PROCEEDINGS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

Research



Cite this article: Archer LC, Hutton SA, Harman L, Russell Poole W, Gargan P, McGinnity P, Reed TE. 2021 Associations between metabolic traits and growth rate in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) depend on thermal regime. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **288**: 20211509. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.1509

Received: 2 July 2021 Accepted: 17 August 2021

Subject Category:

Ecology

Subject Areas: ecology, evolution, physiology

Keywords:

climate change, partial migration, brown trout, acclimation, *Salmo trutta*, metabolism

Author for correspondence:

Louise C. Archer e-mail: l.archer@umail.ucc.ie

[†]Present address: Laboratory of Quantitative Global Change Ecology, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.5581381.



Associations between metabolic traits and growth rate in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) depend on thermal regime

Louise C. Archer^{1,2,†}, Stephen A. Hutton^{1,2}, Luke Harman^{1,2}, W. Russell Poole³, Patrick Gargan⁴, Philip McGinnity^{1,3} and Thomas E. Reed^{1,2}

¹School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University College Cork, Distillery Fields, North Mall, Cork, Ireland

²Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Lee Road, Cork, Ireland

³Marine Institute, Furnace, Newport, Co. Mayo, Ireland

⁴Inland Fisheries Ireland, 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin D24 Y265, Ireland

(D) LCA, 0000-0002-1983-3825

Metabolism defines the energetic cost of life, yet we still know relatively little about why intraspecific variation in metabolic rate arises and persists. Spatio-temporal variation in selection potentially maintains differences, but relationships between metabolic traits (standard metabolic rate (SMR), maximum metabolic rate (MMR), and aerobic scope) and fitness across contexts are unresolved. We show that associations between SMR, MMR, and growth rate (a key fitness-related trait) vary depending on the thermal regime (a potential selective agent) in offspring of wild-sampled brown trout from two populations reared for approximately 15 months in either a cool or warm (+1.8°C) regime. SMR was positively related to growth in the cool, but negatively related in the warm regime. The opposite patterns were found for MMR and growth associations (positive in warm, negative in the cool regime). Mean SMR, but not MMR, was lower in warm regimes within both populations (i.e. basal metabolic costs were reduced at higher temperatures), consistent with an adaptive acclimation response that optimizes growth. Metabolic phenotypes thus exhibited a thermally sensitive metabolic 'floor' and a less flexible metabolic 'ceiling'. Our findings suggest a role for growth-related fluctuating selection in shaping patterns of metabolic variation that is likely important in adapting to climate change.

1. Introduction

As the fundamental biological rate determining resource use and energy balance [1], metabolism underlies organism performance, life histories, and ultimately, fitness [2]. Metabolic traits-standard metabolic rate (SMR), maximum metabolic rate (MMR), and aerobic scope (AS)-can vary dramatically within species, but for reasons that remain obscure [3]. The baseline energetic demands of ectotherms are defined by SMR, which represents the minimum energetic costs of maintaining tissues and homeostasis in an organism that is inactive, unstressed, and non-digestive [4] (termed basal metabolic rate (BMR) in endotherms within their thermoneutral zone, i.e. requiring minimal changes in metabolic heat loss/gain). MMR in contrast, refers to the highest rate of aerobic metabolism (i.e. oxygen transport and ATP production) that can be achieved [5]. AS-the difference between an organism's SMR and MMR-determines the potential energy that can be allocated towards important functions including digestion, activity, growth, and reproduction [6,7]. Uncovering sources of variation in these metabolic traits and understanding the links between metabolism, performance, and environmental conditions is widely recognized as being crucial to forecasting biological responses to global change [8].

 \odot 2021 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

SMR, the most frequently measured metabolic trait, shows substantial inter-individual variation, with up to threefold differences in SMR even among similarly sized and aged individuals from the same population [2]. Although MMR (and consequently AS) is measured less often, the level of variation appears similar to that of SMR, once age and size are accounted for [9]. While SMR and MMR are often correlated within species [10], the relationship between metabolic traits can also vary considerably [11,12].

From an ultimate perspective, temporal and/or spatial variations in factors such as temperature, food, and habitat quality have been proposed to maintain intraspecific variation in metabolic traits via fluctuating selection [13-16]. Genetic decoupling of metabolic traits can also occur across time or space if each trait is subject to distinct selection pressures [5,11]. From a proximate perspective, metabolic traits also exhibit phenotypic plasticity with respect to various environmental factors such as temperature [17], hypoxia [12], food availability [18,19], and habitat structure [20]. Since aerobic metabolism is dependent on factors influencing oxygen demand and uptake, temperature profoundly determines metabolic rates [1,3,14]. In ectotherms, temperature effects on metabolism can be immediate (acute) or can emerge over more prolonged periods (chronic) via long-lasting acclimation responses to changes in thermal regime [8]. Acute effects are reasonably well researched [14,21], but we know less about the effects of chronic temperature exposure on different metabolic traits despite acclimation responses being highly relevant in the context of climate change [7].

Predicting the effects of long-term temperature is made more complicated by the various components of metabolism showing different sensitivities to environmental conditions. There is some evidence for more plastic metabolic 'floors' (SMR) than 'ceilings' (MMR) in response to temperature, as documented in European perch Perca fluviatilis that reduced SMR after long-term warming with no compensatory adjustments seen in MMR [22], with a similar response seen in three-spine sticklebacks Gasterosteus aculeatus [15]. Disparities in the relative responses of BMR and MMR (or cold-induced maximum metabolism) to temperature are also apparent in endotherms [23-25], indicating that plastic decoupling of metabolic traits may be widespread. While a positive relationship between SMR and MMR has been proposed under the 'increased intake' hypothesis-where a higher SMR maintains the metabolic machinery that fuels high MMR [2,26]-covariation between metabolic components may be stronger, weaker, or non-existent depending on the environmental context [12,27], and relationships can differ among and within individuals [28]. It seems that SMR and MMR might thus be subject to subtly different proximate or ultimate constraints that might be revealed or masked by a given set of environmental conditions [5,27].

Previous studies on the effects of metabolic traits on various fitness metrics have provided mixed results [2,29,30]. Positive relationships between SMR and growth [31,32], reproduction [33], and survival [34] imply fitness benefits of higher SMR that are in line with the 'increased intake' hypothesis. Yet SMR/BMR has also been negatively linked to growth [28,29,35], reproduction [36], and survival [35,37,38], supporting an alternative 'compensation' hypothesis, whereby a lower SMR is advantageous for energy-saving purposes [2]. These inconsistencies are likely explained by contextdependent fitness benefits of metabolic traits. For example, higher SMR may be beneficial when resources are plentiful [19,39,40] or predictable [41], but have negative [42], or no effect [35] when resources are limited. While MMR-fitness associations are reported less often, the few studies that have looked at this suggest that MMR also shows inconsistent relationships with fitness [43–45]. Research has focused largely on the role of resource availability as a selective agent, but other abiotic or biotic factors might also influence relationships between metabolic phenotypes and fitness components. Given the importance of temperature for the energetic process, thermal regime is potentially an important mediator of metabolic rate–fitness links, yet this has rarely been tested [30] despite the imperative of widespread climate warming.

Here, we experimentally reared F1 offspring from two wild trout populations under different thermal regimes to explore how metabolic traits and their relationships with growth (a key fitness-associated trait) are mediated by chronic temperature increases. Specifically, we aimed to (i) test whether longterm temperature elevation leads to variation in SMR and MMR, (ii) explore how metabolic traits are related to growth under different thermal regimes, and (iii) test whether the covariation of metabolic traits is influenced by the thermal regime. We expected that chronic temperature elevation would lead to compensatory responses in metabolism (lower SMR or higher MMR). We also expected that relationships between metabolism and growth might depend on the thermal regime, whereby SMR and MMR would generally show a positive relationship to growth (under a natural thermal regime) as per the increased intake hypothesis [2], but that long-term temperature elevation might result in a negative SMRgrowth relationship (if relatively high SMR are disadvantageous in warmer environments because less energy remains for growth once maintenance costs are paid, in line with the compensation hypothesis [2]). We expected that the MMRgrowth relationship could either stay positive in the warmer regime, or alternatively could become neutral or negative (if SMR and MMR are tightly coupled, and lower SMR also results in reduced MMR).

2. Methods

(a) Study populations and fish rearing

In November 2015, we obtained brown trout brood stock from two wild populations in the west of Ireland by seine netting in the Tawnyard Lough (56 ha) in the Erriff catchment (53°37′ 0.00″ N: 09°40′ 17.10″ W) and in the Srahrevagh river in the Burrishoole catchment (53°57′ N: 09°35′ W) (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Four males and three females were used as brood stock from Tawnyard, and 12 males and females from Srahrevagh. The populations vary in life-history tactics, with anadromy (sea-migration) frequent in the Tawnyard population [46] (termed the 'anadromous-background population') and relatively rare in the Srahrevagh population [47] (the 'non-anadromous-background population').

See [48,49] for a detailed description of crossing, fertilization, and rearing procedures. In brief, eggs from each female were fertilized by 1–2 males from the same source population. Posthatching, fry were reared in 1001 growth tanks (one per population) on a recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) at University College Cork (Aquaculture and Fisheries Development Centre), Ireland. Fish were fed ad libitum with commercially available trout pellets (Skretting Ltd., Norway) and were maintained at a natural temperature regime and constant photoperiod (12:12 h of light: dark), until experimental treatments began.

(b) Temperature treatments

In December 2016, fry were allocated to four 203 l capacity tanks in a larger experimental RAS (n = 35 per tank) with the populations reared separately throughout the study (i.e. each population allocated across two of the four tanks). LED lights above each tank simulated the natural photoperiod of the source catchments. Fish were fed daily pellet rations for optimal growth calculated as a percentage of body mass as per manufacturer's instructions, with absolute rations adjusted monthly to account for changing temperatures and body mass. Automatic feeders above each tank delivered daily feed in multiple localized pulses. Excess feed removed during cleaning indicated fish were feeding to satiation. Water quality was consistently within acceptable levels for fish health, with great care taken to ensure that all measured variables other than temperature regime (fish densities, feeding, photoperiod, lux, and flow rates) were constant across tanks. Mortality was negligible, but fish were haphazardly culled (n = 20) over the course of tank rearing for inclusion in parallel studies.

Each of the four tanks was allocated to one of two temperature treatments in January 2017, with one warm and one cool tank for each population (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). Two thermal regimes were established by passing mixed water through one of two conditioning units. One created a cool treatment (both populations experienced the same seasonally varying natural regime mimicking temperatures in the west of Ireland). The second unit created a warm treatment: $1.8^{\circ}C \pm 0.55$ (s.d.) above the cool treatment. The cool treatment ranged from 5.9 to 16.4°C (mean = $10.8^{\circ}C \pm 3.3$ s.d.) and the warm treatment ranged from 7.5 to 18.2° C (mean = 12.6° C ± 3.4 s.d.). The 1.8° C elevation in the warm treatment was chosen to reflect increases of 1-3°C projected under climate change scenarios [50], but was within sub-lethal ranges for brown trout [51]. The temperature was increased by 0.5°C per week when initiating treatments to minimize stress. Within each tank, 24-26 fish were lightly anaesthetized with MS-222 and marked with a unique colour combination of visible implant elastomer (VIE) tags (Northwest Marine Technology, USA) to allow for reidentification. Seven individuals lost VIE tags during the experiment, leaving n = 95 individually identifiable fish.

(c) Data collection

To calculate growth rates of VIE tagged individuals across the study period, the fork length (mm) and mass (g) of lightly anaesthetized fish was recorded in April, June, July, September, and November (2017), and in April 2018 (when the study ended), with a subset of fish also measured in February 2018 during respirometry (see below) (electronic supplementary material, figure S2). We estimated growth rates as the specific growth rate (% day⁻¹) in terms of fork length (G_L) between measurement periods according to

$$G_L=100 \times \frac{\ln S_t - \ln S_i}{d},$$

where S_t is the fork length at time t, S_i is the initial fork length, and d is the time elapsed (in days) between S_i and S_t [52]. In February 2018 (approx. 13 months after temperature treatments were established), we measured metabolic traits in 16 fish from each temperature treatment (eight from each population, n = 32 individuals in total).

(d) Measurement of SMR and MMR

The SMR of fasted individuals was determined using intermittentflow respirometry, as described in Archer *et al.* [53] and following best practices outlined in Svendsen *et al.* [54]. SMR (mg O_2 h⁻¹) was calculated from whole-animal oxygen consumption ($\dot{M}O_2$) measurements taken overnight in a darkened controlled temperature (CT) chamber maintained at $7.9^{\circ}C \pm 0.1$ s.d. (the mid-point between the cool and warm temperature treatments at the time of measurements).

Whole-animal oxygen consumption ($\dot{M}O_2$) in animals operating at their maximum aerobic metabolic rate was used as a proxy for MMR (mg O_2 h⁻¹) [5], following best practices outlined in Norin & Clark [9]. We used an exhaustive chase protocol detailed in Archer *et al.* [53] to elicit MMR in the same individuals measured for SMR. See electronic supplementary material for a detailed description of respirometry set-up and estimation of SMR and MMR.

We calculated individual absolute AS (mg $O_2\,h^{-1})$ as the difference between MMR and SMR.

(e) Statistical analysis

We explored how thermal regime influenced mean values for metabolic traits (Aim 1) using two linear models (normal errors). One model included log_{10} SMR as the response variable, and the second included log_{10} MMR as the response. We included log_{10} body mass (at time of respirometry) as a covariate because metabolic rates are mass dependent. Both models included temperature treatment, population background, and a two-way interaction between log_{10} body mass and temperature treatment as explanatory variables. We calculated effect sizes as Cohen's *f*, with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) constructed by bootstrapped resampling for 10 000 resamples.

We explored how temperature treatment and metabolic phenotype influenced specific growth rates across the study period (Aim 2) within a mixed-effects modelling framework using the nlme package [55]. We first built a mixed-effects model (normal errors) to examine how thermal regime influenced individual-level growth rates across all study fish. The model included fixed effects of temperature treatment, population background, and time (continuous variable corresponding to months since the start of the experiment, fitted as a third-order polynomial to account for the nonlinearity of growth through time). We included a temperature treatment × time interaction to test whether thermal regime effects varied across the study. Individual identity was included as a random effect to account for multiple measurements of individuals. Since growth rate is size dependent [56], we included initial fork length as a covariate in the models. We accounted for temporal autocorrelation of growth rates by modelling an autoregressive error structure as a first-order lag function of time.

We next tested how SMR and MMR influenced growth rate patterns across temperature treatments in the subset of fish that underwent respirometry trials, using a similar modelling framework. We used the residuals of the linear relationships between each metabolic trait and body mass (all log₁₀-transformed) (i.e. rSMR, rMMR, electronic supplementary material, table S2 and figure S2) to correct for body mass effects on metabolic traits. The model was as described above for the first mixed-effects model but included additional fixed effects of rSMR and rMMR, and two-way interactions between rSMR and temperature treatment, and between rMMR and temperature treatment, to test if the effects of each metabolic trait on growth depended on the thermal regime. We also included the two-way interaction between rSMR and rMMR to test whether growth depended on an individual's combination of rSMR and rMMR (i.e. its composite metabolic phenotype). To explore whether the effects of SMR and MMR on growth were reflected by AS, we built another model that examined solely AS effects on growth (given that AS is determined by both SMR and MMR and is correlated with both traits: rAS and rSMR: Pearson's r = 0.431, p = 0.014; rAS and rMMR: r = 0.995, p < 0.001). This model included rAS, temperature treatment and a two-way interaction between rAS and temperature treatment to test whether growth effects of rAS depended on the thermal regime. To test if metabolic rate effects were consistent across the experiment, we constructed two additional mixed-effects models

response	parameter	estimate	s.e.	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
log ₁₀ SMR	intercept	—1.045	0.300	-3.479	0.002
	log ₁₀ body mass	0.875	0.144	6.092	<0.001
	temperature: warm	-0.078	0.025	-3.090	0.004
	population: non-anadromous	-0.061	0.027	-2.246	0.033
log ₁₀ MMR	intercept	0.605	0.356	1.698	0.101
	log ₁₀ body mass	0.531	0.170	3.117	0.004
	temperature: warm	-0.061	0.030	-2.024	0.053
	population: non-anadromous	-0.104	0.032	-3.255	0.003

as described above, with additional interactions between metabolic rates and time.

Lastly, we explored whether thermal regime influenced the relationships between metabolic traits (Aim 3). We used standardized major axis regression for this analysis using the *smatr* package [57] because we had no *a priori* expectations as to which metabolic trait should drive the other (i.e. rather than predicting MMR from SMR or *vice versa*, we assumed the relationship could be symmetric, where either variable could be on either axis).

We used likelihood ratio tests (LRT) to assess statistical significance of predictor variables for all models ($\alpha = 0.05$). Nonsignificant interaction terms were excluded to interpret main effects. Marginal R² values for mixed-effects models were calculated using the *MuMIn* package [58]. Analysis was carried out in R v. 4.0.4 [59] and all models were checked against assumptions of the given model (independence, non-normality of residuals, heteroscedasticity, and multicollinearity).

3. Results

(a) Metabolic variation

SMR and MMR were positively related to body mass as expected (table 1). We detected a significant main effect of thermal regime on SMR (F = 9.55, d.f. = 1, p = 0.004), whereby fish in the warm treatment had lower SMR (figure 1*a*,*b*), with no interaction between body mass and temperature (F = 0.43, d.f. = 1, p = 0.517). We did not detect main effects of temperature treatment on MMR (F = 4.10, d.f. = 1, p = 0.053) nor an interaction between temperature and body mass on MMR (F = 0.06, d.f. = 1, p = 0.813). There was a significant effect of population background on both SMR (F = 5.05, d.f. = 1, p = 0.033) and MMR (F = 10.59, d.f. = 1, p = 0.003; figure 1*c*,*d*). Overall, fish from the anadromous-background population tended to have higher SMR and MMR (table 1).

(b) Metabolic traits and growth

The mixed-effects model describing specific growth rates of all fish (marginal $R^2 = 0.58$) indicated effects of thermal regime varied across the study period (LRT test for temperature treatment × time = 37.30, d.f. = 3, p < 0.001), with negative effects of initial size ($\chi^2 = 5.36$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.021) and no main effect of population ($\chi^2 = 0.44$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.506). The mixed-effects model that included the effects of rSMR and rMMR on growth rate (marginal $R^2 = 0.70$) retained significant two-way interactions between rSMR and temperature treatment,

between rMMR and temperature treatment and between temperature treatment and time (table 2). The effect of thermal regime on growth rates was variable through time (figure 2a). The negative rSMR × temperature treatment term indicated that in the cool treatment, higher rSMR was associated with higher growth rates, while in the warm treatment, higher rSMR was associated with lower growth rates (figure 2a,b). The positive rMMR × temperature treatment term indicated that rMMR was negatively related to growth in the cool treatments, but positively related to growth in the warm treatments (figure 2a, *c*). Initial body size had negative effects on growth ($\chi^2 = 10.61$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.001; electronic supplementary material, table S4), with no main effect of population ($\chi^2 = 0.92$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.336). Our additional analyses indicated that the association between both SMR and MMR and growth rate varied through time (electronic supplementary material, figure S4 and table S5) (rSMR \times time LRT = 24.12, d.f. = 3, *p* < 0.001; rMMR × time LRT = 6.93, d.f. = 3, p = 0.031). Accounting for these interactions did not change our conclusions regarding the effects of rSMR and rMMR on growth (electronic supplementary material, table S5).

The model describing the effects of rAS on specific growth rate (marginal R^2 =0.67) did not retain a significant rAS × temperature treatment interaction (table 2), and the main effects of rAS (χ^2 =1.25, d.f. = 1, *p* = 0.263), temperature treatment (χ^2 =0.37, d.f. = 1, *p* = 0.542), and population (χ^2 =1.25, d.f. = 1, *P* = 0.263) were all non-significant (figure 2*a*; electronic supplementary material, table S4).

(c) Relationships between metabolic traits

There was a weak, non-significant coupling of rMMR and rSMR in both thermal regimes (p = 0.068 and p = 0.100 in cool and warm, respectively), with no effect of thermal regime on the slope ($\chi^2 = 2.00$, d.f. = 1, p = 0.157) or intercept of the relationship ($\chi^2 = 0.834$, p = 0.361) (electronic supplementary material, figure S5).

4. Discussion

Growth is a key performance trait linking metabolic variation to fitness via life histories [60]. Context-specific relationships between metabolic traits and growth could therefore generate fluctuating selection, which in turn could contribute to the evolutionary maintenance of spatio-temporal variation in metabolic traits [13,15,16]. Here, we provide experimental



Figure 1. (*a*) Predicted response of standard metabolic rate (SMR, \log_{10} -transformed) and 95% CIs (shaded regions) to effects of \log_{10} body mass (g) and temperature treatment (warm or cool) and (*b*) effect size of explanatory variables on SMR. (*c*) Predicted response of maximum metabolic rate (MMR, \log_{10} -transformed) and 95% CIs to effects of \log_{10} body mass (g) and population background (anadromous or non-anadromous) and (*d*) effect size of explanatory variables on MMR. Effect sizes in (*b*) and (*d*) are shown as Cohen's *f*, together with distributions (shaded curves) and 95% CIs (black bars) obtained from non-parametric bootstrap resampling (10 000 resamples). (Online version in colour.)

evidence for relationships between two metabolic traits (SMR and MMR) and growth that depended on thermal regime. These context dependencies were in opposite directions, whereby SMR was positively linked to growth under a natural thermal regime and negatively linked under warmer temperatures that simulated climate change projections, while the opposite was true for MMR (negative effect on growth in cool, positive in warm). We also found that SMR, in turn, was lower in the warm thermal regime, consistent with an adaptive thermal acclimation response in basal metabolism that increases growth rate, and hence potentially total fitness, under persistently warmer conditions.

(a) Implications of metabolic rate variation for growth

The positive relationship we observed between SMR and growth at cool temperatures is in agreement with previous work documenting positive associations between fitness correlates and SMR [31-34] offering further evidence for the 'increased intake' hypothesis [2,26]-at least under cool conditions. However, the reversal of this relationship at higher temperatures supports a context dependency to the fitness consequences of a given SMR [2] and indicates that the 'compensation' hypothesis may apply in warmer environments. While less attention has been paid to links between MMR and fitness components [61], our results indicate that the fitness consequences of MMR are also context dependent, but in ways that differ from SMR. The negative MMR-growth association we observed at cool temperatures might arise from trade-offs between growth and maximum metabolic capacity, perhaps due to the expensive metabolic maintenance costs associated with digestive machinery that fuels growth [62]. At warm temperatures, such limitations might be overcome through positive effects of MMR on food consumption rates [63].

We note, however, that growth rate is just one component of fitness, and might not always map positively or linearly onto



Figure 2. (*a*) Coefficient estimates (\pm 95% CIs) from the mixed-effects models describing the effects of residual standard metabolic rate (rSMR), maximum metabolic rate (rMMR), aerobic scope (rAS), and temperature treatment (cool and warm) on specific growth rates of brown trout from two populations (anadromous and non-anadromous) across the study ('time' = months since initiating treatments). Interactive effects of thermal regime on metabolic rates are highlighted by stars. (*b*) Predicted growth rates in response to marginal effects of rSMR and (*c*) rMMR, at each thermal regime (shaded regions show the 95% CIs for the predictions). Growth rates were predicted at mean values for the remaining explanatory variables. (Online version in colour.)

Table 2. Results of the mixed-effects model analysis for specific growth rate trajectories (% day⁻¹) of brown trout as a function of SMR, MMR, and AS. The results of the model selection procedure on interaction terms are given (significance assessed at p < 0.05), and the selected model is highlighted in italics. The models included a random effect of individual identity and a first-order autoregressive correlation structure with respect to time was modelled ('time' = months since the beginning of treatment).

excluded term	model	d.f.	AIC	logLik	<i>L</i> -ratio	<i>p</i> -value
	$rSMR \times temperature + rMMR \times temperature + rSMR \times rMMR + population + temperature \times poly(time, 3) + length$	18	-492.71	264.36		
rSMR × rMMR	rSMR × temperature + rMMR × temperature + population + temperature × poly(time, 3) + length	17	-494.69	264.34	0.03	0.866
rSMR imes temperature	rMMR × temperature + rSMR × rMMR + population + temperature × poly(time, 3) + length	17	-485.69	259.85	9.02	0.003
rMMR $ imes$ temperature	rSMR × temperature + rSMR × rMMR + population + temperature × poly(time, 3) + length	17	-485.06	259.53	9.65	0.002
temperature × poly(time, 3)	m rSMR imes temperature + m rMMR imes temperature + m rSMR imes m rMMR + m population + m poly(time, 3) + m length	15	-488.13	259.06	10.59	0.014
	rAS × temperature + population + temperature × poly(time, 3) + length	15	-490.03	260.02		
rAS imes temperature	rAS + temperature + population + temperature × poly(time, 3) + length	14	-488.46	258.30	3.43	0.064
temperature × poly(time, 3)	rAS × temperature + population + poly(time, 3) + length	12	-485.87	254.94	10.16	0.017

fitness [64]. In our study, lower SMR under warm conditions was likely beneficial for growth because maintenance energy costs were reduced, analogous to reductions in SMR facilitating higher growth or energy storage when food is limited [19]. Additional growth benefits may be provided via positive MMR–growth relationships in warmer environments, potentially stemming from enhanced competitive ability and food intake [63,65].

Temporal variation in the effects of thermal regime on growth suggested that the influence of temperature is strongest when fish are close to, or exceeding, their thermal growth limits (approx. 18°C) [66]. During the summer months of our study, rearing temperatures in the warm treatment regularly exceeded the thermal growth optimum of approximately 13.9°C for brown trout [67,68] and at times exceeded thermal growth limits, thus constraining growth. The negative SMRgrowth association in the warm regime may have also served to limit growth during this period when fish in the warm treatment were pushed higher above their thermal optimum than those in the cool. Moreover, the benefits of a high metabolic rate for growth may have been limited in periods when growth potential is lower (e.g. in winter months when temperatures are sub-optimal and food availability reduced) but could have been most beneficial in the spring, when temperatures are closer to optimal ranges [67,68]. Consideration of life history might also be important here because metabolic rate tends to be positively related to migratory propensity/ timing in salmonids, with individuals showing compensatory growth in the period prior to smolting [69]. In general, metabolic phenotype, growth rates, and life-history traits likely coevolve in relation to abiotic and biotic drivers that vary across space or through time [60,70].

However, it is important to note that we measured metabolic traits at a single point in time, which may not fully reflect temporal trends or within-individual variation in metabolism that could alter metabolic–growth rate associations. While relative metabolic rates among individual salmonids tend to be stable through time [71], absolute metabolic rates can vary considerably depending on a suite of factors [9,61]. In the wild, the links between metabolic traits and growth (and their context dependence) may be further modulated by additional factors, e.g. food supply [72], which in turn show spatio-temporal variability [29,35]. A natural extension to our study would be to explore metabolism–growth relationships measured at multiple timepoints and under varying conditions to test whether such links are temporally stable.

The fitness consequences of metabolic traits may also depend on complex links between the larger metabolic phenotype, i.e. the coupling (or lack thereof) between SMR and MMR, and the environmental conditions encountered [73]. In our case, we found no strong evidence for SMR-MMR coupling, nor did we find interactive effects of SMR and MMR on growth. Associations among SMR, MMR, growth, and total fitness may be different in the wild, or indeed may vary across ecological contexts [27,61]. While SMR and MMR often influence fitness via effects on AS [9,73], that growth was independent of AS in our study underscores how the effect of the composite metabolic phenotype may be obscured by context dependencies in the underlying metabolic traits. The opposing nature of the context-dependent associations that we observed between SMR and MMR on growth appeared to negate overall effects of AS on growth, suggesting that variation in metabolic traits (i.e. SMR and MMR) may be favoured

under different environmental conditions independent of their effects on AS.

(b) Thermal regime effects on metabolic traits

The lower SMR in both populations in the warm treatments suggests that adjustment of this key physiological trait is a plastic, or acclimation, response to chronic warming. While acute warming is well known to cause an initial increase in ectotherm metabolic rates [14], exposure over longer timescales (i.e. those comparable to the more than one-year of warming in our study) tends to reduce the magnitude of the response because acclimation occurs [8]. The reduction in SMR we observed supports the potential for thermal compensation by way of the 'plastic floors' hypothesis, where lower SMR at warmer temperatures is beneficial because of reduced maintenance costs [15,22,74]. Any individual variation in thermal acclimation could translate into further growth variation via energy-saving mechanisms, with acclimation capacity generally linked to increased resilience to environmental change [8]. However, while temperatures are broadly projected to increase, more extreme and frequent warming events are also forecast [75]. The fitness consequences of a given flexible response will thus depend on both the pattern of fluctuations in temperatures and the speed at which individuals can alter their phenotype [74,76].

Intriguingly, while fish from both populations showed similar reductions in SMR in the warm regime, we detected little response in MMR. Relatively little is known about the response of MMR (and, consequently AS) to chronic temperature increases [7,21], but our results support mounting evidence indicating that many fish species show minimal warming-induced changes in MMR [77], which may potentially be explained by canalization or buffering of this key fitness-related trait. Additionally, since fish infrequently operate at MMR [78], the costs of maintaining a high MMR at warm temperatures are likely small relative to SMR, which is an unavoidable cost of living and thus potentially subject to stronger selection with warming. We note, however, that effects of long-term warming may vary considerably between, and potentially within, species [15,74]. Moreover, divergent acclimation responses of MMR compared to SMR, along with contrasting links between SMR, MMR, AS, and growth, suggest that different proximate and ultimate processes shape each metabolic component, resulting in the decoupling of metabolic traits [5,11].

(c) Implications and considerations

Aquatic species are in widespread decline due to progressive warming and global change [79]. A better understanding of context-dependent linkages among metabolism, growth, life history, and ultimately fitness should help to inform management and conservation. For example, intraspecific variation in metabolic and growth responses to temperature could contribute to portfolio effects that foster resilience of fish stocks to climate change [80]. Our findings hint at intriguing differences in the acclimation capacity of metabolic traits that might influence the capacity to respond to environmental change, warranting further study [12,61].

Here, we measured metabolic traits after a relatively long period of temperature acclimation and growth. Repeated metabolic measurements at finer temporal scales would illuminate how metabolic phenotypes can vary according to fluctuating extrinsic and intrinsic conditions [81], and allow exploration of variation in acclimation rate, a likely important trait for

ectotherms experiencing both chronic and variable temperature changes [74]. Extending this study to include more realistic/natural conditions (e.g. co-occurring abiotic or biotic stressors) and additional populations, coupled with quantification of individual reproductive success, would give further insight into how optimal combinations of metabolic traits and life history are shaped by environmental context.

Ethics. The study and all associated procedures were carried out with ethical approval from Health Products Regulatory Authority (HPRA) Ireland, under HPRA project license AE19130/P034, and individual licenses AE19130/1087, AE19130/I200, AE19130/I201, and AE19130/I202 with all fish humanely euthanized in April 2018. Data accessibility. The data supporting the results of this study and the R code to reproduce the analysis are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.jsxksn087 [82].

Authors' contributions. L.C.A.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, validation, visualization, writing-original draft, writing-review, and editing; S.A.H.: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, project administration, writing-review, and editing; L.H.: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, project administration, writing-review, and editing; R.P.: project administration, resources, writing-review,

and editing; P.G.: project administration, resources, writing-review, and editing; P.M.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, resources, supervision, writing-review, and editing; T.E.R.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, writing-review, and editing

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests

Funding. This research was supported by an ERC Starting Grant (grant no. 639192-ALH) and an SFI ERC Support Award awarded to T.E.R. P.M.c.G. was supported in part by grants from Science Foundation Ireland (grant nos. 15/IA/3028 and 16/BBSRC/3316) and by grant-in-aid (grant no. RESPI/FS/16/01) from the Marine Institute (Ireland) as part of the Marine Research Programme by the Irish Government.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Brian Clarke, Deirdre Cotter, members of the FishEyE team at UCC (particularly Robert Wynne, Ronan O'Sullivan, Peter Moran, and Adam Kane), and the staff of Inland Fisheries Ireland and the Marine Institute for obtaining brood stock and for assistance in fish rearing and husbandry. The authors are grateful to Prof. Gary Carvalho, Prof. Neil Metcalfe, and an anonymous reviewer who provided helpful comments that improved the manuscript.

References

- Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM, West GB. 2004 Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* 85, 1771–1789. (doi:10.1890/03-9000)
- Burton T, Killen SS, Armstrong JD, Metcalfe NB. 2011 What causes intraspecific variation in resting metabolic rate and what are its ecological consequences? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 3465–3473. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1778)
- Pettersen AK, Marshall DJ, White CR. 2018 Understanding variation in metabolic rate. J. Exp. Biol. 221, jeb166876. (doi:10.1242/jeb.166876)
- Chabot D, Steffensen JF, Farrell AP. 2016 The determination of standard metabolic rate in fishes. J. Fish Biol. 88, 81–121. (doi:10.1111/jfb.12845)
- Norin T, Metcalfe N. 2019 Ecological and evolutionary consequences of metabolic rate plasticity in response to environmental change. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180180. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0180)
- Fry FEJ, Hart JS. 1948 The relation of temperature to oxygen consumption in the goldfish. *Biol. Bull.* 94, 66–77. (doi:10.2307/1538211)
- Clark TD, Sandblom E, Jutfelt F. 2013 Aerobic scope measurements of fishes in an era of climate change: respirometry, relevance and recommendations. *J. Exp. Biol.* 216, 2771–2782. (doi:10.1242/jeb. 084251)
- Seebacher F, White CR, Franklin CE. 2015 Physiological plasticity increases resilience of ectothermic animals to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 61–66. (doi:10.1038/nclimate2457)
- Norin T, Clark TD. 2016 Measurement and relevance of maximum metabolic rate in fishes. *J. Fish Biol.* 88, 122–151. (doi:10.1111/jfb.12796)
- Auer SK, Killen SS, Rezende EL. 2017 Resting vs. active: a meta-analysis of the intra- and interspecific associations between minimum, sustained,

and maximum metabolic rates in vertebrates. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**, 1728–1738. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435. 12879)

- Wone BWM, Madsen P, Donovan ER, Labocha MK, Sears MW, Downs CJ, Sorensen DA, Hayes JP. 2015 A strong response to selection on mass-independent maximal metabolic rate without a correlated response in basal metabolic rate. *Heredity* **114**, 419–427. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2014.122)
- Norin T, Malte H, Clark TD. 2016 Differential plasticity of metabolic rate phenotypes in a tropical fish facing environmental change. *Funct. Ecol.* **30**, 369–378. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12503)
- Thomas DW, Blondel J, Perret P, Lambrechts MM, Speakman JR. 2001 Energetic and fitness costs of mismatching resource supply and demand in seasonally breeding birds. *Science* 291, 2598–2600. (doi:10.1126/science.1057487)
- Schulte PM. 2015 The effects of temperature on aerobic metabolism: towards a mechanistic understanding of the responses of ectotherms to a changing environment. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 1856–1866. (doi:10.1242/jeb.118851)
- Pilakouta N, Killen SS, Kristjánsson BK, Skúlason S, Lindström J, Metcalfe NB, Parsons KJ. 2020 Multigenerational exposure to elevated temperatures leads to a reduction in standard metabolic rate in the wild. *Funct. Ecol.* 34, 1205–1214. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13538)
- Auer SK *et al.* 2020 Metabolic rate interacts with resource availability to determine individual variation in microhabitat use in the wild. *Am. Nat.* **196**, 132–144. (doi:10.1086/709479)
- Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Nilsson GE. 2011 Acclimation to predicted ocean warming through developmental plasticity in a tropical reef

fish. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 1712–1719. (doi:10. 1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02339.x)

- O'Connor K, Taylor A, Metcalfe N. 2000 The stability of standard metabolic rate during a period of food deprivation in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *J. Fish Biol.* 57, 41–51. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000. tb00774.x)
- Auer SK, Salin K, Rudolf AM, Anderson GJ, Metcalfe NB. 2015 Flexibility in metabolic rate confers a growth advantage under changing food availability. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 84, 1405–1411. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12384)
- Finstad AG, Forseth T, Næsje TF, Ugedal O. 2004 The importance of ice cover for energy turnover in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **73**, 959–966. (doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004. 00871.x)
- Schulte PM, Healy TM, Fangue NA. 2011 Thermal performance curves, phenotypic plasticity, and the time scales of temperature exposure. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 51, 691–702. (doi:10.1093/icb/icr097)
- Sandblom E *et al.* 2016 Physiological constraints to climate warming in fish follow principles of plastic floors and concrete ceilings. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11447. (doi:10.1038/ncomms11447)
- Nespolo RF, Bacigalupe LD, Rezende EL, Bozinovic F. 2001 When nonshivering thermogenesis equals maximum metabolic rate: thermal acclimation and phenotypic plasticity of fossorial *Spalacopus cyanus* (Rodentia). *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **74**, 325–332. (doi:10.1086/320420)
- 24. van de Ven TMFN, Mzilikazi N, McKechnie AE. 2013 Phenotypic flexibility in body mass, basal metabolic rate and summit metabolism in southern red bishops (*Euplectes orix*): responses to short term thermal acclimation. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* **165**, 319–327. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.04.001)

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb Proc. R. Soc. B 288: 20211509

- Dubois K, Hallot F, Vézina F. 2016 Basal and maximal metabolic rates differ in their response to rapid temperature change among avian species. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 186, 919–935. (doi:10.1007/ s00360-016-1001-5)
- Biro PA, Stamps JA. 2010 Do consistent individual differences in metabolic rate promote consistent individual differences in behavior? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 653–659. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2010.08.003)
- Killen SS, Marras S, Metcalfe NB, McKenzie DJ, Domenici P. 2013 Environmental stressors alter relationships between physiology and behaviour. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 651–658. (doi:10.1016/j.tree. 2013.05.005)
- Norin T, Malte H. 2011 Repeatability of standard metabolic rate, active metabolic rate and aerobic scope in young brown trout during a period of moderate food availability. *J. Exp. Biol.* 214, 1668–1675. (doi:10.1242/jeb.054205)
- Robertsen G, Armstrong JD, Nislow KH, Herfindal I, McKelvey S, Einum S. 2014 Spatial variation in the relationship between performance and metabolic rate in wild juvenile Atlantic salmon. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 83, 791–799. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12182)
- Arnold PA, Delean S, Cassey P, White CR. 2021 Meta-analysis reveals that resting metabolic rate is not consistently related to fitness and performance in animals. *J. Comp. Physiol. B.* (doi:10.1007/ s00360-021-01358-w)
- Yamamoto T, Ueda H, Higashi S. 1998 Correlation among dominance status, metabolic rate and otolith size in masu salmon. J. Fish Biol. 52, 281–290. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1998.tb00799.x)
- McCarthy ID. 2000 Temporal repeatability of relative standard metabolic rate in juvenile Atlantic salmon and its relation to life history variation. *J. Fish Biol.* 57, 224–238. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000.tb00788.x)
- Sadowska J, Gębczyński Andrzej K, Konarzewski M.
 2013 Basal metabolic rate is positively correlated with parental investment in laboratory mice. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 280, 20122576. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2576)
- Jackson DM, Trayhurn P, Speakman JR. 2001 Associations between energetics and over-winter survival in the short-tailed field vole *Microtus agrestis. J. Anim. Ecol.* **70**, 633–640. (doi:10.1046/j. 1365-2656.2001.00518.x)
- Álvarez D, Nicieza AG. 2005 Is metabolic rate a reliable predictor of growth and survival of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in the wild? *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 62, 643–649. (doi:10.1139/f04-223)
- Blackmer AL, Mauck RA, Ackerman JT, Huntington CE, Nevitt GA, Williams JB. 2005 Exploring individual quality: basal metabolic rate and reproductive performance in storm-petrels. *Behav. Ecol.* 16, 906–913. (doi:10.1093/beheco/ari069)
- Larivée ML, Boutin S, Speakman JR, McAdam AG, Humphries MM. 2010 Associations between overwinter survival and resting metabolic rate in juvenile North American red squirrels. *Funct. Ecol.* 24, 597–607. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01680.x)
- 38. Robertsen G *et al.* 2019 Can variation in standard metabolic rate explain context-dependent

performance of farmed Atlantic salmon offspring? *Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 212–222. (doi:10.1002/ece3.4716)

- Reid D, Armstrong JD, Metcalfe NB. 2011 Estimated standard metabolic rate interacts with territory quality and density to determine the growth rates of juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Funct. Ecol.* 25, 1360–1367. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011. 01894.x)
- Reid D, Armstrong JD, Metcalfe NB. 2012 The performance advantage of a high resting metabolic rate in juvenile salmon is habitat dependent. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 81, 868–875. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2012.01969.x)
- Hoogenboom MO, Armstrong JD, Groothuis TGG, Metcalfe NB. 2013 The growth benefits of aggressive behavior vary with individual metabolism and resource predictability. *Behav. Ecol.* 24, 253–261. (doi:10.1093/beheco/ars161)
- Bochdansky AB, Grønkjær P, Herra TP, Leggett WC. 2005 Experimental evidence for selection against fish larvae with high metabolic rates in a food limited environment. *Mar. Biol.* **147**, 1413–1417. (doi:10.1007/s00227-005-0036-z)
- Hayes JP, O'Connor CS. 1999 Natural selection on thermogenic capacity of high-altitude deer mice. *Evolution* 53, 1280–1287.
- Boratyński Z, Koteja P. 2009 The association between body mass, metabolic rates and survival of bank voles. *Funct. Ecol.* 23, 330–339. (doi:10.1111/ j.1365-2435.2008.01505.x)
- Zub K, Borowski Z, Szafrańska PA, Wieczorek M, Konarzewski M. 2014 Lower body mass and higher metabolic rate enhance winter survival in root voles, *Microtus oeconomus. Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **113**, 297–309. (doi:10.1111/bij.12306)
- Gargan P, Kelly F, Shephard S, Whelan K. 2016 Temporal variation in sea trout *Salmo trutta* life history traits in the Erriff River, western Ireland. *Aquacult. Environ. Interactions* 8, 675–689. (doi:10. 3354/aei00211)
- Poole WR, Dillane M, DeEyto E, Rogan G, McGinnity P, Whelan K. 2007 Characteristics of the Burrishoole sea trout population: census, marine survival, enhancement and stock-recruitment relationship, 1971–2003. In *Sea trout: biology, conservation and management* (eds G Harris, N Milner), pp. 279–306. Oxford, UK: Blackwells Scientific Publications.
- Archer LC, Hutton SA, Harman L, O'Grady MN, Kerry JP, Poole WR, Gargan P, McGinnity P, Reed TE. 2019 The interplay between extrinsic and intrinsic factors in determining migration decisions in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*): an experimental study. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7, 222. (doi:10.3389/fevo.2019.00222)
- Archer LC *et al.* 2020 Food and temperature stressors have opposing effects in determining flexible migration decisions in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Glob. Change Biol.* 26, 2878–2896. (doi:10.1111/gcb.14990)
- 50. IPCC. 2014 Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K.

Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. In Geneva, Switzerland. p. 151.

- Jonsson B, Jonsson N. 2009 A review of the likely effects of climate change on anadromous Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* and brown trout *Salmo trutta*, with particular reference to water temperature and flow. *J. Fish Biol.* **75**, 2381–2447. (doi:10.1111/j. 1095-8649.2009.02380.x)
- Hopkins KD. 1992 Reporting fish growth: a review of the basics. *J. World Aquacult. Soc.* 23, 173–179. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-7345.1992.tb00766.x)
- Archer LC, Hutton SA, Harman L, Poole WR, Gargan P, McGinnity P, Reed TE. 2020 Metabolic traits in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) vary in response to food restriction and intrinsic factors. *Conserv. Physiol.* 8, coaa096. (doi:10.1093/conphys/coaa096)
- Svendsen MBS, Bushnell PG, Steffensen JF. 2016 Design and setup of intermittent-flow respirometry system for aquatic organisms. J. Fish Biol. 88, 26–50. (doi:10.1111/jfb.12797)
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, 2021 R Core Team. nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-152. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=nlme.
- Nicieza AG, Álvarez D. 2009 Statistical analysis of structural compensatory growth: how can we reduce the rate of false detection? *Oecologia* 159, 27–39. (doi:10.1007/s00442-008-1194-8)
- Warton DI, Duursma RA, Falster DS. 2012 Taskinen
 S. smatr 3– an R package for estimation and inference about allometric lines. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3, 257–259. (doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00153.x)
- Barton K. 2020 MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package version 1.43.17. https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=MuMIn.
- R Core Team. 2021 *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www. R-project.org/.
- Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC, Thorpe JE. 1995 Metabolic rate, social status and life-history strategies in Atlantic salmon. *Anim. Behav.* 49, 431–436. (doi:10.1006/anbe.1995.0056)
- Metcalfe NB, Van Leeuwen TE, Killen SS. 2016 Does individual variation in metabolic phenotype predict fish behaviour and performance? *J. Fish Biol.* 88, 298–321. (doi:10.1111/jfb.12699)
- Allen D, Rosenfeld J, Richards J. 2016 Physiological basis of metabolic trade-offs between growth and performance among different strains of rainbow trout. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **73**, 1493–1506. (doi:10.1139/cjfas-2015-0429)
- Auer SK, Salin K, Anderson GJ, Metcalfe NB. 2015 Aerobic scope explains individual variation in feeding capacity. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150793. (doi:10. 1098/rsbl.2015.0793)
- Arendt JD. 1997 Adaptive intrinsic growth rates: an integration across taxa. *Q Rev. Biol.* 72, 149–177. (doi:10.1086/419764)
- Auer SK *et al.* 2018 Nutrients from salmon parents alter selection pressures on their offspring. *Ecol. Lett.* 21, 287–295. (doi:10.1111/ele.12894)

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb Proc. R. Soc. B 288: 20211509

- Elliott JM, Elliott JA. 2010 Temperature requirements of Atlantic salmon Salmo salar, brown trout *Salmo trutta* and Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus*: Predicting the effects of climate change. J. Fish Biol. **77**, 1793–1817. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02762.x)
- Elliott JM, Hurley MA, Fryer RJ. 1995 A new, improved growth model for brown trout, *Salmo trutta. Funct. Ecol.* 9, 290–298. (doi:10.2307/ 2390576)
- Elliott JM, Hurley MA. 2000 Daily energy intake and growth of piscivorous brown trout, *Salmo trutta*. *Freshw. Biol.* 44, 237–245. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00560.x)
- Sigourney DB, Letcher BH, Obedzinski M, Cunjak RA.
 2013 Interactive effects of life history and season on size-dependent growth in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish* 22, 495–507. (doi:10.1111/eff. 12042)
- Auer SK, Dick CA, Metcalfe NB, Reznick DN. 2018 Metabolic rate evolves rapidly and in parallel with the pace of life history. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 14. (doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02514-z)
- Seppänen E, Piironen J, Huuskonen H. 2010 Consistency of standard metabolic rate in relation to life history strategy of juvenile Atlantic salmon Salmo salar. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A 156, 278–284. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.02.014)

- Zeng LQ, Fu C, Fu SJ. 2018 The effects of temperature and food availability on growth, flexibility in metabolic rates and their relationships in juvenile common carp. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A* 217, 26–34. (doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.12. 011)
- Auer SK, Salin K, Rudolf AM, Anderson GJ, Metcalfe NB. 2015 The optimal combination of standard metabolic rate and aerobic scope for somatic growth depends on food availability. *Funct. Ecol.* 29, 479–486. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12396)
- 74. Sandblom E, Gräns A, Axelsson M, Seth H. 2014 Temperature acclimation rate of aerobic scope and feeding metabolism in fishes: implications in a thermally extreme future. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20141490. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1490)
- Seneviratne SI, Donat MG, Mueller B, Alexander LV. 2014 No pause in the increase of hot temperature extremes. *Nat. Clim. Change* 4, 161–163. (doi:10. 1038/nclimate2145)
- Reed TE, Waples Robin S, Schindler Daniel E, Hard Jeffrey J, Kinnison MT. 2010 Phenotypic plasticity and population viability: the importance of environmental predictability. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 3391–3400. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0771)
- 77. Lefevre S. 2016 Are global warming and ocean acidification conspiring against marine ectotherms?

A meta-analysis of the respiratory effects of elevated temperature, high CO₂ and their interaction. *Conserv. Physiol.* **4**, cow009. (doi:10. 1093/conphys/cow009)

- Murchie KJ, Cooke SJ, Danylchuk AJ, Suski CD. 2011 Estimates of field activity and metabolic rates of bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) in coastal marine habitats using acoustic tri-axial accelerometer transmitters and intermittent-flow respirometry. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **396**, 147–155. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe. 2010.10.019)
- Limburg KE, Waldman JR. 2009 Dramatic declines in north Atlantic diadromous fishes. *BioScience* 59, 955–965. (doi:10.1525/bio.2009.59.11.7)
- Schindler DE, Armstrong JB, Reed TE. 2015 The portfolio concept in ecology and evolution. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 13, 257–263. (doi:10.1890/140275)
- Versteegh MA, Helm B, Gwinner E, Tieleman BI. 2012 Annual cycles of metabolic rate are genetically determined but can be shifted by phenotypic flexibility. *J. Exp. Biol.* **215**, 3459–3466. (doi:10. 1890/140275)
- Archer LC, Hutton SA, Harman L, Russell Poole W, Gargan P, McGinnity P, Reed TE. 2021 Data from: Associations between metabolic traits and growth rate in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) depend on thermal regime. Dryad Digital Repository. (doi:10. 5061/dryad.jsxksn087)