Article



Altea Targa^{1,2,3,*}, Katherine E Larrimore^{1,2}, Cheng Kit Wong¹, Yu Lin Chong^{1,2}, Ronald Fung¹, Joseph Lee⁴, Hyungwon Choi⁴, & Giulia Rancati^{1,2,3,**}

SOURCE

DATA

RANSPAREN

PROCESS

Abstract

Adaptive evolution to cellular stress is a process implicated in a wide range of biological and clinical phenomena. Two major routes of adaptation have been identified: non-genetic changes, which allow expression of different phenotypes in novel environments, and genetic variation achieved by selection of fitter phenotypes. While these processes are broadly accepted, their temporal and epistatic features in the context of cellular evolution and emerging drug resistance are contentious. In this manuscript, we generated hypomorphic alleles of the essential nuclear pore complex (NPC) gene NUP58. By dissecting early and long-term mechanisms of adaptation in independent clones, we observed that early physiological adaptation correlated with transcriptome rewiring and upregulation of genes known to interact with the NPC; long-term adaptation and fitness recovery instead occurred via focal amplification of NUP58 and restoration of mutant protein expression. These data support the concept that early phenotypic plasticity allows later acquisition of genetic adaptations to a specific impairment. We propose this approach as a genetic model to mimic targeted drug therapy in human cells and to dissect mechanisms of adaptation.

Keywords CRISPR-Cas9; genetic adaptation; hypomorphic alleles; NPC; transcriptome rewiring

Subject Categories Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics; Evolution & Ecology

DOI 10.15252/embj.2021107839 | Received 27 January 2021 | Revised 5 August 2021 | Accepted 23 August 2021 | Published online 15 September 2021 The EMBO Journal (2021) 40: e107839

Introduction

Evolutionary adaptation to adverse conditions is a pervasive trait that enables cells to restore fitness by acquiring compensatory mutations (Bloom & Arnold, 2009). This fundamental process has far-ranging implications for multiple fields extending from evolutionary biology to human health, including the development of cancer and emergence of drug-resistant traits (Rokyta et al, 2002; Byrne et al, 2014; Russo et al, 2019). While it is now widely accepted that single-cell organisms such as yeast and bacteria can rapidly adapt to selective pressure (Moore et al, 2000; Blank et al, 2014; Szamecz et al, 2014), we still lack a clear understanding of the processes that drive this remarkable ability. For instance, while several long-term evolution experiments have identified specific genetic mechanisms of adaptation (Lang & Desai, 2014), we are currently debating if cellular plasticity predates and facilitates the acquisition of adaptive mutations. Indeed, while it has been argued that cellular plasticity might hinder the acquisition of genetic changes by triggering a fitness gain, recent data suggest that the same cancer type can acquire drug resistance to treatment by a combination of cellular plasticity and genetic adaptation (Shaffer et al, 2017; Kim et al, 2018; Salgia & Kulkarni, 2018; Bell & Gilan, 2020). However, it remains unclear how genetic and non-genetic processes interact to facilitate the emergence of drug resistance.

THE

IOURNAI

 \mathbf{EMB}

 $\widehat{\Box}$

OPEN

ACCESS

Deleterious polymorphisms are not uncommon, with hypomorphic alleles accounting for up to 12% of the yeast coding genome (Doniger *et al*, 2008) and a range of different mutations being associated with developmental syndromes in humans (Happle, 2003; Arauz *et al*, 2010; Jacobs *et al*, 2011). Moreover, recent large-scale human genomics analyses revealed the presence of a very small percentage of healthy individuals carrying deleterious genetic mutations (MacArthur & Tyler-Smith, 2010; MacArthur *et al*, 2012; Chen *et al*, 2016), suggesting compensatory mechanisms exist to compensate the genetic mutations. To date, adaptive evolution in response to hypomorphic mutations has been largely studied in single-celled organisms, where a wealth of genome editing tools are available. However, recent developments in genome editing techniques using CRISPR-Cas9 have now eased the generation of hypomorphic alleles in mouse cells (Challa *et al*, 2016). Here, for the first time, we

¹ Institute of Medical Biology (IMB), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore

² Skin Research Institute of Singapore (SRIS), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore

³ School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore

⁴ Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, NUS and National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +39 347 4909547; E-mail: alte91a@gmail.com

^{**}Corresponding author. Tel: +65 97610324; E-mail: Giulia_Irene_Rancati@sifbi.a-star.edu.sg



Figure 1. NUP58, NUP85, and NUP153 are essential genes in human HAP1 cells.

- A Schematic representation of NUP58, CHMP1B, NUP85, and NUP153 genes (transcript IDs reported: NUP58 ENST00000381736.8, CHMP1B ENST00000526991.3, NUP85 ENST00000245544.9, NUP153 ENST00000537253.5). Exons shown as black boxes, introns as connecting black lines, and gRNA target sites are indicated with red triangles. Scale bars, 2 kb.
- B Diagram detailing experimental method for isolation of mutant clones. After transfection with eGFP Cas9 plasmid carrying dual gRNA, GFP+ HAP1 cells were individually sorted into 96-well plates. A total of 10 plates were prepared for each biological replicate (n = 3).
- C Relative cellular viability following Cas9-induced gene editing. Reported number of colonies was normalized based on viability of HAP1 cells transfected with an empty vector (EV) control (dots). Error bars indicate mean of SD from three biological replicates (number of colonies for EV rep1=242/rep2=238/rep3=201; CHMP1B rep1=134/rep2=151/rep3=128; NUP58 rep1=11/rep2=13/rep3=15; NUP85 rep1=0 /rep2=0/rep3=5; NUP153 rep1=61/rep2=55/rep3=60) (ordinary one-way ANOVA **** $P \le 0.00001$; ns = non-significant).
- D Graph indicates the percentage of viable clones with either undetectable, truncated or wild-type-like proteins as assessed by Western blot analysis. Percentage of not analyzed colonies is reported after CHMP1B targeting. Results shown are from one of the three biological replicates in (C).

Figure 2. Cas9 targeting of essential NUP58 exons generates hypomorphic alleles.

- A Determination of maximum growth rate (Vmax) using a label-free cell count-based proliferation assay. Representative growth curves of WT and NUP58 mutant clone F are plotted using a semi-log scale displaying total numbers of cells over time. Growth rates were calculated across the entire duration of the experiment using a sliding window of three time points.
- B Representation of the highest growth rates (Vmax) for each independent cell line. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's t-test; B, C, D, F, and E **** $P \le 0.00001$, ns = non-significant). Growth rates of mutant clones were compared with the untransfected (WT) cell line seeded in the same plate. Each dot represents a technical replicate (as plotted from left to right: WT n = 62, B n = 63, C n = 61, D n = 63, WT n = 84, F n = 80, E n = 82, WT n = 90, A n = 78) of one independent experimental replicate performed.
- C Quantification of active nuclear import of asynchronous controls or mutant clones using an NLS-3XmCherry as reporter. Ratio of the cytoplasm vs nucleoplasm fluorescence intensity is plotted. Only cells with comparable overall fluorescence intensity are reported (see extended M&M for details). Dots represent analyzed cells (WT n = 39, B n = 22, C n = 50, D n = 34, E n = 34, F n = 9, A n = 42) of one independent experimental replicate performed. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's t-test; ns = non-significant, B, C, D, E **** $P \le 0.00001$, F ***P = 0.0001).
- D Representative images of analyzed WT (top) and clone D (bottom) NLS-3xmCherry stable cells. Mean intensity of fluorescence for cytoplasm and nucleoplasm for each cell is labeled. Scale bar, 20 µm.
- E Western blot for NUP58 protein expression in mutant clones (B-E) and control cells (clone A and WT). Whole-cell lysate hybridized with customized antibody 1 (Ab1, top panel) and antibody 2 (Ab2, bottom panel). Please see Appendix Fig S2A for detailed description of the used antibodies. Actin was used as loading control.

Source data are available online for this figure.



Figure 2.

in vitro dissected early and late adaptation to the impairment of an essential gene in human cells. We used CRISPR-Cas9 to edit key regions of the essential nuclear pore complex (NPC) protein NUP58, which was previously shown to be bypassable in yeast (Liu *et al*, 2015), and generated several independent single cell-derived human clones containing diverse hypomorphic alleles. These mutant NUP proteins were low in abundance and carried large truncations. We observed that all the isolated clones initially upregulated components that were functionally related to the NPC, whereas long-term

gain of fitness correlated with focal amplification of mutant *NUP58*. We speculate that early phenotypic plasticity provides time for cells to survive the initial insult, opening the possibility of genetic adaptations to be acquired and fitness regained. Given that targeted therapy fails to achieve full target inhibition, we propose this approach as an *in vitro* genetic method to dissect early and late mechanisms of drug resistance. This might be relevant for therapies that are already on the market as identification and inhibition of early non-genetic changes may limit subsequent emergence of drug-resistant traits.

Results

Modeling human cell adaptation to essential gene impairment *in vitro*

To generate hypomorphic alleles of essential genes, we first sought to confirm the essentiality of candidates NUP58, NUP153, and NUP85 in the human chronic myeloid leukemia near-haploid cell line HAP1. To test essentiality, we disrupted each gene individually using CRISPR-Cas9 with dual gRNA to introduce deleterious mutations at two unique target sites per gene. Target sites were prioritized according to their presence in all reported transcript isoforms and by domains in close proximity with conserved regions or known to be required for protein-protein interactions/ NPC assembly (Fig 1A). To isolate individual clones, cells were transfected with a single vector co-expressing Cas9, eGFP, and dual gRNAs, after which GFP-positive cells were seeded individually into multi-well plates (Fig 1B). To quantify cellular viability following disruption of NPC genes, single-cell-derived colonies were counted two weeks after seeding and compared with control clones (cells transfected with vectors harboring either no gRNAs [empty vector, EV] or gRNAs targeting the expressed non-essential gene CHMP1B Hart et al, 2015). While a large proportion of cells remained viable following EV and CHMP1B gene editing, disruption of NUP alleles led to a drastic and statistically significant decrease in viable colony formation (Fig 1C). This reduced viability in NUP-edited cells was not a consequence of p53-mediated apoptosis resulting from Cas9-induced DNA damage, since qualitatively similar results were obtained in a TP53-null HAP1 cell line (Fig EV1A-D). Of the few colonies recovered, we performed Western blot on all viable NUP58 (9) and NUP153 (60) clones, as well as over half of the CHMP1B colonies (75) (data from one representative independent experimental replicate are shown in Fig 1D). NUP85 could not be tested since no viable colonies were isolated after disruption of this gene. While 53% of all analyzed CHMP1B clones were viable despite complete loss of the corresponding protein, we were unable to find any viable NUP-targeted colonies displaying complete loss of NPC proteins. Indeed, viable NPC-targeted colonies either retained WT-migrating protein bands or expressed truncated NUP proteins (in approximately 44.4 and 43.8% of the NUP58 and NUP153 clones, respectively; Figs 1D and EV1E), suggesting that complete gene loss is lethal. Taken together, these data suggest that NUP58, NUP85, and NUP153 are essential for viability of HAP1 cells.

Mutant NUP58 alleles are hypomorphic

Since the *NUP*-targeted sites were located in close proximity to essential exons and conserved across all transcripts, we asked whether these truncated mutants would display impaired protein function and could therefore be used to dissect mechanisms of human cell adaptation to the drug-mimicking impairment of essential genes. *NUP58* was selected for all subsequent experiments as its editing showed a greater impact on cell viability compared with *NUP153* disruption (Fig 1C). Since hypomorphic alleles confer partial loss of gene function, we expected mutant clones to exhibit decreased cellular fitness and impaired active nuclear import/export of large cargos (> 40 kDa) (Strambio-De-Castillia *et al*, 2010; Ma

et al, 2012; Kabachinski & Schwartz, 2015). To test this, HAP1 cell line was first stably transduced with a NLS-3XmCherry construct, encoding for a large cytoplasmic cargo of \sim 84 kDa (see Appendix M&M for details) and then used to isolate freshly generated single cell-derived NUP58 mutants as described in Fig 1. Clones were immediately analyzed for their fitness (measured by quantitative growth rate, Fig 2A and B), nuclear import ability (Fig 2C and D), and presence of hypomorphic NUP58 mutations (Fig 2E). All analyzed early-derived NUP58 clones showing a decreased NUP58 expression had a concomitant impairment in nuclear import and fitness. WT clones (represented by clone A in Fig 2) showing a robust NUP58 expression did not display growth or nuclear import defects, suggesting that single-cell clonal amplification does not affect cellular fitness or nuclear import capacity. Taken together, these observations suggested that the generated NUP58 mutant alleles were hypomorphic and conferred reduced NUP58 activity, as assessed by decrease in cellular fitness and/or reduced ability to shuttle cargo from cytoplasm to nucleus. Moreover, they support the idea that CRISPR-Cas9 can be used to generate mutant alleles of NUP58 that are hypomorphic and suitable for modeling targeted drug therapy in human cells.

Hypomorphic alleles generate low abundance, truncated proteins via exon skipping

To study adaptation of mammalian cells to the presence of NUP58 hypomorphic alleles, we generated again fresh NUP58 mutant clones using WT HAP1 cells as described in Fig 1. Since overall viability was extremely low after CRISPR-Cas9 targeting of NUP58, we seeded \sim 3,000 single cells in order to identify multiple viable clones that were coding hypomorphic alleles. We expected mutant clones to exhibit decreased cellular fitness (Fig 2B), so we selected the six smallest viable clones for subsequent amplification (designated C1-C6). Whole-exome sequencing at passage 1 (P1, please see Appendix M&M for detailed description of clone derivation) confirmed the presence of indel mutations within the NUP58 genomic locus in all six clones, with mutations mapping to the Cas9 cleavage regions of all targeted sequences (Fig 3A). Premature termination codons (PTCs) were introduced in at least one of the two targeted exons in each clone, and large-scale deletions surrounding the targeted nucleotides were also observed (C2 and C5). As expected, all clones displayed impaired growth compared with WT HAP1 cells (Fig 3B). To check for nuclear import defects, clones were stably transduced with NLS-3XmCherry and analyzed as described in Fig 2C and D. As shown in Fig 3C, while some clones did not show significant impairment of trafficking, C5 and C6 exhibited a significant defect in ability to import NLS-3XmCherry into the nucleus. Moreover, C3 showed a bimodal distribution of nuclear import capacity, with some cells able to shuttle NLS-3XmCherry as effectively as the WT control, while others displayed substantial impairment. Since clones had to be transfected and selected for the introduction of the reporter, this result suggested that mutant cells might be capable of rapid evolution in response to NUP58 hypomorphic alleles, perhaps accounting for the lack of significant difference in some clones. To test the generality of these findings, we also targeted NUP58 in the colorectal HCT116 (near-diploid) cell line. As shown in Appendix Fig S1A and B, we were able to isolate a HCT116 mutant clone encoding



Figure 3. Generation of NUP58 mutant clones.

٥

WT C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6

A Diagrams detailing *NUP58* mutations in mutant HAP1 clones. Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of exons 4 and 13 surrounding the gRNA target site are shown for wild-type (WT) and NUP58 mutant clones. Insertions are highlighted as closed red triangles and deletions as open dotted triangles over the nucleotide sequence. Amino acid substitutions and PTC resulting from nonsense mutations are indicated in red. A large-scale deletion (large-scale del) present in C2 and affecting part of intron 3–4, exon 4, intron 4–5, and part of exon 5 is represented with dashed lines.

0.0

WT C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6

- B Representation of the highest growth rates (Vmax) for each technical replicate for each indicated cell line. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's t-test; C1, C4, C5 and C6 **** $P \le 0.00001$, C2 *P = 0.0202, C3 **P = 0.0034). Each dot represents a technical replicate (WT n = 35, C1 n = 27, C2 n = 28, C3 n = 34, C4 n = 32, C5 n = 35, C6 n = 38) of one independent experimental replicate performed.
- C Analysis of active nuclear import using an NLS-3XmCherry as reporter as described in Fig 2C and D. Dots represent analyzed cells (WT n = 22, C1 n = 15, C2 n = 15, C3 n = 18, C4 n = 20, C5 n = 27) of one independent experimental replicate performed. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's t-test; ns = non-significant, C3 **P = 0.0015, C5 **P = 0.0001, C6 *** $P \leq 0.00001$).



Figure 4. Alternative exon usage resulted in novel NUP58 protein variants.

- A Sashimi plots indicating combined exon usage of NUP58 mRNA in HAP1 WT and mutant clones across four biological replicates. Numbers of reads per exon–exon junction are indicated below introns. WT canonical isoform is colored in black; mutant isoforms in gray. NUP58 gene structure is reported over the sashimi plot; red asterisks and exons highlight the positions of the identified mutations.
- B Western blot for NUP58 protein expression in mutant clones and control cells. Whole-cell lysate hybridized with customized antibody 1 (Ab1, top panel) and antibody 2 (Ab2, bottom panel). Blots were loaded with wild-type HAP1 cell line (WT), empty vector (EV), non-targeting siRNA (siNT), and NUP58 targeting siRNA (siNUP58), followed by NUP58 mutant clones (C1/C2/C3/C4 in left panels, C5/C6 in right panels). Actin was used as loading control. Asterisks indicate possible NUP58 alternative isoforms.

Source data are available online for this figure.



Figure 5. Upregulation of KPNB1 and KPNA4 underlies early adaptation to NUP58 mutations.

- A–B Combined median fold-change in RNA (A) and protein (B) levels between NUP58 mutant clones and WT cells (calculated using *n* = 4 RNA-seq and *n* = 3 mass spectrometry datasets). Data were visualized using Cytoscape. White: comparable average expression to WT; red: upregulated relative to WT; blue: down-regulated relative to WT. Nodes belonging to the same subcomplex are grouped; edges represent physical interactions (see M&M for details). Transcripts and protein abundance changes in individual clones are reported in Fig EV3.
- C Diagram detailing experimental method for experiment performed in (D). A stable cell line carrying KPNB1-KPNA4-eGFP and a WT cell line were transfected with eRFP Cas9 plasmid carrying dual gRNA. Cell populations were either treated with doxycycline (doxy +) or left untreated (doxy –) and individually sorted into 384-well plates. A total of 2 plates were prepared for each replicate.
- D Cellular viability expressed as number of viable colonies following Cas9-induced gene editing of *NUP58* in WT cell line and in a cell line stably overexpressing KPNB1-KPNA4-eGFP (KAPs). The experiment was performed using three independent replicates and as described in (C). Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's *t*-test; ***P* = 0.0017, **P* = 0.0325).

biallelic mutations that introduced a PTC in *NUP58* and resulted in decreased cellular fitness.

The hypomorphic alleles described above each contained PTCs or large deletions in the NUP58 coding region. As shown in Figs EV1E and 2E, some of the NUP58 clones displayed faster migrating NUP58 bands relative to control lines, suggesting that the mutant alleles could generate truncated isoforms of NUP58 protein. Indeed, it was recently reported that mammalian cells can bypass Cas9-induced nonsense mutations and large deletions via exon skipping, which results in the generation of partially functional truncated proteins (Mou et al, 2017; Chen et al, 2018; Smits et al, 2019). We therefore sought to identify potential NUP58 alternative isoforms by performing bulk RNA sequencing on the mutant clones at P1. As shown in Figs 4A and EV2A, all mutant clones exhibited decreased total NUP58 read counts in respect to WT control, suggesting that the introduced mutations destabilized NUP58 mRNA. Moreover, complete skipping of the mutated exons was evident in some clones (Fig 4A). All isoforms present in C2 and C5 skipped exon 4 and terminated the mRNA sequence at exon 13, possibly using the intron between exon 13 and 14 as the 3' UTR. In contrast, all isoforms of C1 and C3 terminated the NUP58 mRNA at exon 13, while C4 and C6 instead skipped the mutated exon 4 in only a subset of transcripts. It is important to note that since exons 4 and 5 are 150 and 138 nucleotides long, respectively, their deletion does not result in a frameshift downstream to the skipped exons. In accordance with RNA-seq data, mutant alleles gave rise to truncated proteins that were present at lower abundance than the full-length NUP58 in the WT background (Fig 4B and Appendix Fig S2A for antibodies binding sites). Tandem mass tag (TMT) isobaric labeling-based quantitative proteomics analysis performed at P1 confirmed that the truncated proteins were present at lower steady-state levels than in WT (Fig EV2B). Similar destabilization of the mutant mRNA and truncated protein was also observed in the HCT116 clone (Appendix Fig S2B-E). Taken together, these data showed that hypomorphic NUP58 alleles carried PTCs and large deletions giving rise to truncated proteins with exon skipping and/or alternative stop codons and 3'UTRs. Truncated NUP58 proteins were expressed at lower levels than in WT, likely as a consequence of reduced mRNA and/or protein stability.

Upregulation of karyopherins underlies early adaptation to decreased NUP58 activity

To search for genetic adaptive changes in response to NUP58 hypomorphic alleles, we looked for genetic mutations common to all clones. As shown in Appendix Fig S3A, exome sequencing performed at P1 failed to detect possible adaptive mutations, suggesting that initial survival of NUP58 clones could be driven by transcriptome or proteome changes. To look for such changes, RNAseq and mass spectrometry datasets were analyzed for genes and proteins that were commonly and specifically up- or down-regulated in the mutants. In addition to facilitating nuclear/cytoplasmic transport, the NPC plays a key role in controlling the expression of several developmental and transcriptional regulatory genes (Lupu et al, 2008; Raices & D'Angelo, 2012; Iwamoto et al, 2015; Sakuma & D'Angelo, 2017). Accordingly, a large fraction of such genes was differentially expressed in the NUP58 mutant clones (Appendix Fig S3B). Since expression changes in these genes likely reflect perturbations in NPC activity rather than adaptation to its loss of function, we instead focused our analysis on genes belonging to the nuclear transport family. To this end, we first calculated the median foldchange relative to WT across four biological RNA-seq experiments and then visualized common up- or down-regulated genes using HumanNet network (PMID 30418591) (Fig 5A for median expression and Fig EV3A for gene changes at the individual clone level). Similar analyses were performed using three biological TMT replicates, focusing on common up- or down-regulated proteins involved in molecular transport (visualized as a proteins physical interactome network [PPI] PMID 18823568-28514442) (Fig 5B displays median values, Fig EV3B presents protein changes at the individual clone level). Both analyses revealed a generalized downregulation of NPC components that were functionally related to or known to physically interact with NUP58 (Chug et al, 2015; Koh & Blobel, 2015). In parallel, TNPO1, TNPO2, KPNB1, and KPNA4 proteins belonging to the karyopherins family were upregulated in most clones. We observed a similar downregulation of NUP58-interacting NPC proteins and upregulation of TNPO1, TNPO2, and KPNA4 in the HCT116 NUP58 mutant clone at P1 (Appendix Fig S4A and B). To independently confirm these results, we reanalyzed the TMT dataset and selected differentially expressed proteins which are known to genetically

Figure 6. NUP58 mutant isoforms are stabilized upon fitness gain.

- A Maximum growth rates of NUP58 mutant clone C5 during long-term culture. Each dot represents a technical replicate (*n* = 22). Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's *t*-test, ns: non-significant, ***P* = 0.0030, *****P* ≤ 0.00001).
- B Improvement in active nuclear import upon cell passaging. Analysis was performed as in Fig 2C. Dots represent analyzed cells (WT P3 n = 16, WT P19 n = 25, C5 P1 n = 24, C5 P3 n = 27, C5 P19 n = 23) of one independent experimental replicate performed. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's *t*-test; **P = 0.0037, **** $P \le 0.00001$).
- C Representative images of analyzed C5 P1 (left) and C5 P19 (right) NLS-3xmCherry stable cells. Mean intensity of fluorescence for cytoplasm and nucleoplasm for each cell is labeled. Scale bar, 20 μm.
- D Cytoscape visualization of protein abundance changes between C5 P19 and C5 P1. Red nodes indicate proteins that were upregulated upon passaging; blue nodes indicate proteins that were down-regulated upon passaging. White nodes display proteins with comparable expression levels at both early and late passage.
- E NUP58 protein expression in C5 at P1 and P19. Blots were performed using antibody 1 (Ab1, top) or antibody 2 (Ab2, bottom). Actin was used as loading control. The band corresponding to the full-length NUP58 is indicated by a black arrow with possible alternative isoform by an asterisk.
- F Alternative NUP58 isoform localizes to the NPC. Representative images of HCT116 cell line showing localization of WT NUP58 protein (top WT isoform, z-stack = 10) and of an isoform lacking exon 4 and ending at exon 13 (bottom mutant isoform, z-stack = 11). Scale bar, 20 μm.
- G Cellular viability following Cas9-induced gene editing of native NUP58 (left) or NUP85 (right) in WT cell line (black circles) and in a cell line overexpressing NUP58 mutant isoform (gray circles). The experiment was performed using three independent experimental replicates. Error bars indicate mean with SD (Welch's t-test; *P = 0.0194, ns = non-significant).

Source data are available online for this figure.





interact with the NPC in yeast (Costanzo *et al*, 2016). As shown in Appendix Fig S3C, proteins involved in nuclear–cytoplasm transport were differentially expressed, further supporting our previous conclusion that NUP58 clones upregulated karyopherins at P1. Karyopherins are transport receptors that mediate the import/export of macromolecules through the nuclear pore by physically interacting with FG nucleoporins (Zilman *et al*, 2007; Terry & Wente, 2009; Tan *et al*, 2018). To test whether transient upregulation of

karyopherin is sufficient to increase the viability of freshly generated single-cell NUP58 mutant clones, KPNB1-KPNA4 genes under the control of a doxycycline-inducible promoter (KPNB1-KPNA4-GFP plasmid) were integrated in a wild-type HAP1 cell line (Fig 5C). As shown in Fig 5D, induction of KPNB1-KPNA4 karyopherin overexpression significantly increases the viability of the NUP58 mutant clones. We also noted a slight viability increase in absence of doxycycline treatment. This is expected as the doxycycline expression system is documented to be leaky with reported basal expression of transgenes in absence of antibiotic (Meyer-Ficca *et al*, 2004; Pham *et al*, 2008). Taken together, these data suggest that early adaptation to partial loss of NUP58 function is brought about by physiological changes in the form of upregulation of the transporter proteins that physically interact with the FG permeability barrier, thereby supporting cargo shuttling across the nucleoplasm and the cytoplasm.

Long-term adaptation to NUP58 disruption relies on stabilization of mutant isoforms

Early adaptation by karyopherins overexpression may be a viable mechanism for human cells to survive the immediate deleterious effects of NUP58 disruption. However, cellular fitness remained severely impaired, suggesting that this initial adaptive change might be transient and swept by mutations conferring higher fitness. To identify subsequent adaptation mechanisms that more effectively recover cell function, NUP58 mutant clones (C1-C6) were serially cultured for ~ 40 generations (20 passages in culture). Fitness was assessed via measurement of population growth rates at regular intervals. As shown in Figs 6A and EV4A, and Appendix Fig S5A most of the passaged mutant clones were able to increase their growth rates within the experimental time frame. Clones with smaller fitness defects at P1 show smaller fitness improvement during passaging, consistent with the declining adaptability concept (e.g., PMID: 25815007). HAP1 WT also showed a non-significant fitness gain upon passaging, thereby suggesting that the observed fitness increase is not due to adaptation to the experimental conditions. Similarly, the HCT116 NUP58 mutant clone also displayed fitness improvement upon serial passages (Appendix Fig S5B). Taken together, these observations suggested that an adaptive mutation conferring an increased fitness recovery was acquired during the passaging and swept in the population, significantly improving growth rate beyond that achieved by early-stage overexpression of karyopherins. Indeed, the nuclear import ability of C5 was improved upon passaging, further confirming that this second adaptive mutation had increased cellular benefits (Fig 6B and C). To identify candidate adaptive mechanisms, quantitative mass spectrometry analysis was performed on all clones at passage 19 (P19) and concomitant passage 1 (P1) samples. Among all clones, C5 was selected as representative clone for in-depth analysis since it carries mutations in both exons 4 and 13, making it one of the most crippled alleles. Comparing fold-change in C5 cellular transport genes between P1 and P19, we observed that P19 passaged clones increased NUP58 protein expression, stabilized the NPC, and downregulated expression of TNPO1, TNPO2, and KPNA4 (Fig 6D). Similar observations were also made when analyzing other HAP1 clones as well as the HCT116 clone (Fig EV4B and Appendix FigS5C). These results suggested that initial overexpression of karyopherins was not driven by genetic changes but rather physiological adaptation and rewiring of the transcriptome. Western blot analysis of C5 cells at P1 and P19 also confirmed that the truncated NUP58 protein was stabilized upon passaging (Fig 6E), suggesting that the mutant isoform is functional at low levels of expression that must be eventually increased to restore fitness. Similar results were also observed in some of the other clones (C1, C2, C3, C6 Appendix Fig S6A). In agreement with above data, an exogenous NUP58 isoform mimicking the mutations present in C5 was able to localize to the nuclear envelope, suggesting that this mutant allele does indeed remain functional (Fig 6F). To further support this, a HAP1 cell line stably expressing the NUP58 mutant isoform (2XGFP-NUP58 mut plasmid) was subjected to CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing targeting the native form of NUP58. Specifically, exon 4 and exon 14, which are only present in the endogenous NUP58, were concomitantly targeted and the cell population was single-cell plated. As shown in Fig 6G, cells overexpressing mutant NUP58 were able to significantly form more viable colonies than controls. However, overexpression of mutant NUP58 did not increase the viability of freshly generated NUP85 clones, suggesting that overexpression of mutant NUP58 specifically drives fitness gain of NUP58 mutant clones but not of other NPC mutations. Increased expression of the truncated NUP58 protein in P19 C5 correlated with significantly increased mRNA levels of several NUP58 exons (Fig 7A). Gain in mRNA expression could result from mutations that increase mRNA stability or from copy number variation of the affected locus. To explore the latter possibility, we checked the copy number of chromosome 13, which encodes NUP58, and of NUP58 locus. While chromosome 13 was not present in extra numerary copies in respect to the basal ploidy (Fig EV5A and B), NUP58 locus but not its surrounding regions were amplified (Fig 7B and C). This result suggests that a focal amplification of the region encoding NUP58 was responsible for its upregulation. Taken together, these data suggest that fitness improvement was driven by copy number variation of NUP58 locus and increased expression of NUP58 mutant isoform.

Discussion

In this manuscript, we tested the ability of human cells to adapt to partial inactivation of essential genes and dissected early and late adaptation mechanisms in order to shed light into the interplay between genetic adaptations and non-genetic mechanisms (such as phenotypic plasticity and non-stochastic switching). Since essential genes are priority molecules for drug treatment, but target inactivation is typically only partial due to pharmacological and physiological constraints (Masui et al, 2013; Maeda & Khatami, 2018), we also put forward our approach as a genetic method to mimic drug treatment and elucidate mechanisms of emerging resistance to targeted therapy. Previous reports have indicated that early PTC or indels generated by genome editing can be bypassed by exon skipping, resulting in mutant alleles that are generally expressed or translated at lower levels (Smits et al, 2019; Tuladhar et al, 2019). We therefore used CRISPR-Cas9 to edit conserved domains of essential NPC genes before selecting slow-growing colonies to enrich for mutant lines expressing partially functional alleles. With this approach, we generated six mutant cell lines that were able to express mutant NUP58 alleles by skipping exons containing PTCs or indels. The skipped exons were multiple than 3-nt long, suggesting that targeting such exons could be a strategy to generate hypomorphic alleles of essential genes. Accordingly, no NUP85 viable mutants were retrieved where the CRISPR/Cas9 system targeted exons that were not multiple of 3 nts. Generated NUP58 alleles were hypomorphic since mutant cells exhibited substantially decreased cellular fitness associated with reduced nuclear import ability. Contrary to initial expectations, impaired protein function was not driven by the absence of key domains arising



Figure 7. NUP58 is stabilized upon focal amplification of its coding sequence.

- A Comparison of *NUP58* mRNA abundance between late (P19) and early (P1) passage in wild-type cells (WT, top) and clone C5 (bottom). mRNA was quantified using qPCR and five independent probe sets amplifying different regions of *NUP58* mRNA. Annealing of the probe sets is indicated at the bottom of the *NUP58* structure. Relative mRNA abundance between P19 and P1 is color-coded for each exon analyzed (according to the color bar shown below). Red triangles highlight the position of the identified mutations in C5.
- B Diagram at the top represents G-banding pattern of chromosome 13 and highlights chromosome locations of tested genes (NUP58, GRK1, ADPRHL1). Graph at the bottom reports qPCR results for indicated genes performed on the gDNA of indicated samples at the indicated passage number. The experiment was performed using three independent experimental replicates. Error bars indicate mean with SD (2-way ANOVA; *P = 0.02, **P = 0.0083, **** $P \le 0.00001$, ns = non-significant).
- C Representative images of *NUP58* gene-specific FISH hybridization for control HAP1 cell lines (WT P3-WT P19) and mutant C5 at P19. Each dot represents a *NUP58* locus of cluster thereof. Scale bar, 31.3 μm.

from exon skipping, but rather an overall decrease in protein expression level following gene mutation. Indeed, upregulation of mutant protein was sufficient to increase the viability of NUP58 mutant colonies, suggesting that the mutant isoforms are functional. Therefore, caution should be applied when assessing the function of protein domains by observing only cellular phenotype resulting from domain-specific mutations.

To dissect the role of phenotypic plasticity and genome changes in early and late evolutionary adaptation, we analyzed the transcriptome and the proteome of all early and late passaged mutant lines. We discovered that early adaptation correlated with upregulation of karyopherins, a class of NUP58 functionally related proteins which bind its FG repeats for nuclear trafficking. Accordingly, several negative and positive genetic interactions are documented in budding yeast between karyopherins and NPC components (Wilmes *et al*, 2008; Costanzo *et al*, 2016; Kuzmin *et al*, 2018). Moreover, it was shown that the C-terminal FG domain of the NUP58-NUP54 complex changes conformation based on the

local concentration of karyopherins (Koh & Blobel, 2015). Specifically, in presence of karyopherins, NUP58-NUP54 FG repeats switch from a constricted to a dilated conformation to allow the passage of the nuclear transporter proteins through the pore channel. Therefore, in the presence of high local karyopherins concentration, the pore dilation is such that karyopherins can diffuse through the pore in a more FG-independent manner (Koh & Blobel, 2015). While this strategy can sustain cell viability, transport might become leaky as less dependent on the FG-NUP domains. Accordingly, karyopherins upregulation was observed as transient solution characterized by impaired cellular fitness and reduced nuclear import. These findings are in accordance with our observation and could mechanistically explain how a slight upregulation of karyopherins could facilitate the active transport of cargoes through the pore in mutants of NUP58 lacking the FG repeats.

There is renewed debate in the literature about the extent to which genetic adaptations and non-genetic mechanisms (such as phenotypic plasticity and non-stochastic switching) can coexist, about their epistatic relationship and how they could influence subsequent evolutionary changes. (Ancel, 2000; Price et al, 2003; Paenke et al, 2007; Wund, 2012). For instance, certain genotypes might be compatible with cellular changes brought about by certain non-genetic adaptations, while other genotypes might not. These evolutionary concepts have great implications for biomedical research and in particular to emergence of cancer drug resistance, cancer immune evasion, and metastasis (Bell & Gilan, 2020). And while these factors are key contributing elements to treatment failure and patient demise, it remains debated whether they can be attributed entirely to genetic mutations or whether non-genetic mechanisms can also drive them (Salgia & Kulkarni, 2018). Indeed, a large body of literature links genetic changes with drug resistance. However, recent observations point toward pervasive non-genetic changes as another trick cancer cells have to achieve drug resistance (Bell & Gilan, 2020). For instance, epigenetic changes have been described to drive stable drug-resistant phenotypes (Pisco et al, 2013; Milanovic et al, 2018). In other cases, the drug-resistant phenotype was shown to be unstable and lost upon drug withdrawal (Knoechel et al, 2014; Sun et al, 2014). Moreover, in some triplenegative breast cancer patients genetic adaptations and phenotypic plasticity were shown to coexist (Kim et al, 2018). It is therefore of paramount importance to understand the contribution of genetic adaptation and non-genetic mechanisms in drug resistance and dissect their interplay by using in vitro model systems. In the current study, we set up to mimic drug treatment by generating an hypomorphic allele of an essential gene and dissecting its drugresistant mechanisms by letting the cells to adapt to the genetic insult. We showed that early non-genetic mechanisms and phenotypic plasticity in the form of karyopherins upregulation can initially support proliferation of NUP58 mutant cells. However, these changes are not sufficient to restore long-term fitness, which is instead increased by genetic changes in the form of focal amplification of mutant NUP58 alleles. These observations suggested that initial upregulation of karyopherins is most likely driven by phenotypic plasticity within the transcriptome rather than acquisition of genome mutations or stable epigenetic marks in karyopherin regulatory elements. In this context, we propose that early phenotypic plasticity allows cells to survive the initial "drug" insult, thereby permitting subsequent genome changes and adaptive evolution to

occur. Our study sheds new light into how a complex interplay between genetic adaptations and non-genetic mechanisms can facilitate evolutionary processes. It also suggests that inhibition of early non-genetic changes may limit subsequent emergence of drug resistance. Moreover, it shows that mimicking targeted therapy with genetic models *in vitro* may uncover additional opportunities to optimize treatment.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, gene editing, and stable cell line generation

HAP1 (Horizon Discovery C859), HCT116 (ATCC CCL-257), and HEK293T (ATCC) cells were cultured under standard conditions. gRNAs for target genes were designed using the CHOPCHOP tool (http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no; Appendix Table S1) and cloned into pCAGGS expression vector (generously provided by Dr. Norris Ray Dunn, Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Singapore) which contained either GFP, puromycin, or RFP resistance cassette. HAP1 and HCT116 were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) and single cells were isolated using a BD FACSAria II 5 sorter or via infinity dilution, respectively (see Appendix M&M). Gene editing in individual single-cell-derived clones was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and Western blot. To generate stable cell lines, HAP1 cells were transduced with NLS-3XmCherry, composed of 3 mCherry proteins fused head to tail and carrying a nuclear localization signal [NLS] at the N terminus (BioBasic Asia Pacific) or 2XGFP-NUP58 mut and selected by cell sorting; cells transduced with KPNB1-KPNA4-GFP were selected in medium containing 10 µg/ml blasticidin (Invivogen) (Appendix M&M for plasmid construction).

Western blot, siRNAs, and quantitative RT-PCR

Cell lysates were prepared using RIPA lysis and extraction buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and proteins were visualized using LI-COR Odyssey Imaging System. Commercial and customized antibodies are described in Appendix Table S2 and Appendix Fig S2. RNA silencing was performed by transfecting cells with siRNAs SMART-pool (Dharmacon D-001810-10-05 non-targeting, L-013864-01-0005 siNUP58) and Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RT-qPCR was performed on a QuantStudio Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with Perfecta SYBR FastMix ROX 5000 (Research Biolabs). Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (see Appendix for probes sequences).

Live-cell imaging

HAP1 and HCT116 cells were stably or transiently transfected with NLS-3XmCherry (a large cytoplasmic cargo of ~ 84 kDa, composed of 3 mCherry proteins fused head to tail and carrying a nuclear localization signal [NLS] at the N terminus, NLS-3XmCherry) or pEGFP2-Nup58 constructs (BioBasic Asia Pacific), respectively. The cells were then plated on μ -Dishes (Ibidi; 35 mm, high glass bottom) that had been pre-coated with 15 μ g/ml of fibronectin (Sigma) before imaging on spinning disk confocal microscope 3D FRAP (Nikon). Images were subsequently analyzed using ImageJ. Wild-

type and mutant cells with similar total mean fluorescence intensity were compared.

Growth rate

HAP1 or HCT116 cells were seeded into 384-well plates at 400 or 250 cells per well, respectively. Cell counts were performed at regular intervals using a Cytation5 (Biotek) multimode plate reader and analyzed using Gen5 v3.3 software. Vmax was calculated as the largest V by interpolating 3 consecutive data points. For HCT116, the Vmax was normalized based on cell count. RNA and exome-sequencing gDNA and total RNA were isolated from 4 million cells using FavorPrepTM Blood/Cultured Cell Genomic DNA Extraction Mini Kit (Favorgene) or RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), respectively. Capture-seq (whole exome) and cDNA libraries were prepared according to standard operating procedures and samples were run on HiSeq4000 2 × 151 bp Multiplex (Illumina).

Metaphase preparation and ploidy classification

Cells grown to ~ 80% confluency were treated with 100 ng/ml Colcemid solution (Gibco) for 3 h, collected by trypsinization, and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 10 min (Giam *et al*, 2020). Cell pellets were resuspended in 75 mM potassium chloride solution and incubated for 15 min in a 37°C waterbath. Next, a 1/10 volume of 3:1 methanol/acetic acid was added to the cells prior to centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 15 min. Cells were then fixed by resuspension in 3:1 methanol/acetic acid solution, incubated for 30 min at room temperature, centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5 min, and finally washed once more with fixative. Cells were resuspended in a small volume of fixative, dropped onto clean glass slides, and allowed to air-dry. For chromosome counting, metaphase spreads were stained with Giemsa stain (Gibco) and acquired using the fully automated Metafer imaging platform (MetaSystems). Chromosome numbers were scored manually using ImageJ.

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH)

Metaphase preparations (described above and in Giam *et al*, 2020) or interphase cells were dropped onto glass slides and co-denatured with XA 13/18/21 AneuScore probe mix (MetaSystems) for chromosome copy number, or with NUP58-20-GR/NUP58-20-OR (Empire Genomics) for focal amplification detection. Slides were incubated at 75°C for 2 min before being placed in a humidified slide incubator (Eppendorf) at 37°C for 24 h. Following hybridization, slides were washed first in 0.4X SSC (pH 7.0) at 72°C for 2 min, then in 2× SSC/ 0.05% Tween 20 (pH 7.0) at room temperature for 30 s, rinsed briefly in distilled water, and mounted on microscope slides with fluorescence mounting media (Dako) together with DAPI. Cells were visualized using the automated Metafer imaging platform (MetaSystems).

TMT labeling and mass spectrometry

Sample preparation, TMT labeling, and offline fractionation were performed as previously described (Backlund *et al*, 2020) with the following modifications. For TMT10, a gradient of 120 min was used. For TMT6, trapping was carried out with a constant flow of trapping solution (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in water) and peptides

were eluted via the analytical column running solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water, 3% DMSO) with a constant flow of 0.3 µl/ min, with increasing percentage of solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile, 3% DMSO) from 2 to 8% in 6 min, then 8 to 28% for a further 66 min, in another 4 min. from 28 to 38%, followed by an increase of B from 38-80% for 3 min and a re-equilibration back to 2% B for 5 min. IsobarQuant (https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015. 101) and Mascot (v2.2.07) were selected for data processing. A Uniprot Homo sapiens proteome database (UP000005640) containing common contaminants and reversed sequences was used. The search parameters were as follows: carbamidomethyl (C) and TMT10 (K) (fixed modification), acetyl (N-term), oxidation (M), and TMT10 (N-term) (variable modifications). A mass error tolerance of 10 ppm was set for the full scan (MS1) and for MS/MS (MS2) spectra of 0.02 Da. Trypsin was selected as the protease with a maximum of two missed cleavages permitted. A minimum peptide length of seven amino acids and at least two unique peptides per protein were required for a protein identification. False discovery rate at both peptide and protein level was set to 0.01.

Transcriptome and proteome data representation

Pre-alignment quality control of sequence reads was performed using the FastQC tool. Adaptor trimmed sequence reads were aligned to the genome (Ensembl GRCh38.p13) using STAR mapper with default settings (PMID 23104886). A fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) quantification table was obtained using RSEM tool (PMID 21816040), and no particular data normalization was deemed necessary based on visualization of data distribution (boxplots and heatmap). For both mRNA and protein abundance, comparisons between unpassaged clones and wild-type samples used two-sample independent t-tests with P-values adjusted for multiple testing based on q-values. For comparison between passaged and unpassaged clones, paired *t*-tests were used to account for correlations within the same clones. Sashimi plots of exon usage in individual clones were drawn using the Gviz library in R Bioconductor after the bam files from replicate experiments were merged for each clone. Biological networks for the transcriptome and proteome data were visualized using Cytoscape (PMID: 14597658). The gene network for the transcriptomics data was obtained from the co-expression-based network in HumanNet v2 database (PMID 30418591), and the physical interaction network for the proteomics data was curated from multiple sources (including iRefIndex [PMID 18823568] and BioPlex 2.0 [PMID 28514442]).

Data quantification

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism v9.1.1 (GraphPad software Inc. USA). To determine statistical significance among investigated groups Welch's *t*-test, one-way or 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison test or Dunnet's multiple comparison test was used.

Data availability

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with

Altea Targa et al

the dataset identifier PXD022255. The RNA-seq data presented have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus repository (https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with the accession number GSE161061 (Reviewer token access: kzsrimuwfdgjxml). The exome-sequencing data have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA680690?reviewer = qfr48p1q56uvm8n6c8d0r4n2i5).

Expanded View for this article is available online.

Acknowledgements

We thank EMBL Proteomics Core Facility, A*STAR GIS Next Generation Sequencing Platform, AMP A*STAR Microscopy Platform for experimental support; Neil McCarthy (Insight Editing London) for critically reading the manuscript; Norris Ray Dunn for gently providing the CRISPR-Cas9 plasmids used in this study; and Brian Burke for useful discussions and suggestions. H.C. was supported in part by Singapore National Medical Research Council (NMRC-CG-M009). The G.R. laboratory is supported by the NRF Investigatorship Award (NRF-NRFI05-2019-0008) and by the Singapore Ministry of Health's National Medical Research Council under its "Open Fund – Young Individual Research Grant (OFYIRG19nov-0067)".

Author contributions

GR conceived the study, supervised, and secured funding. AT, KL, and GR prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. AT, CKW, YLC, and RF performed the experiments. AT, HC, and JL performed data analysis and visualization. All authors read and agreed to the content.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Ancel LW (2000) Undermining the Baldwin expediting effect: does phenotypic plasticity accelerate evolution? *Theor Popul Biol* 58: 307–319
- Arauz RF, Solomon BD, Pineda-Alvarez DE, Gropman AL, Parsons JA, Roessler E, Muenke M (2010) A hypomorphic allele in the FGF8 gene contributes to holoprosencephaly and is allelic to gonadotropin-releasing hormone deficiency in humans. *Mol Syndromol* 1: 59–66
- Backlund M, Stein F, Rettel M, Schwarzl T, Perez-Perri JI, Brosig A, Zhou Y, Neu-Yilik G, Hentze MW, Kulozik AE (2020) Plasticity of nuclear and cytoplasmic stress responses of RNA-binding proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48: 4725–4740
- Bell CC, Gilan O (2020) Principles and mechanisms of non-genetic resistance in cancer. *Br J Cancer* 122: 465–472
- Blank D, Wolf L, Ackermann M, Silander OK (2014) The predictability of molecular evolution during functional innovation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: 3044–3049
- Bloom JD, Arnold FH (2009) In the light of directed evolution: pathways of adaptive protein evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106(Suppl 1): 9995–10000
- Boehmer T, Enninga J, Dales S, Blobel G, Zhong H (2003) Depletion of a single nucleoporin, Nup107, prevents the assembly of a subset of nucleoporins into the nuclear pore complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 981–985
- Byrne RT, Klingele AJ, Cabot EL, Schackwitz WS, Martin JA, Martin J, Wang Z, Wood EA, Pennacchio C, Pennacchio LA *et al* (2014) Evolution of extreme resistance to ionizing radiation via genetic adaptation of DNA repair. *Elife* 3: e01322

- Challa AK, Boitet ER, Turner AN, Johnson LW, Kennedy D, Downs ER, Hymel KM, Gross AK, Kesterson RA (2016) Novel hypomorphic alleles of the mouse tyrosinase gene induced by CRISPR-Cas9 nucleases cause nonalbino pigmentation phenotypes. *PLoS One* 11: e0155812
- Chen D, Tang JX, Li B, Hou L, Wang X, Kang L (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing induces exon skipping by complete or stochastic altering splicing in the migratory locust. *BMC Biotechnol* 18: 60
- Chen R, Shi L, Hakenberg J, Naughton B, Sklar P, Zhang J, Zhou H, Tian L, Prakash OM, Lemire M *et al* (2016) Analysis of 589,306 genomes identifies individuals resilient to severe Mendelian childhood diseases. *Nat Biotechnol* 34: 531–538
- Chug H, Trakhanov S, Hulsmann BB, Pleiner T, Gorlich D (2015) Crystal structure of the metazoan Nup62*Nup58*Nup54 nucleoporin complex. *Science* 350: 106–110
- Costanzo M, VanderSluis B, Koch EN, Baryshnikova A, Pons C, Tan G, Wang W, Usaj M, Hanchard J, Lee SD *et al* (2016) A global genetic interaction network maps a wiring diagram of cellular function. *Science* 353: aaf1420
- Doniger SW, Kim HS, Swain D, Corcuera D, Williams M, Yang SP, Fay JC (2008) A catalog of neutral and deleterious polymorphism in yeast. *PLoS Genet* 4: e1000183
- Giam M, Wong CK, Low JS, Sinelli M, Dreesen O, Rancati G (2020) P53 induces senescence in the unstable progeny of aneuploid cells. *Cell Cycle* 19(24): 3508–3520
- Happle R (2003) Hypomorphic alleles within the EBP gene cause a phenotype quite different from Conradi-Hunermann-Happle syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A* 122A: 279; author reply 280
- Hart T, Chandrashekhar M, Aregger M, Steinhart Z, Brown KR, MacLeod G, Mis M, Zimmermann M, Fradet-Turcotte A, Sun S *et al* (2015) Highresolution CRISPR screens reveal fitness genes and genotype-specific cancer liabilities. *Cell* 163: 1515–1526
- Iwamoto M, Hiraoka Y, Haraguchi T (2015) The nuclear pore complex acts as a master switch for nuclear and cell differentiation. *Commun Integr Biol* 8: e1056950
- Jacobs C, Huang Y, Masud T, Lu W, Westfield G, Giblin W, Sekiguchi JM (2011) A hypomorphic Artemis human disease allele causes aberrant chromosomal rearrangements and tumorigenesis. *Hum Mol Genet* 20: 806–819
- Kabachinski G, Schwartz TU (2015) The nuclear pore complex-structure and function at a glance. J Cell Sci 128: 423–429
- Kim C, Gao R, Sei E, Brandt R, Hartman J, Hatschek T, Crosetto N, Foukakis T, Navin NE (2018) Chemoresistance evolution in triple-negative breast cancer delineated by single-cell sequencing. *Cell* 173: 879–893.e13
- Knoechel B, Roderick JE, Williamson KE, Zhu J, Lohr JG, Cotton MJ, Gillespie SM, Fernandez D, Ku M, Wang H et al (2014) An epigenetic mechanism of resistance to targeted therapy in T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet 46: 364 – 370
- Koh J, Blobel G (2015) Allosteric regulation in gating the central channel of the nuclear pore complex. *Cell* 161: 1361–1373
- Kuzmin E, VanderSluis B, Wang W, Tan G, Deshpande R, Chen Y, Usaj M,
 Balint A, Mattiazzi Usaj M, van Leeuwen J *et al* (2018) Systematic analysis of complex genetic interactions. *Science* 360: eaao1729
- Lang GI, Desai MM (2014) The spectrum of adaptive mutations in experimental evolution. *Genomics* 104: 412–416
- Liu G, Yong MY, Yurieva M, Srinivasan KG, Liu J, Lim JS, Poidinger M, Wright GD, Zolezzi F, Choi H *et al* (2015) Gene essentiality is a quantitative property linked to cellular evolvability. *Cell* 163: 1388–1399
- Lupu F, Alves A, Anderson K, Doye V, Lacy E (2008) Nuclear pore composition regulates neural stem/progenitor cell differentiation in the mouse embryo. *Dev Cell* 14: 831–842

- Ma J, Goryaynov A, Sarma A, Yang W (2012) Self-regulated viscous channel in the nuclear pore complex. *PNAS* 109: 7326–7331
- MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian S, Frankish A, Huang N, Morris J, Walter K, Jostins L, Habegger L, Pickrell JK, Montgomery SB *et al* (2012) A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. *Science* 335: 823–828
- MacArthur DG, Tyler-Smith C (2010) Loss-of-function variants in the genomes of healthy humans. *Hum Mol Genet* 19: R125-R130
- Maeda H, Khatami M (2018) Analyses of repeated failures in cancer therapy for solid tumors: poor tumor-selective drug delivery, low therapeutic efficacy and unsustainable costs. *Clin Transl Med* 7: 11
- Masui K, Gini B, Wykosky J, Zanca C, Mischel PS, Furnari FB, Cavenee WK (2013) A tale of two approaches: complementary mechanisms of cytotoxic and targeted therapy resistance may inform next-generation cancer treatments. *Carcinogenesis* 34: 725–738
- Meyer-Ficca ML, Meyer RG, Kaiser H, Brack AR, Kandolf R, Kupper JH (2004) Comparative analysis of inducible expression systems in transient transfection studies. *Anal Biochem* 334: 9–19
- Milanovic M, Fan DNY, Belenki D, Däbritz JHM, Zhao Z, Yu Y, Dörr JR, Dimitrova L, Lenze D, Monteiro Barbosa IA *et al* (2018) Senescenceassociated reprogramming promotes cancer stemness. *Nature* 553: 96–100
- Moore FB, Rozen DE, Lenski RE (2000) Pervasive compensatory adaptation in Escherichia coli. *Proc Biol Sci* 267: 515–522
- Mou H, Smith JL, Peng L, Yin H, Moore J, Zhang XO, Song CQ, Sheel A, Wu Q, Ozata DM *et al* (2017) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing induces exon skipping by alternative splicing or exon deletion. *Genome Biol* 18: 108
- Paenke I, Sendhoff B, Kawecki TJ (2007) Influence of plasticity and learning on evolution under directional selection. *Am Nat* 170: E47-58
- (2008) Attenuation of leakiness in doxycycline-inducible expression via incorporation of 3' AU-rich mRNA destabilizing elements. *Biotechniques* 45: 155–162, 155-6, 158, 160 passim
- Pisco AO, Brock A, Zhou J, Moor A, Mojtahedi M, Jackson D, Huang S (2013) Non-Darwinian dynamics in therapy-induced cancer drug resistance. *Nat Commun* 4: 2467
- Price TD, Qvarnstrom A, Irwin DE (2003) The role of phenotypic plasticity in driving genetic evolution. *Proc Biol Sci* 270: 1433–1440
- Raices M, D'Angelo MA (2012) Nuclear pore complex composition: a new regulator of tissue-specific and developmental functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 13: 687–699
- Rokyta D, Badgett MR, Molineux IJ, Bull JJ (2002) Experimental genomic evolution: extensive compensation for loss of DNA ligase activity in a virus. *Mol Biol Evol* 19: 230–238
- Russo M, Crisafulli G, Sogari A, Reilly NM, Arena S, Lamba S, Bartolini A, Amodio V, Magrì A, Novara L *et al* (2019) Adaptive mutability of colorectal cancers in response to targeted therapies. *Science* 366: 1473–1480

- Sakuma S, D'Angelo MA (2017) The roles of the nuclear pore complex in cellular dysfunction, aging and disease. Semin Cell Dev Biol 68: 72–84
- Salgia R, Kulkarni P (2018) The genetic/non-genetic duality of drug 'Resistance' in cancer. *Trends Cancer* 4: 110-118
- Shaffer SM, Dunagin MC, Torborg SR, Torre EA, Emert B, Krepler C, Beqiri M, Sproesser K, Brafford PA, Xiao M *et al* (2017) Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. *Nature* 546: 431–435
- Smits AH, Ziebell F, Joberty G, Zinn N, Mueller WF, Clauder-Munster S, Eberhard D, Falth Savitski M, Grandi P, Jakob P *et al* (2019) Biological plasticity rescues target activity in CRISPR knock outs. *Nat Methods* 16: 1087–1093
- Strambio-De-Castillia C, Niepel M, Rout MP (2010) The nuclear pore complex: bridging nuclear transport and gene regulation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio 11: 490–501
- Sun C, Wang L, Huang S, Heynen GJJE, Prahallad A, Robert C, Haanen J, Blank C, Wesseling J, Willems SM *et al* (2014) Reversible and adaptive resistance to BRAF(V600E) inhibition in melanoma. *Nature* 508: 118–122
- Szamecz B, Boross G, Kalapis D, Kovacs K, Fekete G, Farkas Z, Lazar V, Hrtyan M, Kemmeren P, Groot Koerkamp MJ *et al* (2014) The genomic landscape of compensatory evolution. *PLoS Biol* 12: e1001935
- Tan PS, Aramburu IV, Mercadante D, Tyagi S, Chowdhury A, Spitz D,
 Shammas SL, Grater F, Lemke EA (2018) Two differential binding
 mechanisms of FG-nucleoporins and nuclear transport receptors. *Cell Rep* 22: 3660–3671
- Terry LJ, Wente SR (2009) Flexible gates: dynamic topologies and functions for FG nucleoporins in nucleocytoplasmic transport. *Eukaryot Cell* 8: 1814–1827
- Tuladhar R, Yeu Y, Tyler Piazza J, Tan Z, Rene Clemenceau J, Wu X, Barrett Q, Herbert J, Mathews DH, Kim J *et al* (2019) CRISPR-Cas9-based mutagenesis frequently provokes on-target mRNA misregulation. *Nat Commun* 10: 4056
- Wilmes GM, Bergkessel M, Bandyopadhyay S, Shales M, Braberg H, Cagney G, Collins SR, Whitworth GB, Kress TL, Weissman JS *et al* (2008) A genetic interaction map of RNA-processing factors reveals links between Sem1/ Dss1-containing complexes and mRNA export and splicing. *Mol Cell* 32: 735–746
- Wund MA (2012) Assessing the impacts of phenotypic plasticity on evolution. Integr Comp Biol 52: 5–15
- Zilman A, Di Talia S, Chait BT, Rout MP, Magnasco MO (2007) Efficiency, selectivity, and robustness of nucleocytoplasmic transport. *PLoS Comput Biol* 3: e125



License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.