Ultrasound Screening of Achilles Tendon, Patellar Tendon, and Plantar Fascia for Pain Development in Collegiate Athletes

A Multi-institutional Prospective Evaluation

Daniel M. Cushman,^{*†‡} MD , Blake Corcoran,[§] MD, Leyen Vu,^{||} DO, Michael Fredericson,[¶] MD, Masaru Teramoto,[†] PhD, MPH, PStat, Lyndsey Booker,[#] MD, and Sarah F. Eby,^{**} MD, PhD *Investigation performed at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA*

Purpose: To evaluate the use of ultrasound for screening the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia for time-loss injury in collegiate athletes during a full year.

Study Design: Cohort study; Level of evidence, 2.

Methods: A total of 242 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) Division I athletes from 3 institutions participated in this yearlong prospective observational study. Each athlete completed a brief demographic questionnaire, followed by an ultrasound examination of the bilateral patellar tendons, Achilles tendons, and plantar fascia performed at the beginning of the season. Ultrasound examinations assessed for tendon/fascia thickening, hypoechogenicity, and neovascularization. Athletes were monitored for any time-loss injury in these 3 structures throughout a full year.

Results: Of the 242 athletes (n = 484 tendon/fascia) evaluated, the patellar tendon had the highest prevalence of sonographic abnormalities (37.4%), followed by the Achilles tendon (10.6%); plantar fascia abnormalities were rare (3.5%). The overall number of tendon/fascia structures that developed injury was low (<5%). The relative risk for a time-loss injury based on an initial abnormal ultrasound screening was 8.8, 17.2, and 13.2 for the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia, respectively (P < .01). Negative predictive values (99-99.6) far outweighed positive predictive values (10.3-14).

Conclusion: NCAA Division I student-athletes with sonographic abnormalities on initial screening were more likely to develop a time-loss injury in the affected tendon/fascia during the competitive season. Further research on this topic should focus on identifying the specific sonographic abnormalities and their relationship with future injury.

Keywords: Achilles tendon; diagnostic ultrasound; injury prevention; patellar tendon; tendinosis

Achilles tendinopathy, patellar tendinopathy, and plantar fasciopathy are common conditions in both athletes and the general population.^{††} These conditions are often

chronic and are associated with overuse, although they can present acutely.^{15,26,49} Tendons and fascia are susceptible to developing pain, especially in the setting of highimpact activities—such as running, jumping, cutting, and pivoting.^{4,17,26,29,39,44} These conditions can clinically manifest as pain and decreased function, and can significantly affect an athlete's sports participation and performance.^{15,24-26,33,44,49} A study published in 2015 found that 23% of the reported collegiate overuse injuries were due to tendinopathy. For the >45% of the injuries caused

Background: Diagnostic ultrasound can evaluate musculoskeletal structures for abnormalities that correlate with tendinopathy and fasciopathy. Previous literature suggests that sonographic screening of tendons and fascia can identify structures that are at risk for developing pain, although this has not been evaluated in collegiate athletes competing in a variety of sports.

⁺⁺References 3, 14, 15, 20, 21, 26, 30, 39, 40, 49.

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine, 12(11), 23259671241288549 DOI: 10.1177/23259671241288549 © The Author(s) 2024

This open-access article is published and distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - No Derivatives License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits the noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction of the article in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this article without the permission of the Author(s). For article reuse guidelines, please visit SAGE's website at http://www.sagepub.com/journals-permissions.

by overuse, it took athletes >7 days to return to sports.⁴² Patellar tendinopathy was reported in almost half of all patellofemoral injuries in 25 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) Division I sports.⁴⁵ During the 5year study period, patellar tendinopathy led to the second-most cumulative days away from sports after patellar subluxation.⁴⁵ Furthermore, foot and ankle injuries represented 27% of musculoskeletal injuries reported in 37 NCAA Division I sports over 2 years; 8% and 4% of the injuries that led to time away from sports were due to the Achilles and plantar heel, respectively.²⁴

Collegiate athletes, specifically, train at a very high level and have long training sessions as well as competitive seasons. In this setting, there is concern for athletes developing pain associated with overuse. In clinical practice, ultrasonography has been utilized regularly to assess the etiology of musculoskeletal pain but typically after symptoms start. It allows for rapid, dynamic assessment of tendons, fascia, ligaments, muscles, nerves, vasculature, and bone.^{14,16,20,33,40} Tendon or fascial thickening, focal areas of hypoechogenicity, and abnormal vascularity are structural changes associated with tendinopathy or fasciopathy, and they can all be readily visualized with ultrasound.^{4,11,17,25,28,33,38,40} Evidence from systematic reviews has demonstrated that these abnormal findings on ultrasound can lead to an increased risk of developing pain. To our knowledge, no multicenter study has been conducted on sonographic tendon evaluations of collegiate athletes involving different sports. Moreover, there are no studies that involve sonographic evaluation of the plantar fascia and its development of future injury.

This study aimed to evaluate the use of ultrasound as a screening test for future injury in the Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, and plantar fascia of NCAA Division I athletes throughout a full year. It was hypothesized that ultrasound screening would be useful in predicting timeloss injury in these structures.

METHODS

This was a prospective observational study that evaluated NCAA Division I student-athletes across 3 different institutions over a single year. The study protocol received institutional review board approval from all 3 participating institutions. NCAA Division I student-athletes from any sport were recruited consecutively at preparticipation physicals around the beginning of the 2021 academic year. The exclusion criteria were age <18 years and previous rupture/surgery of the affected tendon/fascia (the potential participant was not excluded, but the affected tendon/fascia was excluded from the analysis). An a priori power analysis based on an expected relative risk (RR) of 7 estimated a required sample size of 141 student-athletes.³³

Study Questionnaire

All consented participants completed a brief questionnaire immediately upon enrollment in the study. This included age, sex, height, weight, participating sports, years of competition in their sport, current pain in the structures under examination, previous pain in those structures, and previous surgery on those structures.

Ultrasound Video Acquisition

Evaluation of the Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, and plantar fascia was performed with a 12 to 18 MHz linear transducer (Logiq E9 R7, GE Healthcare; Sonosite PX, FujiFilm Sonosite; Sonosite X-porte, FujiFilm Sonosite; or Sonimage HS-1, Konica Minolta) and was performed by or directly supervised by an experienced sports medicine physician with at least 5 years of posttraining experience (D.M.C., M.F.). Videos-approximately 10 seconds in length for each plane of each structure, totaling 16 separate videos per participant-were recorded without personally identifiable information using the following protocol. The patellar tendons were scanned along their entire course first with the knees bent to 90° in a sagittal and axial plane, followed by another sagittal view with color Doppler with the knees extended and quadricep musculature relaxed. Next, the Achilles tendons were scanned, with the student-athlete in a prone position and knees extended. The ankle was passively flexed to neutral by the sonographer, and the Achilles tendon was scanned from the myotendinous junction to the insertion in both the sagittal and axial planes, followed by another sagittal view using power Doppler with the ankle relaxed without any passive tension. Finally, the plantar fasciae were scanned only in a sagittal plane, first with the ankle passively flexed to neutral, then using power Doppler with

^{*}Address correspondence to Daniel M. Cushman, MD, Department of Orthopaedics, University of Utah, 590 Wakara Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, USA (email: dan.cushman.work@gmail.com).

[†]Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

[‡]Department of Orthopaedics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

[§]Washington State University, Cougar Health Services, Pullman, Washington, USA.

^{II}Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.

¹Division of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA.

[#]Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

^{**}Department of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Harvard Medical School/Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. Final revision submitted April 11, 2024; accepted April 23, 2024.

One or more of the authors has declared the following potential conflict of interest or source of funding: This study was conducted with support from the Pac-12 Conference's Student-Athlete Health and Well-Being Initiative. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (award number UL1TR002538). AOSSM checks author disclosures against the Open Payments Database (OPD). AOSSM has not conducted an independent investigation on the OPD and disclaims any liability or responsibility relating thereto. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Utah (reference No. 00130805).

the ankle relaxed. All longitudinal scans were performed by sweeping the transducer lateral-to-medial and proximal-to-distal to obtain a complete view of all portions of the structure. Power Doppler was always performed with minimal transducer pressure and gain adjusted to maximize the likelihood of neovessel identification.⁴³

Ultrasound Video Analysis

Video assessment was performed on a separate date by the primary author (D.M.C.), who has extensive experience reviewing the affected structures. The reviewer (D.M.C.) was blinded to all personal information related to the videos. The reviewer individually analyzed each video for the presence of focal hypoechogenicity (present/absent), morphologic thickening (present/absent), and neovascularity (0/1 + /2 + /3 + /4 +; analyzed as absent/present) (Table 1).⁴³ Structures were deemed abnormal if ≥ 1 of the 3 abnormalities existed.^{7,8,16,23,33,43}

Patellar tendon thickness was measured from the deep layer of the patellar tendon to the superficial aspect, as it attaches to the patella.³⁷ Structural abnormalities were confirmed with multiple sonographic views for the Achilles and patellar tendon, while the plantar fascia abnormalities were confirmed solely in the sagittal plane, long axis to the fascia.

Interrater reliability was performed on a random selection of 20% of videos by a second experienced sonographer (S.F.E.), who was also blinded to participant information as well as the first reviewer's findings. Kappa values were calculated from categorical structure abnormality data (tendon/fascia: normal vs abnormal). Interrater kappa values are generally deemed <0.20 as slight, 0.21 to 0.40 as fair, 0.41 to 0.60 as moderate, 0.61 to 0.80 as substantial, and 0.81 to 1 as a near-perfect agreement between raters.³⁵ The kappa values were 0.556, 0.856, and 1 for the Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, and plantar fascia, respectively.

Injury Surveillance

All athletes were prospectively monitored over the entire academic year for the development of pain in the Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, and plantar fascia that caused time loss. The athletic training staff was notified of the study and the importance of reporting time-loss injuries to these structures; they were also queried individually throughout the year, quarterly, and at the end of the study time frame (ie, end of the academic year) for any additional injuries that had not been reported. The main outcome variable of interest was the development of pain in the affected structure that required missing practice or competition for any amount of time (= injury). Clinical diagnoses of load-related pain and tenderness in the target tendon/ fascia were made by athletic trainers or sports medicine physicians.^{31,32} All student-athletes with unclear diagnoses underwent consultation with or were evaluated by a board-certified sports medicine physician. At the end of the study time frame, all student-athletes with diagnosed

TABLE 1 Definition of Tendon/Fascia Abnormalities

	Categorization
Hypoechogenicity	
0	Absent
1	Present
Thickening	
0	Absent
1	Present
Neovascularity	
0	Absent
1+	Present
2 +	Present
3 +	Present
4 +	Present

pain in 1 of the 3 structures were verified with the athletic training staff and/or sports medicine physicians. If an athlete sustained a rupture of 1 of the tendons, the decision was made a priori to exclude the athlete from the analysis, as the study was designed to evaluate overuse-type symptoms.

Also included were deidentified data collected as part of the Pacific-12 (Pac-12) collegiate athletic conference's Health Analytics Program (HAP), which were derived from clinical documentation in a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant electronic medical record by sports medicine clinicians in the Pac-12. Data were deidentified using the HIPAA Safe Harbor method for deidentification (45 CFR 164.514). Resulting project data included deidentified records from only student-athletes that provided authorization for secondary research as part of the HAP.

Data Analysis

The primary outcome variable was injury (development of pain with time loss) in the Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, and plantar fascia, while the main predictor variable was the presence or absence of a sonographic abnormality at the preseason evaluation. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the demographic characteristics of athletes. Specifically, the mean with standard deviation or the median with interquartile range (IQR) were used for continuous variables, while categorical variables were summarized with frequencies and percentages. Ultrasound findings and current pain in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia were also described using frequency and percentage, separately for the left and right tendons as well as for both tendons. A contingency table analysis was performed to examine the association between ultrasound findings and injury in these structures. Participants were only counted once if an injury was reported on >2separate incidents in the same structure. In particular, an RR and its 95% CI, along with a P value and an absolute risk (AR), were computed from a generalized linear model for binomial distribution and log link, while using the cluster-robust variance estimator to calculate standard errors, to account for the potentially correlated data from the left and right tendons of each athlete.^{10,22,34,41,47,48} Also, each model was adjusted for previous injuries and the presence of pain at the time of ultrasound screening (= covariates) in a multivariate approach. Further, the Cox proportional hazards (PH) model with the clusterrobust standard errors was fit to the data to examine the association of injury to ultrasound findings, separately for the patellar and Achilles tendon.^{9,41,48} Meanwhile, the Cox PH model was not used for the data on the plantar fascia, as the number of cases in this structure was extremely low⁴⁶ (n = 4). A hazard ratio (HR) and its 95% CI were also calculated from the Cox PH model, adjusting for previous injury and pain at the time of ultrasound screening as covariates.

The above analyses were also repeated on asymptomatic tendon subgroups—including only the tendons from athletes without self-reported pain at the time of ultrasound screening. To examine ultrasound findings as a screening test for future injuries in these tendon structures, diagnostic summary measures—including sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV)—were calculated for each tendon structure. Time loss was quantified as time to return to practice after the onset of symptoms and was compared by injury in each tendon structure using a Kruskal-Wallis test. P < .05 was used as the threshold for statistical significance, and all the analyses were conducted by a blinded statistician (M.T.) using Stata/MP 18.0 (StataCorp).

RESULTS

Of the 243 athletes scanned by ultrasound in total during the 2021 academic year, 1 athlete sustained a tendon rupture during the study and was therefore excluded from the analysis. No participants were lost to followup. Consequently, data from 242 athletes with 484 tendons (ie, left and right tendons) were analyzed in this study. Participant characteristics are shown in Table 2. More than half of the athletes were women (57.8%); 51.7% of athletes engaged in explosive sports; and athletes from 14 different sports were included in the data.

The ultrasound findings for the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia are reported in Table 3. Figure 1 demonstrates these corresponding findings. The patellar tendon had the highest prevalence of ultrasound abnormalities (range, 34.8%-40%) of the 3 structures. Ultrasound abnormalities in the plantar fascia were rare (range, 3.3%-3.7% of all participants), while the Achilles tendon showed abnormalities in around 10% of the participants (range, 9.9%-11.2%). A total of 24, 13, and 4 athletes reported injury (development of pain with time loss) for the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia, respectively, during the study. Among them, 1 athlete developed pain in the same patellar tendon for a second time, and 2 athletes developed pain in the same Achilles tendon for a second time; whereas none of the athletes sustained a repeat pain development in the plantar fascia.

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine

TABLE 2 Characteristics of the Included Athletes $(N = 242)^{a}$

Sex Male $102 (42.2)$ Female 140 (57.8) Main sport Baseball Baseball 11 (4.6) Basketball 46 (19) Beach volleyball 11 (4.6) Cross country 26 (10.7) Golf 2 (0.8) Gymnastics 7 (2.9) Lacrosse 26 (10.7) Skiing 5 (2.1) Soccer 11 (4.6) Softball 6 (2.5) Swimming & Diving 24 (9.9) Tennis 5 (2.1) Track & Field 48 (19.8) Volleyball 14 (5.8) Sport category Explosive Explosive 125 (51.7) Running 74 (30.6) Aquatic 24 (9.9) Skill-based 19 (7.8) Age, y 20.2 ± 1.6 Height, cm 178.4 ± 11. Weight, kg 74.7 ± 15. BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Variable	Value
Male 102 (42.2) Female 140 (57.8) Main sport 1102 Baseball 11 (4.6) Basketball 46 (19) Beach volleyball 11 (4.6) Cross country 26 (10.7) Golf 2 (0.8) Gymnastics 7 (2.9) Lacrosse 26 (10.7) Skiing 5 (2.1) Soccer 11 (4.6) Softball 6 (2.5) Swimming & Diving 24 (9.9) Tennis 5 (2.1) Track & Field 48 (19.8) Volleyball 14 (5.8) Sport category 25 (51.7) Running 74 (30.6) Aquatic 24 (9.9) Skill-based 19 (7.8) Age, y 20.2 ± 1.6 Height, cm 178.4 ± 11. Weight, kg 74.7 ± 15. BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Sex	
Female140 (57.8)Main sport $11 (4.6)$ Baseball11 (4.6)Basketball46 (19)Beach volleyball11 (4.6)Cross country26 (10.7)Golf2 (0.8)Gymnastics7 (2.9)Lacrosse26 (10.7)Skiing5 (2.1)Soccer11 (4.6)Softball6 (2.5)Swimming & Diving24 (9.9)Tennis5 (2.1)Track & Field48 (19.8)Volleyball14 (5.8)Sport category25 (51.7)Running74 (30.6)Aquatic24 (9.9)Skill-based19 (7.8)Age, y20.2 ± 1.6Height, cm178.4 ± 11.Weight, kg74.7 ± 15.BMI, kg/m²23.3 ± 2.9	Male	102 (42.2)
Main sport Baseball 11 (4.6) Basketball 46 (19) Beach volleyball 11 (4.6) Cross country 26 (10.7) Golf 2 (0.8) Gymnastics 7 (2.9) Lacrosse 26 (10.7) Skiing 5 (2.1) Soccer 11 (4.6) Softball 6 (2.5) Swimming & Diving 24 (9.9) Tennis 5 (2.1) Track & Field 48 (19.8) Volleyball 14 (5.8) Sport category 25 (51.7) Running 74 (30.6) Aquatic 24 (9.9) Skill-based 19 (7.8) Age, y 20.2 ± 1.6 Height, cm 178.4 ± 11. Weight, kg 74.7 ± 15. BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Female	140 (57.8)
Baseball11 (4.6)Basketball46 (19)Beach volleyball11 (4.6)Cross country26 (10.7)Golf2 (0.8)Gymnastics7 (2.9)Lacrosse26 (10.7)Skiing5 (2.1)Soccer11 (4.6)Softball6 (2.5)Swimming & Diving24 (9.9)Tennis5 (2.1)Track & Field48 (19.8)Volleyball14 (5.8)Sport category25 (51.7)Running74 (30.6)Aquatic24 (9.9)Skill-based19 (7.8)Age, y20.2 ± 1.6Height, cm178.4 ± 11.Weight, kg74.7 ± 15.BMI, kg/m²23.3 ± 2.9	Main sport	
Basketball $46 (19)$ Beach volleyball11 (4.6)Cross country26 (10.7)Golf2 (0.8)Gymnastics7 (2.9)Lacrosse26 (10.7)Skiing5 (2.1)Soccer11 (4.6)Softball6 (2.5)Swimming & Diving24 (9.9)Tennis5 (2.1)Track & Field48 (19.8)Volleyball14 (5.8)Sport category25 (51.7)Running74 (30.6)Aquatic24 (9.9)Skill-based19 (7.8)Age, y20.2 ± 1.6Height, cm178.4 ± 11.Weight, kg74.7 ± 15.BMI, kg/m²23.3 ± 2.9	Baseball	11 (4.6)
Beach volleyball11 (4.6)Cross country26 (10.7)Golf2 (0.8)Gymnastics7 (2.9)Lacrosse26 (10.7)Skiing5 (2.1)Soccer11 (4.6)Softball6 (2.5)Swimming & Diving24 (9.9)Tennis5 (2.1)Track & Field48 (19.8)Volleyball14 (5.8)Sport category25 (51.7)Running74 (30.6)Aquatic24 (9.9)Skill-based19 (7.8)Age, y20.2 \pm 1.6Height, cm178.4 \pm 11.Weight, kg74.7 \pm 15.BMI, kg/m²23.3 \pm 2.9	Basketball	46 (19)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Beach volleyball	11 (4.6)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cross country	26 (10.7)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Golf	2(0.8)
$\begin{array}{cccc} Lacrosse & 26 (10.7) \\ Skiing & 5 (2.1) \\ Soccer & 11 (4.6) \\ Softball & 6 (2.5) \\ Swimming & Diving & 24 (9.9) \\ Tennis & 5 (2.1) \\ Track & Field & 48 (19.8) \\ Volleyball & 14 (5.8) \\ Sport category \\ Explosive & 125 (51.7) \\ Running & 74 (30.6) \\ Aquatic & 24 (9.9) \\ Skill-based & 19 (7.8) \\ Age, y & 20.2 \pm 1.6 \\ Height, cm & 178.4 \pm 11. \\ Weight, kg & 74.7 \pm 15. \\ BMI, kg/m^2 & 23.3 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$	Gymnastics	7 (2.9)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Lacrosse	26 (10.7)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Skiing	5 (2.1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Soccer	11 (4.6)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Softball	6 (2.5)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Swimming & Diving	24 (9.9)
$\begin{array}{ccc} {\rm Track\ \&\ Field} & 48\ (19.8) \\ {\rm Volleyball} & 14\ (5.8) \\ {\rm Sport\ category} & & \\ {\rm Explosive\ } & 125\ (51.7) \\ {\rm Running\ } & 74\ (30.6) \\ {\rm Aquatic\ } & 24\ (9.9) \\ {\rm Skill-based\ } & 19\ (7.8) \\ {\rm Age,\ y\ } & 20.2\ \pm\ 1.6 \\ {\rm Height,\ cm\ } & 178.4\ \pm\ 11. \\ {\rm Weight,\ kg\ } & 74.7\ \pm\ 15. \\ {\rm BMI,\ kg/m^2\ } & 23.3\ \pm\ 2.9 \end{array}$	Tennis	5 (2.1)
$\begin{array}{c c} Volleyball & 14 (5.8) \\ Sport category & & & \\ Explosive & 125 (51.7) \\ Running & 74 (30.6) \\ Aquatic & 24 (9.9) \\ Skill-based & 19 (7.8) \\ Age, y & 20.2 \pm 1.6 \\ Height, cm & 178.4 \pm 11. \\ Weight, kg & 74.7 \pm 15. \\ BMI, kg/m^2 & 23.3 \pm 2.9 \end{array}$	Track & Field	48 (19.8)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Volleyball	14 (5.8)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Sport category	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Explosive	125 (51.7)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Running	74 (30.6)
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Aquatic	24 (9.9)
Age, y 20.2 ± 1.6 Height, cm $178.4 \pm 11.$ Weight, kg $74.7 \pm 15.$ BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Skill-based	19 (7.8)
Height, cm $178.4 \pm 11.$ Weight, kg $74.7 \pm 15.$ BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Age, y	20.2 ± 1.6
Weight, kg $74.7 \pm 15.$ BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Height, cm	178.4 ± 11.6
BMI, kg/m ² 23.3 ± 2.9	Weight, kg	74.7 ± 15.2
	BMI, kg/m ²	23.3 ± 2.9

 aData are presented as frequency (%) or mean \pm SD. BMI, body mass index.

TABLE 3
Ultrasound Findings for Each Tendon Structure
$(N = 242 \text{ Athletes with } 484 \text{ Tendons})^a$

	Ultrasound Abnormality			
Tendon	Yes	No		
Patellar tendon				
Left^b	94 (40)	141 (60)		
Right^{c}	81 (34.8)	152(65.2)		
Either^d	175 (37.4)	293 (62.6)		
Achilles tendon				
Left	24 (9.9)	218 (90.1)		
Right^{e}	27 (11.2)	214 (88.8)		
$\operatorname{Either}^{f}$	51 (10.6)	432 (89.4)		
Plantar fascia				
Left	8 (3.3)	234 (96.7)		
Right	9 (3.7)	233 (96.3)		
Either	17 (3.5)	467 (96.5)		

^{*a*}Data are presented as frequency (%).

^{*b*}7 missing data points.

^c9 missing data points.

^d16 missing data points.

^e1 missing data point.

^f1 missing data point.



Figure 1. Examples of (A) patellar tendons, (B) Achilles tendons, and (C) plantar fasciae, taken as screenshots from videos. The top rows show normal findings: (a) normal long-axis image; (b) normal short-axis image; and (c) normal power Doppler image. The bottom rows show abnormal findings: (d/e) hypoechogenicity and thickening of the tendon (A) at the patellar pole, (B) just proximal to its insertion, or (C) hypoechogenicity and thickening of the plantar fascia; and (f) neovascularity at that location.

Hence, the analysis included a total of 23, 11, and 4 injuries in the patellar tendons, Achilles tendons, and plantar fasciae, respectively. Overall, the number of injuries in these structures was fairly low ($\leq 5\%$) (Table 4). Athletes sustained injuries in the patellar tendon most frequently (4.6%-5%), followed by that in the Achilles tendon (2.1%-2.5%) and the plantar fascia (0.4%-1.2%).

Injury by ultrasound abnormality, separately for each structure, is summarized in Table 5. There was a significant association between ultrasound abnormality and injury for all tendon structures (P < .01). Specifically, athletes with ultrasound abnormalities in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia were about 9 times (RR, 8.8 [95% CI, 1.9-42.1]; P = .006; AR, 8.4%), 17 times (RR, 17.2 [95% CI, 3-97.2]; P = .001; AR, 11.6%), and 13 times (RR, 13.2 [95% CI, 4.1-42]; P < .001; AR, 5.4%) more likely to develop pain than those without ultrasound

abnormalities, respectively. According to the Cox PH models, the hazards of developing pain in the patellar and Achilles tendons for athletes with ultrasound abnormalities were about 9 times (HR, 9 [95% CI, 1.9-43.5]; P = .007) and 19 times (HR, 18.5 [95% CI, 3.8-102]; P = .001) higher than those without ultrasound abnormalities (Figure 2).

The results of the contingency table analysis and Cox PH models above held true even when the subgroup of only asymptomatic tendons was analyzed. Ultrasound abnormality was significantly associated with about 10 times (RR, 9.5 [95% CI, 2-45.2]; P = .005; AR, 8.9%) and 23 times (RR, 23.1 [95% CI, 2.5-209.4]; P = .005; AR, 10.7%) higher risk of pain development in the patellar and Achilles tendons, respectively (Table 6). Similarly, the patellar and Achilles tendons with ultrasound abnormalities were found to have over 9 times (HR, 9.5 [95%)

Th	e Ort	hopaed	ic J	Iournal	of	S	ports	Mea	licin	ıe

TABLE 4
Injury for Each Tendon Structure ($N = 242$
Athletes, 484 Tendons) ^{a}

	In	ıjury
Tendon	Yes	No
Patellar tendon		
Left	11 (4.6)	231 (95.4)
Right	12(5)	230 (95)
Either	23 (4.8)	461 (95.2)
Achilles tendon		
Left	5 (2.1)	237 (97.9)
Right	6 (2.5)	236 (97.5)
Either	11 (2.3)	473 (97.7)
Plantar fascia		
Left	3(1.2)	239 (98.8)
Right	1 (0.4)	241 (99.6)
Either	4 (0.8)	480 (99.2)

 $^a {\rm Data}$ are presented as frequency (%). Injury was defined as the development of pain with time loss.

TABLE 5Contingency Table on Ultrasound Findingsand Injuries $(N = 242 \text{ Athletes}/484 \text{ Tendons})^a$

	Inj	jury		
Ultrasound Abnormality	Yes	No	RR (95% CI) ^b	P^b
Patellar tendo	n			
Yes	18 (10.3)	157 (89.7)	8.8 (1.9-42.1)	.006
No	3 (1)	290 (99)		
Achilles tendo	n			
Yes	8 (15.7)	43 (84.3)	17.2 (3-97.2)	.001
No	3(0.7)	429 (99.3)		
Plantar fascia				
Yes	2(11.8)	15(88.2)	13.2(4.1-42)	<.001
No	2(0.4)	$465 \ (99.6)$		

^aData are presented as frequency (%). Injury was defined as the development of pain with time loss. RR, relative risk.

^bCalculated from the generalized linear model for binomial distribution and log link with cluster-robust standard errors, adjusting for previous injuries and pain at the time of ultrasound screening. Bold values indicate statistical significance.

TABLE 6				
Contingency Table on Ultrasound Findings				
and Injuries for Asymptomatic Tendons ^a				

	In	jury		
Ultrasound Abnormality	Yes	No	RR (95% CI) ^b	P^b
Patellar tendor	L			
Yes	14 (9.5)	134 (90.5)	9.5 (2-45.2)	.005
No	3(1.1)	282 (98.9)		
Achilles tendon	L			
Yes	5 (11.9)	37 (88.1)	23.1 (2.5-209.4)	.005
No	2(0.5)	412 (99.5)		
Plantar fascia				
Yes	0 (0)	14 (100)	NA^{c}	
No	2(0.4)	449 (99.6)		

^aData are presented as frequency (%). Injury was defined as the development of pain with time loss. NA, not applicable; RR, relative risk. Bold values indicate statistical significance.

^bCalculated from the generalized linear model for binomial distribution and log link with cluster-robust standard errors, adjusting for previous injuries.

^cNot calculable because of zero frequency in 1 cell.

CI, 1.9-46.2]; P = .005) and 25 times (HR, 24.6 [95% CI, 2.7-226.3]; P = .005) greater hazards of pain development compared with those without ultrasound abnormalities (Figure 3).

Overall, ultrasound screening showed extremely high NPVs (\geq 90%) for all 3 tendon structures (Table 7). In other words, athletes with normal ultrasound findings were quite unlikely to develop pain in those structures. Ultrasound screening for the patellar tendon showed high sensitivity (85.7%), whereas that for the Achilles tendon and plantar fascia showed high specificity (>90%). Meanwhile, PPVs were fairly low for all 3 tendon structures (\leq 14%), indicating that most athletes with ultrasound abnormalities in these structures did not end up developing pain. Looking within types of abnormality, the highest PPVs were seen with neovascularity measurements, but they remained on the lower end.

The median time to return to practice after developing pain in the patellar tendon (n = 22), Achilles tendon (n = 10), and plantar fascia (n = 4) was 1 day (IQR, 1-4 days [range, 1-241 days]), 1 day (IQR, 1-14 days [range, 0-28])



Figure 2. Cox proportional hazards model with cluster-robust standard errors on injury (pain with time loss) according to ultrasound findings in (A) patellar tendons and (B) Achilles tendons, adjusting for previous injury and pain at the time of ultrasound screening.



Figure 3. Cox proportional hazards model with cluster-robust standard errors on injury (pain with time loss) according to ultrasound findings in asymptomatic (A) patellar tendons and (B) Achilles tendons.

	Diagnostie		B	
		operty		
Tendon	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)
Any				
Patellar	85.7 (63.7-97)	64.9 (60.3-69.3)	10.3 (6.2-15.8)	99 (97-99.8)
Achilles	63.6 (30.8-89.1)	90.9 (87.9-93.3)	14 (5.8-26.7)	99.1 (97.7-99.7)
Plantar fascia	50 (6.8-93.2)	96.9 (94.9-98.2)	11.8 (1.5-36.4)	99.6 (98.5-99.9)
Hypoechogenicity				
Patellar	76.2 (52.8-91.8)	66.3 (61.7-70.7)	9.6 (5.6-15.2)	98.3 (96.2-99.5)
Achilles	70 (34.8-93.3)	93.6 (91.1-95.7)	18.9 (8-35.2)	99.3 (98-99.9)
Plantar fascia	50 (6.8-93.2)	97.5 (95.7-98.7)	14.3 (1.8-42.8)	99.6 (98.5-99.9)
Thickening				
Patellar	61.9 (38.4-81.9)	83.1 (79.3-86.5)	14.8 (8.1-23.9)	97.9 (95.9-99.1)
Achilles	45.5 (16.7-76.6)	95.3 (93-97.1)	18.5 (6.3-38.1)	98.7 (97.2-99.5)
Plantar fascia	50 (6.8-93.2)	97.1 (95.2-98.4)	12.5(1.5-38.3)	99.6 (98.5-99.9)
Neovascularity				
Patellar	73.9 (51.6-89.8)	86.2 (82.7-89.2)	21.3 (12.9-31.8)	98.5 (96.8-99.4)
Achilles	27.3 (6-61)	98.7 (97.2-99.5)	33.3 (7.5-70.1)	98.3 (96.7-99.3)
Plantar fascia	25 (0.6-80.6)	99.6 (98.5-99.9)	33.3 (0.8-90.6)	99.4 (98.2-99.9)

 TABLE 7

 Diagnostic Measures of Ultrasound Screening^a

^aNPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

days]), and 8 days (IQR, 1.5-34 days [range, 1-54 days]), respectively. There were no significant between-group differences in time to return to practice (P = .432) among the 3 tendon structures, likely because of the large variability in the data.

DISCUSSION

Previous literature on sonographic screening for tendon abnormalities in athletes has been focused primarily on sports involving running, jumping, cutting, or pivoting.³³ This multi-institutional study involved athletes from various collegiate sports and demonstrates that collegiate student-athletes with preseason ultrasound abnormalities in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, or plantar fascia are more likely to develop pain in those structures compared to those without preseason abnormalities.

Several prior studies have prospectively evaluated the likelihood of developing tendinopathic symptoms based on an ultrasound evaluation.^{11-13,19,33} Evidence from systematic reviews demonstrates that sonographic abnormalities significantly increase the risk of future Achilles and patellar tendon pain, though some discrepancies in the results have been reported between the studies. The present study is one of the largest of its kind to track athletes for a year and identified one of the highest RRs. The comparatively higher RR found in our study may relate to the population of interest: The present study included NCAA Division I student-athletes, whereas only prior studies examined both younger and older populations.^{6-8,11,12,14,16-18} Furthermore, most prior studies examined asymptomatic tendons, while the present study examined all tendons, but did include a subanalysis of asymptomatic tendons. The collegiate age may be the tipping point where abnormalities become symptomatic.

Though large RRs were noted for the development of pain in all 3 structures, its utility as a screening test should be viewed as having both strengths and limitations. All structures showed low PPVs (<15%) but had very high NPVs (>99%). This could be related to 2 main causes. First, the overall percentage of athletes who developed pain remained low throughout the 3 structures. The patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia developed pain in 4.8%, 2.3%, and 0.8% of athletes, respectively. Prior epidemiologic data have shown that Achilles and plantar heel injuries in collegiate athletes are relatively low compared to all foot and ankle injuries.²⁴ Second, the sonographic abnormality categories were broad-namely, thickening, hypoechogenicity, or neovascularity. Specific morphologic characteristics, such as location within the tendon, degree of abnormality, or shape, were not discretely analyzed; future studies may better elucidate which abnormalities best correlate to future pain.

In general, the patellar tendon had the highest percentage of sonographic abnormalities on the initial screening in this study. This is consistent with data from prior literature reporting the incidence of patellar tendinopathy being higher in elite adolescent athletes compared to the Achilles tendon.⁴ Additionally, a recent study on ultrasound abnormalities of the patellar tendon in adolescent male basketball players showed that athletes developed ultrasound abnormalities during the peak height velocity (PHV) and post-PHV periods; none of the athletes demonstrated ultrasound abnormalities during the pre-PHV period.⁵ This is relevant to the findings in the present study, as collegiate student-athletes typically hit their peak height velocity before starting college.¹ The ultrasound findings may indicate that the athletes have been at risk previously, but now the tendon fibers have matured, demonstrating the ultrasound abnormalities once they are in college.⁵

Following the patellar tendon, the Achilles tendon was the structure with the second-highest percentage of ultrasound abnormalities in this study. Prior literature of elite athletes demonstrates an increased risk of developing pain in the Achilles tendon when ultrasound abnormalities were present on initial screening.^{15,16} On the other hand, although it was a smaller study, Jhingan et al did not find a significant difference between athletes with or without ultrasound abnormalities and future development of pain; the lack of association may be due to the smaller sample size.²⁶ With its larger sample size, the current study could add to the literature with more robust findings of ultrasound abnormalities in the Achilles tendon.

Overall, the plantar fascia had the smallest percentage of ultrasound abnormalities on initial screening. Of the 3 structures evaluated, the plantar fascia had a lower incidence of developing pain.^{2,20} To our knowledge, this is the first study examining the relationship between ultrasound abnormalities of the plantar fascia and its relation to future symptoms. Due to the relatively few cases of pain developing in this structure in the present cohort, it was not possible to determine with confidence if asymptomatic sonographic abnormalities related to future pain development. However, if symptomatic plantar fasciae were included, there was a significant association; this is in line with the patellar and Achilles tendon findings.

Pain in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, or plantar fascia required variable amounts of time away from sport before returning to practice. The median time away ranged between 1 and 8 days among all 3 structures, though the time away from practice had a larger range for some athletes. For example, the patellar tendon had the largest range of time away: from 1 to 241 days. In a previous study, collegiate athletes with patellar tendinopathy mostly spent 24 hours or less time away from competition.⁴⁵ The data from our study suggest that time away from sport is often low as well. This is significant to our study population as multiple weeks to months away from sport can impact a competitive season. The median number of days away from practice could be influenced by the timing of the injury and whether the injury occurred in season or the off season.

As previously mentioned, the main analysis for the present study included symptomatic and asymptomatic structures. Most previous data examine asymptomatic structures, as a true screening test is designed to identify a condition before symptoms.³³ However, there is still important information to be gained from including symptomatic tendons. A diagnosis is not always clear. Multiple similar conditions can masquerade as the tendinopathy/ fasciopathy in this study, such as plantaris tendinopathy, retrocalcaneal bursitis, patellofemoral pain syndrome, fat pad impingement, tarsal tunnel syndrome, entrapment of the first branch of the lateral plantar nerve, and radiculopathy. The present study utilized self-reported patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia pain; no diagnosis was made by a medical practitioner at the beginning of the study. Thus, this screening test still is effective without a medical diagnosis. When the analysis was run for only asymptomatic tendon structures, there was still a significantly higher risk of developing pain in the patellar tendon and Achilles tendon. The RR values for the asymptomatic tendon structures are smaller compared to the total group, and this can be attributed to the decrease in the overall number of structures included in the analysis, coupled with the higher risk of sonographic abnormality within athletes with self-reported symptoms in the structure. The RR for the plantar fascia could not be calculated, as there were only 2 asymptomatic structures that eventually developed pain, and they did not have any ultrasound abnormalities on initial screening.

Identification of at-risk structures is useful, and the next logical step is to incorporate interventions for injury prevention. Strengthening programs have been proposed to help decrease ultrasound abnormalities and pain. Fredberg et al¹⁵ evaluated elite Danish soccer players who had asymptomatic patellar and Achilles tendons. Teams were randomized into an eccentric strengthening and stretching program, and the number of athletes with ultrasound abnormalities was decreased for the patellar tendon.¹⁵ Contrary to their hypothesis, the risk of injury to the patellar tendon increased during the study period, while the Achilles tendon risk did not improve.¹⁵ Further research is needed to evaluate the efficacy of a rehabilitation program to decrease the risk of developing pain in at-risk athletes.

Limitations and Strengths

There are limitations associated with the current study. First, our study included student-athletes from 14 different sports. There are more than 20 NCAA sports that compete for championships each year.³⁶ Despite a large number of study participants, we are not able to represent all sports with this data. Future research could be designed as a larger-scale, multi-institutional study with participants from all NCAA sports. Second, ultrasound abnormalities were recorded by either their presence or absence, without specification for the particular type morphology of ultrasound abnormality. While simplifying the protocol, this additional information could be useful in a future study to evaluate whether specific abnormal findings, such as abnormal vascularity, hypoechogenicity, or tendon/fascial thickening, are more likely to relate to future pain. For example, a study of Swedish high school vollevball players demonstrated that neovascularity in the region of other patellar tendon sonographic abnormalities was more indicative of symptomatic patellar tendinopathy.¹⁷ Pain in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, or plantar fascia was described as either "yes" or "no." More specifics on the type of injuries sustained could help understand any patterns in the type and severity of injuries that these athletes encounter.

In addition, operator experience plays a large role in the reliability of sonographic characterization. We speculate that the majority of discrepancies between experienced reviewers come from subtle differences between small hypoechogenic areas, which may be less likely to be related to future injury than larger, more obvious areas. As more potential abnormalities exist (eg, the patellar tendon, compared to the plantar fascia), reliability is lower; therefore, reliability may be lower in populations with a higher prevalence. Future studies are ongoing to identify specific causes of diminished reliability.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, the current study has multiple strengths that should be highlighted. This study included a large number of student-athletes from 3 different NCAA Division I institutions. Additionally, previous studies have been focused on individual groups of athletes, such as basketball, soccer, volleyball, or endurance athletes, while our study included athletes from a wide range of collegiate sports.^{8,11,14,17,18,20,27,30} This allows for a more robust generalization of the data among collegiate athletes. Close monitoring of the student-athletes was performed with the help of athletic training staff, coaches, and team physicians, with followup lasting an entire year. Last, the data collection was excellent for the 242 athletes with few missing data. Specifically, between the 3 structures that were evaluated (1452 possible data points), only 17 data points were missing, which accounted for <1% of the overall data.

CONCLUSION

Collegiate athletes with ultrasound abnormalities to the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia on the preseason screening tests were found to be more likely to develop pain in the respective structures during the competitive season. Of the 3 structures studied, the patellar tendon developed pain the most often, followed by the Achilles tendon. The NPV was >99% for all 3 structures demonstrating that athletes without ultrasound abnormalities on prescreening were extremely unlikely to develop pain, whereas PPVs were low (<15%). Preseason musculoskeletal ultrasound screening could be incorporated in collegiate athletes to evaluate for the risk of developing pain during the season. Further research on this topic should be focused on specifying high-risk sonographic abnormalities and identifying injury prevention strategies for those who demonstrate ultrasound abnormalities in the patellar tendon, Achilles tendon, and plantar fascia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This publication contains materials created, compiled, or produced by the Pac-12 Health Analytics Program (HAP). The authors thank the tireless efforts of the athletic training staff at each institution for their insights, assistance, and coordination for this large study. In particular, they thank Lindsay Adams, Jessie Keiser, Trevor Jameson, Savannah Horning, Matt Delisi, and Tom Iriye. The authors also thank Cam Fausett, Alex Asay, Luke Johnson, Josh Larson, and Gabrielle Garruppo for their assistance with the study.

ORCID iD

Daniel M. Cushman (i) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4580-1173

REFERENCES

- 1. Abbassi V. Growth and normal puberty. *Pediatrics*. 1998;102(2):507-511.
- Aggarwal P, Jirankali V, Garg S. Evaluation of plantar fascia using high-resolution ultrasonography in clinically diagnosed cases of plantar fasciitis. *Pol J Radiol.* 2020;85(1):375-380. doi:10.5114/pjr.2020. 97955
- Arnold MJ, Moody AL. Common running injuries: evaluation and management. Am Fam Physician. 2018;97(8):510-516.
- Cassel M, Risch L, Intziegianni K, et al. Incidence of Achilles and patellar tendinopathy in adolescent elite athletes. *Int J Sports Med.* 2018;39(09):726-732. doi:10.1055/a-0633-9098
- Cencini S, Perrott M, Docking S, Cook J. Proximal patellar tendon abnormalities on ultrasound can develop in male adolescent basketball players: a longitudinal study. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2023;60:63-69. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2022.11.004
- Comin J, Cook JL, Malliaras P, et al. The prevalence and clinical significance of sonographic tendon abnormalities in asymptomatic ballet dancers: a 24-month longitudinal study. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(2):89-92. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091303
- Cook JL, Khan KM, Kiss ZS, Coleman BD, Griffiths L. Asymptomatic hypoechoic regions on patellar tendon ultrasound: a 4-year clinical and ultrasound followup of 46 tendons. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2001;11(6):321-327. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0838.2001.110602.x

- Cook JL, Khan KM, Kiss ZS, Purdam CR, Griffiths L. Prospective imaging study of asymptomatic patellar tendinopathy in elite junior basketball players. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2000;19(7):473-479. doi:10. 7863/jum.2000.19.7.473
- 9. Cox DR. Regression models and life-tables. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol*. 1972;34(2):187-202. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1972. tb00899.x
- 10. Cummings P. Methods for estimating adjusted risk ratios. *Stata J.* 2009;9(2):175-196. doi:10.1177/1536867X0900900201
- Cushman DM, Petrin Z, Cummings K, Eby SF, English J, Teramoto M. Sonographic screening of distance runners for the development of future Achilles and patellar tendon pain. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2022;32(5):493-500. doi:10.1097/JSM.00000000000984
- Cushman DM, Petrin Z, Eby S, et al. Ultrasound evaluation of the patellar tendon and Achilles tendon and its association with future pain in distance runners. *Phys Sportsmed*. 2021;49(4):410-419. doi:10.1080/00913847.2020.1847004
- Docking SI, Rosengarten SD, Cook J. Achilles tendon structure improves on UTC imaging over a 5-month pre-season in elite Australian football players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2016;26(5):557-563. doi:10.1111/sms.12469
- Fredberg U, Bolvig L. Significance of ultrasonographically detected asymptomatic tendinosis in the patellar and Achilles tendons of elite soccer players: a longitudinal study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2002;30(4):488-491. doi:10.1177/03635465020300040701
- Fredberg U, Bolvig L, Andersen NT. Prophylactic training in asymptomatic soccer players with ultrasonographic abnormalities in Achilles and patellar tendons. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;36(3):451-460. doi:10.1177/0363546507310073
- Giombini A, Dragoni S, Di Cesare A, Di Cesare M, Del Buono A, Maffulli N. Asymptomatic Achilles, patellar, and quadriceps tendinopathy: a longitudinal clinical and ultrasonographic study in elite fencers. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2013;23(3):311-316. doi:10.1111/ j.1600-0838.2011.01400.x
- Gisslén K, Alfredson H. Neovascularisation and pain in jumper's knee: a prospective clinical and sonographic study in elite junior volleyball players. *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39(7):423-428. doi:10.1136/ bjsm.2004.013342
- Gisslen K, Gyulai C, Nordstrom P, Alfredson H. Normal clinical and ultrasound findings indicate a low risk to sustain jumper's knee patellar tendinopathy: a longitudinal study on Swedish elite junior volleyball players. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41(4):253-258. doi:10.1136/ bjsm.2006.029488
- Hagan KL, Hullfish T, Casey E, Baxter JR. Tendon structure quantified using ultrasound imaging differs based on location and training type. J Appl Physiol. 2018;125(6):1743-1748. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00723.2018
- Hall MM, Finnoff JT, Sayeed YA, Smith J. Sonographic evaluation of the plantar heel in asymptomatic endurance runners. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2015;34(10):1861-1871. doi:10.7863/ultra.14.12073
- Hamstra-Wright KL, Huxel Bliven KC, Bay RC, Aydemir B. Risk factors for plantar fasciitis in physically active individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Health*. 2021;13(3):296-303. doi:10.1177/1941738120970976
- Hardin JW, Hilbe JM. Generalized Linear Models and Extensions. 4th ed. College Station: Stata Press; 2018.
- Hirschmüller A, Frey V, Konstantinidis L, et al. Prognostic value of Achilles tendon Doppler sonography in asymptomatic runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2012;44(2):199-205. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31822b7318
- Hunt KJ, Hurwit D, Robell K, Gatewood C, Botser IB, Matheson G. Incidence and epidemiology of foot and ankle injuries in elite collegiate athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(2):426-433. doi:10.1177/ 0363546516666815
- Hutchison MK, Houck J, Cuddeford T, Dorociak R, Brumitt J. Prevalence of patellar tendinopathy and patellar tendon abnormality in male collegiate basketball players: a cross-sectional study. J Athl Train. 2019;54(9):953-958. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-70-18

- Jhingan S, Perry M, O'driscoll G, et al. Thicker Achilles tendons are a risk factor to develop Achilles tendinopathy in elite professional soccer players. *MLTJ*. 2011;1(2):51-56.
- Khan KM, Cook JL, Kiss ZS, et al. Patellar tendon ultrasonography and jumper's knee in female basketball players. *Clin J Sport Med*. 1997;7(3):199-206. doi:10.1097/00042752-199707000-00009
- Kulig K, Landel R, Chang Y-J, et al. Patellar tendon morphology in volleyball athletes with and without patellar tendinopathy. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2013;23(2):e81-e88. doi:10.1111/sms.12021
- Lievers WB, Goggins KA, Adamic P. Epidemiology of foot injuries using National Collegiate Athletic Association data from the 2009– 2010 through 2014–2015 seasons. *J Athl Train*. 2020;55(2):181-187. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-560-18.
- Malliaras P. Prospective study of change in patellar tendon abnormality on imaging and pain over a volleyball season. Br J Sports Med. 2006;40(3):272-274. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2005.023846
- Malliaras P, Cook J, Purdam C, Rio E. Patellar tendinopathy: clinical diagnosis, load management, and advice for challenging case presentations. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2015;45(11):887-898. doi:10.2519/jospt.2015.5987
- Matthews W, Ellis R, Furness J, Hing WA. The clinical diagnosis of Achilles tendinopathy: a scoping review. *PeerJ*. 2021;9:e12166. doi:10.7717/peerj.12166
- McAuliffe S, McCreesh K, Culloty F, Purtill H, O'Sullivan K. Can ultrasound imaging predict the development of Achilles and patellar tendinopathy? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016;50(24):1516-1523. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096288
- McCullagh P, Nelder JA. Generalized Linear Models. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1989. doi:10.1007/978-1-4899-3242-6
- McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochem Med* (Zagreb). 2012;22(3):276-282.
- National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA). Division I Championships. NCAA. Accessed May 28, 2023. https://www.ncaa.org/sports/ 2021/5/11/division-i-championships.aspx
- Nishida Y, Nishino T, Tanaka K, Onishi S, Kanamori A, Yamazaki M. An objective measure of patellar tendon thickness based on ultrasonography and MRI in university athletes. J Clin Med. 2021;10(18):4092. doi:10.3390/jcm10184092
- Ooi CC, Schneider ME, Malliaras P, Counsel P, Connell DA. Prevalence of morphological and mechanical stiffness alterations of mid Achilles tendons in asymptomatic marathon runners before and after a competition. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2015;44(8):1119-1127. doi:10.1007/ s00256-015-2132-6
- Peters JA, Zwerver J, Diercks RL, Elferink-Gemser MT, van den Akker-Scheek I. Preventive interventions for tendinopathy: a systematic review. J Sci Med Sport. 2016;19(3):205-211. doi:10.1016/j. jsams.2015.03.008
- Petraglia F. Plantar fasciitis in athletes: diagnostic and treatment strategies. A systematic review. *MLTJ*. 2017;7(1):107. doi:10.11138/ mltj/2017.7.1.107
- 41. Rogers W. Regression standard errors in clustered samples. *STB*. 1993;13:19-23.
- Roos KG, Marshall SW, Kerr ZY, et al. Epidemiology of overuse injuries in collegiate and high school athletics in the United States. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(7):1790-1797. doi:10.1177/0363546515580790
- Sengkerij PM, de Vos R-J, Weir A, van Weelde BJG, Tol JL. Interobserver reliability of neovascularization score using power Doppler ultrasonography in midportion Achilles tendinopathy. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(8):1627-1631. doi:10.1177/0363546509332255
- 44. Sprague AL, Smith AH, Knox P, Pohlig RT, Grävare Silbernagel K. Modifiable risk factors for patellar tendinopathy in athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52(24):1575-1585. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-099000
- Trojan JD, Treloar JA, Smith CM, Kraeutler MJ, Mulcahey MK. Epidemiological patterns of patellofemoral injuries in collegiate athletes in the United States from 2009 to 2014. Orthop J Sports Med. 2019;7(4):2325967119840712. doi:10.1177/2325967119840712

The Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine

oxfordjournals.aje.a114212

- able in logistic and Cox regression. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165(6): 710-718. doi:10.1093/aje/kwk052 47. Wacholder S. Binomial regression in GLIM: estimating risk ratios and risk differences. Am J Epidemiol. 1986;123(1):174-184. doi:10.1093/
- 48. Williams RL. A note on robust variance estimation for clustercorrelated data. Biometrics. 2000;56(2):645-646. doi:10.1111/j. 0006-341X.2000.00645.x
- 49. Zwerver J, Bredeweg SW, van den Akker-Scheek I. Prevalence of jumper's knee among nonelite athletes from different sports. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(9):1984-1988. doi:10.1177/0363546511413370