



Clinical science

Coalition for Health and Gender Equity (CHANGE)—a protocol for a global cross-sectional survey of health and gender equity in rheumatology

Tayyeba Khursheed D¹, Pavel Ovseiko D², Sarah Dyball³, Ran Nakashima D⁴, Ana Maria Arredondo Gonzalez^{5,6}, Alejandra Babini⁷, Asgar Ali Kalla⁸, Catherine Hill^{9,10}, Debashish Danda¹¹, Dzifa Dey¹², Lisa Traboco¹³, Elena Nikiphorou^{14,15}, Ghita Harifi^{16,17}, Humeira Badshah¹⁸, Ihsane Hmamouchi D^{19,20}, Joan Marie Von Feldt²¹, Júlia Boechat Farani²², Laura Andreoli D^{23,24}, Mariana Peixoto Guimarães²⁵, Carlos Enrique Toro Gutiérrez²⁶, Cristiana Sieiro Santos²⁷, Christina Duftner D²⁸, Deshire Alpizar Rodriguez D²⁹, Nelly Ziadé D³⁰, Penélope Esther Palominos³¹, Syed Atiqul Haq³², Wilson Bautista-Molano D^{33,34}, Yoshiya Tanaka D³⁵, Laure Gossec³⁶, Vikas Agarwal³⁷, Grace C. Wright³⁸, Laura Coates D^{39,‡}, Latika Gupta D^{40,41,42,*,‡}; on behalf of the CHANGE Study Group[§]

¹Department of Rheumatology, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad, Pakistan

²Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

³Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

⁵Colombian Association of Rheumatology, Bogotá, Colombia

⁶Department of Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud (FUCS), Bogotá, Colombia

⁷Rheumatology Unit, Hospital Italiano de Cordoba, Cordoba, Argentina

⁸Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

⁹Rheumatology Unit, The Queen Elizabeth and Royal Adelaide Hospitals, Adelaide, SA, Australia

¹⁰Discipline of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia

¹¹Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, India

¹²Rheumatology Unit Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Korle bu Teaching Hospital, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana

¹³Department of Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, St Luke's Medical Center-Global City, Taguig, Philippines

¹⁴Centre for Rheumatic Diseases, King's College London, London, UK

¹⁵Rheumatology Department, King's College Hospital, London, UK

¹⁶Department of Rheumatology, Mediclinic Parkview Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

¹⁷Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, The Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

¹⁸Dr Humeira Badsha Medical Center, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

¹⁹Clinical Epidemiology, Health Sciences College, International University of Rabat (UIR), Rabat, Morocco

²⁰Department of Rheumatology, Provincial Hospital of Temara, Temara, Morocco

²¹University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²²Division of Rheumatology, Hospital Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, Brazil

²³Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

²⁴Unit of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy

²⁵Santa Casa de Misericórdia de Belo Horizonte, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

²⁶General Director, Reference Center for Osteoporosis, Rheumatology and Dermatology, Pontifica Universidad Javeriana Cali, Cali, Colombia

²⁷Department of Rheumatology, Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León, León, Spain

²⁸Internal Medicine, Clinical Division of Internal Medicine II, Medizinische Universität Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

²⁹Research Unit, Mexican College of Rheumatology, Mexico City, Mexico

³⁰Rheumatology Department, Hotel-Dieu de France Hospital, Saint Joseph's University, Beirut, Lebanon

³¹Rheumatology Division, Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil

³²Green Life Centre, Dhaka, Bangladesh

³³ Rheumatology Division, University Hospital Fundación Santa Fé de Bogotá, Bogota, Colombia

³⁴School of Medicine, Universidad El Bosque, Bogotá, Colombia

³⁵First Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Fukuoka, Japan

³⁶Sorbonne Université, INSERM, IPLESP, AP-HP, Pitié-Salpetrière Hospital, Paris, France

³⁷Department of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India

³⁸Association of Women in Rheumatology; United Rheumatology, New York, NY, USA

³⁹Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

2 Tayyeba Khursheed et al.

Abstract

Objectives: The primary aim of the CHANGE survey is to determine the current state of gender equity within rheumatology, and secondarily, to review the physician perspective on bullying, harassment and equipoise of opportunities within rheumatology.

Methods: The CHANGE e-survey is a cross-sectional self-reported questionnaire adapted from EULAR's gender equity in academic rheumatology task force. The survey was launched in January 2023; it is available in six languages and distributed widely via rheumatology organizations and social media. Eligible participants include rheumatologist physicians and rheumatology health-care professionals. Survey responses will undergo descriptive analysis and inter-group comparison aiming to explore gender-based discrimination using logistic regression, with subgroup analyses for country/continent variations.

Conclusion: This e-survey represents a comprehensive global initiative led by an international consortium, aimed at exploring and investigating the gender-related disparities and obstacles encountered by rheumatologists and rheumatology health-care professionals across diverse communities and health-care environments. By pursuing this initiative, we aim to take the broader rheumatology community a step closer to understanding the underlying origins of inequities and their determinants. Such insights are pivotal in identifying viable interventions and strategies to foster gender equity within the field. Ultimately, our collective objective is to ensure equitable access to opportunities for every individual, irrespective of gender, thereby promoting inclusivity and fairness across the entire spectrum of professional practice and career development.

Lay Summary

What does this mean for patients?

The CHANGE Study, led by a team of rheumatology professionals worldwide, is working to make health care more equal for everyone. We are focusing on challenges faced by rheumatologists, such as fair pay and career opportunities. To understand these issues better, the team is gathering information through a global survey of rheumatology professionals. The goal is to find out why there are differences and come up with solutions. Ultimately, the aim is to create a fair and inclusive environment in rheumatology, ensuring that everyone has the same chances to grow in their careers, regardless of their gender. The findings of the study will help to create better guidelines, promoting fairness and equality for health-care professionals in rheumatology.

Keywords: rheumatology, professionals, gender, equity, women, surveys and guestionnaires.

Key messages

- Coalition for Health and Gender Equity (CHANGE) is a global network of rheumatology professionals.
- The CHANGE group assesses the state of equity in academia, practice and leadership globally.
- · The group hopes that the results will inform evidence-based rheumatology guidelines to foster gender equity in global initiatives.

Introduction

Gender equity refers to the principle of ensuring fairness, impartiality and justice in distributing resources, opportunities and benefits among individuals of all genders. There is growing attention to gender inequity within the rheumatology workforce, with persistent challenges in achieving pay parity, career progression and access to leadership roles [1–3]. The United Nations' 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development has called for 'women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of decision-making in political, economic and public life' [4]. Gender disparities in pay and progression are reflected across academia, clinical practice and leadership settings [5].

It is imperative that firstly, the extent of inequity is assessed, and that secondly, the requirements for career support to accelerate progression in professional and academic rheumatology are determined. There is a paucity of data on the physicians' perspective of gender equity within the rheumatology profession. A large, multinational survey is a beneficial method for assessing gender equity in rheumatology,

because it allows for a comprehensive analysis of diverse perspectives and experiences across different regions, providing valuable insights into potential disparities and opportunities for improvement in the field.

For this purpose, a global group of experts with representation from different leagues and organizations conceptualized a global network of rheumatology professionals – Coalition for Health and Gender Equity (CHANGE). The gender equity project being conducted by CHANGE is endorsed by several regional and national rheumatology leagues, including the Association for Women in Rheumatology (AWIR), the African League against Rheumatism (AFLAR), the Asia-Pacific League of Associations for Rheumatology (APLAR), ArLAR (Arab League of Associations for Rheumatology) and the Pan-American League of Association for Rheumatology (PANLAR) and American College of Rheumatology (ACR), and is supported by an International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) grant.

The primary aim of this study is to determine the current state of gender equity within rheumatology, and secondarily,

⁴⁰Division of Musculoskeletal and Dermatological Sciences, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴¹Department of Rheumatology, Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals NHS Trust, Wolverhampton, UK

⁴²Department of Rheumatology, City Hospital, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust, Birmingham, UK

^{*}Correspondence to: Latika Gupta, Division of Musculoskeletal and Dermatological Sciences, Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK. E-mail: drlatikagupta@gmail.com

[‡]L.C. and L.G. contributed equally

See supplementary material available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice online for a list of the authors part of the CHANGE Study Group and their affiliations.

to review the physician perspective on bullying, harassment and equipoise of opportunities within rheumatology. Finally, we review proposed interventions to mitigate any perceived inequity.

Methods

An extensive survey evaluating the current status of gender equity in global rheumatology was developed to evaluate three key domains: academia, clinical practice and leadership. The CHANGE survey is a cross-sectional self-reported questionnaire that investigates gender equity among rheumatologists and allied health professionals to develop potential solutions to the professional challenges raised. The survey is designed to assess the current state of perceived equity and unmet needs faced by the rheumatology workforce, identify proposed solutions, and potentially, develop a framework to address the identified problems. The survey has undergone pilot testing, validation and vetting, followed by translations into eight languages.

Survey design

The survey comprises 38 items covering gender-based discrimination, work-life balance, potential interventions for career support, and professional development (Supplementary Data S1, available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice online). It includes 15 multiple-choice questions with single-answer options and 12 with multiple-answer options. Informed consent is obtained in the introduction to the survey. The survey was launched on a survey-hosting website (www.surveymonkey.com). In addition, considering cultural sensitivities, the name and certain survey items are modified in the Arabic version (Supplementary Data S1, available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice online) to exclude questions on sexuality and bullying.

Sample size estimation

A pragmatic approach is taken for participant recruitment to allow a broader participation and representation of respondents. Given the complexity of the topic and the potential for unequal gender distribution among respondents, the focus is on collecting real-world data from a diverse range of participants, acknowledging that individual perspectives and experiences could vary widely. This approach aims to capture a comprehensive view of gender equity within the rheumatology profession.

Pilot testing and validation

Three rheumatologists (L.G., L.C. and M.P.G.) and a sociologist (P.O.) reviewed the questions for face validity and confirmed them to represent the intended content. The survey was pilot tested with multiple rounds of dummy completion by the members of the steering committee of the CHANGE group, who critically evaluated the questionnaire. The median (interquartile range) time to complete the survey was 5 (3–6) min. The survey was designed such that respondents could modify the survey responses before submission, but no responses could be modified after final submission.

Population selection

Eligible participants include rheumatologist physicians and rheumatology health-care professionals (HCPs), including nurses, physiotherapists, occupational therapists and other HCPs specializing in rheumatology. Rheumatologists and HCPs directly involved in the care of patients with rheumatic diseases of any age, gender, region and practice setting will be eligible. Convenience, snowball and target sampling approaches will be used to maximize responses and participation. For this purpose, rheumatologists and HCPs who are members of national societies will be approached through their societies, when the latter would endorse the study and agree to approach their members, assuming that most target rheumatologists are members of the national societies.

Consent and ethics approval

Ethics approval was obtained from the ethics review board of Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, India (226014) according to local regulations. A statement explaining the purpose of the study is included in the cover letter, providing the participants with the option to consent or decline participation. No incentives are offered for survey completion. The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines are adhered to for data collection [6], and the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet e-surveys (CHERRIES) and Consensus-Based Checklist for Reporting of Survey Studies (CROSS) will be used to report the data [7–9].

Survey dissemination

The survey is distributed through various channels, including email lists of regional and national rheumatology leagues, including AWIR, AFLAR, APLAR, ArLAR and PANLAR and several national rheumatology organizations. Additionally, is disseminated through social media platforms, including Twitter, WhatsApp groups, Facebook, LinkedIn pages and the personal networks of the investigators. The survey was launched online in January 2023 and is currently ongoing.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the survey will be downloaded from the hosting website. These data will undergo descriptive analysis and inter-group comparison using SPSS v.28 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The primary outcome of this study is to investigate the difference in discrimination experienced by all genders across the profession, measured by the presence of perceived discrimination in any domain using logistic regression. Subgroup analyses will investigate variance by country/continent. Continuous variables with normal distribution will be presented as the mean (s.D.); non-normal variables will be reported as the median (interquartile range). The means of two continuous normally distributed variables will be compared by Student's independent-samples t-test. The Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis test will be used to compare means of two and three or more groups of variables non-normally distributed. The frequencies of categorical variables will be compared using Pearson χ^2 or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. A value of P < 0.05 will be considered significant.

Future analysis from the dataset

Subgroup analysis based on geographical location, practice type and other relevant factors will be undertaken to understand the needs of female rheumatologists and the unmet needs in their professional careers. The analyses from the Tayyeba Khursheed et al.

CHANGE survey dataset will inform evidence-based guidelines within rheumatology practice.

Dissemination of outcomes

The study findings will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal and presented as abstracts in scientific conferences. In addition, a concise summary of study findings will be provided to participants upon request.

Discussion

There are pressing challenges affecting the global rheumatology workforce. These include a shortage of human resources, pay disparities, prolonged working hours and burnout [10], which result in decreased productivity in the health-care systems. Research suggests possible gender differences in how practitioners experience and respond to these challenges [10]. Inequity in health systems results in job dissatisfaction, loss of confidence and reduced earnings, whereas promoting equity results in increased productivity of health systems. This allows better patient care, equal opportunities and financial growth to occur [11]. In addition to the aforementioned factors, there are glass ceilings and undefined barriers to academic and professional development [12]; for example, reduced visibility of opportunities appears to be a key factor impacting the advancement of women to editorial board positions [13]. CHANGE aims to quantify and explore such barriers, highlight the unmet needs of rheumatology professionals, and suggest interventions for overcoming these obstacles.

Gender equity is essential for a fair and contented society. Evidence suggests that fostering equity, as indicated by a review of various studies, ensures policies that cater to the wellbeing of all [14]. Organizations can boost the health of patients positively. Advancing women in medicine is linked with advancing women's health [15]. Using the research talent of individuals irrespective of their gender allows research potential to be maximized to benefit patients' health [16]. Therefore, working towards equity is for society's advancement and well-being. Not only does it empower women, but it also benefits men [17]. Grass-root-level changes have the potential to bring about major improvements in public health, akin to World Health Organization initiatives to recommend hand washing to reduce surgery-related mortality.

There is evidence that the global rheumatology workforce is attaining parity in many regions [18-21]; however, there are gaps in academic excellence and research productivity, for instance in attaining senior authorship positions and publishing in high-impact journals [22]. Moreover, there remain disparities in editorial board positions [13, 23], rheumatology peer review process, attainment of awards [24] and speaker representation at international rheumatology conferences [25–27]. Preliminary data suggest that substantial gender gaps exist within leadership positions in both global [28] and regional rheumatology leagues [29]. The reasons for this have not been elucidated and might relate to limited visibility of opportunities, selection processes or implicit biases. Trends might also vary owing to cultural constructs [27]. CHANGE, as a globally reaching survey, will review these questions. Hence, there are wide-ranging challenges that need to be addressed to ensure equity in academic and professional development besides addressing the gender pay gap in rheumatology practice [12].

Several initiatives have accelerated equity and aided professional development. The EULAR gender equity task force (GEAR) in rheumatology is an example of a comprehensive intervention programme on gender equity [30, 31], which has highlighted the gender differences in career advancement in academic rheumatology [31]. The Association of Women in Rheumatology (AWIR), Rheumatology Donne (ReDO) and Female Advancement In Rheumatology (FAIR) are further examples of such initiatives with a focus on advocacy, in addition to establishing an inter-institutional network of rheumatologists to highlight and address the unmet clinical and scientific needs of women [12]. There remains a need for a global initiative that highlights and addresses the unmet clinical and scientific needs of female rheumatologists around the globe.

Conclusion

The CHANGE survey has been designed to provide real-world data on gender equity in rheumatology today and assess the unmet needs of women in rheumatology. These insights will inform global rheumatology leagues, organizations and workplaces. The findings from the CHANGE survey are anticipated to be available by the end of 2024, aiming to contribute to evidence-based policies and recommendations that enhance the productivity and satisfaction of the global rheumatology workforce.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice online.

Data availability

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

Contribution statement

Conceptualization: L.G. and L.C. Data curation: all authors. Formal analysis: T.K., L.G., S.D. and P.O. Funding acquisition: L.C. and L.G. Investigation: L.G., L.C. and T.K. Methodology: L.G., L.C. and T.K. Software: L.G. Validation: V.A., L.G. and L.C. Visualization: L.C. and L.G. Writing—original draft: T.K., L.G., S.D. and P.O. Writing—review and editing: all authors. All the authors acknowledge their contribution and take full responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the work presented. No artificial intelligence software was used in writing or editing the present manuscript.

Funding

This study has received funding from the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) grant.

Disclosure statement: E.N. has received speaker honoraria/ participated in advisory boards for UCB, Fresenius, Celltrion, Pfizer, Sanofi, Gilead, Galapagos, AbbVie and Lilly and holds research grants from Pfizer and Lilly. N.Z. has received speaker fees, advisory board fees and research grants from Pfizer, Roche, Abbvie, Eli Lilly, NewBridge, Sanofi-Aventis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen and Pierre Fabre; none is related to this manuscript. Y.T. has received speaker fees and/or honoraria from Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, Abbvie, Asahikasei, Gilead, Chugai, Behringer-Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Eisai, Taisho, Bristol-Myers, Pfizer and Taiho and received research grants from Asahikasei, Mitsubishi-Tanabe, Eisai, Chugai and Taisho. The remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer: No part of this manuscript has been copied or published elsewhere in whole or in part.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Japan College of Rheumatology (JCR), JCR Gender and Youth Equality Committee, French Rheum Association (REF), Italian Rheumatology Society, Openreuma, Spanish Rheumatology Society (SER), Portuguese Rheumatology Society (SPR), Greek Rheumatology society, Norwegian Society for Rheumatology, ERN Reconnect, Australian Rheumatology Society, OMERACT, and Italian Rheumatology Society for their contribution to disseminating this survey. The authors wish to thank all members of the CHANGE Study Group (Supplementary Data S2, available at *Rheumatology Advances in Practice* online) for their invaluable role in the data collection.

Coalition for Health and Gender Equity (CHANGE) Study Group: Ho So, Iris J. Colunga-Pedraza, Yi-Ming Chen, Babur Salim, Binit Vaidya, Ana Maria Arredondo Gonzalez, Sadovici Victoria, Qian Wang, Phonpen Akawatcharangura, Boonjing Siripaitoon, Bohdana Doskaliuk, Syahrul Sazliyana Shaharir, Pierre-Antoine Juge, Uta Klitz, Johanna Mucke, Vinod Ravindran, Alexandros Panagiotopoulos, Jelena Colic, Emre Bilgin, Ioannis Parodis, Manouk de Hooge, Sandra Tálli, Rosalie Magro, Eduardo Dourado.

References

- Boniol M, McIsaac M et al. Gender equity in the health workforce: analysis of 104 countries. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2019. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/gender-equity-inthe-health-workforce-analysis-of-104-countries (24 February 2024, date last accessed).
- World Health Organization. Delivered by women, led by men: a gender and equity analysis of the global health and social workforce. (Human Resources for Health Observer Series No. 24). Geneva: World Health Organization, 2019. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241515467 (24 February 2024, date last accessed).
- World Health Organization. Closing the leadership gap: gender equity and leadership in the global health and care workforce. Policy action paper. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2021. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240025905 (24 February 2024, date last accessed).
- 4. Marmot M, Bell R. The sustainable development goals and health equity. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass) 2018;29:5–7.
- Edmunds LD, Ovseiko PV, Shepperd S et al. Why do women choose or reject careers in academic medicine? A narrative review of empirical evidence. Lancet 2016;388:2948–58.
- Wager E. The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): objectives and achievements 1997–2012. La Presse Médicale 2012;41:861–6.
- Eysenbach G. Correction: improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). J Med Internet Res 2012;14:e8. https://doi.org/10. 2196/jmir.2042.
- 8. Gaur PS, Zimba O, Agarwal V, Gupta L. Reporting survey based studies—a primer for authors. J Kor Med Sci 2020;35:e398.

- Sharma A, Minh Duc NT, Luu Lam Thang T et al. A Consensusbased checklist for Reporting of Survey Studies (CROSS). J Gen Intern Med 2021;36:3179–87.
- Khursheed T, Sharif M, Khan MS et al. Burnout in South Asian rheumatologists in the COVID-19 pandemic: an online survey. Rheumatol Int 2023:43:1143–50.
- World Health Organization. Gender, equity, and leadership in the global health and social workforce. Geneva: World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/97892400 25905 (24 February 2024, date last accessed).
- 12. Andreoli L, Ovseiko PV, Hassan N *et al.* Gender equity in clinical practice, research, and training: where do we stand in rheumatology? Joint Bone Spine 2019;86:669–72.
- 13. Day J, Ovseiko P, Khursheed T *et al.* Factors impacting women's advancement to positions on editorial boards of rheumatology journals. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2023;62:e260–2.
- 14. Downs JA, Reif LK, Hokororo A, Fitzgerald DW. Increasing women in leadership in global health. Acad Med 2014;89:1103–7.
- Carnes M, Morrissey C, Geller SE. Women's health and women's leadership in academic medicine: hitting the same glass ceiling? J Women's Health (2002) 2008;17:1453–62.
- Penny M, Jeffries R, Grant J, Davies SC. Women and academic medicine: a review of the evidence on female representation. J R Soc Med 2014;107:259–63.
- 17. Audette AP, Lam S, O'Connor H, Radcliff B. (E)quality of life: a cross-national analysis of the effect of gender equality on life satisfaction. J Happiness Stud 2019;20:2173–88.
- Battafarano DF, Ditmyer M, Bolster MB et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology workforce study: supply and demand projections of adult rheumatology workforce, 2015–2030. Arthritis Care Res 2018;70:617–26.
- 19. Serna-Peña G, Colunga-Pedraza IJ, Galarza-Delgado DÁ *et al.* AB0871-HPR women in rheumatology: barriers, limitations, and gender inequality. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:1459.2.
- Dey D, Paruk F, Mody GM et al. Women in rheumatology in Africa. Lancet Rheumatol 2022;4:E657–60.
- 21. Hmamouchi I, Ziadé N, El Kibbi L, Polyakov S, Arayssi T. Promising authorship by Arab women in rheumatology. Lancet Rheumatol 2022;4:e660–2.
- 22. Adami G, Benini C, Vantaggiato E *et al*. Gender disparity in authorship of guidelines and recommendations in rheumatology. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:1122–3.
- Ovseiko PV, Afsar AP, Fazal ZZ, Coates LC, Gupta L. Gender representation on editorial boards of rheumatology journals. Lancet Rheumatol 2022;4:e663–4.
- Halling T, Dreher A, Mambrey V et al. Prize trends in rheumatology: the gender award gap. Lancet Rheumatol 2022;4:e655–6.
- Hassan N, van Mens LJ, Kiltz U et al. Gender equity in academic rheumatology: is there a gender gap at European rheumatology conferences? RMD Open 2022;8:e002131.
- 26. Monga K, Liew J. Gender gap in rheumatology: speaker representation at annual conferences. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:e238.
- Traboco LS, Zamora-Abrahan G, Reyes SM et al. Gender parity perspectives in Asia Pacific: a descriptive analysis of Philippine rheumatology conferences. Rheumatol Int 2023;43:1135–41.
- Khursheed T, Harifi G, Ovseiko PV et al. Is there a gender gap in global rheumatology leadership? J Rheumatol 2022; 62:e107-8.
- Khursheed T, Ovseiko PV, Harifi G et al. Gender equity in rheumatology leadership in the Asia-Pacific. Rheumatol Adv Pract 2022;6:rkac087.
- Ovseiko PV, Gossec L, Andreoli L et al. THU0580 EULAR task force on gender equity in academic rheumatology: preliminary survey findings. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:531.2–2.
- Ovseiko PV, Gossec L, Andreoli L et al. Gender equity in academic rheumatology, current status and potential for improvement: a cross-sectional study to inform an EULAR task force. RMD Open 2022;8:e002518.