

RESPONSE TO LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Response to Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Model for Prediction of Leflunomide and Teriflunomide—Should Consideration Be Given to Canalicular Efflux Transporters?

AM Hopkins^{1,2*}, DJR Foster¹, MD Wiese² and RN Upton¹

To the Editor: We thank Dr Srinivas for the thought-provoking comments and the opportunity to clarify a number of aspects of our work.¹

With reference to **Figure 2** from RUN8,² the residual error model has been weighted by the inverse square root of the number of patients within each study so as to prioritize the impact of studies with higher subject numbers. For example, although the studies that included multiple sclerosis individuals were repeat dose studies (concentrations were therefore higher and the inherent variability was represented across time), given the larger subject numbers, the curve fits were equal or better to those seen with the shorter single-dose studies. Despite this, the effect of poly-pharmacy and varying disease states on teriflunomide concentrations should be explored further, particularly for known inducers or inhibitors of ABCG2, CYP1A2, or CYP2C19.³

In response to the model fitting well to the mean teriflunomide concentrations after i.v. administration of teriflunomide (**Figure 2**), it is important to recognize that there was only one representation of this, albeit it seems to indicate the importance of the presystemic components. Nonetheless, given teriflunomide concentrations vary widely after both leflunomide and teriflunomide administration,^{4,5} this seems consistent with the enterohepatic system being the chief source of teriflunomide concentration variability.

Dr Srinivas' suggestion to further explore canalicular transporters is thus an important one, as they may affect the enterohepatic system. The model was developed with the intention of assessing the influence of ABCG2 genotype, however, no effect was observed. Nonetheless, given the acknowledged limitations of the study, including the relatively low number of participants, we agree that continued exploration is warranted. However, the exploration of such transporter effects *in vivo* can be time-consuming and expensive, and therefore targeted

investigation of transporters to which teriflunomide is an indicated substrate is important. Dr Srinivas has also underlined a possible relationship between increased alanine aminotransferase and lowered expression of canalicular transporters. This highlights the importance of investigating the relationship between the expression of ABCG2 (and other transporters) and teriflunomide concentrations, and the presented model is ideal to assess these outcomes. Investigating transporter effects on enterohepatic recycling will be assisted by knowledge of teriflunomide concentrations during cholestyramine administration. Without this data, between-subject variability of the enterohepatic recycling system is unidentifiable, thus making covariate identification difficult, as discussed within the original manuscript. As such, we would extend an invitation to collaborate with research groups who may possess such data.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge that the Australian Centre for Pharmacometrics is an initiative of the Australian Government as part of the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

1. Srinivas, N.R. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for prediction of leflunomide and teriflunomide – should consideration be given to canalicular efflux transporters? *CPT: Pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol.* (in press).
2. Hopkins, A.M., Wiese, M.D., Proudman, S.M., O'Doherty, C.E., Foster, D. & Upton, R.N. Semiphysiologically based pharmacokinetic model of leflunomide disposition in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *CPT: Pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol.* 4, 362–371 (2015).
3. Hopkins, A.M., O'Doherty, C.E., Foster, D.J.R., Upton, R.N., Proudman, S.M. & Wiese, M. Individualization of leflunomide dosing in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Per. Med.* 11, 449–461 (2014).
4. Wiese, M.D., Rowland, A., Polasek, T.M., Sorch, M.J. & O'Doherty, C. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of teriflunomide for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 9, 1025–1035 (2013).
5. Rakhila, H. *et al.* Quantitation of total and free teriflunomide (A77 1726) in human plasma by LC-MS/MS. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 55, 325–331 (2011).

¹University of South Australia, Australian Centre for Pharmacometrics, School of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia; ²University of South Australia, Sansom Institute for Health Research, School of Pharmacy and Medical Sciences, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia. *Correspondence: AM Hopkins (ashley.hopkins@mymail.unisa.edu.au)