informed consent of the participants, in accordance with French legislation on non-interventional studies.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Julien Schmidt¹ Lara Zafrani¹ Virginie Lemiale¹ Alain Stepanian² Bérangère Joly² Elie Azoulay¹ Eric Mariotte¹ (D

¹Medical Intensive Care Unit, Saint-Louis Hospital, APHP, Paris and ²Hematology and Hemostasis Laboratory, APHP, Lariboisière Hospital, Paris, France. E-mail: julien.schmidt@aphp.fr

Keywords: thrombotic haemolytic anaemias, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, haemolytic and uremic syndrome/thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, elderly

First published online 20 November 2020 doi: 10.1111/bjh.17176

References

1. George JN, Nester CM. Syndromes of thrombotic microangiopathy. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(19):1847-8.

- 2. Coppo P, Veyradier A. Thrombotic microangiopathies: towards a pathophysiology-based classification. Cardiovasc Hematol Disord Drug Targets. 2009;9(1):36-50.
- 3. Mariotte E, Blet A, Galicier L, Darmon M, Parquet N, Lengline E, et al. Unresponsive thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura in critically ill adults. Intensive Care Med. 2013;39(7):1272-81.
- 4. Benhamou Y, Boelle P-Y, Baudin B, Ederhy S, Gras J, Galicier L, et al. Cardiac troponin-I on diagnosis predicts early death and refractoriness in acquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. Experience of the French Thrombotic Microangiopathies Reference Center. J Thromb Haemost. 2015;13(2):293-302.
- 5. Agosti P, Mancini I, Artoni A, Ferrari B, Pontiggia S, Trisolini SM, et al. The features of acquired thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura occurring at advanced age. Thromb Res. 2020;187:197-201.
- 6. Prevel R, Roubaud-Roubaud C, Gourlain S, Jamme M, Peres K, Benhamou Y, et al. Prognostic and long-term survival of immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura in older patients. Blood. 2019;134:2209-17.
- 7. Mariotte E, Azoulay E, Galicier L, Rondeau E, Zouiti F, Boisseau P, et al. Epidemiology and pathophysiology of adulthood-onset thrombotic microangiopathy with severe ADAMTS13 deficiency (thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura): a cross-sectional analysis of the French national registry for thrombotic microangiopathy. Lancet Haematol. 2016;3(5): e237-45.
- 8. Francis KK, Kalyanam N, Terrell DR, Vesely SK, George JN. Disseminated malignancy misdiagnosed as thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura: a report of 10 patients and a systematic review of published cases. Oncologist. 2007;12(1):11-9.
- 9. Lechner K, Obermeier HL. Cancer-related microangiopathic hemolytic anemia: clinical and laboratory features in 168 reported cases. Medicine. 2012;91(4):195-205.
- 10. Mariotte E, Veyradier A. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura: from diagnosis to therapy. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2015;21(6):593-601.
- 11. Scully M, Hunt BJ, Benjamin S, Liesner R, Rose P, Peyvandi F, et al. Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and other thrombotic microangiopathies. Br J Haematol. 2012:158(3):323-35.

Newly diagnosed and previously treated multicentric Castleman disease respond equally to siltuximab

Multicentric Castleman disease (MCD) is a lymphoproliferative disorder characterised by systemic symptoms, such as fatigue, fever, night sweats and weight loss, as well as multistation lymphadenopathy. Laboratory abnormalities include anaemia, hypoalbuminaemia and elevated acute-phase reactants, for example, C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR).¹⁻⁴ MCD is associated with human herpesvirus-8 (HHV-8) infection in immunocompromised patients. However, MCD is unrelated to HHV-8 in up to 50% of patients⁵; this disease entity is known as HHV8-negative or idiopathic MCD (iMCD). Interleukin (IL)-6 plays a central role in the pathogenesis of iMCD, with multiple proinflammatory effects.6,7

Siltuximab is a monoclonal antibody that specifically binds human IL-6 with high affinity and prevents it from interacting with the IL-6 receptor complex, thereby inactivating IL-6-induced signalling.^{8,9} In the Phase II, randomised, placebo-controlled study in HIV- and HHV8-negative patients with MCD, siltuximab plus best supportive care (BSC) led to a significant improvement in durable tumour and symptomatic response (34% vs. 0% with placebo plus BSC; P = 0.0012) (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01024036).¹⁰ Primarily based on the results of this trial, siltuximab was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for the treatment of iMCD.^{11,12} We report here a prespecified analysis of the efficacy and safety of siltuximab in this trial of patients who were either newly diagnosed or had received prior therapy.

Eligible patients had symptomatic, centrally confirmed HIV- and HHV8-negative MCD. Prior IL-6-targeted therapy

© 2020 The Authors. British Journal of Haematology published by British Society for Haematology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd British Journal of Haematology, 2021, 192, e1-e36

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table I. Durable tumour and symptom response. Prespecified subgroup analysis by treatment arm of the primary endpoint (durable tumour response by central review in the absence of symptom deterioration) in patients with previously treated or newly diagnosed multicentric Castleman disease.

	Newly diagnosed		Previously treated	
	Placebo $(n = 9)$	Siltuximab $(n = 24)$	Placebo $(n = 17)$	Siltuximab $(n = 29)$
Best overall response, n (%)				
Complete response	0 (0)	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Partial response	0 (0)	7 (29)	0 (0)	10 (34)
Stable disease	7 (78)	16 (67)	15 (88)	15 (52)
Progressive disease	2 (22)	0 (0)	2 (12)	4 (14)
Overall response rate, n (%)	0 (0)	8 (33)	0 (0)	10 (34)
P	0.0891		0.0126	



Fig 1. Hazard ratios (HRs) for benefit from siltuximab (time to treatment failure) in previously treated and newly diagnosed patients. A fixed effects (FE) model was used to compare HR results between the previously treated and untreated arms. Treatment failure defined as any of the following: increase from baseline in disease-related Grade ≥ 2 symptoms for ≥ 3 weeks; any new disease-related Grade ≥ 3 symptom; sustained (i.e. ≥ 3 weeks) increase from baseline in ECOG Performance Status by >1 point; radiological progression as measured by modified Cheson criteria; or initiation of any other MCD therapy. ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; MCD, multicentric Castleman disease.

(e.g. tocilizumab) was not permitted. Patients receiving concomitant corticosteroids were considered for study inclusion provided the dose did not exceed 1 mg/kg/day of prednisone or equivalent and had remained stable or decreased over the preceding 4 weeks. Patients were randomly assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive siltuximab (11 mg/kg) or placebo every 3 weeks until treatment failure. Randomisation was stratified by concomitant corticosteroid use. The primary and secondary efficacy endpoints, safety and statistical methods have been reported.¹⁰

In all, 79 patients were randomised: 53 were assigned to siltuximab and 26 to placebo. Of these, 46 patients were previously treated (siltuximab, n = 29; placebo, n = 17) and 33 were newly diagnosed (siltuximab, n = 24; placebo, n = 9) (Table SI). There were no significant differences in baseline characteristics, with the exception of histological subtype

(P = 0.031): the hyaline vascular subtype was more common among newly diagnosed patients, while more previously treated patients had mixed histology. Details of prior regimens are shown in Table SII. The median duration of treatment was 375 and 233 days in the siltuximab and placebo arms, respectively. Durable tumour and symptomatic response rates were similar for siltuximab-treated patients compared with placebo in the previously treated (34.5% [10/29] vs. 0% [0/17]; P = 0.013) and newly diagnosed (33.3% [8/24] vs. 0% [0/9]; P = 0.09) subgroups (Table I). The median time to treatment failure (TTF) was not reached with siltuximab in both subgroups. For the placebo group, the median TTF was 184 days for previously treated patients (hazard ratio [HR] 0.60, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.26-1.38; P = 0.23) and 106 days for newly diagnosed patients (HR 0.19, 95% CI 0.06-0.61; P = 0.005) (Fig 1, Figure S1). In patients treated with siltuximab, the median TTF appeared to be longer for newly diagnosed patients compared with previously treated patients; however, a Cox interaction analysis showed there was no significant difference between treatment effect and prior treatment status (P = 0.11). Results of the other secondary endpoints consistently favoured siltuximab over placebo in both the previously treated and newly diagnosed MCD subgroups, although statistical significance was not always reached (Table SIII). In the previously treated subgroup, the numbers of patients treated with a particular prior therapy (e.g. rituximab) were too small to draw meaningful conclusions on efficacy parameters after that particular treatment.

The populations of the subgroups were relatively small, limiting the value of comparing adverse event (AE) frequencies; however, the general trends suggest that the frequencies of AEs, Grade \geq 3 AEs and serious AEs were similar across the previously treated and newly diagnosed subgroups, despite longer treatment duration in the siltuximab arm (Table SIV).

This prespecified subgroup analysis showed that siltuximab plus BSC demonstrated efficacy in both previously treated and newly diagnosed patients, with durable symptomatic and tumour responses being achieved significantly more often with siltuximab than with placebo in both subgroups, and at similar rates (34.5% and 33.3%, respectively).

Secondary efficacy endpoints also consistently favoured siltuximab *versus* placebo in both subgroups, including TTF, tumour response rate, durable symptomatic response, reduction in serum CRP levels and \geq 15 g/l increase in haemoglobin concentration (Figure S2). This suggests that there is no evidence of cross-resistance with previously used agents, consistent with the novel mechanism of action of bioactive IL-6 neutralisation.

The TTF appeared to be different when comparing the curves for newly diagnosed and previously treated patients, with the difference between siltuximab and placebo in previously treated patients not being significant, despite the separation of the curves. When looking at durable symptom responses in previously treated patients, the responses were higher with siltuximab than with placebo (45% vs. 24%, respectively). The failure of some of the outcomes in previously treated patients to reach statistical significance may have been caused by a number of factors, including the impact of prior therapies, small numbers in the respective subgroups and possibly variations in concomitant steroid use (31% siltuximab vs. 17% placebo).

Of the 53 patients overall who received siltuximab treatment, 31 were still on therapy at the end of the study, and 28 enrolled in the long-term safety extension study.¹² Patients enrolled in the extension study, which comprised patients from this study and those who participated in the Phase I study,⁹ were followed for a further 6 years on openlabel siltuximab, and 70% of those patients were still experiencing disease control.¹²

This prespecified analysis provides further support to the recommendation by the Castleman Disease Collaborative Network (CDCN) that siltuximab should be given as first-line therapy to all patients with iMCD.¹³

Acknowledgements

Presented in abstract form at the 50th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), 30 May–3 June 2014, Chicago, IL, USA. This study was sponsored by Janssen Research & Development. The medical writing support was provided by Rory Elsome, BSc, was funded by EUSA Pharma (UK) Ltd.

Conflicts of interest

Frits van Rhee reports receiving research support from Janssen Pharmaceuticals and consultant fees from EUSA Pharma. James Cavet reports receiving research funding, speaker fees and conference support from Janssen/Johnson & Johnson (J&J) and serving on an advisory board for EUSA Pharma. Angela Dispenzieri reports receiving research funding from Alnylam, Celgene, Pfizer and Takeda, and serving on advisory boards for Akcea, Intellia and Janssen. Jean-François Rossi is the co-founder of E-Sana and reports having served on advisory boards for EUSA Pharma, LEO Pharma and Petrovax Pharm. John Kuruvilla reports having received honoraria and research funding from Janssen. Raymond S. Wong reports having received research funding from and served on an advisory board for Janssen/J&J, and speaker fees and conference support from EUSA Pharma and Janssen/J&J. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Author contributions

Frits van Rhee contributed to the study design. Frits van Rhee, Jean-François Rossi, David Simpson, Alexander Fosså, John Kuruvilla, Yeow Tee Goh, Seok-Goo Cho, Marcelo Capra, Ting Liu, Corey Casper, James Cavet and Raymond S. Wong contributed to the data collection. Frits van Rhee and James Cavet analysed the data. Frits van Rhee, David Simpson, Alexander Fosså, Angela Dispenzieri, John Kuruvilla, Yeow Tee Goh, Seok-Goo Cho, Marcelo Capra, Corey Casper and James Cavet interpreted the data, and all the authors provided critical review of the paper.

Frits van Rhee¹ D Jean-François Rossi² David Simpson³ Alexander Fossä^{4,5} Angela Dispenzieri⁶ John Kuruvilla⁷ Yeow Tee Goh⁸ Seok-Goo Cho⁹ D Marcelo Capra¹⁰ Ting Liu¹¹ Corey Casper^{12,13,14} James Cavet¹⁵ Raymond S. Wong¹⁶

Raymond S. Wong⁻

¹Myeloma Center, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR, USA, ²Institut Sainte Catherine, Avignon, France, ³BeiGene, San Mateo, CA, USA, ⁴Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, ⁵KG Jebsen Centre for B cell malignancies, Oslo, Norway, ⁶Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA, ⁷Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Canada, ⁸Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, ⁹Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ¹⁰Instituto do Cancer, Hospital Mãe de Deus, Porto Alegre, Brazil, ¹¹West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ¹²Infectious Disease Research Institute, Seattle, WA, ¹³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, ¹⁴University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, ¹⁵Christie Hospital & University of Manchester, Manchester, UK and ¹⁶Sir YK Pao Centre for Cancer, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. E-mail: vanrheefrits@uams.edu

Keywords: siltuximab, multicentric Castleman disease

First published online 31 October 2020 doi: 10.1111/bjh.17177

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Fig S1. The median time to treatment failure. Prespecified subgroup analysis in patients with newly diagnosed or previously treated multicentric Castleman disease. Treatment failure defined as any of the following: increase from baseline in disease-related Grade ≥ 2 symptoms for ≥ 3 weeks; any new disease-related Grade ≥ 3 symptom; sustained (i.e. ≥ 3 weeks) increase from baseline in ECOG Performance Status by >1 point; radiological progression as measured by modified

Cheson criteria; or initiation of any other MCD therapy. TTF, time to treatment failure; d, days; HR, hazard ratio; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; MCD, multicentric Castleman disease.

Fig S2. Serum C-reactive protein. Median serum concentrations of C-reactive protein at each treatment cycle in (A) newly diagnosed patients and (B) previously treated patients.

Table SI. Baseline patient demographics and disease characteristics.

Table SII. Prior treatment regimens in previously treated patients.

Table SIII. Secondary efficacy endpoints.

Table SIV. Number of subjects with treatment-emergent adverse events of any grade (occurring in $\geq 10\%$ of patients) or Grade ≥ 3 (occurring in $\geq 5\%$ of patients).

References

- Casper C. The aetiology and management of Castleman disease at 50 years: translating pathophysiology to patient care. *Br J Haematol.* 2005;**129**:3–17.
- Fajgenbaum DC, van Rhee F, Nabel CS. HHV-8-negative, idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease: novel insights into biology, pathogenesis, and therapy. *Blood*. 2014;**123**:2924–33.
- Dispenzieri A, Armitage JO, Loe MJ, Geyer SM, Allred J, Camoriano JK, et al. The clinical spectrum of Castleman's disease. *Am J Hematol.* 2012;87:997–1002.
- Liu YC, Stone K, van Rhee F. Siltuximab for multicentric Castleman disease. Expert Rev Hematol. 2014;7:545–57.
- Fajgenbaum DC. Novel insights and therapeutic approaches in idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease. *Blood.* 2018;132:2323–30.
- van Rhee F, Stone K, Szmania S, Barlogie B, Singh Z. Castleman disease in the 21st century: an update on diagnosis, assessment, and therapy. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol.* 2010;8:486–98.
- van Rhee F, Fayad L, Voorhees P, Furman R, Lonial S, Borghaei H, et al. Siltuximab, a novel anti-interleukin-6 monoclonal antibody, for Castleman's disease. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:3701–8.
- Jones SA, Horiuchi S, Topley N, Yamamoto N, Fuller GM. The soluble interleukin 6 receptor: mechanisms of production and implications in disease. FASEB J. 2001;15:43–58.
- Kurzrock R, Voorhees PM, Casper C, Furman RR, Fayad L, Lonial S, et al. A phase I, open-label study of siltuximab, an anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody, in patients with B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, multiple myeloma, or Castleman disease. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2013;19:3659–70.
- van Rhee F, Wong RS, Munshi N, Rossi JF, Ke XY, Fosså A, et al. Siltuximab for multicentric Castleman's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15:966–74.
- Deisseroth A, Ko CW, Nie L, Zirkelbach JF, Zhao L, Bullock J, et al. FDA approval: siltuximab for the treatment of patients with multicentric Castleman disease. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21:950–4.
- van Rhee F, Casper C, Voorhees PM, Fayad LE, Gibson D, Kanhai K, et al. Long-term safety of siltuximab in patients with idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease: a prespecified, open-label, extension analysis of two trials. *Lancet Haematol.* 2020;7:e209–17.
- van Rhee F, Voorhees P, Dispenzieri A, Fosså A, Srkalovic G, Ide M, et al. International, evidence-based consensus treatment guidelines for idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease. *Blood.* 2018;132:2115–24.