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#### Abstract

Dry eye disease (DED) is one of the most prevalent ocular diseases but has limited treatment options. Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a major chloride channel that stimulates fluid secretion in the ocular surface, may pave the way for new therapeutic strategies for DED. Herein, we report the optimization of Cact-3, a potent CFTR activator with poor solubility, to 16d, a potent CFTR activator with suitable solubility for eye drop formulation. Notably, 16d was well distributed in target tissues including cornea and conjunctiva with minimal systemic exposure in rabbit. Topical ocular instillation of 16d significantly enhanced tear secretion and improved corneal erosion in a mouse model of DED. In addition, 16d significantly reduced mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1 $\beta$, IL-17, and TNF- $\alpha$ and MMP2 in cornea and conjunctiva of DED mice.


## INTRODUCTION

Dry eye disease (DED) is a multifactorial disease of the tears and ocular surface that results in symptoms of visual disturbance, discomfort, and tear-film instability with potential damage to the ocular surface. ${ }^{1}$ The prevalence of DED has been reported to range from approximately 4.4 to $54.3 \%$, making it one of the most prevalent diseases worldwide. ${ }^{2-5}$ Current advances in research on DED revealed that inflammatory processes are implicated in the pathogenesis of DED, suggesting a vicious cycle of ocular surface inflammation involving inflammatory cytokines and immune cells. ${ }^{6,7}$

Considering the latest treatment for dry eye syndrome, artificial tears, which lubricate the ocular surface in a short time, are widely used preferentially, but there is a limitation in that it is a temporary relief effect rather than a fundamental treatment. To the next step, strategies targeting ocular surface inflammation, tear secretion, and meibomian gland dysfunction have been studied. ${ }^{8}$ However, so far, only two kinds of drugs, cyclosporine and lifitegrast (Figure 1), which inhibit T cell activation and
cytokine production, were approved as therapies for dry eye by U.S. Food and Drug Administration. ${ }^{9,10}$ Recently, diquafosol (Figure 1), $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ receptor agonist, is in the limelight as a nextgeneration treatment for DED. Diquafosol stabilizes the tear film by stimulating both fluid secretion from the conjunctival epithelial cells and mucin secretion from the goblet cells. In conjunctival epithelium, diquafosol stimulates fluid secretion through activation of calcium-activated chloride channels (CaCCs). ${ }^{11,12}$ Epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) inhibitor, P321, also came into spotlight as the next breakthrough of DED treatment by preserving lacrimal secretion and maintaining hydration in the ocular surface. ${ }^{13}$ Like these candidates, ion
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Figure 1. Structures of approved DED drugs and Cact-3.
channels expressed in the ocular epithelium and involved in the secretion of fluid and mucin are emerging as new targets for the development of DED therapeutics. ${ }^{14-16}$ From this perspective, we focused on the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) chloride channel as a new therapeutic target for the treatment of DED. In our previous study, we identified a novel CFTR activator, Cact-3, that has good potency $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=\right.$ 36.2 nM ) and selectivity. ${ }^{22}$ However, due to its poor solubility, Cact-3 needs to be optimized for development as an eye drop for the treatment of DED.

CFTR is activated via the cAMP signaling pathway and is expressed in a variety of secretory epithelia, including conjunctival and corneal epithelia, and activation of CFTR induces fluid secretion in mouse and human ocular surfaces. ${ }^{15,17,18}$ Cystic fibrosis (CF) patients, diagnosed by loss of functional mutation in CFTR, showed low tear film stability and ocular surface activity. ${ }^{19-21}$ In the human ocular surface, by measuring electric potential difference, robust CFTR activity was demonstrated. ${ }^{16}$ These results suggest the possibility that CFTR activators are potential candidates as the first-in-class agents for the treatment of DED.

Our previous study revealed that Cact-3 (1), a novel pyrazolo $[1,5-a]$ pyrimidine analogue, is a promising activator of CFTR. ${ }^{22}$ Although Cact-3 showed nanomolar EC 50 for CFTR activation ( 18 nM ), it was sparingly soluble in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS) ( 59 nM ) (Table 1). In ophthalmic drug discovery, aqueous solubility is one of the major factors influencing ocular bioavailability and ophthalmic formulation. ${ }^{23}$ According to the rule of thumb for ophthalmic drugs (ROx) developed by Gukasyan et al., the optimal calculated solubility for an effective ophthalmic drug should be $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or greater. ${ }^{24}$ Since the nanomolar solubility of Cact-3 is a major hurdle for ophthalmic drug discovery, our synthetic strategy to develop an ophthalmic CFTR activator is to improve solubility while maintaining efficacy for CFTR. Herein, we report the synthesis
of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ and evaluation of its biological efficacy, plasma pharmacokinetics, ocular tissue distribution, and toxicity.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General Synthetic Schemes for Pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine Analogues. The synthetic schemes for pyrazolo-$[1,5-a]$ pyrimidine analogs are depicted in Schemes $1-4$. The enamine compound 2 was synthesized by the reaction of $3,4-$ dimethoxyacetophenone with DMF-DMA under reflux conditions. The carboxylic acid compound 4 was synthesized by cyclization of compound 2 with methyl 5 -amino- 1 H -pyrazole-3carboxylate to afford pyrazolo [1,5-a]pyrimidine ring, followed by hydrolysis. Using 3 -[bis(dimethylamino)methyliumyl $]-3 H-$ benzotriazol-1-oxide hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) as the coupling reagent, compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (Cact-3), 5a-h, 12a-d, and $14 \mathrm{a}-1$ were synthesized through the amide coupling between compound 4 and corresponding anilines or benzyl amines or amines. Compounds $5 \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k}$ were synthesized via amide coupling between acid chloride intermediate and corresponding anilines. Compound 6 was synthesized via tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) protection of methyl 4-amino-3-hydroxybenzoate. Compound 8 was synthesized via amide coupling between acid chloride intermediate and 6 to afford compound 7 , followed by TBDMS deprotection by tetra- $n$-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF). Hydrolysis of corresponding methyl esters afforded compounds $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}$ and $13 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$. Using HBTU as the coupling reagent, compounds 10a-d were synthesized via amide coupling between compound 9 a and corresponding amines. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) or hydrogen chloride removal of Boc protecting group from compounds $\mathbf{1 0 b}-\mathrm{c}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 d}-\mathrm{g}$ afforded compounds $11 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{b}$ and $15 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$. HCl salt compound 11 c was synthesized from 10d using hydrogen chloride. Compound 16a was synthesized by the reaction of compound $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and benzyl bromide with potassium carbonate. Finally, compounds $\mathbf{1 6 b} \mathbf{e}$ were synthesized via amide coupling between compounds 15ad and benzoyl chloride with pyridine.

Table 1. Activation of CFTR by Phenyl Analogues and Thermodynamic Solubility in PBS


Table 1. continued
${ }^{a}$ CFTR channel activity was measured by YFP quenching assay in CHO-K1 cells expressing human wild-type CFTR. The results are expressed as the mean of triplicates. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration of the compound after 90 min of vortexing in PBS. ${ }^{c}{ }^{c} \operatorname{LogD} D_{7.4}$ values were calculated by ACD/Percepta software (ACD/Labs, Toronto, Canada). ${ }^{d}$ ND: not detected. ${ }^{e}$ NT: not tested.

Molecular Docking Simulation and Preliminary Struc-ture-Activity Relationship Analysis. Prior to structural optimization, we conducted a molecular docking simulation of Cact-3 with CFTR (PDB ID: 6O2P) ${ }^{25}$ to establish synthetic strategy (Figure 2). According to docking simulation, hydrophobic interactions were formed between 3,4-dimethoxy moiety and Phe229, Phe316, and Leu233. In addition to hydrophobic interactions, the hydrogen bond was formed between the amide oxygen and Phe931. Molecular docking simulation has also revealed that 4-ethoxy phenyl moiety was exposed to the hydrophobic tail region of the lipid bilayer. We hypothesized that the highly hydrophilic moiety could cause repulsive interactions against the hydrophobic tail region and lead to decreased potency. In this study, we used $\operatorname{cLogD}_{7.4}$ as a descriptor of hydrophilicity.

Next, we prepared several pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine analogues to investigate essential moieties for potency. Summarized results are depicted in Figure 3 and Table S1. Preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) analysis was consistent with molecular docking simulation. 4-Methoxy moiety showed better efficacy than 3-methoxy moiety, and 3,4-dimethoxy was the best for biological efficacy. Replacing amide linker to inverted amide or urea led to decreased potency. Molecular docking simulation and preliminary SAR strongly suggests that 4-ethoxyphenyl is a suitable region for structural modification and $\operatorname{cLogD}_{7.4}$ is a key descriptor for potency. Therefore, our synthetic strategy is to find an appropriate LogD range that can retain potency and increase solubility at the same time.

Structure-Activity/Property Relationship Analysis of Cact-3 Analogues. Our first strategy to improve solubility was to introduce solubilizing group at the terminal phenyl ring. To investigate the optimal position on the phenyl ring, we introduced methyl ester moiety at ortho-(5b), meta-(5c), and para- $\mathbf{( 5 d )}$ positions. As shown in Table 1, $\mathbf{5 d}$ was more potent than $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $5 \mathbf{c}$, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 14,820 , and 31 nM , respectively. Notably, relatively bulky substituents, such as methyl ester, at the ortho-position could disrupt the active conformation and result in loss of potency. Further, we introduced a hydrophilic group at the para-position. Among them, 9 a was 88 -fold more soluble than Cact-3 (solubility values of 5210 and 59 nM , respectively) and 17 -fold less potent than Cact-3 ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 310 and 18 nM , respectively). 5 d and $\mathbf{9 a}$ results indicated that carboxylic acid moiety was too hydrophilic to retain potency. Therefore, we synthesized less hydrophilic compounds containing morpholine, piperazine, and amine. Morpholine-containing compounds (5e, 5f, and 10a) showed comparable potency over Cact-3 with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $6,7,30$, and 18 nM , respectively, but they were completely insoluble (Table 1). Although 2 -morpholinoethoxy group was known as a very soluble moiety, 5e was insoluble too. Because Cact-3 and its close analogues had a fully conjugated structure, solely introducing the solubilizing group at the terminal phenyl moiety was insufficient. Compounds $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ showed comparable potency to 10a because their hydrophilic amine moiety was

Scheme 1. Preparation of Compound $4^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) DMF-DMA, DMF, reflux, 18 h ; (b) AcOH , reflux, 2 h ; (c) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.
Scheme 2. Preparation of Compounds 5a-k, 8, 9a-b, 10a-d, and 11a-c ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, r.t., 24 h ; (b-1) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}\left(1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in DCM), DCM, DMF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b-2) pyridine, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h ; (c) TBDMSCl, imidazole, 2.5 h ; (d-1) SOCl $_{2}(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{DCM})$, DCM, DMF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d-2) pyridine, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) TBAF ( 1 M in THF), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (g) HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, r.t., 24 h ; (h) HCl ( 4 N in dioxane), MeOH, r.t., 26 h.
protected by the Boc group. However, deprotected compounds 11a and 11b had greatly reduced potency with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 3700 and $16,000 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. We speculated that hydrophilic free amine moiety causes repulsive interactions between the compound and the hydrophobic tail of the membrane. To confirm the effect of hydrophilicity on potency, we introduced methyl moiety to the amine. Surprisingly, 11c was 13 -fold more potent than 11a, with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 290 nM . In addition, the solubility of 11 c was extremely increased with a solubility value of $237,000 \mathrm{nM}$. Although 11 c was $4017-$ fold more soluble than Cact-3, it was 16 -fold less potent than

Cact-3. Therefore, the Sol/YFP ratio did not increase as much as the increased solubility.

The second strategy was the disruption of molecular planarity through an increased dihedral angle. We introduced functional groups at the ortho-position. As shown in Table 1, the introduction of an electron-donating group ( 5 k and 8) and a relatively large electron-withdrawing group ( $\mathbf{5 j}$ ) led to decreased potency, whereas the introduction of the fluoro group (5i) retained potency, with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 17 nM . We then prepared $9 \mathbf{b}$ which had both fluoro and carboxylic acid groups. Remarkably, $\mathbf{9 b}$ was 7 times more potent than $\mathbf{9 a}$, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values for $\mathbf{9 b}$ and 9 a of 42 and 310 nM , respectively. However,

Scheme 3. Preparation of Compounds 12a-d and 13a-c ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, r.t., 24 h ; (b) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.
Scheme 4. Preparation of Compounds 14a-l, 15a, and 16a-e ${ }^{a}$
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, r.t., 24 h ; (b) HCl (4 N in dioxane), MeOH, r.t., 26 h ; (b') TFA, DCM, r.t., 4 h ; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}{ }_{3}$, DMF, r.t., 3 h ; (d) TEA, DCM, r.t., 24 h.
the solubility of $\mathbf{9 b}$ was 341 nM ; hence, its solubility/YFP ratio was 2 -fold less than 9 a , with ratios of 8 and 17 , respectively. We speculated that due to the electrostatic interaction between fluorine and adjacent $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, molecular planarity was increased, and interactions with CFTR also increased. As a result, the solubility of $\mathbf{9 b}$ was decreased, and the potency was increased. ${ }^{26}$ We also introduced a carbon chain between the amide linker and the phenyl ring to increase molecular flexibility. As shown in

Table $\mathbf{1 , 5 g}$ and $\mathbf{5 h}$ were 34 -fold and 101 -fold less potent than $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $\mathbf{5 f}$, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $470,710,14$, and 7 nM , respectively. These results showed that the introduction of the carbon chain was not tolerated.

The third strategy was the removal of aromaticity. Replacing aromatic rings with carbocycle could reduce molecular planarity and also disrupt crystal-stacking capability. ${ }^{27}$ Therefore, we first prepared 12a to investigate whether modification of phenyl ring


Figure 2. Molecular docking simulation of Cact-3 with CFTR (PDB ID: 6O2P). Blue dashed line indicates H-bond, and pink dashed line indicates hydrophobic interactions. Gray spiral ribbon indicates the hydrophobic tail region of the lipid bilayer.
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Figure 3. Preliminary SAR of pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine analogues.
to carbocycle was acceptable or not. As shown in Tables 1 and 2, 12a had an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 130 nM , which was comparable to that of $\mathbf{5 a}$. We further prepared cis-, trans-cyclohexyl analogues and bicyclo $[1,1,1]$ pentane analogues. Interestingly, solubilities of 12b and 12c were improved when compared to 12a, with solubility values of $7549,1393 \mathrm{nM}$, and ND, respectively. These results suggested that introducing methyl ester moiety might disrupt crystal-staking capability, leading to increased solubility. We also prepared 13a, 13b, and 13c. However, they completely lost potency. Again, we confirmed the effect of hydrophilicity on potency. Generally, introducing a hydrophilic group was a classical approach to improving solubility. However, as our target was membrane-embedded protein, we needed to figure out another approach rather than introducing hydrophilic moiety.

Replacing the terminal phenyl ring with carbocycle exerted a positive effect on solubility and a negative effect on potency at the same time. Based on these findings, we conducted further modifications to optimize potency. We introduced several heterocycles such as azetidine, pyrrolidine, piperidine, and piperazine. Table 3 shows that potency increased in the order of piperidine (14c) < azetidine (14a) < pyrrolidine (14b) < piperazine (14d), with $E C_{50}$ values of $810,700,370$, and 270 nM , respectively. To further investigate piperazine analogues, we replaced the Boc group with smaller moieties. De-Boc compound $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ had greatly reduced potency with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value
of $17,000 \mathrm{nM}$. To adjust $\operatorname{cLog}_{7.4}$, we introduced hydrophobic moiety to the amine. Insertion of alkyl (14i, 14j, 14k) and cyclopropanecarbonyl (141) moiety led to slightly increased potency. However, these compounds were still highly hydrophilic, so more hydrophobic moiety needed to be added. Therefore, we synthesized several phenyl analogues. $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ with a carbonyl linker was 7 -fold more potent than 16a, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 110 and 730 nM . Besides, $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ had a solubility/YFP ratio of 91 , which is much higher than that of 9 a . We also conducted modifications to the piperazine ring. We added the $S$ methyl group (16d) to the piperazine ring, which led to a considerable increase in potency. Besides, 16d ( $S$-methyl) was 34 -fold more potent than 16e ( $R$-methyl), with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 23 and 790 nM , respectively. To explain potency difference, we conducted another molecular docking simulation (Figure 4). According to results, $S$-methyl moiety (16d) formed additional hydrophobic interactions with Phe316. Further, R-methyl moiety (16e) interfered with the hydrophobic interaction between the piperazine ring and Phe312. Therefore, 16d could interact with CFTR more efficiently than 16e with calculated binding energy values of -70.7 and $-54.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Furthermore, $16 d$ was 13 -fold more soluble than $\mathbf{1 6 b}$, with solubility values of 134,489 and $10,053 \mathrm{nM}$. We speculated that $S$-methyl moiety would disrupt molecular planarity and symmetry and lead to extremely increased solubility. ${ }^{27}$ Through the strategic optimization, we identified $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ which had a

Table 2. Activation of CFTR by Carbocycle Analogues and Thermodynamic Solubility in PBS


| Cpd | R | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Solubility ( nM$)^{\text {b }}$ | Solubility / <br> YFP ratio | $\mathrm{cLog} \mathrm{7.4}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12a | $\angle_{N}-$ | 130 | ND ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | 2.47 |
| 12b |  | 590 | 7,549 | 13 | 1.97 |
| 12c |  | 180 | 1,393 | 8 | 1.97 |
| 12d |  | 310 | 1,418 | 5 | 1.33 |
| 13a |  | >30,000 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | -1.04 |
| 13b |  | >30,000 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | -1.04 |
| 13c |  | >30,000 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | -2.18 |

${ }^{a}$ CFTR channel activity was measured by YFP quenching assay in CHO-K1 cells expressing human wild-type CFTR. The results are expressed as the mean of triplicates. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration of the compound after 90 min of vortexing in PBS. ${ }^{c}{ }^{c} \log _{7.4}$ values were calculated by ACD/Percepta software (ACD/Labs, Toronto, Canada). ${ }^{d}$ ND: not detected. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{NT}$ : not tested.
comparable in vitro efficacy over Cact-3 and had a sub-millimolar solubility (Figure 5). Thus, we chose $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ as the best compound for pharmacological and functional studies.

Effect of 16d on CFTR Chloride Channel Activity. To investigate the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on CFTR chloride channel activity, apical membrane currents were measured in FRT cells expressing human CFTR. 16d potently activated CFTR chloride channel in a dose-dependent manner with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of 342 nM , and the 16d-induced CFTR chloride current was completely blocked by CFTR $_{\text {inh }}-172$, a potent and selective inhibitor of CFTR (Figure 6A,B). To further characterize the activation of CFTR by 16d, whole-cell patch-clamp analysis was performed on CHO-K1 cells expressing human CFTR. Application of 30 $\mu \mathrm{M} 16 \mathrm{~d}$ strongly activated CFTR currents, exhibiting a linear current/voltage relationship like forskolin-induced activation of CFTR, and the 16d-induced CFTR currents were completely inhibited by CFTR $_{\text {inh }}-172$ (Figure 6C-E).

In Vitro Characterization of 16d. To investigate the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on other chloride channels, we observed the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on calcium-activated chloride channel TMEM16A/Anoctamin 1 (ANO1) and volume-regulated anion channel (VRAC). ANO1 apical membrane currents were measured in FRT cells expressing human ANO1, and VRAC activity was measured

Table 3. Activation of CFTR by Heterocycle Analogues and Thermodynamic Solubility in PBS

|  |  |  |   |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cpd | R | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Solubility ( nM$)^{\text {b }}$ | Solubility / <br> YFP ratio | $\mathrm{cLog} \mathrm{D}_{7.4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 14a |  | 700 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 1.66 |
| 14b |  | 370 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 1.80 |
| 14c |  | 810 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 2.13 |
| 14d |  | 270 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 1.98 |
| 14h |  | 840 | ND ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | 2.32 |
| 14i |  | 8,100 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0.72 |
| 14j |  | 6,000 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 1.16 |
| 14k |  | 1,400 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0.87 |
| 141 |  | 1,700 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 0.86 |
| 15a |  | 17,000 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | -0.14 |
| 16a |  | 730 | NT ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 2.17 |
| 16b |  | 110 | 10,053 | 91 | 1.46 |
| 16c |  | 200 | 1,816 | 9 | 2.06 |
| 16d |  | 23 | 134,489 | 5,847 | 1.73 |
| 16e |  | 790 | 158,586 | 201 | 1.73 |

${ }^{a}$ CFTR channel activity was measured by YFP quenching assay in CHO-K1 cells expressing human wild-type CFTR. The results are expressed as the mean of triplicates. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration of the compound after 90 min of vortexing in PBS. ${ }^{c}{ }^{c} \operatorname{cog}_{7.4}$ values were calculated by ACD/Percepta software (ACD/Labs, Toronto, Canada). ${ }^{d}$ ND: not detected. ${ }^{e}$ NT: not tested.


Figure 4. Molecular docking simulation of 16 d and 16 e with CFTR (PDB ID: 6O2P). Blue dotted line indicates H -bond, and pink dotted line indicates hydrophobic interactions. Gray spiral ribbon indicates the hydrophobic tail region of the lipid bilayer.
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Figure 5. Strategic optimization scheme.
using YFP fluorescence quenching assay in LN215 cells expressing a halide sensors YFP-F46L/H148Q/I152L. A high concentration $(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ did not affect channel activities of ANO1 and VRAC, but ANO1 and VRAC were completely blocked by Ani9 ${ }^{28}$ and VI-116, ${ }^{29}$ respectively (Figure 7A,B). CFTR is activated by cAMP signaling pathway. Therefore, we observed the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on intracellular cAMP concentration. 16d slightly increased cAMP level compared to the control but did not increase cAMP level as strongly as forskolin (Figure 7). To observe the cytotoxicity of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$, the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on cell viability was evaluated in the corneal epithelial (CorE) and conjunctival epithelial (ConjE) cells. 16d did not affect the cell viability of CorE and ConjE at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 7D). To investigate whether 16d could activate endogenous CFTR channels in human ocular epithelium, short-circuit current was measured in primary cultured human conjunctival epithelial cells. Interestingly, 16d potently increased CFTR-dependent chloride current in a dose-dependent manner, and the 16d-
induced CFTR current was fully inhibited by $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CFTR}$ inh 172. These results suggest that $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ can potently and selectively activate human CFTR without cytotoxicity in ocular epithelium.

Ocular Distribution and Plasma Pharmacokinetics of 16d. Before investigating in vivo efficacy of 16d, ocular tissue distribution and plasma pharmacokinetics (PK) after topical ocular administration of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ in male New Zealand White Rabbit were evaluated. No adverse effects of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ were observed during the PK study. As shown in Figure 8 and Table 4, the 16d concentrations of tear, cornea, and conjunctiva were maintained above the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $342 \mathrm{nM}(166 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ for 8 h . For mean plasma concentrations of $\mathbf{1 6 d}, \mathrm{PK}$ parameters were not determined because they were below the lower limit of quantitation up to 72 h except for 0.5 h following administration. These results indicate that $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ is well distributed in CFTRexpressing target tissues (cornea and conjunctiva), is maintained for a long period of time, and has a negligible systemic exposure.


Figure 6. Activation of CFTR chloride channels by 16d. (A) Representative trace of apical membrane current in FRT cells expressing human CFTR. CFTR was activated by the indicated concentrations of 16 d in the presence of 50 nM forskolin (FSK) and inhibited by $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CFTR}_{\text {inh }}-172$. (B) Summary of CFTR activation (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=3$ ). (C) Whole-cell currents were recorded at a holding potential of 0 mV and pulsed with voltages between $\pm 80 \mathrm{mV}$ (in steps of 20 mV ) in CHO-K1 cells expressing human CFTR. CFTR was activated by $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forskolin or $30 \mu \mathrm{M} 16 \mathrm{~d}$ and inhibited by $20 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CFTR}_{\text {inh }}-172$. (D) Current/voltage plot of mean currents at the middle of each voltage pulse. (E) Summary of current density at +80 mV (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=3$ ).

## 16d Shows Faster Action and Higher Maximal Efficacy

 Compared to Cact-3. To investigate the pharmacological advantages of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ compared with Cact-3, we observed the effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ and Cact- 3 on tear volume in normal female C57BL/6J mice. The maximum solubility of Cact-3 was $72 \mu \mathrm{M}$, so Cact-3 was administered up to $72 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In the case of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$, the maximum solubility was greater than 2 mM , and $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ was administered up to 1 mM . As shown in Figure 9, treatment of both 16d and Cact3 showed a dose-dependent increase in tear volume, and $E_{\text {max }}$ of 16d was higher than Cact-3; 16d reached maximal tear volume at 1 h after administration, whereas Cact-3 showed maximal tear volume at 3 h after administration. Thus, $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ has the advantage of higher solubility, higher maximal efficacy, and faster action compared to Cact-3.Enhancing Tear Volume and Reduction of Corneal Erosion by 16 d in DED Mice. To investigate the effect of 16 d on tear volume in DED mice, tear volume was evaluated by phenol red thread test in scopolamine-induced dry eye mouse model. As shown in Figure 10A, subcutaneous injection of scopolamine significantly reduced the thread wetting length in both no treatment and vehicle-treated groups compared to control group. However, treatment of 16d significantly and almost completely restored the scopolamine-induced tear volume decrease, and diquafosol also showed a significant recovery in tear volume in DED mice. To investigate whether 16d ameliorated ocular surface damage in DED mice, we observed changes in corneal erosion in scopolamine-induced dry eye mouse models treated with the vehicle, 16d, or diquafosol. Each eye drop was applied to each eye three times a day for 10 days. 16d significantly reduced corneal erosion compared to control group (Figure 10B,C). These results reveal that 16d
enhances the tear secretion and reduces corneal erosion in DED mice with an efficacy equal to or greater than that of diquafosol.

Reduction of mRNA Expression of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines and MMP2 by 16d in Ocular Epithelium of DED Mice. The ocular surface of DED is known to exhibit high levels of infectious cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$, IL-6, IL-17, and TNF- $\alpha$ as well as matrix-metalloproteinase (MMP)- 2 and MMP-9. In cornea and conjunctiva of normal or DED mice, the mRNA expression levels of MMP-2, MMP-9 and proinflammatory cytokines including IL-1 $\beta$, IL-6, IL-17, and TNF- $\alpha$ were investigated by real-time PCR in the presence or absence of the vehicle, 16d, and diquafosol. The mRNA expression levels of IL-1 $\beta$, IL-17, TNF- $\alpha$, and MMP-2 in cornea and conjunctiva were significantly decreased when treated with 16d (Figure 11). Among them, the mRNA expression levels of IL-17, TNF- $\alpha$, and MMP-2 were also significantly reduced by diquafosol. These results reveal that $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ reduces the mRNA expression levels of IL-1 $\beta$, IL-17, TNF- $\alpha$, and MMP-2 in cornea and conjunctiva of DED mice with an efficacy equal to or greater than that of diquafosol.

## CONCLUSIONS

The goal of this study was to improve the poor solubility of Cact3 for the development of new therapeutic agents for DED because it is important to have good solubility in order to achieve high ocular bioavailability and reduce ocular surface damage. To improve solubility of Cact-3, we conducted structural modification of Cact-3 (1). Synthetic strategies were as follows: (i) introducing hydrophilic moiety on phenyl ring, (ii) introducing carbon linker between amide and phenyl ring, (iii) introducing saturated phenyl isostere, and (iv) introducing the hydrophilic heterocycle. The first and second strategies were


Figure 7. Characterization of 16d and its effect on CFTR activity in primary cultured human conjunctival epithelial cells. (A) Apical membrane current was measured in ANO1 expressing FRT cells. ANO1 was activated by $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP and inhibited by $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Ani9, an ANO1 inhibitor. Cells were pretreated with $16 \mathrm{~d}(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and Ani9 for 10 min . (B) Effect of 16 d on VRAC chloride channel activity was observed in YFP-F46L/H148Q/[152L expressing HeLa cells. Cells were treated with $\mathbf{1 6 d}(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in hypotonic solution for 5 min . VRAC was inhibited by $10 \mu \mathrm{MVI}-116$, a VRAC inhibitor. (C) CHO-K1 cells were treated with $16 \mathrm{~d}(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and forskolin $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in the presence of IBMX $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 10 min , and then cAMP levels were determined (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=3$ ). (D) Corneal epithelial (CorE) and conjunctival epithelial (ConjE) cells were treated with 16 d for 48 h , and cell viability was determined by MTS assay (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=3$ ). (E) Representative trace of short-circuit current in primary cultured human conjunctival epithelial cells. CFTR was activated by the indicated concentrations of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ and blocked by $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CFTR}_{\text {inh }}-172$.


Figure 8. Mean concentration-time profiles of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ in rabbit after single topical instillation of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ eye drops at $0.1 \mathrm{mg} /$ eye.
not effective to improving solubility. Through the third approach, we figured out the positive effect on potency and solubility. Finally, we introduced piperazine ring into the pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine scaffold, and further optimization of the piperazine ring identified a potent and highly soluble CFTR activator, 16d, with desirable PK profiles.

Notably, electrophysiological studies demonstrated that 16d potently and selectively activated CFTR chloride channel without cytotoxicity of corneal and conjunctival epithelial cells. In addition, $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ was well distributed and maintained for a long period of time ( $>8 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in cornea and conjunctiva of rabbit, and systemic exposure was negligible. In the following in vivo experiments, 16d significantly enhanced tear volume restoration

Table 4. Mean Pharmacokinetic Parameters of 16d in Rabbit after Single Topical Instillation of 16 d Eye Drops at $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Eye}$ ( $n=$ 3)

| PK parameters | mean plasma | mean conjunctiva | mean cornea | mean retina | mean tear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 793.0 | 7840.0 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 749.0 |
| $T_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{h})$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 8.0 |
| $T_{1 / 2}$ (h) | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | $40.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $23.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | $29.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $T_{\text {last }}$ (h) | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 72.0 | 72.0 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 72.0 |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0-\mathrm{last}}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{g}$ ) | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 9819.0 | 28349.0 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 16573.0 |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0-24}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 4734.0 | 19409.0 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 7952.0 |
| $\mathrm{AUC}_{0 \text {-inf }}(\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 13741.0 | 35802.0 | $\mathrm{ND}^{a}$ | 18750.0 |

${ }^{a}$ ND: not determined (parameters not determined due to an inadequately defined terminal elimination phase). ${ }^{b}$ The adjusted linear regression coefficient of the concentration value on the terminal phase is less than $0.9 ; T_{1 / 2}$ might not be accurately estimated. Composite mean concentration was used in PK parameter calculation.


Figure 9. Effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ and Cact-3 on tear volume in normal mice. (A) Tear volume was measured in each group treated with $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ at different concentrations by phenol red thread test (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=6$ ). (B) Tear volume was measured in each group treated with Cact-3 at different concentrations by phenol red thread test (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=6$ ). Mice were treated with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ eye drops of the vehicle ( $5 \%$ polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer), 16d, and Cact-3. $*_{p}<0.05, *^{* *} p<0.01$, ${ }^{* * *} p<0.001$.


Figure 10. Effect of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ on tear volume and ocular surface damage in scopolamine-induced dry eye mouse model. (A) Tear volume of each group was measured with phenol red thread test (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=5$ ). (B) Corneal erosion grade of each group was measured by fluorescein staining on a fivepoint scale (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=5$ ). (C) Representative images of corneal fluorescein-stained mouse eyes. Mice were treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of eye drops of the vehicle ( $5 \%$ polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer), $\mathbf{1 6 d}(2060 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and diquafosol three times a day for 10 days while maintaining the dry eye condition. NT: no treatment; Diquas: diquafosol; ns: not significant. ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{*} p<0.01$.


Figure 11. Effect of 16 d on the mRNA expression levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and MMPs in cornea and conjunctiva. (A-F) mRNA expression level of IL-1 $\beta$, IL-6, IL-17, TNF- $\alpha$, MMP2, and MMP9 in cornea and conjunctiva. Mice were treated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of eye drops of the vehicle ( $5 \%$ polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer), $\mathbf{1 6 d}(2060 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and diquafosol three times a day for 10 days while maintaining the dry eye condition (mean $\pm$ S.E., $n=5$ ). NT: no treatment; diquas: diquafosol; ns: not significant. $* p<0.05, * * p<0.01, * * * p<0.001, * * * * p<0.0001$.
and improved corneal erosion in scopolamine-induced dry eye mice comparable to diquafosol. 16d also significantly reduced mRNA expression levels of MMP2 and pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1 $\beta$, IL-17, and TNF- $\alpha$ in cornea and conjunctiva of scopolamine-induced dry eye mice. Taken together, these results suggest that $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ will shed light on the development of novel therapeutic agent for DED.

## ■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All commercially available solvents and reagents were used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using precoated TLC Silica gel (Merck, 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ ). Column chromatography was carried out by MPLC (CombiFlash) using prepacked silica gel columns (Agela technologies, 40-60 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, spherical particles). UPLC/MS was carried out using a Waters Acquity UPLC system with an Acquity PDA detector (UV $=210-400$ $\mathrm{nm})$ and Acquity QDa detector. The flow rate was $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, and the solvent system was $[95 \% A+5 \% B]$ to $[5 \% A+95 \% B](A=0.1 \%$ formic acid in water, $B=0.1 \%$ formic acid in MeOH ). An Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column ( $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column $)$ was used. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ascend 400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). All spectra were recorded in commercially available deuterated solvents ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$, Cambridge isotope laboratories). Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are in parts per million. Abbreviations representing multiplicity are reported as follows: $s=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, and $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet. HRMS was carried out using a JMS-T200GC with a field desorption probe (mass range $\mathrm{m} /$ $z \sim 1000$ ). HPLC was done on a YMC-Pack ODS-A column ( $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $150 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ water/acetonitrile (containing $0.05 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid), 40 min linear gradient, $20-100 \%$ acetonitrile. UV absorbance was detected at 290 nm . The purity of final compounds was determined to be above $95 \%$ by this method, with exception of compounds $\mathbf{5 b}$ (not detectable), $\mathbf{5 h}$ ( $93 \%$ ), $\mathbf{5 i}$ ( $85 \%$ ), $\mathbf{5 j}$ (not detectable), $\mathbf{8}$ (not detectable), $9 \mathbf{a}$ (not detectable), $9 \mathbf{b}$ (not detectable), 11c (92\%), 14b (not detectable), and 14h (not detectable). However, their purity and structure were analyzed by NMR, UPLC-MS, and HRMS. The results are consistent with
structures. Melting points were determined on an MPA100 Optimelt automated melting point system by Stanford Research Systems without correction, and the values were written as a single point.

Compounds for preliminary SAR are described in the Supporting Information.
(E)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-(dimethylamino)prop-2-en-1-one (2). $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Dimethoxyacetophenone ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF-DMA ( $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DMF $(2.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to reflux for 18 h . The reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The mixture was extracted by EA and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ to give $2(193 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 237 [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$].

Methyl 7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxylate (3). $2(190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyl 5 -amino-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in acetic acid $(5.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to reflux for 2 h . The reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 0 to $50 \% \mathrm{EA}$ in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified by using DCM and hexane to give $3(87.8 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.87 (dd, $J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 314\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl) pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxylic Acid (4). 3 ( $915 \mathrm{mg}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} /$ $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 / 20 / 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 5.84 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . Then the precipitated crystals were filtered out by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $4(980 \mathrm{mg},>99 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 300\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(4-ethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide. (1, Cact-3) $4(6000 \mathrm{mg}, 20.04$
$\mathrm{mmol}), p$-phenetidine ( $2.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $8359 \mathrm{mg}, 22.04$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(6.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $1(4360 \mathrm{mg}$, $51 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.2,155.5,151.9,150.9,150.64,150.62,148.9,146.0$, $131.8,123.8,122.6,122.5,114.8,113.4,111.9,109.0,97.2,63.6,56.18$, 56.17, 15.2; MS-ESI $m / z 419$ [ $\left.\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=418.16356$; found, $418.16315 ; \mathrm{mp} 157.1$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.90 \%$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (5a). 4 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline $(0.029 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \% \mathrm{EA}$ in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $5 \mathrm{a}(75.3 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 160.6,151.9,151.0,150.7$, $150.4,148.9,146.1,138.9,129.2,124.5,123.8,122.5,120.9,113.5$, 111.9, 109.1, 97.3, 56.20, 56.18; MS-ESI $m / z 375\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=374.13734$; found, 374.13745 ; mp $179.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.62 \%$.

Methyl 2-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzoate (5b). 4 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, methyl 2 -aminobenzoate ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $\mathbf{5 b}(44.4 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $12.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 168.1, 160.2, 152.0, 151.2, 151.1, 149.6, 149.0, $146.1,140.8,135.2,131.4,124.4,123.6,122.2,120.3,115.8,113.0$, 111.7, 109.4, 97.5, 56.2, 56.1, 52.9; MS-ESI $m / z 433\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMSFD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=432.14282$; found, 432.14303; mp $162.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 3-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzoate (5c). 4 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ mmol ), methyl 3-aminobenzoate ( $0.048 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $65 \% \mathrm{EA}$ in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $5 \mathrm{c}(86.2 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $10.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.5,160.9,152.0,151.0,150.6,150.1,148.9$, $146.1,139.3,130.6,129.6,125.5,125.1,123.8,122.5,121.5,113.5$, 111.9, 109.2, 97.5, 56.20, 56.17, 52.7; MS-ESI $m / z 433$ [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$]; HRMSFD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=432.14282$; found, 432.14276; mp $179.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.73 \%$.

Methyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzoate (5d). 4 ( $5000 \mathrm{mg}, 16.71$
mmol), methyl 4-aminobenzoate ( $2558 \mathrm{mg}, 18.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU $(6970 \mathrm{mg}, 18.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(5.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.41$ mmol ) were combined in $\mathrm{DCM}(167 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 3 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $\mathbf{5 d}(3501 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.93-3.89 (m, 6H), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 166.3, 161.0, 152.0, 151.1, 150.7, 150.0, 148.9, 146.1, 143.4, 130.6, 125.1, 123.8, 122.5, 120.2, 113.5, 111.9, 109.2, 97.6, 56.21, 56.18, 52.4; MS-ESI $m / z 433\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=432.14282$; found, 432.14287 ; mp $218.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.51 \%$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- N -(4-(2-morpholinoethoxy)-phenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (5e). 4 (1350 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-(2-morpholinoethoxy)aniline ( $1203 \mathrm{mg}, 5.41$ mmol ), HBTU ( $2566 \mathrm{mg}, 6.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(2.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 45 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and diethyl ether to give $5 \mathrm{e}(1758 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 160.2,155.4,151.9,150.9,150.65,150.61,148.9,146.1$, 132.0, 123.8, 122.6, 114.9, 113.4, 111.9, 109.0, 97.2, 66.6, 65.9, 57.5, 56.20, 56.18, 54.1; MS-ESI $m / z 504\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=503.21632$; found, $503.21613 ; \mathrm{mp} 161.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.33 \%$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(4-morpholinophenyl)-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (5f). 4 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 4-morpholinoaniline ( $0.056 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU $(152 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $65 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $\mathbf{5 f}(81.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $67 \%)$ as a gray solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.82-3.70 (m, 4H), 3.13-2.97 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 160.0,151.9,150.9,150.71,150.66,148.8,148.2,146.1,131.0$, 123.8, 122.6, 122.1, 115.7, 113.4, 111.9, 109.0, 97.2, 66.6, 56.19, 56.17, 49.2; MS-ESI $m / z 460\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ $m / z=459.1011$; found, 459.19031 ; mp $140.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 99.54\%.

Methyl 4-((7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)methyl)benzoate ( 5 g ). 4 ( 80 mg , 0.27 mmol ), methyl 4-(aminomethyl)benzoate hydrochloride (64.68 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $5 \mathrm{~g}(82.5 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.09(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 166.6, 161.9, 151.8, 150.8, 150.6, 150.1, 148.8, 146.1, 145.7, 129.7, 128.6, 127.8, 123.6, 122.6, 113.2, 111.9, 109.0, 97.0, 56.2, 56.0, 52.5, 42.5; MS-ESI $m / z 447\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ $m / z=446.15847$; found, 446.15827; mp $72.1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 98.02\%.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(4-morpholinobenzyl)-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (5h). 4 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$
mmol ), (4-morpholinophenyl)methanamine ( $61.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.80 mmol ) were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to 50\% EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $5 \mathrm{~h}(68.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%)$ as a beige solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $8.92-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 161.6, 151.8, 150.8, 150.6, 150.4, 148.8, 146.0, 130.6, 128.7, 123.6, $122.6,115.5,113.2,111.9,108.9,96.9,66.5,56.2,56.0,49.2,42.2$; MSESI $m / z 474\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=$ 473.20576; found, 473.20623 ; mp $83.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)-3-fluorobenzoate (5i). To a solution of $4(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF (catalytic amount) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.34 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated and added dropwise to a solution of methyl 4-amino-3-fluorobenzoate ( $112.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(0.16$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $5 \mathrm{i}(70.5 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%)$ as a beige solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $10.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 165.4,160.3,154.7,152.2,152.0,151.4,150.8$, 149.0, 148.8, 146.2, 130.8, 130.7, 127.04, 126.97, 126.5, 126.4, 123.7, 123.6, 122.4, 116.7, 116.4, 113.2, 111.9, 109.6, 97.7, 56.2, 56.12, 56.10, 52.9; MS-ESI $m / z 451\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=450.13340$; found, 450.13344 ; mp $242.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 3-Chloro-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzoate (5j). To a solution of 4 $(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF (catalytic amount) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.34 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated and added dropwise to a solution of methyl 4-amino-3-chlorobenzoate $(124.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(0.16$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $\mathbf{5 j}(236 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a beige solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.92$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 165.7$, $159.8,152.0,151.0,150.2,149.0,148.8,146.9,138.4,130.5,129.5$, 126.0, 123.3, 122.4, 122.3, 120.0, 112.3, 110.9, 108.7, 98.2, 56.3, 56.1, 52.3; MS-ESI $m / z 467\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=466.10385$; found, 466.10440 ; mp $259.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)-3-methoxybenzoate (5k). To a solution of $4(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, DMF (catalytic amount) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.34 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated and added dropwise to a solution of methyl 4-amino-3-methoxybenzoate ( $121.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \%$ MeOH in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $\mathbf{5 k}(220.0 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%)$ as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.2,159.5,152.0,151.4,151.0,148.9$, 148.7, 148.0, 146.2, 131.7, 125.2, 123.6, 123.3, 122.4, 118.4, 113.3,
111.9, 111.3, 109.6, 97.3, 56.7, 56.3, 56.1, 52.6; MS-ESI m/z 463 [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=462.15339$; found, 462.15350 ; mp $229.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $96.54 \%$.

Methyl 4-Amino-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)benzoate (6). tert-Butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 2.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $388 \mathrm{mg}, 5.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at r.t.; then methyl 4-amino-3-hydroxybenzoate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) solution in DCM ( 7.5 mL ) was added dropwise. After stirring for 2.5 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 10 to $25 \%$ EA in hexane to give $6(722 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as a pale pink solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.37$ (dd, $\left.J=8.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 282\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.

Methyl 3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2carboxamido)benzoate (7). To a solution of $4(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 3 mL ), DMF (catalytic amount) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{M}, 1.67 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated and added dropwise to a solution of $6(93.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \%$ MeOH in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $7(131.2 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 9.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 563\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.

Methyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)-3-hydroxybenzoate (8). 7 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After stirring for 1 h , the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM to give $8(43.9 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.85$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.3,159.4$, 151.9, 151.4, 151.0, 149.2, 148.6, 146.4, 146.3, 131.0, 125.2, 123.5, 122.5, 121.6, 118.7, 115.2, 113.0, 112.0, 109.7, 97.4, 56.2, 56.0, 52.5; MS-ESI $m / z 449\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=448.13774$; found, 448.13770 ; $\mathrm{mp} 253.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2carboxamido)benzoic Acid (9a). 5d ( $2260 \mathrm{mg}, 5.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(21 / 34 / 17 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 10.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . Then the precipitated crystals were filtered out by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 9 a $(2920 \mathrm{mg},>99 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ $12.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-7.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.00-3.82 (m, 6H) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 167.4,161.0$, 152.0, 151.1, 150.7, 150.1, 148.9, 146.1, 143.0, 130.7, 126.3, 123.8, 122.5, 120.1, 113.5, 111.9, 109.2, 97.6, 56.21, 56.19; MS-ESI $m / z 419$ [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=418.12717$; found, $418.12753 ; \mathrm{mp} 253.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl) pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)-3-fluorobenzoic Acid (9b). 5 i ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(0.3 / 0.8 / 0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . Then the precipitated crystals were filtered out by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $9 \mathrm{~b}(24.6 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as an orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.42,166.40,160.2,154.7,152.3,152.0,151.3$,
$150.8,149.0,148.8,146.2,130.3,130.2,128.43,128.36,126.50,126.48$, 123.7, 123.6, 122.4, 116.7, 116.5, 113.2, 111.9, 109.6, 97.7, 56.2, 56.12, 56.10; MS-ESI $m / z 437\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=436.11775$; found, 436.11742 ; mp $239.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-N-(4-(morpholine-4-carbonyl)-phenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (10a). 9a (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, morpholine ( $0.019 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( 109 mg , $0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $\mathbf{1 0 a}(58.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $62 \%$ ) as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.43$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 169.3$, $160.8,152.0,151.1,150.7,150.2,148.9,146.1,140.2,131.2,128.5$, 123.8, 122.5, 120.4, 113.5, 111.9, 109.2, 97.5, 66.6, 56.21, 56.18, 54.1; MS-ESI $m / z 488\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=487.18502$; found, 487.18513 ; mp $240.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.48 \%$.
tert-Butyl 4-(4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzoyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (10b). 9a ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate $(42.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, to give 10b (109 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ as an off-white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.45$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.88$ (m, 6H), 3.61-3.35 (m, 8H), 1.41 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.4,160.8,154.3,151.9,151.1,150.7,150.2,148.8$, 146.1, 140.2, 131.3, 128.5, 123.8, 122.5, 120.3, 113.4, 111.9, 109.2, 97.5, 79.7, 56.20, 56.17, 55.4, 28.5; MS-ESI $m / z 587\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+) m / z=586.25343$; found, 586.25324; mp $154.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.09 \%$.
tert-Butyl (2-(4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)benzamido)ethyl)carbamate (10c). 9a ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl $N$-(2-aminoethyl)carbamate ( $0.036 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give 10c ( $76.3 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-$ $3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 166.3,160.8,156.2,151.9,151.1$, $150.7,150.2,148.8,146.1,141.4,130.2,128.4,123.8,122.5,120.0$, 113.4, 111.9, 109.2, 97.5, 78.2, 56.2, 56.1, 28.7; MS-ESI $m / z 583$ $\left[\mathrm{MNa}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for C29H32N6O6 $(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / z=560.23778$; found, 560.23779 ; mp $183.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.66 \%$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- N -(4-(4-methylpiperazine-1-carbonyl)phenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (10d). 9a ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-methylpiperazine ( $0.025 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.23$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( 0.10 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $10 \mathrm{~d}(77.0 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.44-2.27 (m, 4H), $2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 501\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- $N$-(4-(piperazine-1-carbonyl)-phenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide Hydrochloride (11a). 10b ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in MeOH $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of hydrogen chloride in dioxane $(4 \mathrm{~N}$, 0.21 mL ) and stirring at r.t. for 26 h . The crude mixture was solidified using acetone to give $11 \mathrm{a}(25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%)$ as an orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 169.5,160.9,151.9,151.1,150.6,150.2$, 148.8, 146.1, 140.5, 130.4, 128.7, 123.8, 122.5, 120.4, 113.4, 111.9, 109.2, 97.6, 56.20, 56.15, 42.9; MS-ESI $m / z 487$ [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+) m / z=486.17768$; found, 486.17794; mp $69.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $95.80 \%$.

N-(4-((2-Aminoethyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide Hydrochloride (11b). 10c ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of hydrogen chloride in dioxane $(4 \mathrm{~N}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirring at r.t. for 26 h . The crude mixture was solidified using acetone to give $\mathbf{1 1 b}(26.9 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as an orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73-8.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.13-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 166.7,160.9,151.9,151.1$, $150.6,150.1,148.8,146.1,141.7,129.6,128.7,123.8,122.5,120.0$, 113.4, 111.9, 109.2, 97.6, 56.22, 56.17, 39.1, 37.6; MS-ESI $m / z 461$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+) m / z=460.16203$; found, $460.16260 ; \mathrm{mp} 213.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.71 \%$.

7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)- N -(4-(4-methylpiperazine-1-carbonyl)phenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamide Hydrochloride (11c). 10d ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of hydrogen chloride in dioxane $(4 \mathrm{~N}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirring at r.t. for 26 h . The crude mixture was solidified using acetone to give $\mathbf{1 1 c}(24.7 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%)$ as an orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $11.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.4,160.9,151.9,151.1,150.7,150.1$, 148.8, 146.1, 140.6, 130.2, 128.7, 123.8, 122.5, 120.4, 113.4, 111.9, 109.2, 97.6, 56.23, 56.18, 52.4, 42.5; MS-ESI $m / z 501\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$; HRMSFD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / z=500.21665$; found, 500.21600 ; mp $74.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

N-Cyclohexyl-7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamide (12a). $4(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, cyclohexylamine ( $0.037 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give 12a ( $54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 160.8,151.8,150.7,150.62,150.58$, 148.7, 145.9, 123.5, 122.6, 113.4, 111.9, 108.7, 96.7, 56.2, 56.0, 48.4, 32.7, 25.6, 25.3; MS-ESI $m / z 381\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=380.18429$; found, 380.18449 ; mp $157.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.61 \%$.

Methyl (1s,4s)-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (12b). $4(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl cis-4-aminocyclohexanecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $116.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 5 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified
by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $75 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $\mathbf{1 2 b}(98.1 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 175.1, 161.1, 151.8, 150.7, 150.6, 150.5, 148.7, 145.9, 123.6, 122.6, 113.4, 111.9, 108.7, 96.7, 56.2, 56.1, 51.9, 46.8, 39.3, 29.2, 25.6; MS-ESI $m / z 439\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] ;$HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 438.18977; found, 438.18971; HPLC purity: $98.45 \%$.

Methyl (1r,4r)-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate (12c). $4(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl trans-4-aminocyclohexanecarboxylate hydrochloride ( $356 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $698 \mathrm{mg}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(1.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $75 \%$ EA in hexane. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $12 \mathrm{c}(511.2 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 175.6, 161.0, 151.8, 150.7, 150.6, 150.5, 148.7, 145.9, 123.5, 122.6, 113.4, 111.9, 108.8, 96.8, 56.2, 56.0, 51.8, 47.9, 42.0, 31.4, 28.1; MS-ESI $m / z 439\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=438.18977$; found, 438.18981 ; mp $150.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.96 \%$.

Methyl 3-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylate (12d). $4(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl 3 -aminobicyclo[1.1.1]-pentane-1-carboxylate hydrochloride $(128.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU $(342 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in $\mathrm{DCM}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 20 to $50 \%$ EA in hexane, to give 12d ( $252 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 169.8,162.3,151.9,150.8$, $150.5,150.2,148.9,146.1,123.7,122.5,113.3,111.9,109.0,96.9,56.2$, 56.1, 54.6, 52.0, 46.1, 36.3; MS-ESI $m / z 423$ [MH ${ }^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=422.15847$; found, 422.15810; mp 148.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $99.40 \%$.
(1s,4s)-4-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)cyclohexane-1-carboxylic Acid (13a). 12b ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ $(1 / 0.8 / 0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ $\mathrm{N}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . Then the precipitated crystals were filtered out by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 13 a ( $40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 176.4,161.0,151.8,150.7,150.6,150.5$, 148.7, 145.9, 123.6, 122.6, 113.4, 111.9, 108.7, 96.7, 56.2, 56.1, 47.0, 39.3, 29.3, 25.6; MS-ESI $m / z 425\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=424.17412$; found, 424.17390 ; $\mathrm{mp} 234.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $96.67 \%$.
(1r,4r)-4-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)cyclohexane-1-carboxylic Acid (13b). 12c ( $1420 \mathrm{mg}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} /$ $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 / 22 / 11 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N}, 6.46 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . Then the precipitated crystals were filtered out by using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $\mathbf{1 3 b}(1099 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a
pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.22-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 176.9,161.0,151.8,150.7,150.6,150.5$, 148.7, 145.9, 123.5, 122.6, 113.4, 111.9, 108.8, 96.8, 56.2, 56.0, 48.0, 42.2, 31.6, 28.2; MS-ESI $m / z 425\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=424.17412$; found, 424.17419 ; mp $258.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $96.35 \%$.

3-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-2-carboxamido)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylic Acid (13c). 12d ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1 /$ $1.6 / 0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of sodium hydroxide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N}$, 0.48 mL ) and stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was acidified by adding 1 N HCl . The reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $13 \mathrm{c}(74.5 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 12.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left._{6}\right) \delta 171.2,162.3,151.8$, $150.8,150.5,150.2,148.9,146.1,123.7,122.5,113.3,111.9,109.0,96.9$, 56.2, 56.1, 54.4, 45.9, 36.6; MS-ESI $m / z 409\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=408.14282$; found, 418.14275; mp 235.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.94 \%$.
tert-Butyl (1-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)azetidin-3-yl)carbamate (14a). 4 (60 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl N -(azetidin-3-yl)carbamate ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( 0.10 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $\mathbf{1 4 a}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO $\left.-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-$ $4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.5,155.3,151.9,151.0,149.92,149.89,148.8,146.2$, 123.6, 122.7, 113.1, 111.8, 109.2, 97.8, 78.8, 60.9, 56.20, 56.16, 55.7, 28.6; MS-ESI $m / z 454\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ $m / z=453.20067$; found, 453.20071 ; mp $123.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 99.90\%.
tert-Butyl (R)-(1-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)carbamate (14b). 4 (60 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl $N$-[(3R)-pyrrolidin-3-yl] carbamate ( 41 mg , $0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give $\mathbf{1 4 b}(44.6 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 161.6,155.7,152.5,151.7,150.7$, 150.0, 149.4, 146.2, 123.7, 123.2, 114.4, 112.9, 108.6, 98.3, 78.5, 56.8, 56.5, 54.6, 42.6, 28.7, 18.8, 17.4; MS-ESI $m / z 468\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=467.21632$; found, 467.21623 ; mp $143.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
tert-Butyl (1-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate (14c). 4 (80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl N -(4-piperidyl) carbamate ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( 0.14 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM , to give $\mathbf{1 4 c}(124.8 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 162.5,155.3,151.8,151.0$, $150.8,149.9,148.8,146.1,123.5,122.7,113.3,111.8,108.7,97.7,78.1$, 56.20, 56.17, 54.1, 47.6, 45.8, 42.3, 41.2, 33.1, 32.0, 28.7, 18.5, 17.2, 13.0; MS-ESI $m / z 504$ [ $\mathrm{MNa}^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=481.23197$; found, 481.23171 ; mp $162.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 98.97\%.
tert-Butyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (14d). 4 (300 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $570 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( 0.52 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 $h$ at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \%$ MeOH in DCM, to give $\mathbf{1 4 d}$ ( $384 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 162.7,154.3,151.8,150.9,150.5,149.9,148.8$, 146.2, 123.6, 122.7, 113.3, 111.9, 108.8, 98.1, 79.7, 56.20, 56.18, 46.8, 42.2, 28.5; MS-ESI $m / z 468\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=467.21632$; found, 467.21648 ; mp $70.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 98.72\%.
tert-Butyl 4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)-2,2-dimethylpiperazine-1-carboxylate (14e). $4(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, tert-butyl 2,2-dimethylpiperazine-1carboxylate ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $65 \%$ EA in hexane, to give $\mathbf{1 4 e}(218 \mathrm{mg}$, $88 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (dd, $J=11.7,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=28.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 496\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.
tert-Butyl (S)-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)-2-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate (14f). 4 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (2S)-2-methylpiperazine-1carboxylate ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $475 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $65 \%$ EA in hexane, to give $\mathbf{1 4 f}(180 \mathrm{mg}$, $45 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.93-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.05$ (dd, $J=33.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 482\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.
tert-Butyl (R)-4-(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]-pyrimidine-2-carbonyl)-2-methylpiperazine-1-carboxylate (14g). 4 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (2R)-2-methylpiperazine-1carboxylate $(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU $(285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine $(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $65 \%$ EA in hexane, to give $\mathbf{1 4 g}(248 \mathrm{mg}$, $>99 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.59-8.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-$ $1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 482\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.
(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (14h). $4(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}), 1-$ phenylpiperazine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was
extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 50 to $75 \%$ EA in hexane, to give $\mathbf{1 4 h}$ (135.1 $\mathrm{mg},>99 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 162.5,151.8,151.2,150.9,150.7,149.9,148.8$, 146.1, 129.5, 123.6, 122.7, 119.9, 116.3, 113.3, 111.9, 108.8, 98.1, 56.23, 56.19, 49.5, 48.9, 46.9, 42.3; MS-ESI $m / z 444\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z=443.19519$; found, 443.19590; mp 118.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (14i). 4 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1methylpiperazine $(0.023 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( $95.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, to give $14 \mathrm{i}(52.8 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.35-2.28 (m, 2H), $2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) 162.5, 151.8, 150.84, 150.76, 149.9, 148.7, 146.1, 123.5, 122.7, 113.2, 111.8, 108.7, 97.9, 56.2, 56.1, 55.5, 54.8, 46.9, 46.0, 42.2; MS-ESI $m / z$ $382\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / z=381.17954$; found, 381.17925 ; mp $150.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.54 \%$.
(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)(4-isopropylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (14j). 4 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-isopropylpiperazine ( $0.029 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $95.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, to give 14 j ( $58.6 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-$ $2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 162.4,151.8,150.9,150.7,149.9,148.7,146.1,123.6,122.7,113.3$, $111.8,108.7,97.9,56.17,56.15,55.4,49.0,48.2,18.3$; MS-ESI $m / z 410$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+) m / z=409.21084$; found, 409.21099 ; mp $155.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.12 \%$.
(4-Cyclopropylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (14k). 4 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, 1-cyclopropylpiperazine $(0.027 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, HBTU ( 95.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, to give $\mathbf{1 4 k}(58.2 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.48-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.37-0.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.5,151.8$, $150.9,150.8,149.9,148.7,146.1,123.5,122.7,113.2,111.8,108.7,97.9$, 56.18, 56.15, 53.7, 53.0, 47.0, 42.2, 38.4, 6.2; MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / z=407.19519$; found, 407.19567; mp $139.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.60 \%$.
(4-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (14I). 4 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyclopropyl(piperazin-1-yl)methanone hydrochloride ( $38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HBTU ( $95.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine $(0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 2 to $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using DCM and hexane to give 141 ( $48.3 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as a
pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85-0.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.8,162.7$, 151.8, 150.9, 150.5, 149.9, 148.8, 146.2, 123.6, 122.6, 113.2, 111.8, 108.8, 98.2, 56.2, 47.2, 46.9, 45.8, 45.0, 42.7, 42.5, 42.3, 41.7, 10.8, 7.6; MS-ESI $m / z 436\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=435.19011$; found, $435.19080 ; \mathrm{mp} 67.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.83 \%$.
(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)-(piperazin-1-yl)methanone (15a). 14d ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.107 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of hydrogen chloride in dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{~N}, 0.27 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirring at r.t. for 26 h . The crude mixture was solidified using diethyl ether to give $\mathbf{1 5 a}(25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%)$ as an orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-$ $3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ 162.6, 151.8, 151.1, 149.9, 148.8, 146.2, 123.5, 122.6, 113.2, 111.8, 109.0, 98.5, 56.25, 56.19, 44.0, 43.4, 42.9, 39.2; MS-ESI $m / z 368$ [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$]; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+) \mathrm{m} / z=367.16389$; found, $367.16368 ; \mathrm{mp} 94.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.54 \%$.
(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)-(3,3-dimethylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (15b). 14 e ( 218 mg , $0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at r.t. for 4 h . After evaporation, the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ ( 129.7 mg , $75 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.85-2.70 (m, 2H), $1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI m/z 396 [ $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$].
(S)-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)(3-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (15c). 14f ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and TFA $(0.034 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined in DCM $(0.5$ mL ) at r.t. for 4 h . After evaporation, the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{1 5 c}(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-2.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 382$ [ $\left.\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.
(R)-(7-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)(3-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methanone (15d). $14 \mathrm{~g}(241 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol ) and TFA ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 5 mL ) at r.t. for 4 h . After evaporation, the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give $15 \mathrm{~d}(129.4 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.60-8.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-$ $7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.18-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; MS-ESI $m / z 382\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$.
(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo-[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (16a). 15a ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $0.066 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( 128 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DMF ( 1 mL ). After stirring for 3 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq NaCl . The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 60 to $80 \%$ EA in hexane, to give $\mathbf{1 6 a}(50.2 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%)$ as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 2.47-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.36 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 162.5,151.8,150.82,150.75,149.9,148.7,146.1,138.2$, 129.4, 129.4, 128.7, 128.7, 127.5, 123.5, 122.7, 113.3, 111.8, 108.7,97.9, 62.3, 56.2, 56.2, 53.5, 52.7, 47.1, 42.3; MS-ESI $m / z 458\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=457.21084$; found, $457.21046 ; \mathrm{mp} 62.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $96.82 \%$.
(4-Benzoylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (16b). 15 a ( 75 mg , 0.19 mmol ), benzoyl chloride ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 2 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq NaCl . The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM, to give $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ ( 50.3 mg , $67 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.7,162.7,151.8,150.9,150.5,149.9,148.8$, 146.2, 136.1, 130.1, 128.9, 127.5, 123.6, 122.7, 113.3, 111.9, 108.9, 98.2, 56.2, 56.2, 47.1, 42.4; MS-ESI $m / z 472\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=471.19011$; found, 471.19034 ; $\mathrm{mp} 88.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $97.51 \%$.
(4-Benzoyl-3,3-dimethylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (16c). 15b ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzoyl chloride ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46$ mmol ), and triethylamine ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give 16c ( $126.2 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.70-8.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.14-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.2,163.5,152.5,150.9,150.8,150.1$, 149.4, 146.3, 138.6, 129.7, 128.8, 126.9, 123.7, 123.3, 114.7, 112.9, 108.8, 99.4, 57.8, 56.8, 56.6, 23.9; MS-ESI $m / z 500\left[\mathrm{MH}_{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / z=499.22141$; found, 499.22111; mp $87.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $97.26 \%$.
(S)-(4-Benzoyl-3-methylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (16d). 15 c ( $3258 \mathrm{mg}, 8.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzoyl chloride ( $1.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.8$ mmol ), and triethylamine ( $5.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 85 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $\mathbf{1 6 d}(2509 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.59-8.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.07-6.96 (m, 2H), 4.98-4.47 (m, 3H), 4.05-3.79 (m, 7H), 3.54$2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 169.1, 162.6, 151.6, 149.8, 149.7, 149.2, 148.4, 145.3, 135.9, 128.8, 127.9, 126.1, 122.8, 122.2, 113.7, 111.8, 107.7, 97.4, 55.8, 55.6, 47.3, 14.8; MS-ESI $m / z 486\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$; HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=485.20576$; found, $485.20555 ; \mathrm{mp} 83.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: 99.97\%.
(R)-(4-Benzoyl-3-methylpiperazin-1-yl)(7-(3,4dimethoxyphenyl) pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidin-2-yl)methanone (16e). 15 d ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzoyl chloride ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46$ mmol ), and triethylamine ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in DCM ( 3 mL ). After stirring for 24 h at r.t., the reaction mixture was extracted by DCM and aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was purified by MPLC, elution gradient 5 to $9 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM. The crude mixture was solidified using EA and hexane to give $16 e(127.4 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%)$ as a pale-yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-$ $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.37-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.1,162.6$, 151.5, 149.8, 149.7, 149.2, 148.4, 145.3, 135.9, 128.8, 127.9, 126.0, 122.8, 122.2, 113.7, 111.8, 107.7, 97.3, 55.8, 55.6, 47.2, 14.8; MS-ESI $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 486[\mathrm{MH}+] ;$ HRMS-FD calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ 485.20576; found, 485.20595 ; mp $94.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HPLC purity: $98.28 \%$.

YFP Fluorescence Quenching Assay. CHO-K1 cells expressing wild-type human CFTR with the halide sensor YFP-H148Q/I152L were plated in 96 -well microplates at a density of $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well. CHO-CFTR-YFP cells were incubated for 48 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Assays were done using FLUOstar Omega microplate reader (BMG labtech, Allmendgrün, Ortenberg, Germany) and MARS Data Analysis

Software (BMG labtech). Briefly, each well of a 96 -well plate was washed three times in PBS ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ wash $)$. Then, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS was added to each well. Test compounds ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to each well at a final concentration of $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After $10 \mathrm{~min}, 96$-well plates were transferred to the microplate reader preheated to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for fluorescence assay. Each well was assayed individually for CFTR-mediated $\mathrm{I}^{-}$influx by recording fluorescence continuously ( 400 ms per point) for 2 s (baseline). Then, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{I}^{-}$solution was added at 2 s , and then YFP fluorescence was recorded for 14 s . Initial iodide influx rate was determined from the initial slope of fluorescence decrease, by nonlinear regression, following infusion of iodide.
Solubility Test Protocol. PBS ( pH 7.5 ) was prepared by mixing $81 \% 0.0667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ and $19 \% 0.0667 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and NaCl was added to adjust isotonicity. Then, test compounds were dissolved in PBS ( pH 7.5 ) at $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and vortexed for 90 min , after which the compound solutions dissolved in PBS were sequentially filtered through a $0.45,1.2,5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter (Minisart NML, CA). The concentration of filtered test compounds was measured by LC-MS/MS using an Agilent 1290 Infinity UPLC coupled with Sciex Triple Quadrupole 5500 system with the appropriate dilution of the samples. To quantify the concentration of test compounds, all calibration curves consisted of at least six calibrator concentrations, a blank sample (with internal standard), and a double blank sample (without internal standard). The calibration curves were constructed by the weighted linear or quadratic regression method $(1 / x)$ of peak area ratios of analyte to internal standard versus actual concentration. The solubility of the test compounds was back-calculated by substituting peak area ratios of analyte to internal standard of filtered test compounds into the calibration curve.

Molecular Docking Simulation. All applications in the molecular docking simulation were provided in Maestro module of Schrödinger Suite 2022-2. ${ }^{30}$ The cryo-EM structure of CFTR was obtained from protein data bank (PDB id: 6O2P), ${ }^{25}$ which was prepared with Protein Preparation Wizard. The receptor grid was generated $20 \times 20 \times 20 \AA$ space region centered at the co-ligand of the complex structure, and then the low-energy 3D structures of Cact-3, 16d, and 16e were docked with default values in SP mode using Glide module. Using the structure of protein-ligand complex corresponding to the best pose of Cact-3, 16d, and 16e, the protein residues that have atoms within $3 \AA$ of the ligand were refined in the environment with an implicit membrane by Refine Protein-Ligand Complex module. We calculated each binding energy of 16d/16e using the MM-GBSA method with Prime, where the implicit membrane was also considered. The protein-ligand interactions were analyzed by Discovery Studio Modeling Environment v4.026 (BIOVIA, San Diego, CA, USA), and the docking models were displayed using PyMOL version 2.0.47.
Ussing Chamber Experiment. Snapwell (Corning Inc., NY, USA) inserts containing CFTR-expressing FRT cells and primary cultured human conjunctival epithelial cells were mounted in Ussing chambers. For the measurement of apical membrane current in FRT-CFTR cells, the apical bath was filled with a half- $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$solution and the basolateral bath was filled with $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$-buffered solution to generate transepithelial $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ gradient (apical, 64 mM ; basolateral, 129 mM ), and the basolateral membrane was permeabilized with $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin B. For short-circuit current measurements in primary cultured human conjunctival epithelial cells, apical and basolateral baths were filled with $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$-buffered solution. Cells were bathed for a 20 min stabilization period and aerated with $95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Forskolin, 16d, and $\mathrm{CFTR}_{\text {inh }}-172$ were added to the apical and basolateral bath solutions. Apical membrane current and short-circuit current were measured with an EVC4000 Multi-Channel V/I Clamp (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) and recorded using PowerLab 4/35 (AD Instruments, Colorado Springs, CO, USA). Data were collected and analyzed with Labchart Pro 7 software (AD Instruments). The sampling rate was 4 Hz .
Pharmacokinetics and Ocular Tissue Distribution Study. The purpose of this study was to determine the plasma pharmacokinetics and ocular tissue distribution of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ following topical instillation at a volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ eye ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg} /$ eye) to the right eye of naïve male New Zealand White Rabbit. 16d was monitored in plasma and ocular tissues
(from one eye) for up to $72 \mathrm{~h} \mathbf{1 6 d}$ used in this experiment was dissolved in $5 \%$ Polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer. Animals were administered 16d Eye Drops by single topical instillation administration at $0.1 \mathrm{mg} /$ eye. Plasma, tear, cornea, conjunctiva, and retina samples were collected at $0.5,1,4,8,12,24,48$, and 72 h post-dose. Concentrations of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ in plasma, tear, cornea homogenate, conjunctiva homogenate, and retina homogenate samples were determined by a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method. The plasma, tear, cornea, conjunctiva, and retina concentration of $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ in study animals was subjected to a non-compartmental pharmacokinetic analysis by using the Phoenix WinNonlin software (version 6.3 or above, Pharsight). The linear/log trapezoidal rule was applied in obtaining the PK parameters. Tear, cornea, conjunctiva, retina, aqueous humor, and lacrimal gland concentration values that were below the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) were excluded from the PK parameter calculation.

Corneal and Conjunctival Epithelial Cytotoxicity Test. Immortalized human corneal epithelial cells and conjunctival epithelial cells (Innoprot, Bizkaia, Spain) were plated on 96 -well microplates. After 24 h incubation, cells were treated with $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ candidate compounds or $0.01 \%$ Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), and then they were incubated for 2 days. An equal amount of DMSO was added to the control. The culture medium and the compounds were changed every 12 h . To assess cell proliferation after 48 h of incubation with the compound, the cells were re-incubated with MTS for 1 h . The soluble formazan produced by cellular reduction of MTS was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 490 nm with infinite M200 microplate reader (Infinite M200 Pro, Tecan Group Ltd., Grödig, Austria). MTS assay was done using CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Whole-Cell Patch Clamp. Whole-cell, patch-clamp recordings were performed on CFTR-expressing CHO-K1 cells. The bath solution contained (in mM) 140 NMDG-Cl, $1 \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 10$ glucose, and 10 HEPES ( pH 7.4 ). The pipette solution contained (in mM) 130 $\mathrm{CsCl}, 0.5$ EGTA, $1 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, 1 Tris-ATP, and 10 HEPES ( pH 7.2 ). Pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass and had resistances of 3-5 $\mathrm{M} \Omega$ after fire polishing. Seal resistances were between 3 and $10 \mathrm{G} \Omega$. After establishing the whole-cell configuration, CFTR was activated by forskolin and/or 16d. Whole-cell currents were elicited by applying hyperpolarizing and depolarizing voltage pulses from a holding potential of 0 mV to potentials between -80 and +80 mV in steps of 20 mV . Recordings were made at room temperature using an Axopatch200B (Axon instruments, Foster City, CA, USA). Currents were digitized with a Digidata 1440A converter (Molecular Devices Co., Union City, CA USA), filtered at 5 kHz , and sampled at 1 kHz .

ANO1 Activity Measurement. Snapwell inserts containing FRT cells expressing human ANO1 were mounted in Ussing chambers. The apical bath was filled with a half- $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$solution, and the basolateral bath was filled with $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$-buffered solution to generate transepithelial $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ gradient (apical, 64 mM ; basolateral, 129 mM ), and the basolateral membrane was permeabilized with $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin B. Cells were bathed for a 20 min stabilization period and aerated with $95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} /$ $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ATP was applied to the apical bath solution to induce intracellular calcium increase. 16d or Ani9 was added to the apical and basolateral bath solution. 20 min before ANO1 activation, apical membrane currents were measured with an EVC4000 Multi-Channel V/I Clamp and PowerLab 4/35. Data were analyzed using Labchart Pro 7. The sampling rate was 4 Hz .

VRAC Activity Measurement. HeLa cells were stably transfected with YFP-F46L/H148Q/I152L, a halide sensor YFP. After the cells were incubated on 96 -well microplates for 48 h , each well of the 96 -well plate was washed three times in PBS ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ wash ) , and the wells were filled with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well isotonic solution (in mM ): $140 \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{KCl}, 20$ HEPES ( 310 mOsm ; pH 7.4 with NaOH ). In each well, VRAC expressed on the cells were stimulated with addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of hypotonic solutions (in mM ): $5 \mathrm{KCl}, 20$ HEPES, 90 mannitol ( 120 $\mathrm{mOsm} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Test compounds ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to each well in a dosedependent manner. After $5 \mathrm{~min}, 96$-well plates were transferred to a plate reader for fluorescence assay. Each well was assayed individually for VRAC-mediated $\mathrm{I}^{-}$influx by recording fluorescence continuously
( 400 ms per point) for 7.6 s . YFP fluorescence was recorded 0.4 s for baseline; then $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $140 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{I}^{-}$solution was added at 0.4 s to see the change in fluorescence. Initial iodide influx rate was determined from the initial slope of fluorescence decrease, by nonlinear regression, following infusion of iodide.
Intracellular cAMP Measurement. CHO-K1 cells grown on 12well culture plates were washed three times with PBS and then incubated in PBS containing $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The cells were treated with $\mathbf{1 6 d}$ or forskolin and incubated for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After a 10 min incubation, the cells were washed with cold PBS and cytosolic cAMP was measured using a cAMP immunoassay kit (Parameter cAMP Immunoassay Kit; R\&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) according to the manufacturer's protocol.
Animals. All animals use and care strictly conformed to the ARVO statements for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. This study was approved and reviewed by the institutional review board of Severance Hospital, Yonsei College of Medicine (Seoul, Korea) (IRB no.: 2019-0166).
Scopolamine-Induced Dry Eye Mouse Model and Application of Eyedrop. Eight weeks old female C57BL/6J mice obtained from Orientbio (Gyeonggi-do, South Korea) were used. The experimental period was carried out for a total of 24 days, and breeding is performed in a dry chamber (temperature: $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, humidity: $12 \%$ ) during the experiment period. To induce a dry eye model, $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / 0.1$ mL of scopolamine hydrobromide was injected subcutaneously three times a day ( $11: 00 \mathrm{am}, ~ 2: 00 \mathrm{pm}, 5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ ) for 14 days. After induction of dry eye mice model, each eye drops $0.3 \%$ diquafosol sodium (Diquas, ophthalmic solution Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), the vehicle (5\% Polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer), or 16d $(2060 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was applied three times a day (11:00 am, 2:00 pm, 5:00 pm ) for 10 days, with simultaneous subcutaneous injection of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ 0.1 mL of scopolamine hydrobromide for the first 8 days of treatment period. Eye drop application was done in both eyes, each $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, and maintained for 30 s .
Application of Eyedrops in Wild-Type Mouse. Eight weeks old female C57BL/6J mice obtained from Orient Bio (Seongnam, South Korea) were used. After 7 days for domestication, each eye drops 16 d , and Cact-3 was applied once. Eye drop application was done in both eyes each with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and maintained 30 s . The experiment was conducted with different concentrations of 16d and Cact-3. 5\% Polyoxyl 35 castor oil in sodium phosphate buffer was used as a vehicle.
Tear Volume Measurement. Tear volume was measured using phenol red threads (Showa Yakuhin Kako Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) by applying those in the lateral canthal areas of normal or dry eye modeltreated scopolamine hydrobromide mice for 15 s using forceps, and the measurement was done using a vernier caliper to check the length of wet thread under a microscope. Measuring was implemented three times in dry eye model mice, before inducing dry eye, after inducing dry eye, and 10 days after eye drop application. In experiments with normal female C57BL/6J mice, tear volume measurement using phenol red thread was done four times, right before application of eye drop ( 0 h , baseline), and $1 \mathrm{~h}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, and 6 h after application of eye drops.
Corneal Erosion Grading. To evaluate corneal epithelial erosion, 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of $1 \%$ fluorescein dye with $0.5 \%$ proparacaine was applied to the ocular surface of mice after 10 days of each treatment. Photographs of the ocular anterior segment were taken with the built-in digital camera in a microscope under cobalt-blue filtered light. Each corneal erosion was scored from 0 to 5 according to the Oxford scheme. ${ }^{31}$

Quantitative PCR Analysis. Total RNA was isolated using TriRNA reagent (FAVORGEN, Ping-Tung, Taiwan), and $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using RNA to cDNA EcoDryTM premix (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The relative mRNA levels were evaluated in ViiA7 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Target gene expression was normalized to that of the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The primer sequences used were as follows: GAPDH, sense (5-AACGACCCCTTCATTGACCT-3) and antisense (5-ATGT-TAGTGGGGTCTCGCTC-3), size of PCR product 155 base pairs; IL-1 $\beta$, sense (5-ACTCATTGTGGCTGTGGAGA-3) and antisense
(5-TTGTTCATCTCGGAGCCTGT-3), size of PCR product 199 base pairs; IL-6, sense (5-CTGCAAGAGACTTCCATCCAG-3) and antisense (5-AGTGGTATAGACAGGTCTGTTGG-3), size of PCR product 131 base pairs; IL-17, sense (5-GCTGACCCCTAA-GAAACCCC-3) and antisense (5- GAAGCAGTTTGG-GACCCCTT-3), size of PCR product 162 base pairs; TNF- $\alpha$, sense (5-AGCACAGAAAGCATGATCCG-3) and antisense (5-CGAT-CACCCCGAAGTTCAGT-3), size of PCR product 166 base pairs; MMP-2, sense (5-CGATGTCGCCCCTAAAACAG-3) and antisense (5- GCATGGTCTCGATGGTGTTC-3), size of PCR product 176 base pairs; and MMP-9, sense (5-AAAACCTCCAACCTCACGGA-3) and antisense (5-GTGGTGTTCGAATGGCCTTT-3), size of PCR product 190 base pairs.

Statistical Analysis. Student t-test was used to evaluate the significance of the differences, and $p<0.05$ was considered as significant.
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