Higher levels of neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio predict increased mortality risk in patients with liver cirrhosis: a retrospective cohort study

Xiaofei Du*, Xinhuan Wei*, Lixia Ma, Xiaohui Liu, Haiqing Guo, Yali Liu and Jing Zhang

Background Recent studies indicated that the neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio (NPAR) was a predictor of mortality in several diseases. There has been no evidence to prove the predictive function of NPAR in patients with liver cirrhosis. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the association between NPAR and clinical outcomes in cirrhotic patients. **Methods** We retrospectively recruited hospitalized decompensated cirrhotic patients from the tertiary grade-A hospital. Patients with malignancy or severe cardiac, respiratory and kidney diseases were excluded. Demographical data, liver functions, complications and outcomes of cirrhosis were recorded. NPAR was calculated through the ratio of neutrophil percentage (%)/serum albumin concentration (g/dL) at admission to the hospital. Cox proportional hazards models were performed to evaluate the prognostic values of NPAR, and subgroup analyses were utilized to ensure stable results. **Results** A total of 376 patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis at baseline were enrolled. The liver dysfunction, cirrhosisrelated complications and mortality rate increased along with the tertiles of NPAR. In multivariate analysis, higher NPARs were independently associated with increased risk of mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis after adjustments for confounding factors (tertile 3 versus tertile 1: adjusted HR=1.92; 95% Cl, 1.04–3.56; *P* trend=0.008) and each unit increase of NPAR implicated a 4% increase risk of mortality. Subgroup analysis demonstrated no significant interactions in most subgroups. **Conclusion** Increased NPAR was independently correlated with a higher risk of mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 35: 198–203

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Introduction

Liver cirrhosis, one of the leading causes of mortality, is distributed worldwide [1]. Cirrhosis is a consequence of various chronic liver injuries including viral hepatitis, alcoholic liver diseases, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and so on. It is reported that liver cirrhosis was considered as the 15th leading cause of death in the USA and causes about one million deaths each year worldwide [2], which brings a huge burden to the family and society.

However, the pathological mechanisms of the formation and progression of liver cirrhosis were complex. Inflammation showed a close relationship with the liver

European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2023, 35:198–203 Keywords: inflammation, liver cirrhosis, mortality, neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio, prospective study

The Third Unit, Department of Hepatology, Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Correspondence to Jing Zhang, The Third Unit, Department of Hepatology, Beijing Youan Hospital, Capital Medical University, No.8 Youanmenwai Street, Fengtai District, Beijing, 100069, China

Tel: +0086 133 9185 9683; fax: +0086 10 6305 6962; e-mail: zjyouan@ccmu.edu.cn

^{*}Dr. Xiaofei Du and Dr. Xinhuan Wei contributed equally to the writing of this article.

Received 12 August 2022 Accepted 21 September 2022

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

and played a malignant role in the process of liver cirrhosis [3]. As one of the classic inflammation factors, neutrophils release a wide range of cytokines, reactive oxygen species [4], which lead to liver injury. Albumin served as the main component of maintaining plasma osmotic pressure, as well as exhibited anti-inflammatory actions [5]. As a potential biomarker, NPAR is calculated as the ratio of neutrophil percentage (%)/serum albumin concentration (g/dL) ratio [6]. Recently, several studies have reported that NPAR may serve as the prognostic indicator in patients with palliative pancreatic cancer [7], acute kidney injury [8], myocardial infarction [9], and so on. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, the prognostic value of NPAR in liver cirrhosis remains unknown. Therefore, based on the above, we hypothesize that NPAR may affect the prognosis of liver cirrhosis and performed a retrospective study to validate the assumption. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist.

Materials and methods

Study design

The present research was a retrospective cohort study. Hospitalized adult patients with liver cirrhosis were consecutively included from August 2017 to October 2021. The study protocol was approved by Beijing Youan Hospital Ethics Committee (NO: 2022-036). The research was performed according to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration (6th revision, 2008).

0954-691X Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002470 198

Copyright © 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Patient selection and enrollment criteria

Study subjects were eligible for enrollment based on the following criteria: (1) age \geq 18 years old and (2) diagnosed with decompensated cirrhosis. Cirrhosis was diagnosed according to laboratory tests, ultrasound or radiology imaging results whereas patients with the development of ascites, bleeding and hepatic encephalopathy were diagnosed as decompensated. The major exclusion criteria were:(1) HIV-coinfections; (2) a serious disease of the heart, lung or kidney which might impact the survival of the patients and (3) patient with incomplete follow-up data for the extraction of clinical variables.

The standard care and data collection

The standard care included etiological treatment, supportive care, treatment of complications of cirrhosis and anti-infection treatment when necessary. Complications of cirrhosis were treated according to guidelines. Demographical data, laboratory parameters and cirrhosis-related complications were recorded. The severity of cirrhosis was evaluated by the Child-Pugh score and model of end-stage liver disease (MELD) score. The MELD score was calculated as 9.57×ln (serum creatinine) + $3.78 \times \ln$ (bilirubin) + $11.2 \times \ln$ [international standard ratio (INR)]+6.43×(0 for alcohol-related liver disease or cholestatic liver disease; one for all other causes) [10]. Hepatic encephalopathy was staged as minimal, Grade I, Grade II, Grade III and Grade IV according to West Haven criteria [11]. Ascites were staged to mild, moderate and large ascites according to clinical practice guidelines [12]. The NPAR was calculated at baseline at admission to the hospital according to the formula: (neutrophil percentage%) \times 100/albumin(g/dL).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as mean ± SD and analyzed with Student's t-test if the distribution was normal with Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests; otherwise, variables were presented by medians (interguartile ranges, IQRs) and nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test was utilized. Categorical data were expressed as numbers and percentages and were analyzed using the chi-square test. Patients were stratified according to NPAR tertiles. We employed multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression to investigate the independent relationship between NPAR and mortality of cirrhosis patients after accounting for significant confounders, and the hazard ratio (HR) and β were obtained. To prove the stability of the results, three regression models were developed with continuous variable and NPAR tertiles and confounders which changed the estimates of NPAR on mortality by more than 10% were adjusted. Moreover, survival comparison was analyzed with a log-rank test and displayed with Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Subgroup analysis was conducted to determine whether the association of NPAR and overall mortality differed across various subgroups classified by sex, age, etiology, white blood count (WBC), hemoglobin, platelet, bilirubin, creatinine, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy and esophageal gastric variceal bleeding. Statistical analyses were performed using EmpowerStats software 2.0 (http://www.empowerstats.com, X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, USA) and R (http:// www.R-project.org) software. Graphs were performed with GraphPad Prism Software Version 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, USA, 2012). *P*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of patients with different neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio level

Based on the exclusion criteria, 61 patients with malignancy, 13 patients coinfected with HIV, 28 patients with serious disease in other organs, 101 patients with compensated liver cirrhosis and 15 patients lost to follow-up were excluded. Finally, a total of 376 patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis were enrolled in this study. The main etiologies of cirrhosis included hepatitis B, hepatitis C, alcoholic liver diseases and autoimmune hepatitis. Among them, 254 (67.55%) cases were male and the median follow-up time was 12.86 (7.39–16.13) months and 111 cases (29.52%) died or underwent liver transplantation. The patients were divided into low tertile, middle tertile and high tertile NPAR groups according to their baseline NPAR. Characteristics of patients in the whole and each group are listed in Table 1. As is shown, patients in the high NPAR group (NPAR ≥21.52) were elder and had higher levels of WBC, neutrophils percentage, bilirubin, creatinine, INR, Child-Pugh scores and MELD scores and lower levels of albumin. Besides, they were more likely to complicate with severer ascites, but not with esophageal gastric varices bleeding and hepatic encephalopathy. In the cohort, there were more male patients than female in the high NPAR group, similar to alcoholic-related cirrhosis patients when compared to cirrhosis with other etiologies.

Prediction of mortality by baseline neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio

To explore the prognostic significance of NPAR, we used NPAR as the independent variable, mortality as the dependent variable, whereas sex, age, INR, fibrinogen, bilirubin, albumin, platelet and creatinine as the covariates which were adjusted in multivariate Cox regression analvsis. NPAR was described both as the continuous values and tertiles. In the crude model, none of the parameters was adjusted. In the model I, gender, age and etiology were adjusted, whereas in the model II, sex, age, INR, fibrinogen, bilirubin, albumin, platelet and creatinine were adjusted. Model II demonstrated that each unit increase of NPAR implicated a 4% increase in risk of mortality (HR = 1.04; 95% CI, 1.00-1.08; P = 0.049). When being compared with the low NPAR group, patients in the high NPAR group showed an increase of 92% mortality risk (HR = 1.92; 95% CI, 1.04–3.56; P trend = 0.008) (Table 2).

Subgroup analysis

There were no significant interactions in most subgroups (Fig. 1). But increased mortality risk was observed in patients with higher platelet count and bilirubin (P for interaction = 0.039 and 0.047).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis in neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio tertile group

		Tertiles of NPAR				
	Whole group	Low group (≤17.31)	Medium group (17.34–21.46)	High group (≥21.52)	P value	
N	376	97	134	145		
Male (N, %)	254 (67.55%)	61 (62.89%)	82 (61.19%)	111 (76.55%)	0.012	
Age (years)	56.86 ± 11.41	54.08 ± 12.74	57.31 ± 11.54	58.30 ± 10.01	0.016	
Etiology (N/%)					0.086	
HBV	125 (33.24%)	32 (32.99%)	50 (37.31%)	43 (29.66%)		
HCV	59 (15.69%)	18 (18.56%)	23 (17.16%)	18 (12.41%)		
Auto-immune	28 (7.45%)	10 (10.31%)	11 (8.21%)	7 (4.83%)		
Alcoholic	114 (30.32%)	25 (25.77%)	30 (22.39%)	59 (40.69%)		
Others/unknown	50 (13.30%)	12 (12.37%)	20 (14.93%)	18 (12.41%)		
Laboratory parameters	00 (1010070)	.2 (.2.01.70)	20 (1.10070)			
WBC (×10 ⁹ /L)	4.03 ± 2.67	3.33 ± 1.89	3.48 ± 2.00	5.00 ± 3.30	< 0.001	
Neutrophils (%)	63.35 ± 12.35	51.77 ± 10.59	60.83±7.15	73.36±8.89	< 0.001	
Hemoglobin(g/L)	92.82 ± 26.58	97.76±27.35	91.56±26.43	90.67±25.94	0.100	
Platelet (×10 ⁹ /L)	75.79 ± 48.98	78.23±45.76	75.35±52.89	74.56 ± 47.60	0.843	
ALT (U/L)	18.00 (12.00–29.00)	20.00 (12.00-31.00)	17.00 (12.00–26.00)	21.00 (12.00-29.00)	0.753	
AST (U/L)	33.00 (24.00–50.25)	32.00 (26.00-46.00)	31.50 (23.25–44.00)	37.00 (24.00–63.00)	0.537	
Bilirubin (µmol/L)	34.85 (22.17–75.90)	27.90 (20.30–57.50)	31.55 (22.00–52.03)	46.00 (27.60–111.20)	< 0.001	
Albumin (g/dL)	31.42±5.02	35.56±4.99	31.64±3.41	28.45±4.22	< 0.001	
Creatinine (mmol/L)	63.25 (52.00-80.00)	60.00 (52.00–75.00)	62.00 (49.00–76.60)	67.00 (55.10-89.00)	0.008	
INR	1.40 (1.26–1.70)	1.34 (1.23–1.50)	1.38 (1.26–1.62)	1.54 (1.30–2.07)	< 0.000	
Complications, N/(%)	1.40 (1.20=1.70)	1.54 (1.25-1.50)	1.56 (1.20-1.02)	1.34 (1.30-2.07)	<0.001	
Ascites					0.001	
None	44 (11.70%)	11 (11.34%)	16 (11.94%)	17 (11.72%)	0.001	
Mild	184 (48.94%)	64 (65.98%)	65 (48.51%)	55 (37.93%)		
Moderate	118 (31.38%)	18 (18.56%)	40 (29.85%)	60 (41.38%)		
Large	30 (7.98%)	4 (4.12%)	13 (9.70%)	13 (8.97%)		
Hepatic encephalopathy	30 (7.98%)	4 (4.1270)	13 (9.70%)	13 (0.97 %)	0.239	
None	293 (77.93%)	83 (85.57%)	104 (77.61%)	106 (73.10%)	0.239	
	68 (18.09%)	12 (12.37%)	27 (20.15%)	29 (20.00%)		
Stage 1						
Stage 2–4	15 (3.99%)	2 (2.06%)	3 (2.24%)	10 (6.90%)	0.157	
Esophageal gastric varices bleedin	0	C4 (C5 00%)	05 (00 400())	107 (70 700/)	0.157	
No	256 (68.09%)	64 (65.98%)	85 (63.43%)	107 (73.79%)		
Yes	120 (31.91%)	33 (34.02%)	49 (36.57%)	38 (26.21%)		
Liver function		7.00 0.40	0.75 4.00		0.001	
Child–Pugh score	9.06±2.31	7.89±2.12	8.75±1.96	10.14±2.26	< 0.001	
MELD score	8.50 (4.85–12.90)	6.06 (3.80–9.78)	7.99 (3.78–11.51)	9.85 (7.01–15.62)	< 0.001	
Living status					00.002	
Alive	265 (70.48%)	78 (80.41%)	99 (73.88%)	88 (60.69%)		
Non-alive (%, N of death/LT)	111 (29.52%, 98/33)	19 (19.59%,15/4)	35 (26.12%, 31/4)	57 (39.31%, 52/5)		

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase, HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; INR, international standard ratio; MELD, model of end–stage liver disease; LT, liver transplantation; WBC, white blood cell.

Exposure	Non-adjusted		Model I		Model II	
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
NPAR continuous NPAR tertiles	1.09 (1.05–1.13)	<0.001	1.09 (1.05–1.14)	<0.001	1.04 (1.00–1.08)	0.049
Low	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Medium	1.84 (1.02-3.29)	0.041	1.86 (1.01–3.43)	0.046	1.40 (0.76-2.58)	0.284
High	3.64 (2.09-6.32)	< 0.001	3.84 (2.12-6.95)	< 0.001	1.92 (1.04-3.56)	0.037
P trend	0.004		0.007		0.008	

CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; NPAR, neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio.

Kaplan–Meier curve of neutrophil percentage-toalbumin ratio tertiles

The mortality rates (including death and liver transplantation) in the low, medium and high NPAR groups were 19.59%, 26.12% and 39.31%, respectively (P < 0.001, Table 1). The death reasons included esophageal gastric varices bleeding (n=42/98; 42.86%), liver failure (n=19/98; 19.39%), spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (n=18/98; 18.37%), hepatic encephalopathy (n=5/98; 5.10%), hepatorenal syndrome (n=5/98; 5.10%) and other causes (n=9/98; 9.18%). Kaplan-Meier curves for the low, medium and high NPAR groups showed that patients in the high NPAR group showed significantly higher risk than patients in the low (log-rank test: P < 0.001) and medium (P = 0.004) NPAR groups (Fig. 2).

The prognostic value of neutrophil percentage-toalbumin ratio compared to model of end-stage liver disease score

The receiver operating curve curves were generated to evaluate the predictive ability, and the area under curve (AUC) of mortality for NPAR was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.60– 0.68; P = 0.031), which was superior to neutrophils (%)

Copyright © 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

			HR	95%CI	P for interaction
Mortality					
All		⊢♦ -1	1.04	(1.00, 1.08)	0.049
Gender					0.505
Male		◆ 1	1.05	(1.03, 1.08)	
Female		+ '	1.06	(1.00, 1.12)	
Age					0.189
Low		⊢	1.04	(0.98, 1.10)	
Medium		P	1.06	(1.03, 1.09)	
High		⊢♦ −1	1.08	(1.03, 1.14)	
Etiology					0.311
HBV			1.04	(0.98, 1.11)	
HCV		·•	1.09	(1.01, 1.18)	
Immune		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.01	(0.89, 1.15)	
Alcoholic		◆	1.06	(1.04, 1.09)	
Others			1.04	(0.95, 1.13)	
WBC tertiles (×10 ⁹ /L)				(0.00)	0.251
Low		→→ -1	1.03	(0.99, 1.07)	
Medium			1.09	(1.03, 1.16)	
High		⊢♦ −1	1.05	(1.04, 1.14)	
HGB tertiles (g/L)				(0.947
Low		+ • +	1.04	(1.01, 1.07)	0.041
Medium			1.04	(1.00, 1.12)	
High			1.10	(1.03, 1.17)	
PLT tertiles (×10 ⁹ /L)		•	1.10	(1.00, 1.17)	0.039
Low		.	1.02	(0.99, 1.06)	0.055
Medium			1.16	(1.10, 1.24)	
High			1.08	(1.02, 1.14)	
Bilirubin (µmol/L)		· •	1.00	(1.02, 1.14)	0.047
Low		_ _	1.05	(1.01, 1.09)	0.047
Medium			0.99	(0.93, 1.06)	
High			1.09	(1.04, 1.13)	
Creatinine (mmol/L)		- -	1.09	(1.04, 1.13)	0.942
Low			1.06	(1.00, 1.11)	0.942
Medium			1.10	(1.03, 1.17)	
High			1.04	(1.03, 1.17)	
Ascites		•	1.04	(1.02, 1.07)	0.471
No			1.06	(0.96, 1.17)	0.471
Mild			1.06		
				(1.00, 1.12)	
Moderate			1.03	(0.98, 1.09)	0.000
Hepatic encephalopathy			4 07	(1.00.1.11)	0.299
No		H 4 -1	1.07	(1.03, 1.11)	
Yes		• • •	1.05	(0.99, 1.23)	
Esophageal gastric varices bleeding			4.00	(1.0.1.1.00)	0.427
No		•	1.06	(1.04, 1.08)	
Yes			1.04	(0.96, 1.12)	
	0.71	1.0 1.41			

Fig. 1. Subgroup analysis of the association between NPAR and mortality. NPAR, neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio.



Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival curves for mortality in liver cirrhosis.

(AUC, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.54–0.63; P=0.032) and albumin (AUC, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.54–0.65; P=0.030) alone (P=0.003 and 0.041, separately). The cut-off of NPAR was 19.5, with the sensitivity and specificity of 63.72 and 59.08%, separately. Besides, the AUC of NPAR was similar to the AUC of MELD (AUC, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.61–0.70; P=0.030) (P=0.646), which are shown in Fig. 3.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study on the prognostic value of NPAR and mortality in patients with liver



Fig. 3. Receiver operating characteristic curve of NPAR value, neutrophils (%), albumin and MELD. MELD, model of end-stage liver disease; NPAR, neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio.

cirrhosis. Multivariate regression showed the independent effect of NPAR on mortality even after adjusting for possible confounding variables. Also, subgroup analyses showed no significant interactions in most strata.

The pathophysiological mechanisms of liver cirrhosis were complex and multifactorial. Studies have shown that inflammation was one of the independent risk factors of liver cirrhosis [13,14]. Neutrophils played an essential role in mediating inflammatory responses. Previous studies indicated infection increases mortality risk in decompensated liver cirrhosis patients [15]. As the protein synthesized specifically by the liver, serum albumin concentration was also influenced by inflammation [16]. Hypoalbuminemia was reported to be a strong indicator for poor prognosis in many diseases as a result of malnutrition and inflammation [17,18]. As is well-known that albumin was synthesized by the liver, and serum albumin significantly decreased in parallel with the severity of liver diseases.

As the ratio of two easily accessible parameters, NPAR possess advantages in convenience and feasible approach. The relationship between high NPAR levels and poor prognosis has been confirmed in several diseases. Previous studies proved that higher NPARs were associated with an increased risk of short-time and long-time mortality in critically ill patients with septic shock [19], coronary artery diseases [20] and cardiogenic shock [21] after adjustments for possible confounding factors. And NPAR >15.7 showed an increase of 90% in the risk of contrast-associated acute kidney injury [22].

In this study, we first proved that patients with higher NPAR showed severer liver dysfunctions, cirrhosis-related complications and higher mortality risk. Previous studies have proved that inflammatory processes are one of the main mechanisms in the progression of alcoholic-related cirrhosis patients [23]. This may explain the higher proportion of alcoholic cirrhosis in the high NPAR group. And in the multivariate Cox regression, NPAR was independent of other clinical indexes, including bilirubin, INR, etc. Although both neutrophil percentage and albumin are associated with the poor prognosis in liver cirrhosis, NPAR showed better predictive power than either neutrophils or albumin alone (P = 0.003 and 0.041, separately). This may be explained that inflammation resulted in a reverse response to neutrophil and albumin, and the ratio of neutrophil/albumin may further enhance the effect of inflammation. Therefore, NPAR proved to be more sensitive and reliable compared with neutrophil and albumin alone. And NPAR showed a similar prognostic value compared with relatively complex MELD, indicating the validity and reliability of NPAR. Besides, in subgroup analysis, the effect of NPAR on mortality tends to be strengthened in patients with higher platelet count and bilirubin. Increasing evidence suggests that platelets play critical roles in regulating inflammation [24]. In nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients, circulating platelets present a proinflammatory phenotype and antiplatelet therapy in NASH mice helps prevented NASH [25]. The participation of platelets in systemic inflammation may explain the enhanced effect of NPAR on mortality in higher platelet count.

This study was a single-center retrospective study which may lead to inevitable bias. Despite attempts to control for several potential confounding factors, residual confounding factors may remain. Second, we were unable to evaluate other inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein and procalcitonin, which may help to understand the possible mechanism. Therefore, a multicenter, prospective case-control study may be needed to verify the conclusion of this study.

In conclusion, this study indicated that higher NPAR was independently correlated with increased risk of mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis after adjusting for potential confounding factors. Thus, NPAR may alert clinicians and provide more guidance for clinical work.

Acknowledgements

The data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Y.L. and J.Z. mainly contributed to the study conception, design and interpretation of data for the work and revision of the manuscripts. X.D. and X.W. mainly contributed to the database establishment, analysis and first proof. L.M., X.L. and H.G. are mainly responsible for the data collection. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Ginès P, Krag A, Abraldes JG, Solà E, Fabrellas N, Kamath PS. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet* 2021; 398:1359–1376.
- 2 Bhaskaran K, Dos-Santos-Silva I, Leon DA, Douglas IJ, Smeeth L. Association of BMI with overall and cause-specific mortality: a population-based cohort study of 3.6 million adults in the UK. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2018; 6:944–953.
- 3 Clària J, Stauber RE, Coenraad MJ, Moreau R, Jalan R, Pavesi M, et al. Systemic inflammation in decompensated cirrhosis: characterization and role in acute-on-chronic liver failure. *Hepatology* 2016; 64:124949–121264.
- 4 Curi R, Levada-Pires AC, Silva EBD, Poma SO, Zambonatto RF, Domenech P, *et al.* The critical role of cell metabolism for essential neutrophil functions. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2020; 54:629–647.
- 5 Lee EH, Kim WJ, Kim JY, Chin JH, Choi DK, Sim JY, et al. Effect of exogenous albumin on the incidence of postoperative acute kidney injury in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery with a preoperative albumin level of less than 4.0g/dl. Anesthesiology 2016; 124:1001–1011.
- 6 Zhang H, Wu T, Tian X, Lyu P, Wang J, Cao Y. High neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio can predict occurrence of stroke-associated infection. *Front Neurol* 2021; 12:705790.
- 7 Tingle SJ, Severs GR, Goodfellow M, Moir JA, White SA. NARCA: a novel prognostic scoring system using neutrophil-albumin ratio and Ca19-9 to predict overall survival in palliative pancreatic cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2018; 118:680–686.
- 8 Wang B, Li D, Cheng B, Ying B, Gong Y. The neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio is associated with all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *Biomed Res Int* 2020; 2020:5687672.
- 9 Dai K, Li Z, Luo Y, Xiong Q, Xiong Y, Song Z, et al. Neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio and monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio as predictors of free-wall rupture in patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Clin Lab Anal 2022; 36:e24136.
- 10 Kamath PS, Wiesner RH, Malinchoc M, Kremers W, Therneau TM, Kosberg CL, et al. A model to predict survival in patients with endstage liver disease. *Hepatology* 2001; 33:464–470.
- 11 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018; 69:406–460.
- 12 Biggins SW, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Ginès P, Ling SC, Nadim MK, et al. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and hepatorenal syndrome: 2021 practice guidance by the American association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2021; 74:1014–1048.
- 13 Girón-González JA, Martínez-Sierra C, Rodriguez-Ramos C, Macías MA, Rendón P, Díaz F, et al. Implication of inflammation-related cytokines in the natural history of liver cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2004; 24:437–445.
- 14 Engelmann C, Clària J, Szabo G, Bosch J, Bernardi M. Pathophysiology of decompensated cirrhosis: portal hypertension, circulatory dysfunction, inflammation, metabolism and mitochondrial dysfunction. J Hepatol 2021; 75:S49–S66.
- 15 Grgurevic I, Trkulja V, Bozin T, Madir A, Miletic M, Marusic S, et al. Infection as a predictor of mortality in decompensated liver cirrhosis:

exploring the relationship to severity of liver failure. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; 32:1458–1465.

- 16 Eckart A, Struja T, Kutz A, Baumgartner A, Baumgartner T, Zurfluh S, et al. Relationship of nutritional status, inflammation, and serum albumin levels during acute illness: a prospective study. Am J Med 2020; 133:713–722.e7.
- 17 Huang H, Yu Y, Chen L, Chen S, Tang R, Li Q, et al. Independent and joint effects of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and hypoalbuminemia on long-term all-cause mortality among coronary artery disease: a prospective and multicenter cohort study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2021; 21:613.
- 18 Jäntti T, Tarvasmäki T, Harjola VP, Parissis J, Pulkki K, Javanainen T, et al. Hypoalbuminemia is a frequent marker of increased mortality in cardiogenic shock. PLoS One 2019; 14:e0217006.
- 19 Gong Y, Li D, Cheng B, Ying B, Wang B. Increased neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio is associated with all-cause mortality in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. *Epidemiol Infect* 2020; 148:e87.
- 20 Sun T, Shen H, Guo Q, Yang J, Zhai G, Zhang J, et al. Association between neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio and all-cause

mortality in critically ill patients with coronary artery disease. *Biomed Res Int* 2020; 2020:1–12.

- 21 Yu Y, Liu Y, Ling X, Huang R, Wang S, Min J, et al. The neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio as a new predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock. *Biomed Res Int* 2020; 2020:1–12.
- 22 He H, Zhang S, He C, You Z, Luo M, Lin M, et al. Association between neutrophil percentage-to-albumin ratio and contrast-associated acute kidney injury in patients without chronic kidney disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. J Cardiol 2022; 79:257–264.
- 23 Gao B, Ahmad MF, Nagy LE, Tsukamoto H. Inflammatory pathways in alcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2019; 70:249–259.
- 24 Vieira-de-Abreu A, Campbell RA, Weyrich AS, Zimmerman GA. Platelets: versatile effector cells in hemostasis, inflammation, and the immune continuum. *Semin Immunopathol* 2012; 34:5–30.
- 25 Miele L, Alberelli MA, Martini M, Liguori A, Marrone G, Cocomazzi A, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) severity is associated to a nonhemostatic contribution and proinflammatory phenotype of platelets. *Transl Res* 2021; 231:24–38.