Check for updates

REVIEW

Recent advances in the management of ventricular tachyarrhythmias [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Syeda Atiqa Batul[1], Brian Olshansky[2], John D. Fisher[1], Rakesh Gopinathannair [iD] [3]

[1]Arrhythmia Division, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, New York, USA
[2]University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, USA
[3]Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, USA

Abstract

Ventricular arrhythmias are an important cause of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, particularly in those with structural heart disease, inherited cardiomyopathies, and channelopathies. The goals of ventricular arrhythmia management include symptom relief, improving quality of life, reducing implantable cardioverter defibrillator shocks, preventing deterioration of left ventricular function, reducing risk of arrhythmic death, and potentially improving overall survival. Guideline-directed medical therapy and implantable cardioverter defibrillator implantation remain the mainstay of therapy to prevent sudden cardiac death in patients with ventricular arrhythmias in the setting of structural heart disease. Recent advances in imaging modalities and commercial availability of genetic testing panels have enhanced our mechanistic understanding of the disease processes and, along with significant progress in catheter-based ablative therapies, have enabled a tailored and more effective management of drug-refractory ventricular arrhythmias. Several gaps in our knowledge remain and require further research. In this article, we review the recent advances in the diagnosis and management of ventricular arrhythmias.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status: ✔✔

	Invited Referees	
	1	**2**
version 1 published 29 Jun 2017	✔	✔

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

1 **Lars Eckardt** , , University of Münster, Germany

2 **Pasquale Santangeli** , Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Rakesh Gopinathannair (rakesh.gopinathannair@louisville.edu)

How to cite this article: Batul SA, Olshansky B, Fisher JD and Gopinathannair R. **Recent advances in the management of ventricular tachyarrhythmias [version 1; referees: 2 approved]** *F1000Research* 2017, **6**(F1000 Faculty Rev):1027 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11202.1)

Introduction

Sudden cardiac death claims 350,000 to 400,000 lives annually in the US[1]. Ventricular fibrillation (VF) or pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT) accounts for 25 to 36% of witnessed cardiac arrests at home and 38 to 79% of witnessed cardiac arrests in public[2]. Ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) represent a broad spectrum spanning single ectopic beats to sustained VT and VF. These arrhythmias encompass clinical conditions ranging from benign to life threatening. Sustained VAs are mostly associated with structural or ischemic heart disease (60 to 80%) followed by channelopathies and idiopathic VAs (VA in the absence of structural heart disease)[3,4]. Although life-threatening VAs are greatest in those with known coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, and depressed ejection fraction, a considerable number of patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy (NICM) experience fatal events due to VT or VF as well[5].

Different forms of VAs can coexist in the same patient, can be isolated or frequent, and have differing mechanisms. Premature ventricular contractions are the most common and are generally considered benign. Non-sustained VT is at least three consecutive ventricular beats at a rate of at least 100 beats per minute; if VT exceeds 30 seconds or is hemodynamically unstable, it is considered "sustained". VT can be regular or irregular in rate and morphology. Sustained monomorphic VT has the same activation sequence from beat to beat and generally occurs because of a stable re-entry circuit in patients with structural heart disease or because of automaticity in idiopathic VTs. The 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) provides an approximation of the exit site. On the other hand, polymorphic VT that often degenerates into VF is more often seen in acute myocardial ischemia or infarction as well as with several genetically mediated syndromes[6].

The goals of management of VAs include symptom relief (including syncope, worsening heart failure, and ischemic chest pain), improving quality of life, reducing implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) shocks, preventing deterioration of left ventricular function, reducing risk of arrhythmic death, and improving overall survival[7–10]. Treatment of VAs should take into account the underlying medical conditions, the cardiac disorders, the presence of heart failure, the cause for the arrhythmias, consequences of the VAs, and the risks and benefits of the therapeutic pharmacological or invasive strategy[6,8,9].

ICDs are the mainstay of therapy to reduce the risk of sudden cardiac death due to VT and VF. Despite the mortality benefit gained from ICD therapy, electrical shocks from the device as well as unopposed and unnecessary right ventricular pacing should be monitored for and appropriately addressed[7,11–13]. According to current data, both anti-arrhythmic drugs and catheter ablation may reduce the recurrence of VAs without offering any survival benefit[10]. Recurrent ventricular tachyarrhythmias and ICD shocks are the major indication for anti-arrhythmic drug therapy, but these medications can also be pro-arrhythmic[13]. Catheter ablation has evolved as a promising therapy to reduce the risk of VT recurrence; it is superior to medical therapy alone, according to clinical trials[14–16]. Despite improved mechanistic understanding and progress in catheter ablation technology that has led to effective treatment of focal and idiopathic VT, the long-term success rates for VT ablation remain modest in both ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathies[17].

Considerable research and clinical effort in recent years have been focused on the development of diagnostic modalities and imaging tools to identify the arrhythmogenic substrate responsible for VT (focal or scar), genetic screening for markers of channelopathies, and superior mapping and ablation technologies. These advances have allowed us to tailor our approach to VA management on the basis of the underlying etiology with higher efficacy[6,8,9].

In this review, we aim to provide an update on the newer advances in the management of VAs.

Update on diagnostic and non-invasive imaging modalities

For over 100 years, cardiologists relied on the ECG, monitoring strategies, and limited intracardiac electrodes to diagnose VAs. With mechanisms of VT now better defined in structural heart disease, non-invasive imaging modalities—including echocardiography, cardiac computed tomography (CT), cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (CMR), and nuclear studies—have become an integral part of the diagnostic and management strategy. The information derived from these tests not only is important to identify those with structural heart disease, assess left and right ventricular function, and risk-stratify those at highest risk of inducible VT/VF on the basis of scar size in order to select the patients who would derive the most benefit from an ICD but also serves as a guide for planning ablation procedures[8,18,19]. The 2015 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines have extended a class IIa recommendation to perform CMR or CT in patients where echocardiography fails to provide accurate assessment of ventricular function or underlying structural changes that may be arrhythmogenic, such as the scar size, distribution, and transmurality[8].

Although there are no large randomized controlled trials, multiple small studies have reported a potential benefit of obtaining pre-procedural imaging such as delayed enhancement CMR (DE-MRI) and incorporating the information into intracardiac mapping systems[20]. Piers *et al.*[21] described the characteristics of critical isthmus sites in 44 patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and NICM undergoing VT ablation with pre-procedural CMR. The authors found the critical VT isthmus sites to be in close proximity to areas of higher (>75%) scar transmurality and scar border zones as noted in CMR.

Contrast-enhanced MRI- and CT-derived scar data have been shown to correlate well with electroanatomic mapping (EAM) reconstructions. In a recent study by Esposito *et al.*[22], CT with delayed enhancement provided a detailed three-dimensional (3D) scar characterization with good sensitivity (76%) and specificity (86%) and a very high negative predictive value (95%) when correlated with voltage on EAM. Whereas EAM may be unable to quantify the non-transmural parts of the scar, information obtained from pre-procedural CMR may help in these instances.

Substrate characterization with CMR is also very useful in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), arrhythmogenic right ventricular

dysplasia (ARVD), and acquired immunological and inflammatory conditions (for example, sarcoidosis)[22–28]. A minority of patients with sustained left bundle branch block and inferior axis morphology VT, resembling idiopathic outflow tract VT, may have early concealed ARVD. CMR can help differentiate true idiopathic VT from early-stage ARVD or sarcoidosis and change the clinical approach for these patients[29]. CMR may be of particular value in those with abnormal resting ECG (for example, right precordial T wave inversion in V1–V3).

Contrast CT has the added advantage of providing good visualization of coronary arteries. Lipomatous metaplasia (myocardial fat deposition) along with fibrosis in areas of chronic myocardial infarction has been observed in patients with ischemic heart disease on contrast CT and has been associated with fractionated electrograms and critical VT circuits[30]. In patients with VT due to a local (myocarditis) or systemic (for example, sarcoidosis) inflammatory condition, fluorine-18 fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (18-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET/CT) can identify patients who may benefit from early immunosuppressive therapy versus catheter ablation[31].

The future of non-invasive mapping of VAs will focus on the creation of image-based simulation to estimate ablation targets in VAs, integration of multi-lead electrocardiography to construct computerized activation maps, and endo-epicardial and perhaps also mid-myocardial signal-intensity mapping that will identify the pathological substrates and VT exit sites which can be targeted more efficaciously[32–34].

Advances in channelopathies and inherited cardiomyopathies

Up to half of the families of sudden cardiac arrest victims below 50 years of age are carriers of cardiac genetic channelopathies or hereditary cardiomyopathies. The 2015 Expert Consensus Statement from the Heart Rhythm Society recommends that patients and first-degree relatives with diagnosed or suspected inherited cardiovascular disease as a potential cause of sudden cardiac arrest be evaluated in a dedicated clinic with appropriately trained staff (class I)[9,35].

Extended molecular gene panels now can be performed at appropriate centers at a low cost, allowing early identification of a channelopathy or inherited cardiomyopathy. This, in turn, allows risk stratification of sudden cardiac death and introduction of appropriately timed therapy (lifestyle changes, pharmacologic therapy versus ICD implantation or catheter ablation or both (Table 1)[9,35–37].

An important breakthrough in treating genetic arrhythmia syndromes has been the identification of a potential arrhythmic

Table 1. Heart Rhythm Society/European Society of Cardiology recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of genetic arrhythmia syndrome and inherited cardiomyopathies.

Genetic arrhythmia syndrome	Associated gene and ion channel affected	Recommendations for diagnosis	Recommendations for treatment
LQTS	• Associated with mutations in at least 15 genes • Three main genes: *KCNQ1* (LQTS1), *KNCH2* (LQTS2), and *SCN5A* (LQTS3) (75% of cases) • *IKr* (LQTS1), *IKs* (LQTS2), *INa* (LQTS3), *IK1* (LQTS7), and *ICa-L* (LQTS8) • AD LQTS with QT prolongation alone (Romano-Ward syndrome) LQTS 1–6 • AD LQTS7 (Anderson-Tawil syndrome): facial dysmorphism and hyper/hypokalemic periodic paralysis • AD LQTS8 (Timothy syndrome) prolonged QT, facial dysmorphism, cardiac malformations, and autism • Autosomal recessive LQTS (Jervell and Lange-Nielson syndrome) extremely prolonged QT interval with congenital deafness	• QTc ≥ 480 ms in repeated 12-lead ECGs or LQTS risk score >3 (class I) • Confirmed pathogenic LQTS mutations (class I) • QTc ≥ 460 ms with unexplained syncopal episode in the absence of secondary causes of QT prolongation	• Avoid QT prolonging agents, correct electrolytes, and avoid genotype-specific triggers (for example, strenuous exercise for LQTS1 and loud noise for LQTS2) • BBs are recommended for patients with clinical diagnosis (class I) and carriers of causative mutation and normal QTc (class IIa) • ICD should be implanted in patients with prior SCA (class I), syncope and/or VT while on adequately dosed BBs (class IIa) or considered in addition to BBs in asymptomatic carriers of *KCNH2* or *SCN5A* mutations and a QTc > 500 ms • LCSD can be attempted in patients with ineffective or poorly tolerated BB therapy, contraindication to ICD, or incessant VT, multiple ICD shocks (class IIa) • Sodium channel blockers (Mexiletine, Flecainide, Ranolazine) may be used as adjunctive therapy in LQTS3 with QTc >500 ms • Invasive EPS and PVS is not recommended for risk stratification (class III)

Genetic arrhythmia syndrome	Associated gene and ion channel affected	Recommendations for diagnosis	Recommendations for treatment
SQTS	- *KCNH2* (SQT1), *KCNQ1* (SQT2), and *KCNJ2* (SQT3) - *Kr* (SQT1), *IKs* (SQT2), and *IK1* (SQT3)	- QTc ≤ 340 ms on ECG (class I) - QTc ≤ 360 ms and confirmed pathogenic mutation, family history of SQTS, family history of SCD <40 years, survival from VT/VF in the absence of heart disease (class IIa)	- ICD implant is recommended in patients with spontaneous sustained VT or SCA (class I) - Quinidine or sotalol are recommended in patients who refuse or have a contraindication to ICD or in asymptomatic patients with SQTS and a family history of SCD (class IIb) - Invasive EPS and PVS are not recommended for risk stratification (class III).
BrS	- Inherited AD - 12 genetic mutations identified - *SCN5A* and *CACN1Ac* (account for more than 5% of positively genotyped patients) - Genetic screening does not affect treatment or prognosis.	- ST-segment elevation with type 1 morphology ≥2 mm elevation in one or more right precordial leads V1 and/or V2 positioned in the second, third, or fourth intercostal space, occurring either spontaneously or after provocative drug test with intravenous administration of sodium channel blockers such as ajmaline, flecainide, and procainamide (class I)	- Lifestyle changes: Patients should avoid drugs that may induce ST elevation, excessive alcohol consumption, and seek prompt treatment of fever (class I) - ICD implantation is recommended in survivors of SCA and/or spontaneous sustained VT or both (class I) or in patients with spontaneous Brugada pattern and history of syncope (class IIa) or patients with diagnosis of BrS who develop VF during PVS with two or three extra stimuli at two sites (class IIb) - Quinidine or isoproterenol to be considered in electrical storm (class IIa). Quinidine should be considered in patients with BrS who refuse or have a contraindication to ICD implantation (class IIa) - Catheter ablation may be considered in patients with repeated ICD shocks and electrical storm (class IIb).
ERS	- Polygenic - Role of genetic screening is not clear - *IK-ATP* (ERS1, 5), *ICa* (ERS2-4), and *INa* (ERS6) - No clear familial transmission	- Asymptomatic early repolarization pattern is common in general population. - ERS diagnosed only in patients with a pattern and documented idiopathic VF or polymorphic VT	- Insufficient evidence to make definitive recommendations
CPVT	- Dominant variant: ryanodine receptor gene (*RyR2*) - Rare recessive variant: cardiac calsequestrin gene (*CASQ2*) - Other genes: *KCNJ2*, *Ank2*, *TRDN*, and *CALM1*	- Induction of bidirectional or polymorphic VT in the presence of structurally normal heart and ECG (class I) - Diagnosed carriers of a pathogenic mutation on genetic screening (*RyR2* or *CASQ2*)	- Avoidance of competitive sports, stress environments, and strenuous exercise (class I) - BBs recommended for all patients (class I), genetically positive family members of proband without exercise induced VT (class IIa) - Flecainide should be considered in addition to BBs in patients with recurrent syncope or VT or with contraindication to ICD (class IIa) or in patients with ICD to reduce shocks (class IIa) - ICD and BBs and/or flecainide in patients with SCA, recurrent syncope, or polymorphic VT despite optimal therapy (class I) - LCSD can be performed in CPVT patients with recurrent syncope, arrhythmia, recurrent ICD shocks while on maximal therapy or who are intolerant to medical therapy (class IIb) - Invasive EPS with PVS is not recommended for risk stratification (class III).

Genetic arrhythmia syndrome	Associated gene and ion channel affected	Recommendations for diagnosis	Recommendations for treatment
HCM	- AD 10 genes encoding from proteins in cardiac sarcomere - Beta-myosin, troponin T and I, alpha-tropomyosin, myosin light chains, titin, alpha actin, alpha myosin heavy chain, and muscle LIM protein (MLP) - Non-sarcomeric protein mutations (storage disease) clinically similar *PRKAG2, LAMP2* - Commercially available genetic testing	- Left ventricular hypertrophy max wall thickness ≥15 mm, asymmetric (>1.3:1), concomitant obstructive pathology and mitral regurgitation, diastolic dysfunction, abnormal papillary muscles on transthoracic echocardiography or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (class I) - Genetic testing is recommended in index patient and family members (class I)	- Avoidance of competitive sports, stress environments, and strenuous exercise (class I) - ICD in survivors of SCA or sustained VT/VF causing syncope (class I) - Risk stratification with HCM SCD risk calculator (class I) - BBs or calcium channel blockers (class I) or disopyramide (class IIa) to treat obstructive symptoms. Guideline-directed medical therapy for congestive heart failure (class IIa) - Invasive EPS with PVS is not recommended for risk stratification of SCD (class III)

AD, autosomal dominant; BB, beta blocker; BrS, Brugada syndrome; CPVT, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia; ECG, electrocardiogram; EPS, electrophysiologic study; ERS, early repolarization syndrome; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LQTS, long QT syndrome; LCSD left cervical sympathetic denervation; PVS, programmed ventricular stimulation; SCA, sudden cardiac arrest; SCD, sudden cardiac death; SQTS, short QT syndrome; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

substrate located in the epicardial right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) that may trigger VT/VF in some patients with Brugada syndrome. Epicardial 3D EAM has shown abnormal low voltage and fractionated late potentials clustered exclusively in the anterior RVOT epicardium. Ablation at these sites rendered VT/VF non-inducible in 78% and normalization of the Brugada ECG pattern (coved ST-elevations in V1 and V2) in 89%. A multicenter randomized study—Ablation in Brugada Syndrome for the Prevention of VF episodes (BRAVE Study)—is being planned and will assess long-term outcomes of catheter ablation in patients with Brugada syndrome[38,39].

Medical management of ventricular tachycardia in structural heart disease: Will there be a realm beyond amiodarone therapy?

Pharmacologic therapy for preventing VAs has yielded disappointing results in recent years. Therapy has been limited because of variable efficacy, pro-arrhythmic effects, patient compliance, and adverse effects from long-term therapy. As adjuvant suppressive therapy in patients with ICDs, amiodarone and sotalol have been shown to reduce the rate of recurrent VT (71% and 15–44%, respectively) when compared with beta-blockers or placebo[40]. Current guidelines recommend pharmacologic therapy (amiodarone or sotalol) with or without adjunctive catheter ablation to prevent VT/VF recurrence and reducing ICD shocks[8,16].

Intravenous amiodarone and sodium channel blockers (lidocaine and procainamide) remain the preferred drug regimen in the acute setting; however, more recently, intravenous sotalol has been shown to terminate sustained VT acutely with a higher efficacy versus lidocaine[41]. Neither amiodarone nor lidocaine, however, has been shown to improve survival or neurologic outcomes in patients with pulseless VT/VF and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest[42]. In the first prospective randomized study comparing intravenous procainamide and amiodarone in the acute treatment of hemodynamically tolerated wide complex tachycardia (VAs), procainamide was shown to be more efficacious in tachycardia termination (67% versus 38%) and was associated with fewer major cardiovascular events (9% versus 41%). The effect was consistently observed even in those with structural heart disease as well as when adjusted for age and sex. Although this study had a limited number of patients and was not blinded, it still points to the efficacy and safety of intravenous procainamide in the acute treatment of VT[43].

Investigators of the VANISH trial showed an improved composite primary outcome of death, VT storm, or appropriate ICD shocks among patients undergoing catheter ablation versus escalation of anti-arrhythmic drug therapy (amiodarone or mexiletine or both) but with no significant difference between groups in terms of mortality[44]. In a recent meta-analysis comparing the effectiveness of anti-arrhythmic drugs versus catheter ablation for preventing recurrent VT in patients with ICDs, there was no significant difference between the two treatment modalities (odds ratio (OR) 0.58, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.26–1.2, $P = 0.17$) in terms of risk reduction of VT as well as all-cause mortality (OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.24–1.24, $P = 0.23$)[10]. To avoid potential long-term adverse effects and reduce mortality, amiodarone may be safely reduced or discontinued after successful VT ablation without an increase in VT recurrence[45].

Nifekalant, a pure potassium channel blocker that has been approved for use in Japan for VT since 1999, has not been shown to be superior to amiodarone in treating out-of-hospital cardiac arrest or shock-resistant sustained VT/VF[46,47]. Azimilide, another

class III agent (not approved in the US), has been shown to reduce VAs and appropriate ICD shocks in patients with cardiomyopathies, according to data from the SHIELD trial[48].

Development of efficacious anti-arrhythmic drugs for VAs with a limited adverse-effect profile continues to be a challenge.

What lies in the future for implantable device therapy

Next to guideline-directed medical therapy, ICDs can reduce the risk of sudden cardiac death according to several randomized controlled trials for secondary prevention in both ischemic cardiomyopathy and NICM[11]. For primary prevention of sudden cardiac death, particularly in NICM, the benefit from ICD has been modest primarily because of a lower rate of spontaneous VT/VF. The DANISH investigators[49] showed no significant overall mortality benefit from primary prevention ICD implantation in patients with NICM and symptomatic heart failure. Importantly, this observation seems to be age-dependent as patients younger than 59 years derive significant benefit from the ICD.

Intravascular lead-related complications and inappropriate shocks are of particular significance in young patients with channelopathies and syndromes who are also at high risk for fatal VAs. ICD arrhythmia detection and programming algorithms have been refined over time to include higher minimum cutoff rates, extended detection time for VT, anti-tachycardia pacing, and morphology discriminators to minimize the risk of inappropriate shocks[11,50].

The development of an entirely subcutaneous ICD (S-ICD) system with efficacy similar to that of conventional ICD in terminating VT/VF has been a major advance in device technology[51,52]. Although randomized comparative data are not yet available, pooled data from the EFFORTLESS and IDE trials have shown the S-ICD to be a safe and effective alternative to conventional transvenous ICDs—especially in younger patients with HCM, genetic channelopathies, and adult complex congenital heart disease—as long as there is no need for pacing[52–55]. The rate of inappropriate shocks due to oversensing has been mitigated with the introduction of dual zone programming and algorithms to accommodate R- and T-wave amplitude variations[56].

Two additional trials—PRAETORIAN and UNTOUCHED— will provide definitive data regarding a direct comparison of the S-ICD with a transvenous ICD system in terms of efficacy, appropriate and inappropriate shocks, and ICD-related complications[57,58]. An investigational percutaneous implantable intravascular defibrillator has also been developed that, compared with conventional ICD, has potentially lower complications and similar defibrillation thresholds[59].

Invasive mapping and catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: Have we built the right tools to decode the substrate?

Catheter ablation guided by 3D EAM has emerged as an effective therapeutic option in patients with recurrent and sustained monomorphic VT, arrhythmia-induced cardiomyopathy, recurrent ICD shocks, intolerance to anti-arrhythmic medications, or a combination of these[16]. Catheter ablation of VT has a reported complication rate ranging from 5 to 7% according to different studies and has low periprocedural mortality and VT termination in up to 70% of cases[16,60,61]. The recurrence rate, however, remains high; 26 to 50% of patients experience recurrent VT during long-term follow-up[17,62].

The success rate of catheter ablation for scar-mediated VT is higher in ischemic cardiomyopathy[63]. Substrate-guided VT ablation remains the preferred approach particularly when the arrhythmia is poorly tolerated. The VISTA trial showed an extensive substrate-based approach to be superior when compared with targeting clinical VT (15.5% VT recurrence in substrate-guided versus 48.3% in the clinical VT target group) in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy[64]. The limitation in achieving higher success in NICM may be related to the more heterogeneous distribution of disease as well as transmurality and epicardial extension of scar[62].

Kumar *et al.*[65] reported better survival and low VT recurrence in patients without structural heart disease undergoing catheter ablation of VT compared with those with ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. During long-term follow-up, the highest VA recurrence was seen in NICM (about 75% of those with NICM had at least one VA recurrence) but patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy had higher overall mortality.

Although we have limited data from randomized controlled trials and no proven mortality benefit yet for VT ablation in structural heart disease, recent studies do suggest that ablation results in improved quality of life, freedom from ICD shocks, and improved transplant-free survival. Tung *et al.*[66] reported 1-year freedom from VT recurrence in up to 70% of patients with structural heart disease who underwent VT ablation (including 72% of patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and 68% with NICM). Lack of recurrent VT translated as an improved transplant-free survival in this study[66]. Data from the THERMOCOOL VT trial looking at the long-term success of open irrigated radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation of monomorphic VT associated with coronary artery disease showed reduced ICD shocks and VT episodes with improved quality of life at 6 months. At 3-year follow-up, non-recurrence resulted in decreased amiodarone use and hospitalizations[67].

Other investigational and clinically tested techniques that could potentially improve substrate characterization, procedural outcomes and minimize complications include use of irrigated and contact force ablation catheters, incorporation of pre-procedural and intra-procedural imaging, ablation of deep myocardial substrate with bipolar RF ablation, transcoronary alcohol injection, coronary coil embolization, epicardial ablation, surgical cryoablation, and remote magnetic navigation, and stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (Table 2)[68–78]. However, concrete data on the efficacy of these techniques is lacking and further studies are needed.

However, concrete data for their efficacy are lacking and more studies are needed. Epicardial substrate ablation has been identified as a potentially successful ablation strategy to eliminate VAs in select patients with ARVD and NICM and more recently in patients with Brugada syndrome[38,39,79]. In patients with incessant VT who have failed conventional and ablative therapy, left or bilateral cervical

Table 2. Investigational modalities for ventricular tachycardia ablation and suggested clinical applications.

Innovative modality	Investigators and initial reports	Salient features and possible benefits
MRI-guided stereotactic ablative radiotherapy • For patients with VT who have failed standard therapy • Uses anatomic scar imaging: MRI or SPECT or both with superimposed voltage map to accurately delineate scar	Cuculich et al. - ENCORE-VT phase I/II study[83] Clinical trial: NCT02919618 Loo et al. Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (STAR)[84] Maguire et al. - CyberHeart's Cardiac Arrhythmia Ablation[85] Clinical trial: NCT02661048	• A rapid and totally non-invasive technique • Pre-clinical data suggest significant reduction in VT burden (>50%) and reduction in implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy (>85%). • Clinical trials to determine the acute and long-term safety and efficacy initiated • Gap-free ablation can be performed in short duration for medically frail population
Infusion needle catheter ablation • Infusion needle catheter ablation is being studied for effectiveness in patients who have failed standard RF ablation, especially with deep mid-myocardial substrate for VT • Needle design allows for myocardial penetration, infusion of heated saline and RF energy delivery • Pre- and peri-procedural imaging helps guide the procedure (e.g; intracardiac echocardiography)	Sapp et al.[77] Stevenson et al. Intramural Needle Ablation for Recurrent Ventricle Tachycardia[86] Clinical trial: NCT01791543	• A bipolar or quadripolar catheter equipped with an extensible needle that can project up to 12 mm beyond tip is used • Heated saline can be infused through tip and side pores • Flow rate of saline determines lesion size • Large lesions can be created, does not require scar/lesion maturity
Facilitated RF ablation using magnetically directed nanoparticles • Iron, carbon, or titanium nanoparticles are delivered within heat-sensitive liposomes • Iron nanoparticles used in imaging considered safe • Study in vivo porcine models	Nguyen et al.[87]	• Nanoparticles have been shown to alter tissue sensitivity to RF energy with a potential to create deeper lesions • Better tissue heating avoids "steam pops"
MRI-based signal intensity mapping for epicardial substrate • The technique helps to identify epicardial substrate for monomorphic VT with contrast enhanced MRI • Images can be correlated with standard electroanatomical mapping • Clinical data based on in vivo porcine models available	Arenal et al.[33]	• Epicardial VT substrate can be identified by contrast-enhanced MRI-based signal intensity mapping • Patchy scar pattern may be associated with VT inducibility • Potential non-invasive imaging modality to determine risk of inducible epicardial VT prior to planned procedure
MIBG imaging to guide VT ablation • MIBG has characteristics similar to those of norepinephrine; it is taken up at presynaptic neurons • 3D models with MIBG are created to guide VT ablation • A 15-patient feasibility study • ADMIRE trial identified areas of cardiac denervation and correlations with death, heart failure, and VT/ventricular fibrillation • MIBG (scan) US Food and Drug Administration-approved 2013	Klein et al. - 3D MIBG Innervation Maps to assess substrate and successful ablation sites for VT[88] Jacobson et al. ADMIRE-HF[89]	• MIBG innervation defects are larger than scars created with bipolar voltage maps. • In the pilot study 36% successful ablative sites were located in areas of abnormal innervation and normal voltage • Voltage maps do not necessarily correlate with innervation maps. • Innervation maps may help target additional sites of VT ablation not identified by EAM

Innovative modality	Investigators and initial reports	Salient features and possible benefits
Non-invasive high-resolution mapping and ECGI • This technique offers single-beat panoramic mapping • Both endocardial and epicardial mapping can be performed	Reports from 1998 to 2015; Rudy et al.[90,91] Zhang et al.[92]	• Non-invasive modality to provide endocardial and epicardial substrate information • Information can be used to risk-stratify patients and identify those at highest risk of ventricular arrhythmia. • ECGI has been shown to have a high correlation with MRI and SPECT derived scar • Anti-arrhythmic drug exposure could potentially affect amplitude and fractionation.
Cardiac ripple mapping for slow conducting channels • A novel method to incorporate voltage and activation data for EAM mapping • High-density bipolar electrograms collected	Luther et al.[93] Jamil-Copley et al.[94]	• Allows for simultaneous visualization of voltage and activation data incorporated on 3D EAM • Enables identification of slow conduction channels within scar zones in myocardium that may be potential targets of VT ablation • Target-specific approach can improve efficacy of ablation.

3D, three-dimensional; EAM, electroanatomic map; ECGI, electrocardiographic imaging; MIBG, ^{123}I-meta-iodobenzylguanidine; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; RF, radiofrequency; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

sympathectomy has been shown in observational studies to reduce VT recurrence, but larger studies are needed to establish the safety and efficacy of this procedure[80–82].

Conclusions

VAs encompass a broad range of clinical conditions ranging from benign to life-threatening. Sustained VAs are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with structural heart disease. In addition to refinement and evolution of well-established therapies such as anti-arrhythmic drugs and ICDs, several major advances in the mechanistic, genetic, diagnostic, and therapeutic realms have furthered our understanding of the pathophysiology of ventricular tachyarrhythmias and helped fashion safer and more effective therapies particularly for drug-refractory VAs. Several gaps in our knowledge remain and require further research. Ultimately, successful short- and long-term management of VAs will likely integrate biological, pharmacologic, device-based, and ablative therapies.

Abbreviations

3D, three-dimensional; ARVD, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia; CI, confidence interval; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; EAM, electroanatomic mapping; ECG, electrocardiogram; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NICM, non-ischemic cardiomyopathy; OR, odds ratio; RF, radiofrequency; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; S-ICD, subcutaneous ICD; VA, ventricular arrhythmia; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

Author contributions

SAB, BO, and JDF contributed to drafting and making critical revisions of the manuscript. RG contributed to supervision and to drafting and making critical revisions of the manuscript for key intellectual content.

References

F **F1000 recommended**

1. Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, *et al.*: **Heart disease and stroke statistics--2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association.** *Circulation.* 2014; **129**(3): e28–e292.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

2. Weisfeldt ML, Everson-Stewart S, Sitlani C, *et al.*: **Ventricular tachyarrhythmias after cardiac arrest in public versus at home.** *N Engl J Med.* 2011; **364**(4): 313–21.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

3. Lo R, Chia KK, Hsia HH: **Ventricular Tachycardia in Ischemic Heart Disease.** *Card Electrophysiol Clin.* 2017; **9**(1): 25–46.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

4. Benito B, Guasch E, Rivard L, *et al.*: **Clinical and mechanistic issues in early repolarization of normal variants and lethal arrhythmia syndromes.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010; **56**(15): 1177–86.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

5. Chia KK, Hsia HH: **Ventricular Tachycardia in Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy.** *Card Electrophysiol Clin.* 2014; **6**(3): 535–52.
   Publisher Full Text

6. Stevenson WG: **Current treatment of ventricular arrhythmias: state of the art.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2013; **10**(12): 1919–26.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

7. Hohnloser SH, Israel CW: **Current evidence base for use of the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator.** *Circulation.* 2013; **128**(2): 172–83.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

8. Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, *et al.*: **2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: The Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC).** *Eur Heart J.* 2015; **36**(41): 2793–867.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

9. Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, *et al.*: **HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2013; **10**(12): 1932–63.
   PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

10. **F** Santangeli P, Muser D, Maeda S, *et al.*: **Comparative effectiveness of antiarrhythmic drugs and catheter ablation for the prevention of recurrent ventricular tachycardia in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(7): 1552–9.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

11. **F** Daubert JP, Zareba W, Cannom DS, *et al.*: **Inappropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks in MADIT II: frequency, mechanisms, predictors, and survival impact.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; **51**(14): 1357–65.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

12. **F** Sweeney MO, Sherfesee L, DeGroot PJ, *et al.*: **Differences in effects of electrical therapy type for ventricular arrhythmias on mortality in implantable cardioverter-defibrillator patients.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2010; **7**(3): 353–60.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

13. Dev S, Peterson PN, Wang Y, *et al.*: **Prevalence, correlates, and temporal trends in antiarrhythmic drug use at discharge after implantable cardioverter defibrillator placement (from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry [NCDR]).** *Am J Cardiol.* 2014; **113**(2): 314–20.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

14. Palaniswamy C, Kolte D, Harikrishnan P, *et al.*: **Catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: ten-year trends in utilization, in-hospital complications, and in-hospital mortality in the United States.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(11): 2056–63.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

15. **F** Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, *et al.*: **Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet.* 2010; **375**(9708): 31–40.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

16. Aliot EM, Stevenson WG, Almendral-Garrote JM, *et al.*: **EHRA/HRS Expert Consensus on Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmias: developed in a partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a Registered Branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS); in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA).** *Europace.* 2009; **11**(6): 771–817.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

17. **F** Liang JJ, Santangeli P, Callans DJ: **Long-term Outcomes of Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation in Different Types of Structural Heart Disease.** *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev.* 2015; **4**(3): 177–83.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

18. Peck KY, Lim YZ, Hopper I, *et al.*: **Medical therapy versus implantable cardioverter -defibrillator in preventing sudden cardiac death in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and heart failure: a meta-analysis of > 35,000 patients.** *Int J Cardiol.* 2014; **173**(2): 197–203.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

19. **F** Zeidan-Shwiri T, Yang Y, Lashevsky I, *et al.*: **Magnetic resonance estimates of the extent and heterogeneity of scar tissue in ICD patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy predict ventricular arrhythmia.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(4): 802–8.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

20. Piers SR, Tao Q, van Huls van Taxis CF, *et al.*: **Contrast-enhanced MRI-derived scar patterns and associated ventricular tachycardias in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: implications for the ablation strategy.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2013; **6**(5): 875–83.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

21. Piers SR, Tao Q, de Riva Silva M, *et al.*: **CMR-based identification of critical isthmus sites of ischemic and nonischemic ventricular tachycardia.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2014; **7**(8): 774–84.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

22. **F** Esposito A, Palmisano A, Antunes S, *et al.*: **Cardiac CT With Delayed Enhancement in the Characterization of Ventricular Tachycardia Structural Substrate: Relationship Between CT-Segmented Scar and Electro-Anatomic Mapping.** *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2016; **9**(7): 822–32.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

23. **F** Acosta J, Fernández-Armenta J, Penela D, *et al.*: **Infarct transmurality as a criterion for first-line endo-epicardial substrate-guided ventricular tachycardia ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(1): 85–95.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

24. Dixit S, Han Y: **Cardiac imaging to define infarct transmurality and guide ventricular tachycardia ablation strategy in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(1): 96–7.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

25. Ashikaga H, Arevalo H, Vadakkumpadan F, *et al.*: **Feasibility of image-based simulation to estimate ablation target in human ventricular arrhythmia.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2013; **10**(8): 1109–16.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

26. **F** Sakamoto N, Sato N, Oikawa K, *et al.*: **Late gadolinium enhancement of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging indicates abnormalities of time-domain T-wave alternans in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with ventricular tachycardia.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(8): 1747–55.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

27. **F** Chopra H, Arangalage D, Bouleti C, *et al.*: **Prognostic value of the infarct- and non-infarct like patterns and cardiovascular magnetic resonance parameters on long-term outcome of patients after acute myocarditis.** *Int J Cardiol.* 2016; **212**: 63–9.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

28. **F** Corrado D, Link MS, Calkins H: **Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy.** *N Engl J Med.* 2017; **376**(1): 61–72.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

29. Macias C, Nakamura K, Tung R, *et al.*: **Importance Of Delayed Enhanced Cardiac MRI In Idiopathic RVOT-VT: Differentiating Mimics Including Early Stage ARVC And Cardiac Sarcoidosis.** *J Atr Fibrillation.* 2014; **7**(4): 1097.
    PubMed Abstract | **Free Full Text**

30. **F** Sasaki T, Calkins H, Miller CF, *et al.*: **New insight into scar-related ventricular tachycardia circuits in ischemic cardiomyopathy: Fat deposition after myocardial infarction on computed tomography--A pilot study.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(7): 1508–18.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

31. **F** Tung R, Bauer B, Schelbert H, *et al.*: **Incidence of abnormal positron emission tomography in patients with unexplained cardiomyopathy and ventricular arrhythmias: The potential role of occult inflammation in arrhythmogenesis.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(12): 2488–98.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

32. Jamil-Copley S, Bokan R, Kojodjojo P, *et al.*: **Noninvasive electrocardiographic mapping to guide ablation of outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(4): 587–94.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

33. Arenal A, Perez-David E, Avila P, *et al.*: **Noninvasive identification of epicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate by magnetic resonance-based signal intensity mapping.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(8): 1456–64.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

34. Yokokawa M, Jung DY, Joseph KK, *et al.*: **Computerized analysis of the 12-lead electrocardiogram to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia exit sites.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(11): 1966–73.
    PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

35. Fernandez-Falgueras A, Sarquella-Brugada G, Brugada J, *et al.*: **Cardiac Channelopathies and Sudden Death: Recent Clinical and Genetic Advances.**

Biology (Basel). 2017; **6**(1): pii: E7.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

36. Maron BJ, Zipes DP, Kovacs RJ: **Eligibility and Disqualification Recommendations for Competitive Athletes With Cardiovascular Abnormalities: Preamble, Principles, and General Considerations: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015; **66**(21): 2343–9.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

37. Ostby SA, Bos JM, Owen HJ, *et al.*: **Competitive Sports Participation in Patients With Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia: A Single Center's Early Experience.** *JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology.* 2016; **2**(3): 253–62.
Publisher Full Text

38. Nademanee K, Hocini M, Haissaguerre M: **Epicardial substrate ablation for Brugada syndrome.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2017; **14**(3): 457–61.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

39. Zhang P, Tung R, Zhang Z, *et al.*: **Characterization of the epicardial substrate for catheter ablation of Brugada syndrome.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(11): 2151–8.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

40. Connolly SJ, Dorian P, Roberts RS, *et al.*: **Comparison of beta-blockers, amiodarone plus beta-blockers, or sotalol for prevention of shocks from implantable cardioverter defibrillators: the OPTIC Study: a randomized trial.** *JAMA.* 2006; **295**(2): 165–71.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

41. Schleifer JW, Sorajja D, Shen WK: **Advances in the pharmacologic treatment of ventricular arrhythmias.** *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* 2015; **16**(17): 2637–51.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

42. Kudenchuk PJ, Brown SP, Daya M, *et al.*: **Amiodarone, Lidocaine, or Placebo in Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; **374**(18): 1711–22.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

43. Ortiz M, Martin A, Arribas F, *et al.*: **Randomized comparison of intravenous procainamide vs. intravenous amiodarone for the acute treatment of tolerated wide QRS tachycardia: the PROCAMIO study.** *Eur Heart J.* 2017; **38**(17): 1329–35.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

44. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, *et al.*: **Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation versus Escalation of Antiarrhythmic Drugs.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; **375**(2): 111–21.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

45. Liang JJ, Yang W, Santangeli P, *et al.*: **Amiodarone Discontinuation or Dose Reduction Following Catheter Ablation for Ventricular Tachycardia in Structural Heart Disease.** *JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology.* 2017; **3**(5): 503–11.
Publisher Full Text

46. Tagami T, Matsui H, Ishinokami S, *et al.*: **Amiodarone or nifekalant upon hospital arrival for refractory ventricular fibrillation after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.** *Resuscitation.* 2016; **109**: 127–32.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

47. Harayama N, Nihei S, Nagata K, *et al.*: **Comparison of nifekalant and amiodarone for resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest resulting from shock-resistant ventricular fibrillation.** *J Anesth.* 2014; **28**(4): 587–92.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

48. Dorian P, Borggrefe M, Al-Khalidi HR, *et al.*: **Placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial of azimilide for prevention of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator.** *Circulation.* 2004; **110**(24): 3646–54.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

49. Køber L, Thune JJ, Nielsen JC, *et al.*: **Defibrillator Implantation in Patients with Nonischemic Systolic Heart Failure.** *N Engl J Med.* 2016; **375**(13): 1221–30.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

50. Wilkoff BL, Fauchier L, Stiles MK, *et al.*: **2015 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on optimal implantable cardioverter-defibrillator programming and testing.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(2): e50–86.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

51. Lambiase PD, Barr C, Theuns DA, *et al.*: **Worldwide experience with a totally subcutaneous implantable defibrillator: early results from the EFFORTLESS S-ICD Registry.** *Eur Heart J.* 2014; **35**(25): 1657–65.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

52. Burke MC, Gold MR, Knight BP, *et al.*: **Safety and Efficacy of the Totally Subcutaneous Implantable Defibrillator: 2-Year Results From a Pooled Analysis of the IDE Study and EFFORTLESS Registry.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015; **65**(16): 1605–15.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

53. Moore JP, Mondésert B, Lloyd MS, *et al.*: **Clinical Experience With the Subcutaneous Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator in Adults With Congenital Heart Disease.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2016; **9**(9): pii: e004338.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

54. De Maria E, Cappelli S, Cappato R: **Shock efficacy of the entirely subcutaneous defibrillator for termination of spontaneous ventricular fibrillation in Brugada syndrome.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2013; **10**(12): 1807–9.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

55. Maurizi N, Tanini I, Olivotto I, *et al.*: **Effectiveness of subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillator testing in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.** *Int J Cardiol.* 2017; **231**: 115–9.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

56. Gold MR, Theuns DA, Knight BP, *et al.*: **Head-to-head comparison of arrhythmia discrimination performance of subcutaneous and transvenous ICD arrhythmia detection algorithms: the START study.** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2012; **23**(4): 359–66.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

57. Olde Nordkamp LR, Knops RE, Bardy GH, *et al.*: **Rationale and design of the PRAETORIAN trial: a Prospective, RAndomizEd comparison of subcuTaneOus and tRansvenous ImplANtable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy.** *Am Heart J.* 2012; **163**(5): 753–760.e2.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

58. Gold MR, Knops R, Burke MC, *et al.*: **The Design of the Understanding Outcomes with the S-ICD in Primary Prevention Patients with Low EF Study (UNTOUCHED).** *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2017; **40**(1): 1–8.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

59. Neuzil P, Reddy VY, Merkely B, *et al.*: **Implantable intravascular defibrillator: defibrillation thresholds of an intravascular cardioverter-defibrillator compared with those of a conventional ICD in humans.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(2): 210–5.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

60. Mallidi J, Nadkarni GN, Berger RD, *et al.*: **Meta-analysis of catheter ablation as an adjunct to medical therapy for treatment of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2011; **8**(4): 503–10.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

61. Pokorney SD, Friedman DJ, Calkins H, *et al.*: **Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: Lessons learned from past clinical trials and implications for future clinical trials.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(8): 1748–54.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

62. Stevenson WG, Soejima K: **Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia.** *Circulation.* 2007; **115**(21): 2750–60.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

63. Proietti R, Essebag V, Beardsall J, *et al.*: **Substrate-guided ablation of haemodynamically tolerated and untolerated ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease: effect of cardiomyopathy type and acute success on long-term outcome.** *Europace.* 2015; **17**(3): 461–7.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

64. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, *et al.*: **Ablation of Stable VTs Versus Substrate Ablation in Ischemic Cardiomyopathy: The VISTA Randomized Multicenter Trial.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015; **66**(25): 2872–82.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

65. Kumar S, Romero J, Mehta NK, *et al.*: **Long-term outcomes after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with and without structural heart disease.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2016; **13**(10): 1957–63.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

66. Tung R, Vaseghi M, Frankel DS, *et al.*: **Freedom from recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation is associated with improved survival in patients with structural heart disease: An International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group study.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(9): 1997–2007.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

67. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, *et al.*: **Long-Term Success of Irrigated Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation of Sustained Ventricular Tachycardia: Post-Approval THERMOCOOL VT Trial.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2016; **67**(6): 674–83.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

68. Mizuno H, Vergara P, Maccabelli G, *et al.*: **Contact force monitoring for cardiac mapping in patients with ventricular tachycardia.** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2013; **24**(5): 519–24.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

69. Aryana A, d'Avila A: **Contact force during VT ablation: vector orientation is key.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2014; **7**(6): 1009–10.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

70. Jesel L, Sacher F, Komatsu Y, *et al.*: **Characterization of contact force during endocardial and epicardial ventricular mapping.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2014; **7**(6): 1168–73.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

71. Almendral J, Castellanos E: **Epicardial ablation in post-myocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia: could it be one of the missing pieces of the puzzle?** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015; **8**(4): 767–8.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

72. Aryana A, d'Avila A: **Epicardial Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia.** *Card Electrophysiol Clin.* 2017; **9**(1): 119–31.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

73. Sauer WH, Steckman DA, Zipse MM, *et al.*: **High-power bipolar ablation for incessant ventricular tachycardia utilizing a deep midmyocardial septal circuit.** *HeartRhythm Case Rep.* 2015; **1**(6): 397–400.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

74. Koruth JS, Dukkipati S, Miller MA, *et al.*: **Bipolar irrigated radiofrequency ablation: a therapeutic option for refractory intramural atrial and ventricular tachycardia circuits.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2012; **9**(12): 1932–41.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

75. [F] Skoda J, Arya A, Garcia F, *et al.*: **Catheter Ablation of Ischemic Ventricular Tachycardia With Remote Magnetic Navigation: STOP-VT Multicenter Trial.** *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2016; **27**(Suppl 1): S29–37.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

76. [F] Choi EK, Nagashima K, Lin KY, *et al.*: **Surgical cryoablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmia arising from the left ventricular outflow tract region.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(6): 1128–36.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

77. Sapp JL, Beeckler C, Pike R, *et al.*: **Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia.** *Circulation.* 2013; **128**(21): 2289–95.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

78. Gianni C, Mohanty S, Trivedi C, *et al.*: **Alternative Approaches for Ablation of Resistant Ventricular Tachycardia.** *Card Electrophysiol Clin.* 2017; **9**(1): 93–8.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

79. [F] Philips B, te Riele AS, Sawant A, *et al.*: **Outcomes and ventricular tachycardia recurrence characteristics after epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2015; **12**(4): 716–25.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

80. [F] Hong JC, Crawford T, Tandri H, *et al.*: **What Is the Role of Cardiac Sympathetic Denervation for Recurrent Ventricular Tachycardia?** *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med.* 2017; **19**(2): 11.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

81. Tan AY, Abdi S, Buxton AE, *et al.*: **Percutaneous stellate ganglia block for acute control of refractory ventricular tachycardia.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2012; **9**(12): 2063–7.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

82. Vaseghi M, Gima J, Kanaan C, *et al.*: **Cardiac sympathetic denervation in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmias or electrical storm: intermediate and long-term follow-up.** *Heart Rhythm.* 2014; **11**(3): 360–6.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

83. Cuculich P (Principal Investigator): **Phase I/II Study of EP-guided Noninvasive cardiac radioablation for treatment of ventricular tachycardia (ENCORE-VT).** *Clinicaltrials.gov.* NCT02919618.
Reference Source

84. Loo BW Jr, Soltys SG, Wang L, *et al.*: **Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for the treatment of refractory cardiac ventricular arrhythmia.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015; **8**(3): 748–50.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

85. Maguire P: **CyberHeart's Cardiac arrhythmia ablation treatment: patients with refractory ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation.** *Clinicaltrials.gov.* NCT02661048.
Reference Source

86. Stevenson WG: **Intramural needle ablation for ablation of recurrent ventricular tachycardia.** *Clinicaltrials.gov.* NCT01791543.
Reference Source

87. Nguyen DT, Tzou WS, Zheng L, *et al.*: **Enhanced Radiofrequency Ablation With Magnetically Directed Metallic Nanoparticles.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2016; **9**(5): pii: e003820.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

88. Klein T, Abdulghani M, Smith M, *et al.*: **Three-dimensional 123I-meta-iodobenzylguanidine cardiac innervation maps to assess substrate and successful ablation sites for ventricular tachycardia: feasibility study for a novel paradigm of innervation imaging.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015; **8**(3): 583–91.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

89. [F] Jacobson AF, Senior R, Cerqueira MD, *et al.*: **Myocardial iodine-123 meta-iodobenzylguanidine imaging and cardiac events in heart failure. Results of the prospective ADMIRE-HF (AdreView Myocardial Imaging for Risk Evaluation in Heart Failure) study.** *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010; **55**(20): 2212–21.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **F1000 Recommendation**

90. Oster HS, Taccardi B, Lux RL, *et al.*: **Electrocardiographic imaging: Noninvasive characterization of intramural myocardial activation from inverse-reconstructed epicardial potentials and electrograms.** *Circulation.* 1998; **97**(15): 1496–507.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

91. Zhang J, Sacher F, Hoffmayer K, *et al.*: **Cardiac electrophysiological substrate underlying the ECG phenotype and electrogram abnormalities in Brugada syndrome patients.** *Circulation.* 2015; **131**(22): 1950–9.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

92. Zhang J, Cooper DH, Desouza KA, *et al.*: **Electrophysiologic Scar Substrate in Relation to VT: Noninvasive High-Resolution Mapping and Risk Assessment with ECGI.** *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2016; **39**(8): 781–91.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text** | **Free Full Text**

93. Luther V, Linton NW, Jamil-Copley S, *et al.*: **A Prospective Study of Ripple Mapping the Post-Infarct Ventricular Scar to Guide Substrate Ablation for Ventricular Tachycardia.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2016; **9**(6): pii: e004072.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

94. Jamil-Copley S, Vergara P, Carbucicchio C, *et al.*: **Application of ripple mapping to visualize slow conduction channels within the infarct-related left ventricular scar.** *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2015; **8**(1): 76–86.
PubMed Abstract | **Publisher Full Text**

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status: ✔ ✔

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

1 **Pasquale Santangeli** Electrophysiology Section, Division of Cardiology, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

1 **Lars Eckardt** Division of Electrophysiology, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, , University of Münster, Münster, Germany
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.