 LALLLDRL 0.29 A'02:01 LALLLLDRL N 219–227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A'02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222–230 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A'02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226–234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265–274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A'02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316–324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B'40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322–331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.42 A'02:01 CLEASFNYL N 345–353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A'02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139–2147	GLMWLSYFV	0.59	A*02:01	GLMWLSYFI	М	89–97	89
ALNTPKDHI 0.29 A*02:01 ALNTPKDHI N 138–146 100 LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159–167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222-230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Nr 345-353 78 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 KLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 29292-2300	HLRMAGHSL	0.40	Class I	HLRIAGHHL	М	148–156	78
LQLPQGTTL 0.29 A*02:01 LQLPQGTTL N 159-167 100 GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222-230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89	ALNTPKDHI	0.29	A*02:01	ALNTPKDHI	N	138–146	100
GETALALLLL 0.38 B*40:01 GDAALALLLL N 215-224 80 LALLLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLLDRL N 219-227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222-230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 219-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292-2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89 <td>LQLPQGTTL</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>A*02:01</td> <td>LQLPQGTTL</td> <td>Ν</td> <td>159–167</td> <td>100</td>	LQLPQGTTL	0.29	A*02:01	LQLPQGTTL	Ν	159–167	100
LALLLLDRL 0.29 A*02:01 LALLLLDRL N 219–227 100 LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222–230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226–234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265–274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316–324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322–331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345–353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139–2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292–2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 <t< td=""><td>GETALALLLL</td><td>0.38</td><td>B*40:01</td><td>GDAALALLLL</td><td>Ν</td><td>215–224</td><td>80</td></t<>	GETALALLLL	0.38	B*40:01	GDAALALLLL	Ν	215–224	80
LLLDRLNQL 0.42 A*02:01 LLLDRLNQL N 222-230 100 RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292-2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840-2848 56 ALSQVECQV 0.42 A*02:01 SL PGVECQV Orf 1ab 2942-2950 78	LALLLLDRL	0.29	A*02:01	LALLLDRL	Ν	219–227	100
RLNQLESKV 0.42 A*02:01 RLNQLESKM N 226-234 89 TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292-2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840-2848 56 ALSQVECQV 0.42 A*02:01 SL PGVECQV Orf 1ab 2942-2950 78	LLLDRLNQL	0.42	A*02:01	LLLDRLNQL	Ν	222–230	100
TKQYNVTQAF 0.29 Class I TKAYNVTQAF N 265-274 90 GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316-324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322-331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345-353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292-2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840-2848 56 ALSGVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SILPGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942-2950 78	RLNQLESKV	0.42	A*02:01	RLNQLESKM	N	226–234	89
GMSRIGMEV 0.42 A*02:01 GMSRIGMEV N 316–324 100 MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322–331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345–353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139–2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292–2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 ALSQVECQV 0.42 A*02:01 SL PGVECQV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	TKQYNVTQAF	0.29	Class I	TKAYNVTQAF	Ν	265–274	90
MEVTPSGTWL 0.42 B*40:01 MEVTPSGTWL N 322–331 100 QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345–353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139–2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292–2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 AL SQVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SL PGVECQV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	GMSRIGMEV	0.42	A*02:01	GMSRIGMEV	Ν	316–324	100
QFKDNVILL 0.50 A*24:02 NFKDQVILL N 345–353 78 CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139–2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292–2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 AL SQVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SL PGVECQV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	MEVTPSGTWL	0.42	B*40:01	MEVTPSGTWL	N	322-331	100
CLDAGINYV 0.42 A*02:01 CLEASFNYL Orf 1ab 2139-2147 56 WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292-2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498-2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840-2848 56 AL SQVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SI PGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942-2950 78	QFKDNVILL	0.50	A*24:02	NFKDQVILL	N	345–353	78
WLMWFIISI 0.42 A*02:01 WLMWLIINL Orf 1ab 2292–2300 67 ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 ALSGVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SLPGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	CLDAGINYV	0.42	A*02:01	CLEASFNYL	Orf 1ab	2139–2147	56
ILLLDQVLV 0.42 A*02:01 ILLLDQALV Orf 1ab 2498–2506 89 LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 ALSGVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SI PGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	WLMWFIISI	0.42	A*02:01	WLMWLIINL	Orf 1ab	2292-2300	67
LLCVLAALV 0.42 A*02:01 SACVLAAEC Orf 1ab 2840–2848 56 ALSGVECGV 0.42 A*02:01 SLPGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942–2950 78	ILLLDQVLV	0.42	A*02:01	ILLLDQALV	Orf 1ab	2498-2506	89
ALSGVECGV 0.42 4*02*01 SLPGVECGV Orf 1ab 2942-2950 78	LLCVLAALV	0.42	A*02:01	SACVLAAEC	Orf 1ab	2840-2848	56
	ALSGVFCGV	0.42	A*02:01	SLPGVFCGV	Orf 1ab	2942-2950	78
TLMNVITLV 0.42 A*02:01 TLMNVLTLV Orf 1ab 3639–3647 89	TLMNVITLV	0.42	A*02:01	TLMNVLTLV	Orf 1ab	3639–3647	89
SMWALVISV 0.42 A*02:01 SMWALIISV Orf 1ab 3661-3669 89	SMWALVISV	0.42	A*02:01	SMWALIISV	Orf 1ab	3661-3669	89

S, surface glycoprotein; M, membrane protein; N, nucleocapsid phosphoprotein. ^aRestrictions defined only in HLA-transgenic mice are indicated by the italicized font.



Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein (PDB: 6VSB)

The calculated surface of the top 13 amino acid residues predicted to be B cell epitopes based on ranking performed with Discotope 2.0 are shown in red. The monomer is shown in the upper left. The upper right and lower center present the trimer in two different orientations. 3D-rendering was performed using YASARA (Krieger and Vriend, 2014).

We also sought to address potential SARS-CoV-2 epitopes by a completely different method, namely utilizing the epitope predictions hosted by the IEDB (Dhanda et al., 2019; Vita et al., 2019). For B cell epitopes, we used methods that predict linear epitopes (Jespersen et al., 2017), and in the case of the spike glycoprotein where a reliable structure recently became available (Wrapp et al., 2020), the Discotope 2.0 (Kringelum et al., 2012) method that also predicts epitopes based on protein conformation and residue exposure. The Discotope prediction independently confirmed two of the likely epitope regions defined on the basis of SARS-CoV data.

In the case of T cell epitopes, we utilized predictive algorithms (Jurtz et al., 2017; Paul et al., 2016) to map hundreds of potential human epitopes to account for HLA polymorphism and for the fact that T cell epitopes are typically derived from both structural and non-structural proteins and not limited to exposed regions. Here, as an independent validation of the predictions, we asked whether the predictions effectively identified the relatively few epitopes identified experimentally in SARS-CoV, restricted by human HLA, and conserved in SARS-CoV-2. Indeed, we found that 12 of 17 SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes with high sequence identity (\geq 90%) to the SARS-CoV were independently identified by the epitope predictions based on SARS-CoV-2 sequences.

In conclusion, the use of available information related to SARS-CoV epitopes in conjunction with bioinformatic predictions points to specific regions of SARS-CoV-2 that have a high likelihood of being recognized by human immune responses. The observation that many B and T cell epitopes are highly conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV is important. Vaccination strategies designed to target the immune response toward these conserved epitope regions could generate immunity that is not only cross-protective across *Beta-coronaviruses* but also relatively resistant to ongoing virus evolution.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY
- METHOD DETAILS
 - IEDB Analysis of Coronavirus T and B Epitopes
 - Comparison of Coronavirus Sequences to SARS-CoV-2
 - Determination of SARS-CoV-2 Sequence Conservation
 - SARS-CoV-2 B Cell Epitope Prediction
 - SARS-CoV-2 T Cell Epitope Prediction
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
- DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chom.2020.03.002.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Erica Ollmann Saphire, Sharon Schendel, and Mitchell Kronenberg for critical reading of the manuscript and numerous helpful suggestions. We also thank Jason McLellan and Barney Graham for early access to the PDB structure of the SARS-2 spike glycoprotein. Support for the work included funding provided through NIH-NIAID contracts 75N9301900065 (A.S.) and 75N93019C00001 (A.S. and B.P.). Additional support was provided by NIH-NIAID contract 75N93019C00076 (Y.Z. and R.H.S.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.G., J.S., and Y.Z. performed analyses and wrote the paper; R.H.S. wrote the paper and provided direction for viral analyses; B.P. conceived the project, wrote the paper, and provided expertise to bioinformatic components; and A.S. conceived the project, wrote the paper, and provided overall direction for the study.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

La Jolla Institute for Immunology (LJI) has filed a patent application regarding this manuscript.

Received: February 13, 2020 Revised: February 26, 2020 Accepted: March 5, 2020 Published: March 16, 2020

REFERENCES

Carrasco Pro, S., Sidney, J., Paul, S., Lindestam Arlehamn, C., Weiskopf, D., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2015). Automatic Generation of Validated Specific Epitope Sets. J. Immunol. Res. *2015*, 763461.

Cheung, Y.K., Cheng, S.C., Sin, F.W., Chan, K.T., and Xie, Y. (2007). Induction of T-cell response by a DNA vaccine encoding a novel HLA-A*0201 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus epitope. Vaccine *25*, 6070–6077.

Cheung, Y.K., Cheng, S.C., Sin, F.W., Chan, K.T., and Xie, Y. (2008). Investigation of immunogenic T-cell epitopes in SARS virus nucleocapsid protein and their role in the prevention and treatment of SARS infection. Hong Kong Med. J. *14* (*Suppl 4*), *27*–30.

Chow, S.C., Ho, C.Y., Tam, T.T., Wu, C., Cheung, T., Chan, P.K., Ng, M.H., Hui, P.K., Ng, H.K., Au, D.M., and Lo, A.W. (2006). Specific epitopes of the structural and hypothetical proteins elicit variable humoral responses in SARS patients. J. Clin. Pathol. *59*, 468–476.

da Silva Antunes, R., Paul, S., Sidney, J., Weiskopf, D., Dan, J.M., Phillips, E., Mallal, S., Crotty, S., Sette, A., and Lindestam Arlehamn, C.S. (2018). Correction: Definition of Human Epitopes Recognized in Tetanus Toxoid and Development of an Assay Strategy to Detect Ex Vivo Tetanus CD4+ T Cell Responses. PLoS One *13*, e0193382.

de Wit, E., van Doremalen, N., Falzarano, D., and Munster, V.J. (2016). SARS and MERS: recent insights into emerging coronaviruses. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *14*, 523–534.

Dhanda, S.K., Mahajan, S., Paul, S., Yan, Z., Kim, H., Jespersen, M.C., Jurtz, V., Andreatta, M., Greenbaum, J.A., Marcatili, P., Sette, A., Nielsen, M., and Peters, B. (2019). IEDB-AR: immune epitope database-analysis resource in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. *47*, W502–W506.

Dhanda, S.K., Vita, R., Ha, B., Grifoni, A., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2018). ImmunomeBrowser: a tool to aggregate and visualize complex and heterogeneous epitopes in reference proteins. Bioinformatics *34*, 3931–3933.

Grifoni, A., Angelo, M.A., Lopez, B., O'Rourke, P.H., Sidney, J., Cerpas, C., Balmaseda, A., Silveira, C.G.T., Maestri, A., Costa, P.R., et al. (2017). Global Assessment of Dengue Virus-Specific CD4⁺ T Cell Responses in Dengue-Endemic Areas. Front. Immunol. *8*, 1309.

Grifoni, A., Costa-Ramos, P., Pham, J., Tian, Y., Rosales, S.L., Seumois, G., Sidney, J., de Silva, A.D., Premkumar, L., Collins, M.H., et al. (2018). Cutting Edge: Transcriptional Profiling Reveals Multifunctional and Cytotoxic Antiviral Responses of Zika Virus-Specific CD8⁺ T Cells. J. Immunol. *201*, 3487–3491.

Guo, J.P., Petric, M., Campbell, W., and McGeer, P.L. (2004). SARS corona virus peptides recognized by antibodies in the sera of convalescent cases. Virology *324*, 251–256.

Hu, H., Li, L., Kao, R.Y., Kou, B., Wang, Z., Zhang, L., Zhang, H., Hao, Z., Tsui, W.H., Ni, A., et al. (2005). Screening and identification of linear B-cell epitopes and entry-blocking peptide of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus using synthetic overlapping peptide library. J. Comb. Chem. *7*, 648–656.

Jespersen, M.C., Peters, B., Nielsen, M., and Marcatili, P. (2017). BepiPred-2.0: improving sequence-based B-cell epitope prediction using conformational epitopes. Nucleic Acids Res. 45 (W1), W24–W29.

Jurtz, V., Paul, S., Andreatta, M., Marcatili, P., Peters, B., and Nielsen, M. (2017). NetMHCpan-4.0: Improved Peptide-MHC Class I Interaction Predictions Integrating Eluted Ligand and Peptide Binding Affinity Data. J. Immunol. *199*, 3360–3368.

Kohyama, S., Ohno, S., Suda, T., Taneichi, M., Yokoyama, S., Mori, M., Kobayashi, A., Hayashi, H., Uchida, T., and Matsui, M. (2009). Efficient induction of cytotoxic T lymphocytes specific for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-associated coronavirus by immunization with surface-linked liposomal peptides derived from a non-structural polyprotein 1a. Antiviral Res. *84*, 168–177.

Krieger, E., and Vriend, G. (2014). YASARA View - molecular graphics for all devices - from smartphones to workstations. Bionformatics *30*, 2981–2982.

Kringelum, J.V., Lundegaard, C., Lund, O., and Nielsen, M. (2012). Reliable B cell epitope predictions: impacts of method development and improved benchmarking. PLoS Comput. Biol. *8*, e1002829.

Liu, S.J., Leng, C.H., Lien, S.P., Chi, H.Y., Huang, C.Y., Lin, C.L., Lian, W.C., Chen, C.J., Hsieh, S.L., and Chong, P. (2006). Immunological characterizations of the nucleocapsid protein based SARS vaccine candidates. Vaccine *24*, 3100–3108.

Middleton, D., Menchaca, L., Rood, H., and Komerofsky, R. (2003). New allele frequency database: http://www.allelefrequencies.net. Tissue Antigens *61*, 403–407.

Paul, S., Weiskopf, D., Angelo, M.A., Sidney, J., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2013). HLA class I alleles are associated with peptide-binding repertoires of different size, affinity, and immunogenicity. J. Immunol. *191*, 5831–5839.

Paul, S., Dillon, M.B.C., Arlehamn, C.S.L., Huang, H., Davis, M.M., McKinney, D.M., Scriba, T.J., Sidney, J., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2015a). A population response analysis approach to assign class II HLA-epitope restrictions. J. Immunol. *194*, 6164–6176.

Paul, S., Lindestam Arlehamn, C.S., Scriba, T.J., Dillon, M.B., Oseroff, C., Hinz, D., McKinney, D.M., Carrasco Pro, S., Sidney, J., Peters, B., and Sette, A. (2015b). Development and validation of a broad scheme for prediction of HLA class II restricted T cell epitopes. J. Immunol. Methods *422*, 28–34.

Paul, S., Sidney, J., Sette, A., and Peters, B. (2016). TepiTool: A Pipeline for Computational Prediction of T Cell Epitope Candidates. Curr. Protoc. Immunol. *114*, 18.19.1–18.19.24.

Pickett, B.E., Sadat, E.L., Zhang, Y., Noronha, J.M., Squires, R.B., Hunt, V., Liu, M., Kumar, S., Zaremba, S., Gu, Z., et al. (2012). ViPR: an open bioinformatics database and analysis resource for virology research. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D593–D598.

Sette, A., Moutaftsi, M., Moyron-Quiroz, J., McCausland, M.M., Davies, D.H., Johnston, R.J., Peters, B., Rafii-El-Idrissi Benhnia, M., Hoffmann, J., Su, H.P., et al. (2008). Selective CD4+ T cell help for antibody responses to a large viral pathogen: deterministic linkage of specificities. Immunity *28*, 847–858.

Shichijo, S., Keicho, N., Long, H.T., Quy, T., Phi, N.C., Ha, L.D., Ban, V.V., Itoyama, S., Hu, C.J., Komatsu, N., et al. (2004). Assessment of synthetic peptides of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus recognized by long-lasting immunity. Tissue Antigens *64*, 600–607.

Sidney, J., Peters, B., Frahm, N., Brander, C., and Sette, A. (2008). HLA class I supertypes: a revised and updated classification. BMC Immunol. 9, 1.

Song, Z., Xu, Y., Bao, L., Zhang, L., Yu, P., Qu, Y., Zhu, H., Zhao, W., Han, Y., and Qin, C. (2019). From SARS to MERS, Thrusting Coronaviruses into the Spotlight. Viruses *11*, https://doi.org/10.3390/v11010059.

Tian, Y., Grifoni, A., Sette, A., and Weiskopf, D. (2019). Human T Cell Response to Dengue Virus Infection. Front. Immunol. *10*, 2125.

Tsao, Y.P., Lin, J.Y., Jan, J.T., Leng, C.H., Chu, C.C., Yang, Y.C., and Chen, S.L. (2006). HLA-A*0201 T-cell epitopes in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus nucleocapsid and spike proteins. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *344*, 63–71.

Vita, R., Mahajan, S., Overton, J.A., Dhanda, S.K., Martini, S., Cantrell, J.R., Wheeler, D.K., Sette, A., and Peters, B. (2019). The Immune Epitope Database (IEDB): 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res. *47* (D1), D339–D343.

Wang, J., Wen, J., Li, J., Yin, J., Zhu, Q., Wang, H., Yang, Y., Qin, E., You, B., Li, W., et al. (2003). Assessment of immunoreactive synthetic peptides from the structural proteins of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Clin. Chem. *49*, 1989–1996.

Wang, Q., Zhang, L., Kuwahara, K., Li, L., Liu, Z., Li, T., Zhu, H., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Xie, J., et al. (2016). Immunodominant SARS Coronavirus Epitopes in Humans Elicited both Enhancing and Neutralizing Effects on Infection in Non-human Primates. ACS Infect. Dis. *2*, 361–376.

Weiskopf, D., Angelo, M.A., de Azeredo, E.L., Sidney, J., Greenbaum, J.A., Fernando, A.N., Broadwater, A., Kolla, R.V., De Silva, A.D., de Silva, A.M., et al. (2013). Comprehensive analysis of dengue virus-specific responses supports an HLA-linked protective role for CD8+ T cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, E2046–E2053.

Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K.S., Goldsmith, J.A., Hsieh, C.L., Abiona, O., Graham, B.S., and McLellan, J.S. (2020). Cryo-EM structure of the 2019nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abb2507.

Wu, A., Peng, Y., Huang, B., Ding, X., Wang, X., Niu, P., Meng, J., Zhu, Z., Zhang, Z., Wang, J., et al. (2020). Genome Composition and Divergence of the Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Originating in China. Cell Host Microbe. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2020.02.001.

Yang, J., James, E., Roti, M., Huston, L., Gebe, J.A., and Kwok, W.W. (2009). Searching immunodominant epitopes prior to epidemic: HLA class IIrestricted SARS-CoV spike protein epitopes in unexposed individuals. Int. Immunol. *21*, 63–71.

Zhang, Q., Wang, P., Kim, Y., Haste-Andersen, P., Beaver, J., Bourne, P.E., Bui, H.H., Buus, S., Frankild, S., Greenbaum, J., et al. (2008). Immune epitope database analysis resource (IEDB-AR). Nucleic Acids Res. *36*, W513-8.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Deposited Data		
SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein 3D- structure	Wrapp et al., 2020	PDB ID: 6VSB
Wuhan-Hu-1 RNA isolate	NCBI nuccore database	GenBank:MN908947
ORF10 protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009725255.1
Nucleocapsid phosphoprotein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724397.2
ORF8 protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724396.1
ORF7a protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724395.1
ORF6 protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724394.1
membrane glycoprotein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724393.1
envelope protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724392.1
ORF3a protein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724391.1
surface glycoprotein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724390.1
orf1ab polyprotein	NCBI protein database	NCBI: YP_009724389.1
Software and Algorithms		
YASARA	Krieger and Vriend, 2014	http://www.yasara.org
IEDB	Vita et al., 2019	https://www.iedb.org
BebiPred 2.0	Jespersen et al., 2017	http://tools.iedb.org/bcell/
Discotope 2.0	Kringelum et al., 2012	http://tools.iedb.org/bcell/
NetMHCpan EL 4.0	Jurtz et al., 2017	http://tools.iedb.org/mhci/
Tepitool	Paul et al., 2016	http://tools.iedb.org/tepitool/

LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Please contact A.S. (alex@lji.org) for aliquots of synthesized sets of peptides identified in this study. There are restrictions to the availability of the peptide reagents due to cost and limited quantity.

METHOD DETAILS

IEDB Analysis of Coronavirus T and B Epitopes

T and B cell epitopes for coronaviruses were identified by searching the IEDB at the end of January 2020. Queries were performed broadly for coronaviruses (taxonomy ID no. 11118), selecting positive assays in T cell, B cell and/or ligand contexts. Characteristics of each unique epitope (i.e., species, protein of provenance, positive assay type(s), MHC restriction) were tabulated, as well as the total number of donors tested and corresponding total number of donors with positive responses in B or T cell assays, and as a function of host. Finally, T or B cell assay specific response frequency scores (RF) were calculated broadly (i.e., any host), or for specific contexts (e.g., T cell assays in humans). Specifically, RF = [(r - sqrt(r)]/t, where r is the total number of responding donors and t is the total number of donors tested (Carrasco Pro et al., 2015)).

SARS-CoV (tax ID no. 694009) sequence epitope density was visualized with the IEDB Immunobrowser tool (Dhanda et al., 2018). To identity contiguous dominant regions, RF scores for each residue were recalculated to represent a sliding 10 residue window.

Comparison of Coronavirus Sequences to SARS-CoV-2

All full-length protein sequences from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV were retrieved from ViPR (https://www.viprbrc.org/brc/home.spg? decorator=corona) on 31 January 2020. In order to exclude sequences of experimental strains, sequences from "unknown," mouse, and monkey hosts were excluded from analysis. Remaining sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm in ViPR. Sequences causing poor alignments in a preliminary analysis were removed before computing the final alignment. The consensus protein sequences of each virus group were determined from the final alignments using the Sequence Variation Analysis tool in ViPR. Protein sequences from natural virus isolates with sequences identical to the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV consensus were selected for use in epitope sequence analysis.

Determination of SARS-CoV-2 Sequence Conservation

Each Wuhan-Hu-1 (GeneBank: MN908947) protein sequence was compared against the consensus protein sequences from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV and the protein sequences from closest bat relative (bat-SL-CoVZXC21) using the BLAST algorithm (ViPR; https://www.viprbrc.org/brc/blast.spg?method=ShowCleanInputPage&decorator=corona) to compute the pairwise identity between Wuhan-Hu-1 proteins and their comparison target.

SARS-CoV-2 B Cell Epitope Prediction

Linear B cell epitope predictions were carried out on three different coronavirus proteins: surface glycoprotein (S), nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (N) and membrane glycoprotein (M) (NCBI: YP_009724390.1, YP_009724397.2 and YP_009724393.1, respectively) as the homologous versions of these proteins are the primary targets of B cell immune responses for SARS-CoV. We used the BebiPred 2.0(Jespersen et al., 2017) algorithm embedded in the B cell prediction analysis tool available in IEDB (Zhang et al., 2008). For each protein, the epitope probability score for each amino acid and the probability of exposure was retrieved. Potential B cell epitopes were predicted using a cutoff of 0.55 (corresponding to specificity greater than 0.81 and sensitivity below 0.3) and considering sequences having more than 7 amino acid residues. Structure-based antibody prediction was performed by using Discotope 2.0 (Kringelum et al., 2012), available in IEDB (Zhang et al., 2008) and a positivity cutoff greater than -2.5 was applied (corresponding to specificity greater than or equal to 0.80 and sensitivity below 0.39), using the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein structure (PDB ID: 6VSB).

SARS-CoV-2 T Cell Epitope Prediction

Epitope prediction was carried out using the ten proteins predicted for the reference SARS-CoV-2 isolate, Wuhan-Hu-1. The corresponding protein accession identification numbers are: NCBI: YP_009725255.1 (Orf 10), NCBI: YP_009724397.2 (N), NCBI: YP_009724396.1 (Orf 8), NCBI: YP_009724395.1 (Orf 7a), NCBI: YP_009724394.1 (Orf 6), NCBI: YP_009724393.1 (M), NCBI: YP_009724392.1 (Envelope protein, E), NCBI: YP_009724391.1 (Orf 3a), NCBI: YP_009724390.1 (S), and NCBI: YP_009724389.1 (Orf 1ab).

For CD4 T cell epitope prediction, we applied a previously described algorithm that was developed to predict dominant HLA class I epitopes, using a median consensus percentile of prediction cutoff \leq 20 as recommended (Paul et al., 2015b). For CD8 T cell epitope prediction, we selected the 12 most frequent HLA class I alleles in the worldwide population (Middleton et al., 2003; Paul et al., 2013), using a phenotypic frequency cutoff \geq 6%. The specific alleles included were: HLA-A*01:01, HLA-A*02:01, HLA-A*03:01, HLA-A*11:01, HLA-A*23:01, HLA-A*24:02, HLA-B*07:02, HLA-B*08:01, HLA-B*35:01, HLA-B*40:01, HLA-B*44:02, HLA-B*44:03. The SARS-CoV-2 protein sequences were run against this set of alleles using the NetMHCpan EL 4.0 algorithm and a size range of 8-14mers (Jurtz et al., 2017). For each HLA class I allele analyzed, we selected the top 1% epitopes ranked based on prediction score. To generate a final set for synthesis, duplicate peptides (i.e., those selected for multiple alleles) were reduced to a single occurrence, and nested peptides were ensconced within longer sequences, up to 14 residues in length, before assigning the multiple corresponding HLA restrictions for each region.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

No statistical analyses were utilized in the present theoretical study, based on data in the published literature and publicly available databases. Calculations of % identity and response factor scores were performed as described in the Method Details, above.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

All data presented and analyzed in the present study was retrieved from the IEDB and PDB, as described above. The published article includes all data generated or analyzed during this study, and summarized in the accompanying tables, figures and Supplemental Materials. Text files of data downloaded from the IEDB are available from the corresponding author on request.