# Clinical practice guidelines for ductal carcinoma *in situ*: Chinese Society of Breast Surgery (CSBrS) practice guidelines 2021

# Ang Zheng, Zi-Ning Jin, Meng-Yao Cui, Bo Chen, Fan Yao, Feng Jin, Ying-Ying Xu, Chinese Society of Breast Surgery

Department of Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning 110001, China.

Ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS), also known as intraductal carcinoma, is an *in situ* carcinoma limited to the mammary ducts. The gold-standard screening, diagnostic, and treatment options have long been controversial. The Chinese Society of Breast Surgery (CSBrS) has re-evaluated the quality of the clinical study evidence on DCIS. In order to standardize the diagnosis and treatment of DCIS and provide a reference for Chinese breast surgeons, the CSBrS has used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation handbook while referring to its feasibility in the actual clinical practice of Chinese breast surgeons to develop the CSBrS Clinical Practice Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Ductal Carcinoma *In Situ* (2021).

# Level of Evidence and Recommendation Strength

Level of evidence standard<sup>[1]</sup>

# Recommendation strength standard<sup>[1]</sup>

# Recommendation strength review committee

There were 84 voting committee members for these guidelines: 70 from breast surgery departments (82.4%), four from medical oncology departments (4.7%), four from medical imaging departments (4.7%), two from a pathology department (2.4%), two from an obstetrics and gynecology department (2.4%), one from a radiotherapy department (2.4%), and two epidemiologists (2.4%).

# **Target Audience**

Clinicians specializing in breast diseases in China.

# Recommendations

**Recommendation 1: Diagnostic imaging methods.** 

	Diagnosis method	Level of evidence	Strength of recommendation
1.1	Breast ultrasonography <sup>[2,3]</sup>	Ι	А
1.2	Breast radiography <sup>[4,5]</sup>	Ι	А
1.3	Breast enhanced MRI <sup>[6]</sup>	Ι	А

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging.

**Recommendation 2: Diagnostic mode.** 

	Diagnostic modality	Level of evidence	Strength of recommendation
2.1	Post-operative histopathological diagnosis <sup>[7]</sup>	Ι	А

#### **Recommendation 3: Breast surgical treatment.**

_	Breast surgery	Level of evidence	Strength of recommendation
3.1	Breast conserving surgery <sup>[8]</sup>	Ι	А
3.2	Mastectomy <sup>[9]</sup>	Ι	А
3.3	Mastectomy + breast reconstruction <sup>[10]</sup>	Ι	А

#### **Recommendation 4: Other treatments.**

Treatment	Level of evidence	Strength of recommendation
4.1 Adjuvant radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery <sup>[11-14]</sup>	Ι	А
4.2 Administration of endocrinotropic agents for hormone-receptor-positive breast cancer <sup>[15,16]</sup>	Ι	А

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.cmj.org	
	DOI: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000001506	

**Correspondence to:** Dr. Ying-Ying Xu, Department of Breast Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning 110001, China E-Mail: xuyingying@cmu.edu.cn

Copyright © 2021 The Chinese Medical Association, produced by Wolters Kluwer, Inc. under the CC-BY-NC-ND license. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Chinese Medical Journal 2021;134(13) Received: 19-02-2021 Edited by: Yuan-Yuan Ji

## Discussion

This guideline was designed for the clinical treatment of DCIS, but not for assumed simple DCIS. The expert panel has agreed that the post-operative histopathological diagnosis is the only diagnostic modality for DCIS. In clinical practice, a diagnosis of DCIS from a pre-operative histopathological evaluation of a puncture biopsy specimen should be viewed inadequate because it could be an underestimation.

The expert panel recommends breast ultrasonography and radiography as the preferred imaging examinations for DCIS patients.<sup>[2,3,9]</sup> In China, breast ultrasonography is widely applied,<sup>[4,5,17]</sup> and breast enhanced MRI examination is recommended for patients as level I evidence.<sup>[6,18-20]</sup>

Studies have shown that mastectomy is a radical therapy for 98% of patients with DCIS.<sup>[9]</sup> In the opinion of the expert panel, mastectomy (or mastectomy + breast reconstruction) may be considered for DCIS patients who do not desire breast-conserving surgery.<sup>[10]</sup> Breast conserving surgery + adjuvant radiotherapy has a survival rate similar to total mastectomy.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Evidence-based medical studies have shown that a positive surgical margin of a resected DCIS specimen is closely related to local recurrence.<sup>[17,18,20]</sup> Therefore, the expert panel thinks that a negative surgical margin is the basic requirement for patients with DCIS who have undergone a breast conserving surgery. An extended resection should be performed for patients whose resected DCIS specimen has a positive surgical margin, and a total mastectomy (or mastectomy + breast reconstruction) is recommended if a negative surgical margin cannot be achieved. An intraoperative histopathological evaluation of a frozen section from a resected DCIS specimen has been helpful in reducing the rate of secondary surgeries performed for resected DCIS specimens confirmed to have positive surgical margins,<sup>[21]</sup> and an intra-operative histopathological evaluation is preferred for Chinese clinical practice. For DCIS specimens, a negative surgical margin should be  $\geq$  a 2-mm distance from the tumor.<sup>[22]</sup> Considering its rare use in Chinese clinical work, ink-staining of the surgical margin is not recommended as a routine clinical practice in this guideline.

Since patients whose DCIS are initially diagnosed by coreneedle biopsy or vacuum-assisted biopsy may be found to show invasive carcinoma on post-operative histopathology examination,<sup>[7]</sup> a sentinel lymph node biopsy performed during a mastectomy for these patients is recommended in order to avoid the histopathological underestimation of the resected breast lesion. However, an axillary lymph node dissection is not recommended for patients with DCIS if there is no evidence of invasive breast carcinoma.

Some prospective randomized clinical trials have found that compared with no radiotherapy, post-operative adjuvant radiotherapy can lead to a 50% to 60% decreased risk of recurrence in DCIS patients.<sup>[11-13]</sup> A 10-year follow-up from a study of 3729 patients with DCIS

who received adjuvant radiotherapy, found that it resulted in a decrease of up to 15.2% of the absolute risk of recurrence of homolateral breast carcinoma.<sup>[14]</sup> No strong evidence showing that DCIS patients with a low risk of recurrence can be waived from radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery exists.<sup>[23-25]</sup> Radiotherapy even for low-risk patients or patients receiving endocrine therapy can decrease the local recurrence rate of DCIS.<sup>[26]</sup> Based on the consistent opinion of expert panel, DCIS patients are all required to receive total breast radiotherapy after breast conserving surgery.

Combining the consensus of several domestic and foreign guidelines, the expert panel makes no recommendation on the use of chemotherapy and targeted therapy for patients with a definitive diagnosis of DCIS.<sup>[9,17,18,20]</sup> The National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project-B24 study of 2061 patients with DCIS who underwent breast conserving surgery found that tamoxifen adjuvant therapy for patients led to a significant decrease in the 5-year cumulative risk of recurrence of breast cancer, compared with patients receiving a placebo (cumulative recurrence rate: 8.2% vs. 13.4%, respectively; relative ratio = 0.63, P = 0.0009); a median follow-up time of 13.6 years found that the absolute risks of recurrence of homolateral and contralateral breast carcinoma were decreased by 3.4% (hazard ratio = 0.30, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.21-0.42, P < 0.01) and 3.2% (hazard ratio = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.48-0.95, P = 0.023) respectively in the patients receiving tamoxifen.<sup>[16]</sup> The International Breast Cancer Intervention Study II (IBIS II) study, which was a large multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial and involved 2980 hormone receptor (HR)-positive menopausal patients with DCIS from 236 centers in 14 countries found that after a mean follow-up period of 7.2 years, the risk differences of recurrence and death between patients receiving anastrozole vs. patients receiving tamoxifen were not significant.<sup>[15]</sup>

Referring to the domestic and foreign guidelines for preventive treatment and risk reduction of breast cancer, the expert panel proposes that premenopausal or menopausal patients with estrogen receptor-positive DCIS who undergo a reserved mastectomy + radiotherapy or total mastectomy should also receive 5 years of tamoxifen. Raloxifene or aromatase inhibitors (eg, exemestane and anastrozole) are recommended for menopausal patients with DCIS.<sup>[19]</sup>

# **Conflicts of interest**

The expert committee for these guidelines declares no conflict of interest. These guidelines are a reference for breast disease specialists in clinical practice. However, the guidelines are not to be used as the basis for medical evaluation, and do not play an arbitrating role in the handling of any medical disputes. The guidelines are not a reference for patients or non-breast specialists. The Chinese Society of Breast Surgery assumes no responsibility for results involving the inappropriate application of these guidelines, and reserves the right to interpret and revise the guidelines.

# List of compiling committee members (In alphabetical order by surname)

Zhong-Wei Cao, Bo Chen, De-Dian Chen, Yuan-Jia Cheng, Meng-Yao Cui, Xue-Ning Duan, Zhi-Min Fan, Pei-Fen Fu, Jian Huang, Jun Jiang, Hong-Chuan Jiang, Feng Jin, Zi-Ning Jin, Hua Kang, Rui Ling, Jin-Ping Liu, Ke Liu, Qian Liu, Yin-Hua Liu, Yun-Jiang Liu, Zhen-Zhen Liu, Yong-Hui Luo, Rong Ma, Da-Hua Mao, Jiang-Hua Ou, Xiang Qu, Guo-Sheng Ren, Ai-Lin Song, Er-Wei Song, Li-Li Tang, Xing-Song Tian, Chuan Wang, Fei Wang, Jian-Dong Wang, Shu Wang, Shui Wang, Xiang Wang, Jiong Wu, Ke-Jin Wu, Fei Xie, Ling Xin, Ying-Ying Xu, Fan Yao, Zhi-Gang Yu, Jian-Guo Zhang, Jin Zhang, Jing-Hua Zhang, Yi Zhao, Zuo-Wei Zhao, Wei Zhu, Ang Zheng, Qiang Zou.

## References

- Ye J, Guo B, Liu Q, Ma F, Liu H, Wu Q, *et al.* Clinical practice guidelines for sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with early-stage breast cancer: Chinese Society of Breast Surgery (CSBrS) practice guidelines 2021. Chin Med J 2021;134:886–894. doi: 10.1097/ CM9.00000000001410.
- Nicholson S, Hanby A, Clements K, Kearins O, Lawrence G, Dodwell D, et al. Variations in the management of the axilla in screen-detected ductal carcinoma in situ: evidence from the UK NHS breast screening programme audit of screen detected DCIS. Eur J Surg Oncol 2015;41:86–93. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2014.09.003.
- Myers ER, Moorman P, Gierisch JM, Havrilesky LJ, Grimm LJ, Ghate S, et al. Benefits and harms of breast cancer screening: a systematic review. JAMA 2015;314:1615–1634. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.13183.
- Sood R, Rositch AF, Shakoor D, Ambinder E, Pool KL, Pollack E, et al. Ultrasound for breast cancer detection globally: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Oncol 2019;5:1–17. doi: 10.1200/ JGO.19.00127.
- Shen S, Zhou Y, Xu Y, Zhang B, Duan X, Huang R, *et al.* A multicentre randomised trial comparing ultrasound vs mammography for screening breast cancer in high-risk Chinese women. Br J Cancer 2015;112:998–1004. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2015.33.
- Lord SJ, Lei W, Craft P, Cawson JN, Morris I, Walleser S, *et al.* A systematic review of the effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an addition to mammography and ultrasound in screening young women at high risk of breast cancer. Eur J Cancer 2007; 43:1905–1917. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2007.06.007.
- Brennan ME, Turner RM, Ciatto S, Marinovich ML, French JR, Macaskill P, *et al.* Ductal carcinoma in situ at core-needle biopsy: metaanalysis of underestimation and predictors of invasive breast cancer. Radiology 2011;260:119–128. doi: 10.1148/radiol.11102368.
- Narod SA, Iqbal J, Giannakeas V, Sopik V, Sun P. Breast cancer mortality after a diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ. JAMA Oncol 2015;1:888–896. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.2510.
- Sun Q, Xu B, Shao Z. Chinese expert consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of breast carcinoma in situ (in Chinese). Chin J Oncol 2016;38:942–947. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3766.2016.12.011.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Guidance on Cancer Services: Improving Outcomes in Breast Cancer–Manual Update. London: NICE; 2002. Available from: https://www.nice.org. uk/guidance/csg1. Accessed July 8, 2020.
- 11. Julien JP, Bijker N, Fentiman IS, Peterse JL, Delledonne V, Rouanet P, *et al.* Radiotherapy in breast-conserving treatment for ductal carcinoma *in situ:* first results of the EORTC randomised phase III trial 10853. EORTC Breast Cancer Cooperative Group and EORTC Radiotherapy Group. Lancet 2000;355:528–533. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(99)06341-2.
- Houghton J, George WD, Cuzick J, Duggan C, Fentiman IS, Spittle M, et al. Radiotherapy and tamoxifen in women with completely

excised ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast in the UK, Australia, and New Zealand: randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2003;362:95–102. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(03)13859-7.

- 13. Fisher ER, Dignam J, Tan-Chiu E, Costantino J, Fisher B, Paik S, *et al.* Pathologic findings from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast Project (NSABP) eight-year update of protocol B-17: intraductal carcinoma. Cancer 1999;86:429–438. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0142 (19990801)86:3<429::aid-cncr11>3.0.co;2-y.
- Correa C, McGale P, Taylor C, Wang Y, Clarke M, et al. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. Overview of the randomized trials of radiotherapy in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2010;2010:162–177. doi: 10.1093/jncimonographs/lgq039.
- 15. Forbes JF, Sestak I, Howell A, Bonanni B, Bundred N, Levy C, et al. Anastrozole versus tamoxifen for the prevention of locoregional and contralateral breast cancer in postmenopausal women with locally excised ductal carcinoma in situ (IBIS-II DCIS): a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2016;387:866–873. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01129-0.
- Wapnir IL, Dignam JJ, Fisher B, Mamounas EP, Anderson SJ, Julian TB, *et al.* Long-term outcomes of invasive ipsilateral breast tumor recurrences after lumpectomy in NSABP B-17 and B-24 randomized clinical trials for DCIS. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103:478–488. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djr027.
- Gradishar WJ, Anderson BO, Abraham J, Aft R, Agnese D, Allison KH, *et al.* Breast cancer, version 3.2020, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2020;18:452–478. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2020.0016.
- Cardoso F, Kyriakides S, Ohno S, Penault-Llorca F, Poortmans P, Rubio IT, *et al.* Early breast cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2019;30:1194– 1220. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz173.
- 19. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology version 6, 2020: Breast. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Available from: https://www.nccn. org. Accessed January 17, 2021.
- (ASBrS) TASoBS: Official Statement: Performance and Practice Guidelines for Breast-Conserving Surgery/Partial Mastectomy. In.; 2015: Available from: https://www.breastsurgeons.org/resources/ statements. Accessed February 1, 2021.
- Esbona K, Li Z, Wilke LG. Intraoperative imprint cytology and frozen section pathology for margin assessment in breast conservation surgery: a systematic review. Ann Surg Oncol 2012;19:3236– 3245. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2492-2.
- 22. Chinese Association of Breast Surgery. A consensus statement on the breast-conserving surgery of early-stage breast cancer (2019) (in Chinese). Chin J Surg 2019;57:81–84. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-5815.2019.02.001.
- Gilleard O, Goodman A, Cooper M, Davies M, Dunn J. The significance of the Van Nuys prognostic index in the management of ductal carcinoma in situ. World J Surg Oncol 2008;6:61. doi: 10.1186/1477-7819-6-61.
- 24. Silverstein MJ, Lagios MD, Craig PH, Waisman JR, Lewinsky BS, Colburn WJ, *et al.* A prognostic index for ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. Cancer 1996;77:2267–2274. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19960601)77:11<2267::AID-CNCR13>3.0.CO;2-V.
- Lyman GH, Giuliano AE, Somerfield MR, Benson AB 3rd, Bodurka DC, Burstein HJ, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline recommendations for sentinel lymph node biopsy in early-stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:7703–7720. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.08.001.
- 26. Silverstein MJ, Lagios MD, Groshen S, Waisman JR, Lewinsky BS, Martino S, *et al.* The influence of margin width on local control of ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast. N Engl J Med 1999;340:1455– 1461. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199905133401902.

How to cite this article: Zheng A, Jin ZN, Cui MY, Chen B, Yao F, Jin F, Xu YY. Clinical practice guidelines for ductal carcinoma *in situ*: Chinese society of breast surgery (CSBrS) practice guidelines 2021. Chin Med J 2021;134:1519–1521. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000001506