

OPEN

Association of apolipoprotein E genotype with outcome in hospitalized ischemic stroke patients

Yajing Zhang, MD, PhD^{a,b,c}, Shuling Liu, PhD^{b,c,d}, Wei Yue, MD, PhD^{b,c}, Zhihong Shi, MD, PhD^{b,c}, Yalin Guan, MD, MS^{b,c}, Mingzi Li, PhD^d, Yong Ji, MD, PhD^{b,c,*}, Xin Li, MD, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

The aim of this study was to study the ability of the genotype to predict impairment and disability in hospitalized ischemic stroke (IS) patients after hospital discharge and 6 months after the onset of stroke symptoms.

A total of 786 patients with a first IS were enrolled. Apolipoprotein E (*ApoE*) polymorphism was examined using polymerase chain reaction. Stroke subtype was classified using the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project classification scheme and the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment criteria. Impairment as assessed using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), and disability as measured using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), were compared against the *ApoE* genotype.

There was no significant association between the type of *ApoE* allele present and the stroke subtype. On multivariate regression analysis, the apolipoprotein E^{*}E4 allele genotype did not predict poor outcome at discharge and or at 6 months after stroke onset. A higher NIHSS score on admission, older age, and higher fasting glucose levels did predict poor outcome at hospital discharge. Higher glucose levels and higher NIHSS scores on admission were independent risk factors predicting poor neurologic status at 6 months after stroke onset.

The presence of the apolipoprotein E^{*}E4 and apolipoprotein E^{*}E2 genotypes, although related to cholesterol and triglyceride levels, do not affect recovery during rehabilitation. A higher NIHSS score on admission and a higher fasting glucose level predict poor neurologic status, both at hospital discharge and 6 months after onset.

Abbreviations: ApoA = apolipoprotein A, ApoB = Apolipoprotein B, ApoE = Apolipoprotein E, CD28 null cells = cluster differentiation(CD), CT = Computed Tomography, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, IS = Ischemic stroke, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, mRS = the modified Rankin Scale, NIHSS = the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OCSP = the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project, PCR-RFLP = polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment-length polymorphism, SPSS = the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, TC = Total cholesterol, TG = triglyceride, TOAST = the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment, VLDL = very-low-density lipoprotein.

Keywords: apolipoprotein E, ischemic stroke, NIHSS, outcome

1. Introduction

Ischemic stroke (IS) is an important cause of disability and death, with a high burden of disease seen in low-income and middle-

Editor: Peipeng Liang.

YZ and SL contributed equally to this work.

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (funding number: 81571057).

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

^a Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, ^b Department of Neurology, Tianjin Huanhu Hospital, Tianjin, China, ^c Tianjin Key Laboratory of Cerebrovascular Disease and Neurodegenerative Disease, Tianjin Huanhu Hospital, Tianjin, China, ^d School of Nursing, Peking University,Beijing, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Xin Li, Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, 23 Pingjiang Road, Hexi District, Tianjin 300211, China (e-mail: hhyyfirst@aliyun.com); Yong Ji, Department of Neurology, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Cerebrovascular Disease and Neurodegenerative Disease, Tianjin Huanhu Hospital, No.6 Jizhao Road, Jinnan District, Tianjin 300350, China (e-mail: jiyongusa@sina.com).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2017) 96:50(e8964)

Received: 10 July 2017 / Received in final form: 2 November 2017 / Accepted: 8 November 2017

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000008964

income countries.^[1,2] The occurrence of IS is affected not only by risk factors such as hypertension, alcohol consumption, smoking, age, comorbidities, white blood cell count, blood glucose level,^[3] and cluster differentiation (CD) 28 null cells,^[4] but also by genetic factors such as apolipoprotein genetic polymorphisms. Among these, only apolipoprotein E (*ApoE*) polymorphisms have an important role in lipid transport and metabolism.

ApoE has 3 alleles—epsilon 2, epsilon 3, and epsilon 4—so it has 3 isoforms (E2, E3, E4). These, in turn, form 6 possible *ApoE* genotypes (E4/4, E3/4, E3/3, E2/4, E2/3, E2/2).^[5] The *ApoE* isoforms differ by amino-acid substitutions at positions 158 and 112. The order of prevalence is E3 >E4 >E2 in most populations.

ApoE^{*}E2 increases triglyceride (TG)and cholesterol levels, resulting in type III hyperlipoproteinemia.^[5]*ApoE*^{*}E4 increases cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), and apolipoprotein B (*ApoB*) levels, resulting in atherosclerosis, hyperlipidemia, and cardiovascular disease.^[5] The accumulation and over expression of *ApoE* can stimulate very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and TG production, impair VLDL lipolysis, and cause hypertriglyceridemia. *ApoE* plays an important role in the normal metabolism of TG-rich lipoproteins: too much *ApoE* causes hypertriglyceridemia by impairing lipolysis and stimulating hepatic VLDL TG production, and too little *ApoE* impairs plasma clearance of TG-rich lipoproteins and their remnants.^[6]

 $ApoE^*E4$ increases the risk of cardiovascular disease.^[7] Some data suggest that ApoE is a regulator of bone metabolism and that $ApoE^*E2$ is a risk factor for low trabecular bone mass and

vertebral fractures in humans.^[8]ApoE^{*}E4 is the major known genetic risk factor for Alzheimer disease^[9]; it increases the formation of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles^[10] and contributes to the pathogenesisof Alzheimer disease, especially the late-onset familial form.^[11] Moreover, patients with ApoE4 show an increased risk and an earlier onset of the disease.^[9]ApoE^{*}E4 also affects the outcome of patients with acute head trauma^[12] and is associated with neurodegenerative disorders. It is suggested that ApoE is associated with the progression of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis^[13,14] and multiple sclerosis.^[15,16]

Some researchers suggest that ApoE is related to the atherosclerotic process.^[17] This may be because atherosclerosis is both an inflammatory disease and a lipid disorder.^[18]ApoE participates in lipid metabolism and accelerates atherogenesis. It has been shown that elevated levels of ApoE in plasma increase the risk of stroke.^[5] Some studies suggest that $ApoE^*E4$ is associated with a risk for IS^[19]; however, the role of ApoE in IS remains debatable.^[20,21]

We studied a total of 786 patients who were hospitalized with acute IS, with the aim of elucidating the relation between ApoE genotype and blood lipid levels, and of determining the predictive role of ApoE genotype in IS outcome and IS subtype in the Chinese population.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 786 consecutive patients with IS were recruited between December 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015 at Tianjin Huanhu Hospital, Tianjin, located in the northern part of China. The inclusion criteria were: a clinical diagnosis of acute IS at least 48 hours before; age 21 to 85 years; no previous stroke episodes; diagnosis confirmed by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); patients with both small vessel occlusion and large artery atherosclerosis IS were included; all the patients were of Han ethnicity. Patients with a past history of dementia, primary subarachnoid hemorrhage, or previous IS were excluded, as were those with comorbidities including renal failure, valvular heart disease, or hepatic failure. Patients who used statin medications before the current attack were excluded. Patients with a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale $(NIHSS) \ge 24$ on admission (severe stroke) were excluded, as were patients who received intravenous thrombolysis, thrombectomy, or endarterectomy. We included patients who were treated with single antiplatelet agents and normal-dose statins. Doppler ultrasound of the neck vessels and transcranial Doppler were performed in all patients; when Doppler was not conclusive, CT or magnetic resonance angiography was performed.

Stroke subtype was classified as total or partial anterior circulation infarction, lacunar infarction, or posterior circulation infarction, according to Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project (OCSP) classification Scheme.^[22] Patients were classified as having large artery atherosclerosis or small vessel occlusionac-cording to Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) criteria.^[23] Patients who could not be subtyped according to either the OCSP or TOAST classification systems were excluded from the study.

Baseline information was collected within 8 hours of hospital admission by personal interviews with the patient or their family members. Demographic data, lifestyle risk factors, and medical history were collected using a standard questionnaire. Diabetes was defined as a fasting blood glucose level \geq 7 mmol/L. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mmHg on 3 separate occasions.

2.2. Clinical assessment

Patients with acute IS were assessed at admission and at hospital discharge (3 weeks ± 3 days after onset) by experienced members of the medical staff, using the NIHSS and the modified Rankin Scale (mRS).Outcome was measured at hospital discharge using the mRS and the NIHSS. A score on mRS ≤ 2 and NIHSS ≤ 10 was classified as a "better outcome."^[24]

Patients were asked to return to the hospital 6 months after stroke onset for follow-up examination with mRS score determination. If a patient did not return, we telephoned and asked them about their condition, then recorded the mRS score.

2.3. Ethics statement

Written informed consent for study participation was obtained from each patient following a detailed explanation of the protocol and objectives.

2.4. Blood sample collection

Blood samples were obtained within 24 hours of admission, after patients had been in the supine position for 30 minutes and had fasted overnight for 12 to 14 hours. Peripheral venous blood from the antecubital vein was drawn from all patients. Blood samples were then placed into plasma separator tubes with K2-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and into serum-separator tubes for centrifugation.

2.5. Laboratory measurements

Total cholesterol (TC) and TG levels were measured using an enzymatic colorimetric method, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) was measured using the monophasic colorimetric method. Serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated usingthe Friedewald equation.^[25] Biochemical measurements were performed using a commercial kit (Shanghai Hua Chen Inc., Shanghai, China) and an automated analyzer (ADVIA2400; Siemens, Germany). Fasting glucose was measured using the glucose oxidase-perioxidase method.

2.6. Genetic analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole-blood samples, and the ApoE gene was genotyped using polymerase chain reactionrestriction fragment-length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) as described by Ji et al.^[26] A set of primers was designed to amplify the ApoE gene: 5'-TCCAAGGAG-GTGCAGGCGGCGCA-3' (up-5'-ACAGAATTCGCCCCGGCCTGGTAstream) and CACTGCCA-3' (downstream). The PCR assays were carried out using 200 ng of genomic DNA, 25 pmol of primer, 2.5 µL of 10% dimethyl sulfoxide, and 0.5U of Thermus aquaticus (Taq) DNA polymerase in a final volume of 25 µL. The DNA was denatured at 94°C for 5 minutes followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 1 minute), annealing (65°C, 1 minute), and extension (72°C, 1 minute), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR product (20 µL) then was digested with 5 U of Table 1

Baseline characteristics accor	ding to ApoE phenotype).		
	E3/E3 (n = 564)	E2/E3 (n=106)	E4/E3 + E4/E4 (n = 116)	Р
Sex (% female)	32.4	36.2	28.3	.454
Age, y	63.5 (11.5)	62.0 (12.6)	62 (10.7)	.251
Hypertension (%)	69.7	70.9	67.2	.826
Diabetes (%)	31.2	30.1	27.6	.735
CAD (%)	25.3	26.2	30.2	.556
Current smoking (%)	55.2	63.6	65	.287
Current drinking (%)	32.1	37.4	32.4	.592
Laboratory findings				
TG, mmol/L	1.61 (1.31)	2.15 (1.48)	1.84 (1.09)	.000 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P<.000)
TC, mmol/L	4.87 (1.01)	5.04 (1.05)	5.13 (1.02)	.028 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P=.016)
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.07 (0.31)	1.16 (0.30)	1.03 (0.30)	.006 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P=.007)
LDL-C, mmol/L	3.03 (0.82)	2.70 (0.81)	3.26 (0.81)	.000 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P<.000)
				(E3/3 vs. E3/4+E4/4, P=.006)
<i>ApoA</i> , g/L	1.20 (0.22)	1.30 (0.22)	1.18 (0.25)	.000 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P<.000)
<i>ApoB</i> , g/L	1.10 (0.25)	1.02 (0.25)	1.19 (0.29)	.000 (E2/3 vs. E3/3, P=.014)
				(E3/3 vs. E3/4+E4/4, P=.001)
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	6.35 (2.44)	6.43 (2.43)	6.37 (2.43)	.954
NIHSS score on admission (median)	4.31	2.71	2.67	.946
OCSP subtype				.052
TACI	7 (70%)	0	3 (30.0%)	
PACI	311 (70.5%)	62 (14.1%)	68 (15.4%)	
POCI	239 (74.9%)	41 (12.9%)	39 (12.2%)	
LACI	7 (43.8%)	3 (18.8%)	6 (37.5%)	
TOAST subtype				.797
CS	4 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.7%)	
LAA	464 (82.3%)	85 (80.2%)	91 (78.4%)	
SAA	93 (16.5%)	21 (19.8%)	23 (19.8%)	
SOE	2 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
SUE	1 (0.2%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	

ApoA = apolipoprotein A, ApoB = apolipoprotein B, CAD = coronary artery disease, CS = cardiogenic stroke, HDL-C = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LAA = large-artery atherosclerosis, LACI = lacunar type infarction, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, NIHSS = National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OCSP = 0xfordshire Community Stroke Project, PACI = partial anterior circulation infarction, POCI = posterior circulation infarction, SAA = small artery occlusion, SOE = stroke of other demonstrated etiology, SUE = stroke of other undemonstrated etiology, TACI = total anterior circulation infarction, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglycerides, TOAST = Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment.

Cfo1 for at least 3 hours at 37°C, followed by electrophoresis for 2 hours at 200 V in 12% native polyacrylamide gel stained with $0.5 \,\mu$ g/mL of ethidium bromide. The DNA size was determined under ultraviolet light.

2.7. Statistical methods

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 16.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The χ^2 test and Fisher *F* test were used for independent categorical variables, and the Mann–Whitney *U* test and Student *t* test were applied for parametric and nonparametric variables, respectively. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation or as the percentage, where appropriate. A *P* value of <0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. A logistic regression model was used for multivariate analysis.

3. Results

A total of 786 patients (531 men and 255 women) with a mean age of 63.1 ± 11.6 years (range, 21–85 years) were hospitalized with their first IS during the study period. Owing to the very small number of patients with the E4/4 genotype (n=7), the E3/4 and E4/4 genotypes were grouped together. There were no patients with the E2/2 genotype. Patients' baseline characteristics according to *ApoE* phenotype are summarized in Table 1. Serum TG, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, apolipoprotein A (*ApoA*), and *ApoB*

levels were significantly different between *ApoE* epsilon (E2/3, E3/3, and E4) carriers. The patients with the E2/3 genotype had higher TG levels (P < .000), TC levels (P = .016), HDL-C levels (P = .007), and *ApoA* levels (P = .007), and lower LDL-C levels (P < .000) and *ApoB* levels (P < .000) than the patients with E3/3. The patients with E3/4 or E4/4 genotypes had higher LDL-C levels (P < .000) and *ApoB* levels (P = .001) than the patients with E3/3. There was no significant difference observed between the *ApoE* allele distribution and stroke subtype (Table 1).

Univariate analysis using the mRS score at hospital discharge showed that the group with poor stroke outcome were of an older age and had higher TC levels, higher LDL-C levels, higher ApoB levels, and higher NIHSS scores on admission than those with a good stroke outcome (Table 2).On multivariate regression analysis, only older age and higher NIHSS scores on admission were independent risk factors predicting poor neurologic status at discharge (P < .000; Table 3).

On univariate analysis using the NIHSS score at hospital discharge, the group with poor stroke outcomes were of an older age and had lower TG levels, higher fasting glucose levels, and higher NIHSS scores on admission than those with good stroke outcomes (Table 4). On multivariate regression analysis, the $ApoE^*$ E4 allele did not predict poor neurologic status at discharge. Older age, higher fasting glucose levels, and higher NIHSS scores on admission were independent factors predicting poor outcome at discharge (Table 5).

Table 2

Sociodemographic and clinical features according to stroke outcome.

	Modified Rankin Scale >2 (384)	Modified Rankin Scale \leq 2 (402)	Р
Sex (% female)	30.8	34.1	.360
Age, y	65 ± 10	61±11	<.000
History			
Hypertension (%)	69.8	69.2	.876
Diabetes (%)	31.2	30.1	.735
CAD (%)	25.3	26.2	.556
Smoking (%)	55.2	63.6	.287
Alcohol consumption (%)	32.1	37.4	.592
Laboratory findings			
TG, mmol/L	1.63 (0.96)	1.80 (1.58)	.064
TC, mmol/L	5.01 (1.05)	4.86 (1.00)	.038
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.07 (0.27)	1.08 (0.35)	.654
LDL-C, mmol/L	3.13 (0.87)	2.92 (0.78)	.001
ApoA, g/L	1.21 (0.21)	1.22 (0.24)	.654
<i>ApoB,</i> g/L	1.13 (0.27)	1.08 (0.25)	.010
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	6.48 (2.36)	6.25 (2.50)	.206
NIHSS score on admission (median)	8.03	2.60	<.000
ApoE genotype			.430
2/3 (%)	43.4	56.6	
3/3 (%)	51.7	48.3	
3/4+4/4 (%)	49.3	50.7	

ApoA=apolipoprotein A, ApoB=apolipoprotein B, ApoE=apolipoprotein E, CAD=coronary artery disease, HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C=low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, NIHSS=National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, TC=total cholesterol, TG=triglycerides.

On univariate analysis as measured by the mRS at 6 months after stroke onset, the group with poor stroke outcome had lower TG levels and higher NIHSS scores on admission than those with good stroke outcome (Table 6). On multivariate regression analysis, only higher blood glucose levels and higher NIHSS scores on admission were independent risk factors predicting poor neurologic status at 6 months after stroke onset (P=.015; P<.000; Table 7).

4. Discussion

ApoE, a commonly investigated genetic marker, plays a central role in cholesterol and TG metabolism.^[27] The different *ApoE* alleles define different LDL-C levels: the lowest levels are seen with E2, the highest are seen with E4, and intermediate LDL-C levels are seen with E3. This variability appears constant in different populations,^[28] and our acute stroke patients were consistent with this pattern.

Table 3	
Factors influencing ischemic stroke.	
	-

	b	Wald χ^2	OR	95% CI	Р
Age	0.036	13.703	1.306	1.017~1.056	<.000
TC	0.089	0.168	1.093	0.714~1.674	.682
LDL-C	0.223	0.586	1.249	0.707~2.209	.444
АроВ	0.888	0.767	2.431	0.333~17.742	.381
NIHSS score on admission	0.739	175.447	2.095	1.878~2.337	<.000
ApoE (2/3 vs. 3/3)	-0.638	3.048	0.529	0.258~1.081	.081
ApoE (3/4+4/4 vs. 3/3)	0.079	0.069	1.082	0.600~1.954	.793

ApoB= apolipoprotein B, ApoE= apolipoprotein E, b=constant, CI=confidence interval, LDL-C= low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, NIHSS=National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR=odds ratio, TC=total cholesterol.

Table 4

Sociodemographic and clinical features according to stroke outcome.

	NIHSS >10	NIHSS \leq 10	Р
Sex (% female)	34.5	32.2	.716
Age, y	66±11	63±12	.023
History			
Hypertension (%)	69.9	65.9	.455
Diabetes (%)	30.1	34.1	.456
CAD (%)	25.5	31.8	.239
Smoking (%)	56.6	54.2	.725
Alcohol consumption (%)	32.5	36.1	.536
Laboratory findings			
TG, mmol/L	1.45 (0.79)	1.75 (1.36)	.004
TC, mmol/L	4.90 (0.94)	4.94 (1.03)	.777
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.07 (0.29)	1.08 (0.31)	.940
LDL-C, mmol/L	3.06 (0.82)	3.02 (0.83)	.674
ApoA, g/L	1.19 (0.24)	1.22 (0.22)	.313
<i>ApoB,</i> g/L	1.10 (0.27)	1.10 (0.26)	.921
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	7.01 (2.76)	6.29 (2.38)	.012
NIHSS score on admission (median)	13.04	4.34	<.000
ApoE genotype			.229
2/3 (%)	14.2	85.8	
3/3 (%)	12.9	87.1	
3/4 + 4/4 (%)	9.4	90.6	

ApoA= apolipoprotein A, ApoB= apolipoprotein B, ApoE= apolipoprotein E., CAD = coronary artery disease, HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C=low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, NIHSS=National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, TC=total cholesterol, TG=triglycerides.

In our study of patients with acute IS, we found that the *ApoE* alleles exert an obvious effect on serum cholesterol and TG levels. Patients with E2/3 have higher TG levels, TC levels, HDL-C levels, and *ApoA* levels, and lower LDL-C and *ApoB* levels than patients with E3/3. Patients with E3/4 or E4/4 have higher LDL-C levels and *ApoB* levels than patients with E3/3.

The $ApoE^*$ E4 allele has been shown to predict atherosclerosis and to be associated with the incidence of IS and hemorrhagic stroke.^[29,30] The E2 allele has also been shown to be associated with IS. McCarron et al showed that the $ApoE^*$ E4 allele increases the risk of stroke.^[31] The ApoE allele has also been shown to predict functional outcome in survivors of traumatic brain injury.^[32–34] Alberts et al^[35] demonstrated that patients who survive intracerebral hemorrhage and who carry the $ApoE^*$ E4 allele have poorer outcomes than patients who do not have the E4 allele. In other studies, however, the ApoE genotype has been shown to have no association with cerebral infarction.^[36] One study showed that the presence of the $ApoE^*$ E4 genotype does

Table 5

Outcome	as	measured	by	NIHSS	score	in	patients	with	acute
ischemic	stro	oke.							

	b	Wald χ^2	OR	95% CI	Р
Age	0.035	4.408	1.036	1.002-1.070	.036
TG	0.013	0.005	1.013	0.718-1.430	.941
NIHSS score on admission	0.633	93.056	1.883	1.656-2.142	<.000
Fasting glucose	0.171	4.944	1.186	1.020-1.378	.026
ApoE genotype (2/3 vs. 3/3)	0.686	2.014	1.986	0.770-5.122	.156
ApoE genotype (3/4 + 4/4 vs. 3/3)	0.872	3.124	2.392	0.909–6.295	.077

ApoE=apolipoprotein E., b=constant, CI=confidence interval, NIHSS=National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR=odds ratio, TG=triglycerides.

Table 6

Sociodemographic and clinical features according to stroke outcome at 6 months after onset.

	Modified Rankin Scale >2 (183)	Modified Rankin Scale \leq 2 (570)	Р
Sex (% female)	37.7%	30.9%	.206
Age, y	69 <u>+</u> 10	61 <u>+</u> 11	.647
History			
Hypertension (%)	71.4	67.5	.484
Diabetes (%)	58.9	41.1	.014
CAD (%)	30.4	27.1	.546
Smoking (%)	49.5	57.6	.148
Alcohol consumption (%)	29.2	32.8	.551
Laboratory findings			
TG, mmol/L	1.51 (0.89)	1.77 (1.13)	.013
TC, mmol/L	5.03 (0.91)	4.88 (1.06)	.095
HDL-C, mmol/L	1.08 (0.31)	1.07 (0.35)	.593
LDL-C, mmol/L	3.15 (0.86)	2.93 (0.81)	.842
<i>ApoA,</i> g/L	1.21 (0.22)	1.22 (0.23)	.923
<i>ApoB,</i> g/L	1.11 (0.25)	1.09 (0.26)	.288
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	6.70 (2.63)	6.21 (2.36)	.073
NIHSS score on admission (median)	7.54 (4.36)	4.06 (3.11)	<.000
mRS on discharge	3.42 (1.18)	2.03 (1.30)	.195
ApoE genotype			.927
2/3 (%)	24.2	75.8	
3/3 (%)	23.9	76.1	
3/4+4/4 (%)	26.1	73.9	

ApoA = apolipoprotein A, ApoB = apolipoprotein B, CAD = coronary artery disease, HDL-C = highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mRS = the modified Rankin Scale, NIHSS = National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, TC = total cholesterol, TG = triglycerides.

not have a major influence on functional recovery after IS.^[37] Another recent study suggested that the *ApoE*^{*}E4 genotype increases the risk of cerebral infarction in the Chinese population.^[38] The possibility that the E4 allele of *ApoE* could act as a risk factor in IS is still controversial and remains a matter of ongoing study.^[36]

Our *ApoE*^{*}E4 group had the highest LDL-C levels, and the *ApoE*^{*}E2 group had the lowest LDL-C levels, but *ApoE*^{*}E4 was not a dependent risk factor for IS. These results are in accordance with the "cholesterol paradox," wherein studies have confirmed that statins have a protective role in stroke prevention,^[39–41] yet the cholesterol level as a pathogenetic factor has not been confirmed in all trials.^[41,42] Some researchers have actually demonstrated an inverse relation between TC levels and cardiovascular disease. The mechanisms of the cholesterol paradox are not clearly understood, although inflammation may play a role. Reportedly, LDL-C levels in women are inversely related to levels of C-reactive protein.^[43] Therefore, a low LDL-C can enhance inflammation. In addition, higher LDL-C levels may reflect better health status overall and better tolerance of acute medical stress.

In this study, the short-term outcome after IS (measured by the NIHSS and the mRS at discharge) is related to patient age, NIHSS score on admission, and fasting glucose level, but not to plasma TC, LDL-C level, or *ApoE* genotype. These findings are consistent with those of other studies.^[44–46] Similarly, long-term outcome (mRS measured at 6 months after onset) is related to NIHSS score on admission and blood glucose levels, but not related to plasma TC, LDL-C levels, or *ApoE* genotype. It is possible that the NIHSS score on admission can indicate a patient's short-term and long-term outcome.

Table 7			

Factors influencing	ischemic	stroke at 6	months	atter	onset.

b	Wald χ 2	OR	95% CI	Р
0.622	5.907	1.862	1.128~3.074	.015*
0.222	2.719	1.249	0.959~1.627	.099
-0.246	53.692	0.782	0.732~0.835	<.000*
-0.054	0.021	0.947	0.454~1.976	.885
-0.092	0.070	0.912	0.462~1.801	.912
	b 0.622 0.222 -0.246 -0.054 -0.092	b Wald \chi2 0.622 5.907 0.222 2.719 -0.246 53.692 -0.054 0.021 -0.092 0.070	b Wald χ 2 OR 0.622 5.907 1.862 0.222 2.719 1.249 -0.246 53.692 0.782 -0.054 0.021 0.947 -0.092 0.070 0.912	b Wald χ 2 OR 95% Cl 0.622 5.907 1.862 1.128~3.074 0.222 2.719 1.249 0.959~1.627 -0.246 53.692 0.782 0.732~0.835 -0.054 0.021 0.947 0.454~1.976 -0.092 0.070 0.912 0.462~1.801

ApoE=apolipoprotein E, b=constant, Cl=confidence interval, NIHSS=National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, OR=odds ratio, TG=triglycerides.

P<.05.

There are several risk factors for IS, including increasing age, hypertension, smoking, diabetes, race, and geographic location. This may explain the uncertainty surrounding the risk conferred by $ApoE^*E4$. We studied patients with IS and obtained results identical to those of some other researches. Our conclusion is that the $ApoE^*E4$ allele does not affect rehabilitation results after IS. However, further research, including long-term follow-up, is needed.

Our study is limited by the small sample size, the retrospective design, and the fact that the data were not broken down by age ranges. However, we have several advantages: we diagnosed and classified stroke subjects by serial CT or MRI, and patients were recruited in the acute phase of stroke, as early as possible within a 48-hour period after stroke onset.

In hospitalized patients with a first IS, we found that the presence of the *ApoE*^{*}E4 and *ApoE*^{*}E2 genotypes is related to cholesterol and TG levels, but does not affect recovery during rehabilitation. Older patient age, higher NIHSS scores on admission, and higher fasting glucose levels predict poor neurologic status at hospital discharge.

References

- [1] Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Bennett DA, et al. Stroke epidemiology: a review of population-based studies of incidence, prevalence, and case-fatality in the late 20th century. Lancet Neurol 2003;2:43–53.
- [2] Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, et al. Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data. Lancet (London, England) 2006;367:1747–57.
- [3] Tuttolomondo A, Pedone C, Pinto A, et al. Predictors of outcome in acute ischemic cerebrovascular syndromes: the GIFA study. Int J Cardiol 2008;125:391–6.
- [4] Tuttolomondo A, Pecoraro R, Casuccio A, et al. Peripheral frequency of CD4+ CD28- cells in acute ischemic stroke: relationship with stroke subtype and severity markers. Medicine 2015;94:e813.
- [5] Mahley RW, Rall SCJr. Apolipoprotein E: far more than a lipid transport protein. Ann Rev Genom Hum Genet 2000;1:507–37.
- [6] Huang Y, Liu XQ, Rall SCJr, et al. Overexpression and accumulation of apolipoprotein E as a cause of hypertriglyceridemia. J Biol Chem 1998;273:26388–93.
- [7] Anthopoulos PG, Hamodrakas SJ, Bagos PG. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of 30 studies including 5423 cases and 8197 controls. Mol Genet Metab 2010;100: 283–91.
- [8] Dieckmann M, Beil FT, Mueller B, et al. Human apolipoprotein E isoforms differentially affect bone mass and turnover in vivo. J Bone Miner Res 2013;28:236–45.
- [9] Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, et al. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. Science (New York, N Y) 1993;261:921–3.
- [10] Namba Y, Tomonaga M, Kawasaki H, et al. Apolipoprotein E immunoreactivity in cerebral amyloid deposits and neurofibrillary tangles in Alzheimer's disease and kuru plaque amyloid in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. Brain Res 1991;541:163–6.

- [11] Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, et al. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci US AV 90 1993;1977–81.
- [12] Nicoll JA, Roberts GW, Graham DI. Amyloid beta-protein, APOE genotype and head injury. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1996;777:271–5.
- [13] Drory VE, Birnbaum M, Korczyn AD, et al. Association of APOE epsilon4 allele with survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Sci 2001;190:17–20.
- [14] Moulard B, Sefiani A, Laamri A, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotyping in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: evidence for a major influence on the clinical presentation and prognosis. J Neurol Sci 1996;139 (suppl):34–7.
- [15] Schmidt S, Barcellos LF, DeSombre K, et al. Association of polymorphisms in the apolipoprotein E region with susceptibility to and progression of multiple sclerosis. Am J Hum Genet 2002;70:708–17.
- [16] Kantarci OH, Hebrink DD, Achenbach SJ, et al. Association of APOE polymorphisms with disease severity in MS is limited to women. Neurology 2004;62:811–4.
- [17] Davignon J, Gregg RE, Sing CF. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and atherosclerosis. Arteriosclerosis (Dallas, Tex) 1988;8:1–21.
- [18] Steinberg D. Atherogenesis in perspective: hypercholesterolemia and inflammation as partners in crime. Nat Med 2002;8:1211-7.
- [19] Kumar A, Kumar P, Prasad M, et al. Association between apolipoprotein epsilon4 gene polymorphism and risk of ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. Ann Neurosci 2016;23:113–21.
- [20] Gu L, Su L, Chen Q, et al. Association between the apolipoprotein E gene polymorphism and ischemic stroke in Chinese populations: New data and meta-analysis. Exp Ther Med 2013;5:853–9.
- [21] Boumendjel S, Khodja D, Hamri A, et al. [Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and cerebral stroke]. Ann Biol Clin 2013;71:21–6.
- [22] Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, et al. Classification and natural history of clinically identifiable subtypes of cerebral infarction. Lancet (London, England) 1991;337:1521–6.
- [23] Adams HPJr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Stroke 1993;24:35–41.
- [24] Xu T, Zhang JT, Yang M, et al. Dyslipidemia and outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke. Biomed Environ Sci 2014;27:106–10.
- [25] Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972;18:499–502.
- [26] Ji Y, Liu M, Huo YR, et al. Apolipoprotein Epsilon epsilon4 frequency is increased among Chinese patients with frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2013;36:163–70.
- [27] Phillips MC. Apolipoprotein E isoforms and lipoprotein metabolism. IUBMB Life 2014;66:616–23.
- [28] Hallman DM, Boerwinkle E, Saha N, et al. The apolipoprotein E polymorphism: a comparison of allele frequencies and effects in nine populations. Am J Hum Genet 1991;49:338–49.
- [29] Tan CE, Tai ES, Tan CS, et al. APOE polymorphism and lipid profile in three ethnic groups in the Singapore population. Atherosclerosis 2003;170:253–60.

- [30] Tonk M, Haan J. A review of genetic causes of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. J Neurol Sci 2007;257:273–9.
- [31] McCarron MO, Delong D, Alberts MJ. APOE genotype as a risk factor for ischemic cerebrovascular disease: a meta-analysis. Neurology 1999;53:1308–11.
- [32] Lawrence DW, Comper P, Hutchison MG, et al. The role of apolipoprotein E episilon (epsilon)-4 allele on outcome following traumatic brain injury: a systematic review. Brain Injury 2015;29:1018–31.
- [33] Kassam I, Gagnon F, Cusimano MD. Association of the APOE-epsilon4 allele with outcome of traumatic brain injury in children and youth: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2016;87:433–40.
- [34] Plassman BL, Grafman J. Traumatic brain injury and late-life dementia. Handb Clin Neurol 2015;128:711–22.
- [35] Alberts MJ, Graffagnino C, McClenny C, et al. ApoE genotype and survival from intracerebral haemorrhage. Lancet (London, England) 1995;346:575.
- [36] Frank A, Diez-Tejedor E, Bullido MJ, et al. APOE genotype in cerebrovascular disease and vascular dementia. J Neurol Sci 2002;203–204:173–6.
- [37] McCarron MO, Muir KW, Nicoll JA, et al. Prospective study of apolipoprotein E genotype and functional outcome following ischemic stroke. Arch Neurol 2000;57:1480–4.
- [38] Wang QY, Wang WJ, Wu L, et al. Meta-analysis of APOE epsilon2/ epsilon3/epsilon4 polymorphism and cerebral infarction. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2013;120:1479–89.
- [39] Tuttolomondo A, Di Raimondo D, Pecoraro R, et al. Early high-dosage atorvastatin treatment improved serum immune-inflammatory markers and functional outcome in acute ischemic strokes classified as large artery atherosclerotic stroke: a randomized trial. Medicine 2016;95:e3186.
- [40] Tziomalos K, Giampatzis V, Bouziana SD, et al. Comparative effects of more versus less aggressive treatment with statins on the long-term outcome of patients with acute ischemic stroke. Atherosclerosis 2015;243:65–70.
- [41] Lampl Y, Lorberboym M, Gilad R, et al. Early outcome of acute ischemic stroke in hyperlipidemic patients under atorvastatin versus simvastatin. Clin Neuropharmacol 2010;33:129–34.
- [42] Tuttolomondo A, Di Sciacca R, Di Raimondo D, et al. Effects of clinical and laboratory variables and of pretreatment with cardiovascular drugs in acute ischaemic stroke: a retrospective chart review from the GIFA study. Int J Cardiol 2011;151:318–22.
- [43] Folsom AR, Pankow JS, Tracy RP, et al. Association of C-reactive protein with markers of prevalent atherosclerotic disease. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:112–7.
- [44] Roth EJ, Heinemann AW, Lovell LL, et al. Impairment and disability: their relation during stroke rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1998;79:329–35.
- [45] Adams HPJr, Davis PH, Leira EC, et al. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale score strongly predicts outcome after stroke: a report of the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). Neurology 1999;53:126–31.
- [46] Ring H, Feder M, Schwartz J, et al. Functional measures of first-stroke rehabilitation inpatients: usefulness of the Functional Independence Measure total score with a clinical rationale. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1997;78:630–5.