Sleep Medicine: X 3 (2021) 100035

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sleep Medicine: X

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/sleep

# Sleep bruxism in children: relationship with screen-time and sugar consumption



CES-LPH Research Group, Universidad CES, Calle 10 A No. 22-04, Medellín, Colombia

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 January 2021 Accepted 16 April 2021 Available online 24 April 2021

*Keywords:* Bruxism Sugar Screen time Children

# ABSTRACT

*Objective/background:* Consumption of added sugar and excessive screen-time is increasing worldwide and is associated with sleeping and behavior disorders, which are related with possible Sleep Bruxism (SB) in children. Therefore, the objective of this investigation was to examine the relationship between screen-time and sugar-consumption and possible SB in children. *Patients/methods:* A cross-sectional study, including parents of 460 4- to 8-year-old children, was per-

For the section of th

*Results:* Data of 440 subjects Mean age 6.2 years (S.D. 1.8) were analyzed. Prevalence of possible SB was 35% and screen-time was available for 92.9% of the children. Mean screen-time was 2.1 h/day. Parents reported 73% of the children (n = 319) to consume added sugar once a day every day and 20% more than once every day. Correlations of possible SB were statistically significant with screen-time (Rho = 0.8; p = 0.002) and sugar-consumption (Rho = 0.7; p = 0.03). Associations were found between possible SB and increase-to-increase screen-time and sugar-consumption (OR > 2).

*Conclusion:* The results of this study demonstrated that as screen-time and sugar consumption increased, the frequency of bruxism in children increased.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Sleep bruxism (SB) is a masticatory muscle activity during sleep, characterized as rhythmic or non-rhythmic and is not a movement disorder or a sleep disorder in otherwise healthy individuals [1]. It is present in 3–40% of children worldwide [2]. In terms of clinical consequences, SB may be classified as not a risk or protective factor, when bruxism is a harmless behaviour; a risk factor, when bruxism is associated with one or more negative health outcomes; and a

\* Corresponding author.

protective factor, when bruxism is associated with one or more positive health outcomes<sup>1</sup>.

Depending on the assessment method, the grading system for SB, establishes possible SB based on a positive self-report only; probable sleep/awake bruxism is based on a positive clinical inspection, with or without a positive self-report; and finally, definite sleep/awake bruxism is based on a positive instrumental assessment, with or without a positive self-report and/or a positive clinical inspection<sup>1</sup>. From an epidemiological point of view, possible SB is the most acceptable method to recruit data in population-based studies<sup>2</sup>. For this purpose, the use of validated instruments, not considering only the presence/absence of SB, but its frequency, is strongly recommended.

The regulation of SB is mainly centrally [3], which means that even when SB is not a pathology or a disorder itself, it could be a possible alarm or symptom of health conditions or habits disturbing the central nervous system [1]. Specifically, polymorphisms in DRD2 relate with bruxism phenotypes in children [4] is a genetic

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleepx.2021.100035

2590-1427/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





Abbreviations: SB, Sleep Bruxism; DRD2, Dopamine receptor D2; CSHQ, Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire; HBSC-FFQ, Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Food-Frequency Questionnaire; WHO, World Health Organization; QoL, Quality of Life.

*E-mail addresses*: crestrepos@ces.edu.co (C. Restrepo), asantamariav@ces.edu.co (A. Santamaría), rmanrique@ces.edu.co (R. Manrique).

variation in the dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2) that may alter dopamine signaling and modify the rewarding effects of food [5] and videogame playing [6]. The consumptions of added sugar and excessive screen-time is increasing worldwide [7–11], rising sleep and behavior disorders [5,10,12,13], which have been also associated with SB in children [14–18]. Despite the evidence, there are no studies evaluating the association between SB and screen-time and added sugar consumption in children. Thus, the objective of this investigation was to examine the relationship between possible SB and screen-time and added sugar consumption in children and aimed to explore the hypothesis that exceeded sugar consumption and screen-time is associated with increase-to-increase possible SB in children.

#### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Design

A descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out. Fourhundred sixty 4-8 year-old children were included. Subjects were selected from 12,320 students enrolled in private and public schools in Medellín, according to the Colombian National Administrative Department of Statistics (DANE).

#### 2.2. Ethics approval

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Universidad CES (file 133–44-1). Parents signed the written informed consent.

#### 2.3. Population and sample

The urban area of Medellín is divided into 16 communes. The sample was stratified by commune and type of educational institution (public and private). Educational institutions were randomly selected. Afterwards, the same number of girls and boys were assigned at random to each age group using a computerized procedure.

Sample size was calculated based on a margin of error of 5% and a confidence level of 95%. A 40% prevalence rate of possible SB [2] was considered as the estimated percentage to increase potency. Sixteen of the 124 public and fourteen of the 86 private educational institutions were randomly selected. The sample size was estimated at 367 children, to which an additional 20% was added to compensate for possible dropouts, for a total of 460 children. Data were collected from January to October 2018. The flowgram of sample selection is presented in Fig. 1. Children with neurological disorders like cerebral palsy or Down syndrome and/or breathing disorders related by parents were excluded.

#### 2.4. Assessment of possible SB

Evaluation of possible SB was assessed with the spanish version [19] of the Children's Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ) [20]. The CSHQ is a retrospective questionnaire, which recalls the child's sleep behaviors over a "typical" recent week. The question "How frequently does your child grind/gnash the teeth during sleep?" was answered by parents and it had three possible answers rated on a three-point frequency Likert scale: 1 = "Rarely" if the sleep item occurs 0 or 1 time per week; 2 = "Sometimes" for 2 to 4 times per week; and 3 = "Usually" for 5 to 7 times per week.

# 2.5. Evaluation of added sugar consumption

Added sugar consumption was investigated by means of the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Food-Frequency Questionnaire (HBSC-FFQ). The HBSC-FFQ is a 15-item module included within the HBSC questionnaire [21]. The question, "How many times a week do you usually eat/drink ... ?" was followed by the following list of food and beverage items containing added sugar: cereals (corn flakes, choco pops, muesli, etc.), milk products (eg yoghurt, quark, chocolate milk, fristi, puddings, etc.), and items relevant to youth food culture (crisps, chips, sweets and chocolates, soft drinks, diet soft drinks). Additionally, addition of sugar to juices or beverages were also asked. The answers were given by the parents in a Likert-type scale.

# 2.6. Screen-time

The range of time children spent using screens (cell phones, computers, electronic devices, electronic games, TV or other screen-based devices with recreational, not academic purpose) was reported daily by parents on weekdays and weekend days for a week [22]. The average of weekday and weekend screen time was calculated to yield screen-time use in hours/week. The time was recorded in hour/day and the mean of screen-time was obtained for week and week-end days. Screen-time was log-transformed for analysis and analyzed as a continuous variable.

# 2.7. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with STATA© data analysis and statistical software version 13.0 (Copyright 1996–2016; Stata-Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Subjects whose data were not complete, were all excluded from analysis.

Spearman correlation test was used to find the association between SB, added sugar consumption and screen-time. An ordinalmultiple-variable logistic regression analyses was used to determine the association between the frequency of added sugar



Fig. 1. Flowgram for the selection of subjects.

Table 1

Demographic and descriptive data.

	Sleep Bruxism					
	Never-Rarely (0—1 night)	Sometimes (2–4 nights)	Usually (5–7 nights)	Total		
Gender (n, %)						
Masculine	126 (28.62)	56 (12.72)	42 (9.54)	224 (50.9)		
Femenine	160 (36.36)	37 (8.41)	19 (4.31)	216 (49.09)		
Age	6.92 (1.20)	6.43 (1.41)	5,20 (1.92)	6.2 (1.80)		
(Mean in years; SD)						
Children in each type of educational system (n, %)						
Public	135 (30.61)	51 (11.59)	32 (7.27)	218 (49.54)		
Private	154 (35.0)	42 (9.54)	26 (5.90)	222 (50.45)		

consumption and screen-time and the frequency of possible SB. The odds ratio and ordinal odds ratio were used as relative measures of effect. A 95% confidence interval was considered and p-value was considered statistically significant at 0.05.

#### 3. Results

Twenty parents did not fill all instruments correctly. Thus, 440 subjects [Mean age 6.2 years (S.D. 1.8), 44 girls and 44 boys for each age] were finally included for data analysis (218 from public schools and 222 from private schools).

There were more children, whose parents reported possible SB (sometimes and usually) in public than in private schools. The demographic and descriptive information is included in Table 1. Prevalence of possible SB was higher in males than in females. Parents reported 35% of the children with frequencies "Sometimes" and "Usually" possible SB. The percentages of children who experienced "Usually" possible SB, were fewer than those who experienced it "Sometimes". Children with the highest frequency of possible SB were younger (mean age 5.20 years) than those whose parents reported SB 2–4 nights a week (Table 1).

Parents reported 73% of the children (n = 319) to consume food containing added sugar once a day every day and 20% more than once every day. Only 5.4% consumed foods with added sugar once or less a week. Children studying in the public system (from lowincome families) consumed more added sugar (n = 180) than children studying in private schools (n = 139).

Screen-time was available for 92.2% (406/440) of the children. Mean screen-time was 2.43 h/day (1.91 h per day during weekdays and 2.96 during weekends) and was higher for children from private schools (index = 2.38 h) than for children from public schools (index = 1.78 h), even when children from public schools watched more television (mean 0.75 h SD 0.35 h) than children in the private schools (0.38 h SD 0.12).

Possible SB was statistically significant correlated with added sugar consumption (Rho = 0.7; p = 0.03) and with screen-time (Rho = 0.8; p = 0.002) (Table 2). Statistical and clinical significant associations were found between SB and the increase-to-increase screen-time and between possible SB and increase-to-increase added sugar consumption (OR > 2) (Table 3).

# 4. Discussion

The present study aimed to examine the relationship between possible SB and screen-time and added sugar consumption in children. Excessive consumption of added sugar and screen-time. are common behaviors today in children [7,23]. Added sugar and screen-time affects Dopamine neurotransmission [4,5], that is also involved in possible SB etiology [24]. That is why the objective of this investigation was to examine the relationship between possible SB and screen-time and added sugar consumption in children. The main results were: 1) Seventy-three percent of the children consumed food containing added sugar once a day every day and 20% more than once every day. Children from public schools consumed more added sugar than children from private schools; 2) Screen-time was available for 92.2% of children. Mean screen-time was 2.43 h/day and was higher for children from private schools than for children from public schools; and 3) Statistical significant associations were found between SB and the increaseto-increase screen-time and increase-to-increase added sugar consumption.

Alterations in quality of life (QoL), have been associated with SB in children; particularly, affection of school function (working memory) and emotional function (feeling sad) [25]. Excessive screen-time and added sugar consumption are risk factors for the same QoL issues [26–30]. Previous investigations demonstrated the relationship between television and/or total screen-time viewing and adverse dietary habits [31], but this is the first study to our knowledge, to search for the association between possible SB and screen-time and added sugar consumption.

Among the factors related to QoL, overuse of screen-time and added sugar consumption leads to alterations in sleep [32–35], lack of cortisol homeostasis [36,37], depression [11,38], hostility and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorders related symptoms [12], among others. All these factors are related to SB [13–16] and in this study it was demonstrated the high association between excessive screen-time and added sugar consumption with SB in children.

Table 2

Correlation between screen-time and sugar consumption with possible sleep bruxism. Spearman correlation (Rho and p values).

	Sleep Bruxism			Rho	p value	
	Never-Rarely (0—1 nights)	Sometimes (2–4 nights)	Usually (5–7 nights)			
Sugar consumption (n/%)						
Never	0	0	0	0.7	0.03	
Less than once a week	10 (2.27)	1 (0.22)	1 (0.22)			
1 day/week	4 (0.90)	2 (0.45)	6 (1.36)			
2-4 days a week	2 (0.45)	1 (0.22)	1 (0.22)			
5-6 days/week	2 (0.45)	1 (0.22)	2 (0.45)			
Once a day	65 (14.77)	183 (41.59)	71 (16.13)			
More than once a day	6 (1.36)	36 (8.18)	46 (10.45)			
Screen-time (Hours per day/SD)						
Weekdays	1.18 (1.31)	1.38 (0.45)	3.35 (1.62)	0.8	0.002	
Weekend days	1.30 (1.23)	3.35 (1.24)	4.23 (1.26)			
Screen-time index	1.15 (1.03)	2.36 (1.89)	3.79 (1.85)			

# Table 3 Association of screen-time and sugar consumption with possible sleep bruxism. Ordinal multiple-variable analysis.

Dependent variable	Independent variables	OR	p value	IC	Influence of the model on the frequency of sleep bruxism	Ordinal OR	p value	CI
Sleep	Screen-time	3.2	0.001	1.8-4.1	$1^a$ vs $0^a$ $2^a$ vs $0^a$	7.17	0.001	5.08-11.26
DIUXISIII	Sugar consumption	2.5	0.004	1.3-3.2	2 vs 0 1 <sup>a</sup> vs 0 <sup>a</sup> 2 <sup>a</sup> vs 0 <sup>a</sup>	3.62 5.31	0.02 0.07 0.04	0.77–6.46 2.31–8.31

<sup>a</sup> 0 Rarely 1 Sometimes 2 Usually possible sleep bruxism.

In Colombia, families of children studying at schools from the private system, have better economic situation than children assisting to public schools, which represent 80% of the population [39]. In developing countries, such as Colombia, children with the highest socio-economic status trend to be most sedentary and have screen-time over the guidelines of WHO and the American Academy of Pediatrics (>2 h/day) [40]. However, viewing television before going to sleep, is more frequent in children coming from low-income families [41]. These two conditions are supported by the results derived from this study with a population design. Additionally, this investigation found SB to be more prevalent in children from public schools than from private schools, which is also supported by the findings of previous studies [42]. These correlations could lead to think on the possibility of considering SB as an indicator of alterations in living habits (specifically dietary and the use of screen time) that could affect sleep quality, and cognitive and behavior performance.

Despite efforts were made to maximize the internal (ie, use of a validated questionnaire, as the best available strategy to collect bruxism-related data for epidemiological purposes) and external (ie, selection of a large population of children representative of the city of Medellín; reproducible study design) validity of the study, generalization of findings is limited by the absence of a definite SB diagnosis. Limited availability, high economical costs, complex technical equipment as well as the unfamiliar laboratory setting, difficulties to use it and lack of valid data in children [43], are limits to the use of polysomnography for routine purposes. Additionally, the design of this study does not allow determining causality. Notwithstanding the limitations, the results of this study come from a population design. It is important to take them into account when designing public policies to favor the construction of healthy habits at home and in the educational system, as a way to improve children's health.

# 5. Conclusion

Strong correlation of screen-time and added sugar consumption with possible SB was demonstrated. Screen-time and added sugar consumption represent risk factors in terms of increase-to-increase frequency of possible SB.

# **Funding sources**

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Data sharing statement

Individual participant data (including data dictionaries) will be made available, in addition to study protocols, the statistical analysis plan, and the informed consent form. The data will be made available upon publication to researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal for use in achieving the goals of the approved proposal. Proposals should be submitted to crestrepos@ces.edu.co.

# Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the participation of the undergraduate students of the Faculty of Dentistry of Universidad CES for the collection of data.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The ICMJE Uniform Disclosure Form for Potential Conflicts of Interest associated with this article can be viewed by clicking on the following link: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleepx.2021.100035.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleepx.2021.100035.

# References

- Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Raphael KG, et al. International consensus on the assessment of bruxism: report of a work in progress. J Oral Rehabil 2018;45: 837–44.
- [2] Manfredini D, Restrepo C, Diaz-Serrano K, et al. Prevalence of sleep bruxism in children: a systematic review of the literature. J Oral Rehabil 2013;40:631–42.
- [3] Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Bruxism is mainly regulated centrally, not peripherally. J Oral Rehabil 2001;28:1085–91.
- [4] Scariot R, Brunet L, Olsson B, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in dopamine receptor D2 are associated with bruxism and its circadian phenotypes in children. Cranio 2019;23:1–8.
- [5] Ramos-Lopez O, Panduro A, Rivera-Iñiguez I, et al. Dopamine D2 receptor polymorphism (C957T) is associated with sugar consumption and triglyceride levels in West Mexicans. Physiol Behav 2018;194:532–7.
- [6] Weinstein A, Livny A, Weizman A. New developments in brain research of internet and gaming disorder. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017;75:314–30.
- [7] Fisberg M, Kovalskys I, Gómez G, et al., ELANS Study Group. Total and added sugar intake: assessment in Eight Latin American countries. Nutrients 2018;10:389–96.
- [8] Wittekind A, Walton J. Worldwide trends in dietary sugars intake. Nutr Res Rev 2014;27:330–45.
- [9] Newens KJ, Walton J. A review of sugar consumption from nationally representative dietary surveys across the world. J Hum Nutr Diet 2016;29:225–40.
- [10] Ervin RB, Kit BK, Carroll MD, et al. Consumption of added sugar among U.S. children and adolescents, 2005-2008. NCHS Data Brief 2012;87:1–8.
- [11] Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: literature review and case study. Environ Res 2018;164:149–57.
- [12] Yen J-Y, Ko C-H, Yen C-F, et al. The comorbid psychiatric symptoms of Internet addiction: attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), depression, social phobia, and hostility. J Adolesc Health 2007;41:93–8.
- [13] Del-Ponte B, Quinte GC, Cruz S, et al. Dietary patterns and attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2019;252:160–73.
- [14] Restrepo C, Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Sleep behaviors in children with different frequencies of parental-reported sleep bruxism. J Dent 2017;66: 83–90.
- [15] Bach SL, Moreira FP, Goettems ML, et al. Salivary cortisol levels and biological rhythm in schoolchildren with sleep bruxism. Sleep Med 2019;54:48–52.
- [16] Velez AL, Restrepo CC, Pelaez-Vargas A, et al. Head posture and dental wear evaluation of bruxist children with primary teeth. J Oral Rehabil 2007;34: 663–70.
- [17] Souto-Souza D, Mourão PS, Barroso HH, et al. Is there an association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents and the occurrence of bruxism? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2020;53:101330.

#### C. Restrepo, A. Santamaría and R. Manrique

- [18] Türkoğlu S, Akça ÖF, Türkoğlu G, et al. Psychiatric disorders and symptoms in children and adolescents with sleep bruxism. Sleep Breath 2014;18:649–54.
- [19] Orgilés M, Owens J, Espada JP, et al. Spanish version of the sleep self-report (SSR): factorial structure and psychometric properties. Child Care Health Dev 2013;39:288–95.
- [20] Owens JA, Spirito A, McGuinn M. The Children's sleep habits questionnaire (CSHQ): psychometric properties of a survey instrument for school-aged children. Sleep 2000;23:1043–51.
- [21] Vereecken CA, Maes L. A Belgian study on the reliability and relative validity of the health behaviour in school-aged children food-frequency questionnaire. Publ Health Nutr 2003;6:581–8.
- [22] Madigan S, Browne D, Racine N, et al. Association between screen time and children's performance on a developmental screening test. JAMA Pediatr 2019;173:244–50.
- [23] de Lucena JM, Cheng LA, Cavalcante TL, et al. Prevalence of excessive screen time and associated factors in adolescents. Rev Paul Pediatr 2015;33:407–14.
- [24] Lavigne GJ, Kato T, Kolta A, et al. Neurobiological mechanisms involved in sleep bruxism. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2003;14:30–46.
- [25] Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F, Giancristofaro RA, et al. Association between proxy-reported sleep bruxism and quality of life aspects in Colombian children of different social layers. Clin Oral Invest 2017;21:1351–8.
- [26] Horowitz-Kraus T, Hutton JS. Brain connectivity in children is increased by the time they spend reading books and decreased by the length of exposure to screen-based media. Acta Paediatr 2018;107:685–93.
- [27] Cohen JFW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Young J, et al. Associations of prenatal and child sugar intake with child cognition. Am J Prev Med 2018;54:727–35.
- [28] Twenge JM, Campbell WK. Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: evidence from a population-based study. Prev Med Rep 2018;12:271–83.
- [29] Radesky JS, Christakis DA. Increased screen time: implications for early childhood development and behavior. Pediatr Clin North Am 2016;63:827–39.
- [30] Loewen OK, Maximova K, Ekwaru JP, et al. Lifestyle behavior and mental health in early adolescence. Pediatrics 2019;143:e20183307.
- [31] Shqair AQ, Pauli LA, Costa VPP, et al. Screen time, dietary patterns and intake of potentially cariogenic food in children: a systematic review. J Dent 2019;86:17–26.

- [32] Hale L, Guan S. Screen time and sleep among school-aged children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. Sleep Med Rev 2015;21: 50–8.
- [33] Felső R, Lohner S, Hollódy K, et al. Relationship between sleep duration and childhood obesity: systematic review including the potential underlying mechanisms. Nutr Metabol Cardiovasc Dis 2017;27:751–61.
- [34] Prather AA, Leung CW, Adler NE, et al. Short and sweet: associations between self-reported sleep duration and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among adults in the United States. Sleep Health 2016;2: 272–6.
- [35] Janssen X, Martin A, Hughes AR, et al. Associations of screen time, sedentary time and physical activity with sleep in under 5s: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2020;49:101226.
- [36] Wallenius M, Hirvonen A, Lindholm H, et al. Salivary cortisol in relation to the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in school-aged children. Psychology 2010;1:88–95.
- [37] DiNicolantonio JJ, Mehta V, Onkaramurthy N, et al. Fructose-induced inflammation and increased cortisol: a new mechanism for how sugar induces visceral adiposity. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2018;61:3–9.
- [38] Hu D, Cheng L, Jiang W. Sugar-sweetened beverages consumption and the risk of depression: a meta-analysis of observational studies. J Affect Disord 2019;245:348–55.
- [39] Radinger T, Echazarra A, Guerrero G, et al. OECD reviews of school resources: Colombia 2018. Paris: OECD Reviews of School Resources, OECD Publishing; 2018.
- [40] LeBlanc AG, Katzmarzyk PT, Barreira TV, et al., ISCOLE Research Group. Correlates of total sedentary time and screen time in 9-11 Year-old children around the world: the international study of childhood obesity, lifestyle and the environment. PloS One 2015;10:e0129622.
- [41] Garrison MM, Liekweg K, Christakis DA. Media use and child sleep: the impact of content, timing, and environment. Pediatrics 2011;128:29–35.
- [42] Corvalán C, Garmendia ML, Jones-Smith J, et al. Nutrition status of children in Latin America. Obes Rev 2017;18:7–18.
- [43] Restrepo C, Manfredini D, Castrillon E, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the use of parental-reported sleep bruxism in a polysomnographic study in children. Int J Paediatr Dent 2017:27318–25.