## **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

## Discordant Values in Lower Extremity Physiologic Studies Predict Increased Cardiovascular Risk

Christine Firth, MD; Andrew S. Tseng, MD; Mina Abdelmalek, MD; Marlene Girardo, MS; Danish Atwal, MD; Leslie Cooper, MD; Robert McBane, MD; Amy Pollak, MD; David Liedl, RN; Paul Wennberg, MD; Fadi Elias Shamoun, MD

**BACKGROUND:** Ankle-brachial indexes (ABI) are a noninvasive diagnostic tool for peripheral arterial disease and a marker of increased cardiovascular risk. ABI is calculated using the highest systolic blood pressure of the 4 ankle arteries (bilateral dorsalis pedis and posterior tibial). Accordingly, patients may be assigned a normal ABI when the result would be abnormal if calculated using one of the other blood pressure readings. Cardiovascular outcomes for patients with discordant ABIs are undescribed.

**METHODS AND RESULTS:** We performed a retrospective study of patients who underwent ABI measurement for any indication between January 1996 and June 2018. Those with normal ABIs (1.00–1.39) were included. We compared patients with all 4 normal ABIs (calculated using all 4 ankle arteries; n=15 577, median age 64.0 years, 54.4% men) to those with discordant ABIs (at least 1 abnormal ABI  $\leq$ 0.99; n=2095, median age 66.0 years, 47.8% men). The outcomes assessed were ischemic stroke, myocardial infarction, and all-cause mortality. Compared with patients with concordant normal ABIs, patients with discordant ABIs were older; women; smoked; and had chronic kidney disease, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, or prior stroke. Patients with discordant ABIs had a greater risk of myocardial infarction (hazard ratio [HR], 1.31; 95% CI, 1.10–1.56), ischemic stroke (HR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.37–1.72), and all-cause mortality (HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 1.16–1.39), including after adjustment for baseline comorbidities.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Discordant ABI results were associated with an increased risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, and all-cause mortality in the studied population. Clinicians should examine ABI calculations using all 4 ankle arteries to better characterize a patient's cardiovascular risk.

Key Words: ankle-brachial index = peripheral artery disease = cardiovascular disease risk factors

The ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a simple, noninvasive study to assess for peripheral arterial disease (PAD). The index is calculated by dividing the highest systolic blood pressure from the dorsalis pedis or posterior tibial artery by that of the brachial artery. ABIs define PAD with reasonable accuracy and have been shown to predict cardiovascular events and mortality.<sup>1–5</sup> Abnormal ABIs in asymptomatic patients have been associated with a higher incidence of death, myocardial infarction (MI), and stroke.<sup>6</sup> Patients may be classified as having a normal ABI when 1 ankle artery systolic blood pressure is normal while the other ipsilateral ankle artery pressure is reduced or abnormal—a condition hereafter referred to as discordant ABI. Little attention is given to discordant ABI calculations, again referring to those patients who had a normal ABI reported, but also had an abnormal ABI calculated using the lower of the 2 systolic blood pressures from the ankle arteries for that extremity. We question whether discordant ABIs are a marker of increased

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

Correspondence to: Fadi Elias Shamoun, MD, Mayo Clinic, 13400 E Shea Blvd, Scottsdale, AZ 85259. E-mail: shamoun.fadi@mayo.edu

Supplementary Materials for this article are available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.015398

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 6.

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

## **CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

#### What Is New?

- This study evaluates the cardiovascular and mortality risks associated with a discordant ankle-brachial index (ABI), referring to patients who had a normal ABI reported but had at least 1 abnormal ABI (<1.0) using the 4 ankle arteries.</li>
- We show that discordant ABI is associated with incident myocardial infarction, stroke, and all-cause mortality in the studied population, including in models adjusted for baseline cardiovascular risk factors.

### What Are the Clinical Implications?

- We suggest that clinicians review normal lower extremity physiologic studies for the presence of discordant ABI measurements to better characterize a patient's cardiovascular risk.
- Our results may encourage more aggressive screening and treatment of traditional modifiable cardiovascular risk factors in patients with discordant ABIs.

## Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

- ABI ankle-brachial index
- CAD coronary artery disease
- **CKD** chronic kidney disease
- HR hazard ratio
- MI myocardial infarction
- PAD peripheral arterial disease

cardiovascular and mortality risks and as such should be given consideration when making clinical decisions.

## **METHODS**

We conducted a retrospective review of patients who had an ABI performed at Mayo Clinic. We identified all patients who had ABIs performed for any indication between January 1996 and June 2018, excluding patients younger than 18 years of age and those who did not provide research authorization. The cohort was then limited to those with normal ABIs of 1.00 to 1.39. We manually reviewed the ABI reports to assess the 4 ABI calculations performed to derive the reported ABI (the highest of the 2 calculated ABIs for each leg is standardly reported). The 4 ABIs correspond to the 4 ankle arteries (bilateral tibial and dorsalis pedis), specifically the systolic blood pressure at each ankle artery over the corresponding brachial artery systolic blood pressure. Those with discordant ABIs, meaning at least 1 abnormal ABI calculated using the 4 ankle arteries (whereas the ABI reported for each leg was normal), were identified. Abnormal was defined as ABI ≤0.99. Specifically, those with 1 abnormal ABI (other ipsilateral ABI and 2 ABIs in the opposite leg were normal) or 1 abnormal ABI in both legs were classified as discordant (whereas patients with 2 normal ABIs for each leg were considered normal).

The research complies with the guidelines for human studies and was conducted ethically. The Mayo Clinic institutional review board approved this study. The review type was classified as minimal risk; therefore, the requirement for informed consent was waived. The data that support the findings of the study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Variables Assessed

Baseline characteristics for the cohort were assessed and included age, sex, smoking history (current or prior smoker), coronary artery disease (CAD), chronic kidney disease (CKD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and history of prior MI or ischemic stroke. The CKD variable was limited to CKD stage ≥3, including end-stage renal disease or dialysis. There were no missing data for the baseline variables assessed. Baseline (prior to ABI) use of the following medications was also assessed: aspirin, other antiplatelet agent (clopidogrel, ticagrelor, prasugrel), anticoagulant (warfarin or nonvitamin K anticoagulant), and statin. The outcomes assessed were ischemic stroke, MI, and all-cause mortality.

International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) and International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) diagnostic codes were used to define the baseline medical conditions and outcomes for extraction from inpatient and outpatient medical records (Table S1). Mortality data were obtained from the electronic medical records. Random samples of both stroke and MI outcomes were manually verified through a review of the electronic medical record (Data S1).

## **Statistical Analysis**

Mean and SD, median and range, or absolute number and percentage were used to describe the continuous and categorical patient characteristics. Wilcoxon rank-sum test and chi-square tests of significance were employed to compare those with normal and discordant ABIs. Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha$ =0.05/3) was used to adjust for multiple testing. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Hazard regression analysis was conducted to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs for the outcomes of interest, comparing the group with discordant ABIs versus those with normal ABIs. This analysis was also completed with 2 adjusted models. The first adjusted for age and sex, and the second adjusted for age, sex, diabetes mellitus, CKD stage 3 or greater including end-stage renal disease or dialysis, CAD, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and smoking history. Multivariate hazard regression analysis was performed to assess the baseline variables associated with each outcome for those with normal and those with discordant ABIs. Variables significant in the univariate regression were included in the multivariate analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

#### RESULTS

A total of 40 174 patients were identified who underwent ABI measurement, and 17 672 of these patients had an overall normal ABI reported and were included in the study. Of those, 2095 (11.9%) had discordant ABIs and were compared with those who did not have discordant ABIs (n=15 577). The median ABI value for those with discordant ABIs was 0.97 (range, 0–0.99). The median ABI for those with normal ABIs was 1.10 (range, 1.00–1.39). The median follow-up for the cohort was 36.3 months (interquartile range, 95.0 months).

#### **Baseline Characteristics**

The baseline characteristics for the groups of patients with normal and discordant ABIs are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in race or ethnicity between the groups. Most of the assessed baseline comorbidities were significantly more prevalent in the discordant ABI group. Patients with discordant ABIs were more likely to be older (median age 66.0 versus 64.0 years), women (52.2% versus 45.6%; P<0.0001), smokers (44.2% versus 40.2%; P<0.0001), and have a history of CKD (6.3% versus 5.0%; P=0.01), CAD (32.4% versus 28.2%; P<0.0001), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (3.9% versus 2.4%; P<0.0001), diabetes mellitus (24.2% versus 21.6%; P=0.007), hypertension (51.7% versus 49.3%; P=0.04), and prior ischemic stroke (4.2% versus 2.9%; P<0.0001). There were no significant differences in the prevalence of atrial fibrillation, hyperlipidemia, prior MI, or prior stroke between the groups. There were no significant differences in the baseline drugs assessed (aspirin or antiplatelet, anticoagulant, or statin therapies).

#### Outcomes

There was a total of 1007 ischemic strokes (5.7%), 1068 Mls (6.0%), and 4033 deaths (23.5%, n=489 missing

	Normal ABI Discordant		
	(N=15 577)	ABI (N=2095)	P Value
Median age, y (range in y)	64.0 (18.0–102.0)	66.0 (18.0–96.0)	<0.0001
Male sex, n (%)	8472 (54.4)	1001 (47.8)	<0.0001
Smoking history, n (%)	6173 (39.6)	927 (44.2)	<0.0001
CAD, n (%)	4388 (28.2)	679 (32.4)	<0.0001
CKD, n (%)	777 (5.0)	131 (6.3)	0.01
COPD, n (%)	370 (2.4)	81 (3.9)	<0.0001
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	3369 (21.6)	508 (24.2)	0.007
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	1622 (10.4)	243 (11.6)	0.10
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	6447 (41.4)	870 (41.5)	0.90
Hypertension, n (%)	7676 (49.3)	1083 (51.7)	0.04
MI, n (%)	603 (3.9)	99 (4.7)	0.06
Stroke, n (%)	450 (2.9)	87 (4.2)	<0.0001
Aspirin, n (%)	3848 (24.7)	532 (25.4)	0.49
Antiplatelet, n (%)	701 (4.5)	101 (4.8)	0.51
Anticoagulant, n (%)	1256 (8.1)	180 (8.6)	0.41
Statin, n (%)	3382 (21.7)	449 (21.4)	0.77

 Table 1.
 Baseline Characteristics of Patients With Normal and Discordant ABIs

*P* values were calculated by 2-sample *t* tests and chi-square tests for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. ABI indicates anklebrachial index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; and MI, myocardial infarction.

data) in the cohort after the index date (ABI measurement). The combined outcome count in the cohort was 6167 (34.9%). Compared with those with normal ABIs, the discordant ABI group had a high percentage of ischemic stroke (6.6% [n=139] versus 5.6% [n=868]), MI (7.1% [n=149] versus 5.9% [n=919]), and death (26.4% [n=544] versus 23.1% [n=3489]).

Compared with those with normal ABIs, those with discordant ABIs had a significantly increased risk of MI (HR, 1.31; 95% Cl, 1.10–1.56), stroke (HR, 1.26; 95% Cl, 1.05–1.51), and all-cause mortality (HR, 1.27; 95% Cl, 1.16–1.39) as shown in Table 2. The analysis remained significant with similar HRs when adjusting for baseline comorbidities (Table 2).

# Predictors of MI, Ischemic Stroke, and All-Cause Mortality

Multivariate modeling for both normal and discordant ABI groups evaluating baseline risk factors for the outcomes of MI, ischemic stroke, and all-cause mortality is shown in Tables 3 through 5, respectively. Univariate modeling for the 3 outcomes is shown in Tables S2 through S4. The most predictive risk factors for the 3 outcomes were similar among the discordant and ABI

	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)*	Adjusted HR (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>
Ischemic stroke	1.26 (1.05–1.51)	1.23 (1.03–1.47)	1.20 (1.00–1.44)
Myocardial infarction	1.31 (1.10–1.56)	1.29 (1.09–1.54)	1.21 (1.01–1.44)
All-cause mortality	1.27 (1.16–1.39)	1.19 (1.08–1.30)	1.15 (1.05–1.26)

HR indicates hazard ratio. \*Adjusted for age and sex.

<sup>†</sup>Adjusted for age, sex, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease stage 3 or greater, coronary artery disease, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and smoking history.

cohorts, although the HR magnitude varied as did the full array of predictive variables.

#### DISCUSSION

#### **Study Outcomes**

Our study in a large cohort found that those with discordant ABIs compared with normal ABIs had a significantly higher risk of MI, ischemic stroke, and all-cause mortality. Discordant ABI measurements independently predicted these outcomes when adjusted for baseline comorbidities. A substantial percentage (12%) of patients reported as having normal ABIs had discordant indexes. PAD has been strongly associated with cardiovascular outcomes. Patients with PAD, including PAD defined by abnormal ABI, have increased risk of MI, stroke, cardiovascular mortality, and all-cause mortality compared with those without PAD.<sup>7-9</sup> Our results suggest that a similar relationship exists for those with discordant ABIs.

There was a fairly high percentage of patients who experienced the outcomes of interest in the cohort, likely reflecting the high baseline comorbidity of patients who are referred for ABI testing. However,

Table 3.Multivariate Regression Analysis for MyocardialInfarction Comparing Patients With Discordant and NormalABIs

Variable	Normal ABI, HR (95% CI)	Discordant ABI, HR (95% CI)
Age	1.01 (1.01–1.02)*	1.02 (1.01–1.04)*
Male	1.07 (0.93–1.24)*	1.02 (0.72–1.46)*
Diabetes mellitus	1.23 (1.06–1.41)*	1.47 (1.04–2.09)*
CKD	1.74 (1.39–2.17)*	1.64 (0.96–2.80)
CAD	2.02 (1.72–2.36)*	1.69 (1.17–2.45)*
Hypertension	1.29 (1.09–1.52)*	0.90 (0.60–1.35)
Hyperlipidemia	0.76 (0.65–0.90)*	0.93 (0.62–1.37)
Smoker	1.16 (1.02–1.33)*	0.89 (0.63–1.26)
COPD	1.08 (0.75–1.55)	0.63 (0.23–1.78)
Atrial fibrillation	1.26 (1.05–1.51)*	1.26 (0.81–1.95)

Variables significant in the univariate regression were included in the multivariate analysis. ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; and HR, hazard ratio.

\*Significant P value (<0.05), calculated by type 3 Wald.

mortality and cardiovascular event rates were less than that seen in patients with PAD, especially those with prior CAD or stroke.<sup>4,10</sup>

## Baseline Status of Patients With Discordant and Normal ABIs

We noted that baseline comorbidities were higher in the group of patients with discordant ABIs. Many of these risk factors are associated with PAD. Age, diabetes mellitus, and smoking have most commonly been associated with PAD of the identified risk factors.<sup>11,12</sup> Additional traditional risk factors include hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome.<sup>13</sup> Renal disease has also been associated with incident PAD.<sup>13,14</sup> CAD is a risk factor for PAD given both conditions are manifestations of atherosclerosis, although PAD is often unrecognized in those with CAD.<sup>15–17</sup>

Our study interestingly found that women had a higher prevalence of discordant ABIs than men, which may support that women are more likely to have underrecognized cardiovascular risk. The women in the study were younger than the men in both the normal and discordant ABI groups (mean age in the discordant group,

Table 4.	Multivariate Regression Analysis for Ischemic
Stroke C	omparing Patients With Discordant and Normal
ABIs	

Variable	Normal ABI, HR (95% CI)	Discordant ABI, HR (95% CI)
Age	1.02 (1.01–1.02)*	1.02 (1.00–1.03)*
Male	1.10 (0.95–1.27)	
Diabetes mellitus	1.27 (1.09–1.48)*	1.03 (0.70–1.50)
CKD	1.05 (0.79–1.40)	
CAD	1.28 (1.10–1.50)*	0.94 (0.65–1.35)
Hypertension	1.30 (1.11–1.53)*	1.58 (1.02–2.43)*
Hyperlipidemia	1.13 (0.97–1.31)	1.83 (1.22–2.73)*
Smoker		
COPD	1.43 (0.98–2.07)	1.38 (0.63–3.01)
Atrial fibrillation	1.43 (1.19–1.73)*	2.04 (1.33–3.12)*

Variables significant in the univariate regression were included in the multivariate analysis. Empty cells indicate that the variable was not significant in the univariate analysis. ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; and HR, hazard ratio.

\*Significant P value (<0.05), calculated by type 3 Wald.

# Table 5.Multivariate Regression Analysis for All-CauseMortality Comparing Patients With Discordant and NormalABIs

Variable	Normal ABI, HR (95% CI)	Discordant ABI, HR (95% CI)
Age	1.06 (1.05–1.06)*	1.04 (1.04–1.05)*
Male	1.31 (1.22–1.41)*	1.24 (1.04–1.49)*
Diabetes mellitus	1.43 (1.32–1.55)*	1.52 (1.26–1.83)*
CKD	2.23 (1.97–2.52)*	2.34 (1.77–3.09)*
CAD	1.36 (1.26–1.47)*	1.39 (1.15–1.68)*
Hypertension	0.99 (0.91–1.06)	0.99 (0.81–1.21)
Smoker	1.12 (1.05–1.20)*	
COPD	1.58 (1.31–1.89)*	1.36 (0.87–2.13)
Atrial fibrillation	1.49 (1.36–1.62)*	1.55 (1.25–1.94)*

Variables significant in the univariate regression were included in the multivariate analysis. Hyperlipidemia did not remain significant and was not included in the model for either ABI group. Empty cells indicate that the variable was not significant in the univariate analysis. ABI indicates anklebrachial index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; and HR, hazard ratio.

\*Significant P value (<0.05), calculated by type 3 Wald.

60.32±17.56 versus 64.16±13.68). Research has shown a similar prevalence of PAD between men and women overall, with a higher prevalence in men at younger ages.<sup>18,19</sup> Women appear to present later, have higher rates of asymptomatic and unrecognized disease, and continue to be underrepresented in PAD studies.<sup>16,19</sup>

There was no significant difference in the prevalence of atrial fibrillation between the groups. Studies offer conflicting results regarding the association between atrial fibrillation and PAD.<sup>20,21</sup> The lack of difference between groups in the baseline use of aspirin and antiplatelet agent, anticoagulation, and statin therapies likely reflects the similar prevalence of hyperlipidemia, atrial fibrillation and prior major bleeding (8.4% in those with normal ABI versus 7.9% in those with discordant ABI, P=0.46). However, both groups may have been undertreated given the high prevalence of baseline cardiovascular disease or risk, which may be especially relevant in those with discordant ABIs given our study findings.

#### **Predictors of Study Outcomes**

Traditional cardiovascular risk factors were associated with MI, ischemic stroke, and all-cause mortality in both the normal and discordant ABI groups. However, there were several differences in predictive variables between groups, which may point to the importance of particular comorbidities in predicting adverse cardiovascular events in those with discordant ABIs. For instance, diabetes mellitus may be an especially impactful risk factor for MI in patients with discordant ABIs compared with those with normal ABIs. PAD patients with diabetes mellitus are at higher risk for rapid progression of their PAD and development of cardiovascular outcomes than PAD patients without diabetes mellitus.<sup>22</sup>

#### **Study Implications**

We found that patients with discordant ABIs at our institutions had a higher risk of MI, ischemic stroke, and death, even after adjusting for baseline comorbidities. Our results may encourage more aggressive traditional risk factor modifications in patients with discordant ABIs. Tailored to individual patients, clinicians could consider more intensive lifestyle modifications, therapies to promote smoking cessation, more potent lipid-lowering therapy, tighter hypertension and glycemic controls, and aspirin use for the primary prevention of cardiovascular events if the benefits were felt to outweigh the harms given that this population represents a higher risk group. We do not suggest regarding a discordant ABI as a diagnosis of PAD (to warrant treatment as such) or as a finding to provoke invasive testing. A discordant ABI measurement may be a precursor to PAD. Future research could assess for incident PAD in this population as well as claudication and limb ischemia. Future research may also evaluate noncompressible ABIs (>1.4) and abnormal ABIs (≤0.9) as comparators. Another comparator of interest is discordant ABIs with a greater degree of abnormality (ie,  $\leq 0.9$ ); we hypothesize that more abnormal discordant ABIs would convey greater risk for the outcomes studied.

### LIMITATIONS

Limitations of this study include that it is retrospective with variable follow-up. All patients who underwent ABI testing were included. Whether testing was done for screening purposes was not noted. Testing was limited to resting ABI. Exercise ABI and toe-brachial index were not assessed, perhaps leading to a misclassification of disease in some patients. We did not assess functional capacity or its decline in the cohort. ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnosis codes were used to define baseline variables and outcomes, although manual validation indicated comparable accuracy with that of previous studies. Outcomes that occurred outside of the Mayo Clinic healthcare system would not have been included. The cohort was predominantly white, which may reduce the generalizability of our results. Despite these limitations, the study is unique, examining a previously unstudied variable that appears to represent a significant and independent marker of cardiovascular risk.

## CONCLUSIONS

PAD remains a common but underdiagnosed and undertreated disease, including in patients with known ischemic heart disease.<sup>13,16</sup> PAD confers a high risk of cardiovascular events and mortality, with similar risks for both symptomatic and asymptomatic diseases.<sup>23</sup> To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine cardiovascular risk associated with discordant ABIs in a large cohort referring to studies that reported an overall normal ABI but had at least 1 abnormal reading based on an assessment of the 4 ankle arteries. Discordant ABIs appear to better characterize a patient's risk for MI, ischemic stroke, and all-cause mortality in our population. The prevalence of discordant ABIs in the cohort was sizable, indicating a potentially large, unrecognized fraction of the community that may benefit from more intensive cardiovascular risk factor modification. Accordingly, discordant values should be reported for all ABI studies. Given our study findings, clinicians should be cognizant of discordant ABI values and their potential clinical implications.

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Received December 17, 2019; accepted April 10, 2020.

#### Affiliations

From the Departments of Cardiovascular Medicine (C.F., M.A., D.A., F.E.S.) and Health Science Research (M.G.), Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ; Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (A.S.T., R.M., D.L., P.W.); Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL (L.C., A.P.).

#### Sources of Funding

None.

#### Disclosures

None.

#### Supplementary Materials

Data S1 Tables S1–S4 Reference 24

#### REFERENCES

- Clairotte C, Retout S, Potier L, Roussel R, Escoubet B. Automated ankle-brachial pressure index measurement by clinical staff for peripheral arterial disease diagnosis in nondiabetic and diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care.* 2009;32:1231–1236.
- Lijmer JG, Hunink MG, van den Dungen JJ, Loonstra J, Smit AJ. Roc analysis of noninvasive tests for peripheral arterial disease. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. 1996;22:391–398.
- Parameswaran GI, Brand K, Dolan J. Pulse oximetry as a potential screening tool for lower extremity arterial disease in asymptomatic patients with diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165:442–446.
- O'Hare AM, Katz R, Shlipak MG, Cushman M, Newman AB. Mortality and cardiovascular risk across the ankle-arm index spectrum: results from the cardiovascular health study. *Circulation*. 2006;113:388–393.
- Guo X, Li J, Pang W, Zhao M, Luo Y, Sun Y, Hu D. Sensitivity and specificity of ankle-brachial index for detecting angiographic stenosis of peripheral arteries. *Circ J.* 2008;72:605–610.
- Lee JY, Lee SW, Lee WS, Han S, Park YK, Kwon CH, Jang JY, Cho YR, Park GM, Ahn JM, et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of newly revealed, asymptomatic abnormal ankle-brachial index in patients

with significant coronary artery disease. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2013;6:1303–1313.

- Ankle Brachial Index Collaboration, Fowkes FG, Murray GD, Butcher I, Heald CL, Lee RJ, Chambless LE, Folsom AR, Hirsch AT, Dramaix M, et al. Ankle brachial index combined with framingham risk score to predict cardiovascular events and mortality: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008;300:197–208.
- Resnick HE, Lindsay RS, McDermott MM, Devereux RB, Jones KL, Fabsitz RR, Howard BV. Relationship of high and low ankle brachial index to all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality: the strong heart study. *Circulation*. 2004;109:733–739.
- Criqui MH, Langer RD, Fronek A, Feigelson HS, Klauber MR, McCann TJ, Browner D. Mortality over a period of 10 years in patients with peripheral arterial disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1992;326:381–386.
- Sigvant B, Hasvold P, Kragsterman B, Falkenberg M, Johansson S, Thuresson M, Nordanstig J. Cardiovascular outcomes in patients with peripheral arterial disease as an initial or subsequent manifestation of atherosclerotic disease: results from a swedish nationwide study. J Vasc Surg. 2017;66:507–514.e501.
- Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, Nehler MR, Harris KA, Fowkes FG; TASC II Working Group. Inter-society consensus for the management of peripheral arterial disease (TASC II). *J Vasc Surg.* 2007;45(Suppl S):S5–S67.
- Criqui MH, Aboyans V. Epidemiology of peripheral artery disease. *Circ* Res. 2015;116:1509–1526.
- Olin JW, Sealove BA. Peripheral artery disease: current insight into the disease and its diagnosis and management. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2010;85:678–692.
- Matsushita K, Ballew SH, Coresh J, Arima H, Arnlov J, Cirillo M, Ebert N, Hiramoto JS, Kimm H, Shlipak MG, et al. Measures of chronic kidney disease and risk of incident peripheral artery disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5:718–728.
- Saleh A, Makhamreh H, Qoussoos T, Alawwa I, Alsmady M, Salah ZA, Shakhatreh A, Alhazaymeh L, Jabber M. Prevalence of previously unrecognized peripheral arterial disease in patients undergoing coronary angiography. *Medicine*. 2018;97:e11519.
- Moussa ID, Jaff MR, Mehran R, Gray W, Dangas G, Lazic Z, Moses JW. Prevalence and prediction of previously unrecognized peripheral arterial disease in patients with coronary artery disease: the peripheral arterial disease in interventional patients study. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2009;73:719–724.
- Wang Z, Wang X, Hao G, Chen Z, Zhang L, Shao L, Tian Y, Dong Y, Zheng C, Kang Y, et al. A national study of the prevalence and risk factors associated with peripheral arterial disease from China: the China Hypertension Survey, 2012–2015. *Int J Cardiol.* 2019;275:165–170.
- Hiatt WR. Medical treatment of peripheral arterial disease and claudication. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:1608–1621.
- Jelani QU, Petrov M, Martinez SC, Holmvang L, Al-Shaibi K, Alasnag M. Peripheral arterial disease in women: an overview of risk factor profile, clinical features, and outcomes. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 2018;20:40.
- Lin YS, Tung TH, Wang J, Chen YF, Chen TH, Lin MS, Chi CC, Chen MC. Peripheral arterial disease and atrial fibrillation and risk of stroke, heart failure hospitalization and cardiovascular death: a nationwide cohort study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;203:204–211.
- Chang CJ, Chen YT, Liu CS, Lin WY, Lin CL, Lin MC, Kao CH. Atrial fibrillation increases the risk of peripheral arterial disease with relative complications and mortality: a population-based cohort study. *Medicine*. 2016;95:e3002.
- 22. Shu J, Santulli G. Update on peripheral artery disease: epidemiology and evidence-based facts. *Atherosclerosis*. 2018;275:379–381.
- Diehm C, Allenberg JR, Pittrow D, Mahn M, Tepohl G, Haberl RL, Darius H, Burghaus I, Trampisch HJ, German Epidemiological Trial on Ankle Brachial Index Study Group. Mortality and vascular morbidity in older adults with asymptomatic versus symptomatic peripheral artery disease. *Circulation*. 2009;120:2053–2061.
- Burns EM, Rigby E, Mamidanna R, Bottle A, Aylin P, Ziprin P, Faiz OD. Systematic review of discharge coding accuracy. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2012;34:138–148.

# SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

#### Data S1.

#### **Supplemental Methods**

#### Manual verification of outcomes

We randomly selected a sample of patients from the cohort that had incident MI or ischemic stroke during the study period as defined by ICD codes to assess the accuracy of ICD coding for these outcomes. 25 patients for each outcome were evaluated. For each of the 50 selected patients, manual review of the chart was performed by two independent reviewers. Hospital and clinic notes, as well as relevant diagnostic studies (i.e. brain imaging or angiogram for stroke, heart catheterization or echocardiogram or EKG for MI) were reviewed within a week of the diagnosis date of the outcome by ICD coding. The outcome was noted to be inaccurate (by ICD coding) if either there was no mention of the outcome in the notes or testing or it was noted to be a historical diagnosis. ICD coding for MI was found to be 96% accurate (24/25). Coding for ischemic stroke was found to be 88% accurate (22/25). The accuracy noted was superior to the median diagnostic accuracy of diagnostic coding (including ICD-9 and ICD-10) noted in a systematic review (83.2%, IQR: 67.3-92.1%).<sup>24</sup>

Variable	ICD-9	ICD-10
Diabetes	250	E11.00
	250.0	E11.01
	250.00	E11.21
	250.01	E11.22
	250.02	E11.29
	250.03	E11.311
	250.1	E11.319
	250.10	E11.321
	250.11	E11.321
	250.12	E11.3211
	250.13	E11.3212
	250.2	E11.3213
	250.20	E11.3219
	250.21	E11.329
	250.22	E11.329
	250.23	E11.3291
	250.3	E11.3292
	250.30	E11.3293
	250.31	E11.3299
	250.32	E11.331
	250.33	E11.331
	250.4	E11.3311
	250.40	E11.3312
	250.41	E11.3313
	250.42	E11.3319
	250.43	E11.339
	250.5	E11.339
	250.50	E11.3391
	250.51	E11.3392
	250.52	E11.3393
	250.53	E11.3399
	250.6	E11.341
	250.60	E11.341
	250.61	E11.3411
	250.62	E11.3412
	250.63	E11.3413
	250.7	E11.3419
	250.70	E11.349
	250.71	E11.349
	250.72	E11.3491
	250.73	E11.3492
	250.8	E11.3493

Table S1. ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes used to define baseline characteristics and outcomes.

250.80	E11.3499
250.81	E11.351
250.82	E11.351
250.83	E11.3511
250.9	E11.3512
250.90	E11.3513
250.91	E11.3519
250.92	E11.352
250.92	E11.352
250.95	E11.3521 E11.3522
	E11.3522 E11.3523
	E11.3529
	E11.3529 E11.353
	E11.353
	E11.3531 E11.3532
	E11.3532 E11.3533
	E11.3535 E11.3539
	E11.3539 E11.354
	E11.3541
	E11.3542
	E11.3542 E11.3543
	E11.3549
	E11.355
	E11.355
	E11.3552
	E11.3552 E11.3553
	E11.3559
	E11.359
	E11.359
	E11.3591
	E11.3592
	E11.3593
	E11.3599
	E11.36
	E11.30
	E11.37X1
	E11.37X1 E11.37X2
	E11.37X2 E11.37X3
	E11.37X9
	E11.37X9
	E11.39 E11.40
	E11.40
	E11.42
	E11.43
	E11.45 E11.44
	E11.49
	E11.49 E11.51
	E11.51 E11.52
	E11.52 E11.59
	E11.JY

E11.610
E11.618
E11.620
E11.621
E11.622
E11.628
E11.630
E11.638
E11.641
E11.649
E11.65
E11.69
E11.8
E11.9
E10.10
E10.10
E10.11 E10.21
E10.21 E10.22
E10.22 E10.29
E10.29 E10.311
E10.319
E10.321
E10.321
E10.3211
E10.3212
E10.3213
E10.3219
E10.329
E10.329
E10.3291
E10.3292
E10.3293
E10.3299
E10.331
E10.331
E10.3311
E10.3312
E10.3313
E10.3319
E10.339
E10.339
E10.3391
E10.3392
E10.3393
E10.3399
E10.3377
E10.341 E10.341
E10.341 E10.3411
E10.3412

E10.3413
E10.3419
E10.349
E10.349
E10.3491
E10.3492
E10.3493
E10.3499
E10.351
E10.351
E10.351
E10.3511 E10.3512
E10.3513
E10.3519
E10.352
E10.3521
E10.3522
E10.3523
E10.3529
E10.353
E10.3531
E10.3532
E10.3533
E10.3539
E10.354
E10.3541
E10.3542
E10.3543
E10.3549
E10.355
E10.3551
E10.3552
E10.3552
E10.3559
E10.3539
E10.359
E10.3591
E10.3592
E10.3593
E10.3599
E10.36
E10.37
E10.37X1
E10.37X2
E10.37X3
E10.37X9
E10.39
E10.40
E10.41

		E10.42
		E10.43
		E10.44
		E10.49
		E10.51
		E10.52
		E10.59
		E10.610
		E10.618
		E10.620
		E10.621
		E10.622
		E10.628
		E10.630
		E10.638
		E10.641
		E10.649
		E10.65
		E10.69
		E10.8
		E10.9
CKD	403.01	I12.0
	403.11	I13.11
	403.91	I13.2
	404	N18.3
	404.13	N18.4
	585	N18.5
	585.3	N18.6
	585.4	N18.9
	585.5	T85.691A
	585.6	T85.691D
	996.56	T85.691S
	996.68	T85.71XA
	V45.1	T85.71XD
	V45.11	T85.71XS
	V45.12	Z49.01
	V56	Z49.02
	V56.0	Z49.31
	V56.1	Z49.32
	V56.2	Z91.15
	V56.3	Z99.2
	V56.31	
	V56.32	
	V56.8	
CAD	414	I25.10
	414	I25.110
	414	I25.111
1	• • •	

Γ		705 110
	414.01	I25.118
	414.02	I25.119
	414.03	I25.2
	414.04	I25.3
	414.05	I25.41
	414.06	I25.42
	414.07	125.5
	414.1	I25.6
	414.1	125.700
	414.11	I25.701
	414.12	I25.708
	414.19	I25.709
	414.2	I25.710
	414.3	I25.711
	414.4	I25.718
	414.8	I25.719
	414.9	I25.720
		I25.721
		I25.728
		I25.729
		I25.730
		I25.731
		I25.738
		I25.739
		125.750
		I25.751
		I25.758
		125.759
		125.760
		125.761
		125.768
		125.769
		I25.790
		I25.791
		125.791
		I25.799
		I25.810
		I25.811
		125.812
		I25.82

		I25.83
		I25.84
		125.89
		125.9
Hypertension	401	H35.031
	401.0	H35.032
	401.1	H35.033
	401.9	H35.039
	402	I10
	402.0	I11.0
	402.00	I11.9
	402.01	I12.0
	402.1	I12.9
	402.10	I13.0
	402.11	113.10
	402.9	I13.11
	402.90	I13.2
	402.91	115.0
	403	I15.1
	403.0	I15.2
	403.00	I15.8
	403.01	I15.9
	403.1	I16
	403.10	I16.0
	403.11	I16.1
	403.9	I16.9
	403.90	I67.4
	403.91	
	404	
	404.0	
	404.00	
	404.01	
	404.02	
	404.03	
	404.1	
	404.10	
	404.11	
	404.12	
	404.13	
	404.9	
	404.90	
	404.91	
	404.92	
	404.93	
	405	

	405.0	
	405.01	
	405.09	
	405.1	
	405.11	
	405.19	
	405.9	
	405.91	
	405.99	
	437.2	
	796.2	
Hyperlipidemia	272	E78.0
	272.0	E78.0
	272.1	E78.00
	272.2	E78.01
	272.3	E78.1
	272.4	E78.2
	2,2.1	E78.3
		E78.4
		E78.5
COPD	491	J44.0
COLD		
	491.0	J44.1
	491.1	J44.9
	491.2	J43.2
	491.20	
	491.21	
	491.22	
	491.8	
	491.9	
	492	
Atrial fibrillation	427.3	I48.0
	427.31	I48.1
	427.32	I48.2
		I48.3
		I48.4
		I48.91
		I48.92
Major bleeding	456.0	I60.9
	456.20	I61.9
	578.0	I62.00
	578.1	I62.01
	578.9	I62.02
	530.21	I62.03
	531.0	I62.1
	531.00	I62.9
	531.01	K92.0
	531.2	K92.1
L		~ =·-

531.20	K92.2
531.21	K29.01
531.4	K29.21
531.40	K29.31
531.41	K29.41
531.6	K29.51
531.60	K29.61
531.61	K29.71
532.0	K29.81
532.00	K29.91
532.01	K28.0
532.2	K28.2
532.20	K28.4
532.21	K28.6
532.4	K27.0
532.40	K27.2
532.41	K27.4
532.6	K27.6
532.60	K26.0
532.61	K26.2
533.0	K26.4
533.00	K26.6
533.01	K25.0
533.2	K25.2
533.20	K25.4
533.21	K25.6
533.4	K22.11
533.40	K22.6
533.41	I85.01
533.6	I85.11
533.60	K31.811
533.61	K31.82
534.0	K57.11
534.00	K57.13
534.01	K57.31
534.2	K57.33
534.20	K66.1
534.21	K62.5
534.4	K55.21
534.40	M25.00
534.40	M25.011
534.6	M25.012
534.60	M25.012 M25.019
534.60 534.61	M25.021
535.01 525.11	M25.022
535.11	M25.029
535.21	M25.031
535.31	M25.032
535.41	M25.039

T		
	535.51	M25.041
	535.61	M25.042
	535.71	M25.049
	562.02	M25.051
	562.03	M25.052
	562.12	M25.059
	562.13	M25.061
	530.7	M25.062
	530.82	M25.069
	537.83	M25.071
	537.84	M25.072
	569.3	M25.073
	569.85	M25.074
	568.81	M25.075
	430	M25.076
	431	M25.08
	432	S06.4X0A
	432.0	S06.4X0D
	432.1	S06.4X0S
	432.9	S06.4X1A
	852	S06.4X1D
	852.0	S06.4X1S
	852.00	S06.4X2A
	852.01	S06.4X2D
	852.02	S06.4X2S
	852.03	S06.4X3A
	852.04	S06.4X3D
	852.05	S06.4X3S
	852.06	S06.4X4A
	852.09	S06.4X4D
	852.1	S06.4X4S
	852.10	S06.4X5A
	852.11	S06.4X5D
	852.12	S06.4X5S
	852.13	S06.4X6A
	852.14	S06.4X6D
	852.15	S06.4X6S
	852.16	S06.4X7A
	852.19	S06.4X7D
	852.2	S06.4X7S
	852.20	S06.4X8A
	852.21	S06.4X8D
	852.22	S06.4X8S
	852.23	S06.4X9A
	852.24	S06.4X9D
	852.25	S06.4X9S
	852.26	S06.5X0A
	852.29	S06.5X0D
	852.3	S06.5X0S

852.30	S06.5X1A
852.31	S06.5X1D
852.32	S06.5X1S
852.33	S06.5X2A
852.34	S06.5X2D
852.35	S06.5X2S
852.36	S06.5X3A
852.39	S06.5X3D
852.4	S06.5X3S
852.40	S06.5X4A
852.41	S06.5X4D
852.42	S06.5X4S
852.43	S06.5X5A
852.44	S06.5X5D
852.45	S06.5X5S
852.46	S06.5X6A
852.49	S06.5X6D
852.5	S06.5X6S
852.50	S06.5X7A
852.51	S06.5X7D
852.52	S06.5X7S
852.53	S06.5X8A
852.54	S06.5X8D
852.55	S06.5X8S
852.56	S06.5X9A
852.59	S06.5X9D
853	S06.5X9S
853.0	S06.6X0A
853.00	S06.6X0D
853.00	S06.6X0S
853.02	S06.6X1A
853.03	S06.6X1D
853.04	S06.6X1S
853.05	S06.6X2A
853.06	S06.6X2D
853.09	S06.6X2S
853.1	S06.6X3A
853.10	S06.6X3D
853.11	S06.6X3S
853.12	S06.6X4A
853.13	S06.6X4D
853.14	S06.6X4S
853.15	S06.6X5A
853.16	S06.6X5D
853.19	S06.6X5S
423.0	S06.6X6A
459.0	S06.6X6D
596.7	S06.6X6S
 599.71	S06.6X7A

	719.1	S06.6X7D
	719.10	S06.6X7S
	719.11	S06.6X8A
	719.12	S06.6X8D
	719.13	S06.6X8S
	719.14	S06.6X9A
	719.15	S06.6X9D
	719.16	S06.6X9S
	719.17	R04
	719.18	R04.0
	719.19	R04.1
	784.8	R04.2
	786.3	R04.8
		R04.81
		R04.89
		R04.9
		I31.2
		R58
M		R31.0
MI	410	I21.01
	410.0	I21.02
	410.00	I21.09
	410.01	I21.11
	410.02	I21.19
	410.1	I21.21
	410.10	I21.29
	410.11	I21.3
	410.12	I21.4
	410.2	I21.9
	410.20	I21.A
	410.21	I21.A1
	410.22	I21.A9
	410.3	122.0
	410.30	I22.1
	410.30	122.1
	410.32	122.8
	410.4	122.9
	410.40	123.0
	410.41	I23.1
	410.42	I23.2
	410.5	123.3
	410.50	I23.4
	410.51	I23.5
	410.52	I23.6
	410.6	I23.7
	410.60	123.8
	410.61	

	410.62	
	410.7	
	410.70	
	410.71	
	410.72	
	410.8	
	410.80	
	410.81	
	410.82	
	410.9	
	410.90	
	410.91	
	410.92	
Stroke	433.01	I63.00
	433.21	I63.011
	433.31	I63.012
	433.81	I63.013
		I63.019
	433.91	I63.02
	434.01	I63.031
	434.11	I63.032
	434.91	I63.033
		I63.039
		I63.09
		I63.10
		I63.111
		I63.112
		I63.113
		I63.119
		I63.12
		I63.131
		I63.132
		I63.132
		I63.139
		I63.19
		I63.20
		I63.211
		I63.211 I63.212
		I63.212 I63.213
		I63.219
		I63.22
		I63.231
		I63.232
		I63.233
		I63.239
		I63.29
		I63.30
		I63.311

I63.312
I63.313
I63.319
I63.321
I63.322
163.323
I63.329
I63.331
163.332
163.333
163.339
I63.341
163.342
I63.349
163.39
I63.40
I63.411
I63.412
I63.413
I63.419
I63.421
I63.422
I63.423
I63.429
I63.431
I63.432
I63.433
I63.439
I63.441
I63.442
I63.449
I63.49
163.50
I63.511
I63.512
I63.513
I63.519
163.521
163.522
163.523
163.529
I63.52) I63.531
163.531
163.532
I63.539
I63.541
163.542
163.543
I63.549

	I63.59
	I63.6
	I63.8
	I63.9

CAD: coronary artery disease, CKD: chronic kidney disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, MI: myocardial infarction

Table S2. Univariate regression analysis for myocardial infarction comparing

Variable	Normal ABI	<b>Discordant ABI</b>
variable	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
Age	1.03 (1.02-1.03)	1.03 (1.02-1.04)
Male	1.85 (1.61-2.12)	1.86 (1.31-2.64)
Diabetes	2.01 (1.75-2.30)	2.07 (1.45-2.97)
CKD	2.99 (2.42-3.70)	2.30 (1.32-4.01)
CAD	4.10 (3.59-4.69)	2.95 (2.09-4.17)
Hypertension	2.59 (2.24-3.01)	1.78 (1.23-2.57)
Hyperlipidemia	1.97 (1.72-2.25)	1.83 (1.29-2.59)
Smoker	1.46 (1.29-1.67)	1.24 (0.88-1.75)
COPD	2.09 (1.46-2.99)	1.33 (0.49-3.63)
Atrial fibrillation	2.13 (1.79-2.54)	2.24 (1.44-3.47)

patients with discordant and normal ankle-brachial indices.

ABI: ankle-brachial index, CAD: coronary artery disease, CI: confidence interval, CKD: chronic kidney disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HR: hazards ratio Bold indicates significant p-value (<0.05), calculated by Type 3 Wald.

Table S3. Univariate regression analysis for ischemic stroke comparing patients

Variable	Normal ABI	Discordant ABI
variable	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
Age	1.03 (1.02-1.03)	1.03 (1.02-1.04)
Male	1.34 (1.17-1.54)	1.26 (0.90-1.78)
Diabetes	1.53 (1.32-1.77)	1.53 (1.05-2.22)
CKD	1.48 (1.12-1.96)	0.85 (0.37-1.92)
CAD	1.85 (1.62-2.12)	1.70 (1.21-2.40)
Hypertension	1.83 (1.59-2.11)	2.49 (1.67-3.69)
Hyperlipidemia	1.60 (1.40-1.84)	2.54 (1.76-3.66)
Smoker	1.07 (0.94-1.23)	1.21 (0.86-1.71)
COPD	1.97 (1.36-2.85)	2.67 (1.23-5.80)
Atrial fibrillation	2.01 (1.68-2.40)	2.88 (1.91-4.34)

with discordant and normal ankle-brachial indices.

ABI: ankle-brachial index, CAD: coronary artery disease, CI: confidence interval, CKD: chronic kidney disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HR: hazards ratio Bold indicates significant p-value (<0.05), calculated by Type 3 Wald.

 Table S4. Univariate regression analysis for all-cause mortality comparing patients

Variable	Normal ABI	<b>Discordant ABI</b>
	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
Age	1.07 (1.06-1.07)	1.05 (1.04-1.06)
Male	1.57 (1.46-1.68)	1.66 (1.38-1.99)
Diabetes	1.48 (1.38-1.60)	1.61 (1.33-1.96)
CKD	2.53 (2.25-2.85)	2.73 (2.05-3.65)
CAD	1.97 (1.84-2.11)	1.85 (1.55-2.21)
Hypertension	1.50 (1.40-1.61)	1.62 (1.34-1.96)
Hyperlipidemia	0.98 (0.91-1.04)	1.06 (0.88-1.26)
Smoker	1.13 (1.06-1.21)	1.01 (0.85-1.21)
COPD	2.61 (2.18-3.11)	2.37 (1.48-3.77)
Atrial fibrillation	2.64 (2.43-2.87)	2.62 (2.09-3.27)

with discordant and normal ankle-brachial indices.

ABI: ankle-brachial index, CAD: coronary artery disease, CI: confidence interval, CKD: chronic kidney disease, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, HR: hazards ratio Bold indicates significant p-value (<0.05), calculated by Type 3 Wald.