Check for updates

RESEARCH NOTE

REVISED Evolutionary relations and population differentiation of *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii* Brandt, *Acipenser persicus* Borodin, and *Acipenser baerii* Brandt [version 2; referees: 2 approved]

Alexey A. Sergeev 匝

The Laboratory of Molecular Genetic Identification of Hydrobionts, Russian Federal Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography, Moscow, Russian Federation

V2 First published: 01 Dec 2016, 5:2807 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10237.1) Latest published: 23 Dec 2016, 5:2807 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10237.2)

Abstract

Russian (Acipenser gueldenstaedtii), Persian (A. persicus) and Siberian (A. baerii) sturgeons are closely related 'Ponto-Caspian' species. Investigation of their population structure is an important problem, the solution of which determines measures for conservation of these species. According to previous studies, 'baerii-like' mitochondrial genotypes were found in the Caspian Sea among 35% of Russian sturgeon specimens, but were not found in Persian sturgeons. This confirms genetic isolation of the Persian sturgeon from the Russian sturgeon in the Caspian Sea. However, in order to clarify the relationships of these species it is necessary to analyze nuclear DNA markers. The amplified fragment length polymorphism (method) allows estimating interpopulation and interspecific genetic distances using nuclear DNA markers. In the present study, four samples were compared: Persian sturgeons from the South Caspian Sea, Russian sturgeons from the Caspian Sea and the Sea of Azov, and Siberian sturgeons from the Ob' River, which are close to the latter two species, but are also clearly morphologically and genetically distinct from them. For the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) method, eight pairs of selective primers were used. The analysis revealed that the Siberian sturgeon has formed a separate branch from the overall Persian-Russian sturgeons cluster, which was an expected result. In addition, the results showed that the Caspian Russian sturgeon is closer to the Persian sturgeon from the Caspian Sea than to the Russian Sturgeon from the Sea of Azov. The present DNA marker data confirm that despite the genetic isolation of the Persian sturgeon from the Russian sturgeon in the Caspian Sea, the Persian sturgeon is a young species.



This article is included in the Phylogenetics channel.

Open Peer Review Referee Status: Invited Referees 1 2 REVISED version 2 published 23 Dec 2016 version 1 published 01 Dec 2016 report report report

- 1 Ekaterina V. Ponomareva, Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU) Russian Federation
- 2 Dmitri D. Pervouchine, Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST) Spain

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Alexey A. Sergeev (aleks.sergeyev@gmail.com)

How to cite this article: Sergeev AA. Evolutionary relations and population differentiation of *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii* Brandt, *Acipenser persicus* Borodin, and *Acipenser baerii* Brandt [version 2; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2016, 5:2807 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10237.2)

Copyright: © 2016 Sergeev AA. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The author(s) is/are employees of the US Government and therefore domestic copyright protection in USA does not apply to this work. The work may be protected under the copyright laws of other jurisdictions when used in those jurisdictions.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First published: 01 Dec 2016, 5:2807 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10237.1)

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

We would like to thank the reviewers for their comments on the manuscript, which was edited according their feedback. The new article version contains information on method limitations (Introduction) and selection criteria for primer combinations (Materials and methods). More suitable words were used in the abstract. We added an explanation of why only nuclear DNA markers were obtained (Results). Two additional references were added (References).

See referee reports

Introduction

Three closely related species, the Russian (*Acipenser guelden-staedtii*), Persian (*A. persicus*), and Siberian (*A. baerii*) sturgeons belong to a polychromosomal group of sturgeon species (2n = 240–260; Vasil'ev, 1985). They form the Ponto-Caspian clade of sturgeons (Birstein & DeSalle, 1998). *A. persicus* inhabits the Caspian Sea, and *A. gueldenstaedtii* inhabits the Caspian Sea and the Azov Sea (Berg, 1961). *A. baerii* is geographically isolated from the other two species, and it inhabits Siberian Rivers. Presumably, its Ponto-Caspian ancestors migrated to Siberia (Birstein & DeSalle, 1998).

These species are closely related, which has caused some difficulties with their molecular genetic identification and clarification of their phylogenetic relations. *A. persicus* was described as a species by Borodin in 1897 (Borodin, 1897). Later, Berg called it a morphologically distinguishable subspecies of *A. gueldenstaedtii* (Berg, 1961). Following Berg researchers considered the Persian sturgeon as a subspecies of the Russian sturgeon *Acipenser* gueldaenstadti persicus (Legeza, 1975), *Acipenser gueldaenstadti* persicus natio kurensis (Abdurakhmanov, 1962; Legeza & Voinova, 1967). Research of the antigenic components of sturgeon blood serum proteins, carried out in 1974, revealed that the Persian sturgeon is a valid sympatric species (Lukyanenko et al., 1974a; Lukyanenko et al., 1974b).

The taxonomic rank of *A. persicus* is still disputed. Some researchers point to a distinct morphological differences between Russian and Persian sturgeons (Artyukhin, 2008; Vasil'eva, 2004). Others find these differences indistinct and point to weakness of mitochondrial DNA marker applying for exact species identification of individuals of Russian, Persian and Adriatic (*A. naccarii*) sturgeons (Birstein *et al.*, 2005; Ruban *et al.*, 2008).

The Siberian sturgeon is geographically isolated from the Russian and Persian sturgeons and morphologically is easily distinguishable from them. However, approximately 30% of the Russian sturgeon specimens from the Caspian Sea have mitochondrial DNA that is similar to mitochondrial DNA of *A. baerii* (Jenneckens *et al.*, 2000). It was shown that a 'baerii-like' mitotype of *A. gueldenstaedtii* is similar, but not identical, to mitochondrial DNA of *A. baerii* (Muge *et al.*, 2008). In total, 2% of Russian sturgeons in the Azov Sea also have a 'baerii-like' mitotype (Timoshkina *et al.*, 2009), whereas this has not been found in Persian sturgeons (Muge *et al.*, 2008). It is assumed that the 'baerii-like' mitochondrial DNA found in some Russian sturgeons from the Caspian Sea is a result of an introgression event during the Pleistocene glaciation (Muge *et al.*, 2008; Rastorguev *et al.*, 2013).

In order to clarify the phylogenetic relations and population structure of the species within the Ponto-Caspian sturgeon clade, some authors point out the necessity to explore nuclear DNA markers (Krieger *et al.*, 2008; Muge *et al.*, 2008). It should be noted that currently researchers have the opportunity to work with single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers, which have been discovered for Ponto-Caspian sturgeons (Ogden *et al.*, 2013; Rastorguev *et al.*, 2013).

Moreover, to estimate genetic distances within the Ponto-Caspian sturgeon species group, the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) method is also applicable, as the AFLP technique allows to obtain a high number of dominant nuclear DNA markers (Congiu *et al.*, 2002).

By examining the differences between the populations and computing genetic distances we can make suggestions on approximate time of the population separation (Nei, 1972). The AFLP profiles show patterns of nuclear DNA markers obtained across the whole genome. This data analysis gives an opportunity to estimate genetic similarity of the samples, and statistically verify significance of the differences. However, the method has some limitations. Dominant markers are applicable for polyploid genome studies but less informative than co-dominant markers (Guillot & Carpentier-Skandalis, 2011). It allows to obtain a large marker set from nuclear DNA but these markers are anonymous (Vos et al., 1995). We can't distinguish which of them are selectively neutral and more informative. Therefore, it's not correct to make the ultimate phylogenetic conclusions based only on this data. The AFLP method could be very useful in comparison with the data obtained from other methods of nuclear DNA marker investigations.

This report presents the results of a molecular genetic study of interpopulation and interspecific genetic distances of the Ponto-Caspian sturgeon clade carried out with the AFLP method.

Materials and methods

For this research, sturgeon tissue samples (ethanol fixed fin fragments) were obtained from the Russian Federal Reference Collection of Genetic Materials (maintained by the Russian Federal Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography, Moscow, Russia). The sample included 24 specimens of *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Azov Sea (catalog number GUE2906,2908-2930), 24 specimens of *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Caspian Sea (catalog number GUE2812-2835), 24 specimens of *A. persicus* from the Southern Caspian Sea (catalog number PER0120-143) and 24 specimens of *A. baerii* from the Ob' River (catalog number BAE0325-348).

DNA was extracted and purified with the Wizard SV Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega). For genetic analysis, the

AFLP method was used (Vos *et al.*, 1995). Briefly, genomic DNA was incubated with the MspI and EcoRI enzyme combination (Fermentas). Next, DNA fragments were ligated with oligonucleotide adapters and used for pre-selective and selective PCR with combinations of fluorescent primers. Selective primer combinations produced sets of markers with different levels of polymorphism. The eight combinations demonstrated the most significant differentiation between samples and were selected for further analysis (Table 1):

 EcoFAM_AAG - Msp_pr_AAC, 2) EcoFAM_ATT -Msp_pr_AAG, 3) EcoFAM_ACA - Msp_pr_AAT, 4) EcoFAM_ AAG - Msp_pr_ACA, 5) EcoFAM_ACA - Msp_pr_ACC,
6) EcoFAM_ATT - Msp_pr_ACC, 7) EcoFAM_AAG -Msp_pr_ACT, 8) Eco-FAM_AAG - Msp_pr_ATC.

Pre-selective PCR was performed for 20 cycles with the following cycle profile: a 30 sec DNA denaturation step at 94° C, a 1 min annealing step at 56°C, and a 1 min extension step at 72°C. Selective PCR was performed for 36 cycles with the following cycle profile: a 30 sec DNA denaturation step at 94°C, a 30 sec annealing step, and a 1 min extension step at 72°C. The annealing temperature in the first cycle was 65°C, was subsequently reduced each cycle by 0.7°C for the next 12 cycles, and was continued at 56°C for the remaining 23 cycles. All steps were carried out with the PTC-225 Peltier Thermal Cycler (MJ Research).

Capillary electrophoresis was carried out with the ABI Prism Genetic Analyzer 3100 (Applied Biosystems).

Table 1. The list of AFLP primers (Syntol).

PCR	AFLP primers	5'-3' sequences of oligonucleotides
Pre-selective PCR	ERpr_A Msp_pr_A	gactgcgtaccaattcA gatgagtcctgagcggA
	EcoFAM_AAG EcoFAM_ATT EcoFAM_ACA	FAM gactgcgtaccaattcAag FAM gactgcgtaccaattcAtt FAM gactgcgtaccaattcAca
Selective PCR	Msp_pr_AAC Msp_pr_AAG Msp_pr_AAT Msp_pr_ACA Msp_pr_ACC	gatgagtcctgagcggAac gatgagtcctgagcggAag gatgagtcctgagcggAat gatgagtcctgagcggAca gatgagtcctgagcggAcc
	Msp_pr_ACT Msp_pr_ATC	gatgagtcctgagcggAct gatgagtcctgagcggAtc

Analysis of the obtained AFLP-profiles was performed using Phoretix 1D Advanced v. 5.20 software (Nonlinear Dynamics). The resulting binary matrix was created for further statistical analysis with the program Tools for Population Genetic Analysis v 1.3 (TFPGA). To estimate the allele frequencies of the dominant markers, we used the approach of Lynch & Milligan (1994), which allows work with tetraploid species (Rodzen & May, 2002). With TFPGA and the unweighted-pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) method, we obtained the matrix of genetic distances (Nei, 1978) between investigated samples and constructed a dendrogram.

Results

Using eight combinations of primers, we obtained AFLP profiles (Figure 1) with 588 markers (molecular length from 100 to 380 bp). In this study only nuclear DNA markers were investigated. Primary restriction site analysis confirmed that no mitochondrial DNA markers were amplified with used enzymes EcoRI, MspI and the applied primer combinations. In total, 79.59% of the loci were polymorphic. A total of 4 loci were species-specific and monomorphic in the AFLP profiles of *A. baerii*. The differentiation between Russian and Persian sturgeons was observed only in the marker frequencies.

Using the TFPGA software, genetic distances (Nei, 1978) were estimated between four sturgeon samples: (1) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Caspian Sea; (2) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Azov Sea; (3) *A. baerii* from the Ob' River; and (4) *A. persicus* from the Southern Caspian Sea (Table 2). We considered the sample size, the amount of obtained markers and used unbiased statistical estimation. The UPGMA dendrogram was constructed with a bootstrap support (1000 permutations) for each node to validate the resulting topology (Figure 2).

Discussion

The AFLP method conducted in the present study revealed that the Siberian sturgeon has formed a branch that is separate from the overall Persian-Russian sturgeon cluster. The Siberian sturgeon is geographically isolated from Persian and Russian sturgeons and is morphologically easily distinguishable from them. According to the results obtained, the Caspian Russian sturgeon is closer to the Persian sturgeon from the Caspian Sea than to the Russian Sturgeon from the Sea of Azov.

The DNA marker data confirms that, despite the genetic isolation, the Persian sturgeon is a young species. Presumably, the reproductive isolation of Persian sturgeon appeared later than the event of geographic isolation of the Black Sea-Azov and the Caspian basins. Perhaps, there is a gene flow between populations of Persian and Russian sturgeons in the Caspian Sea, which is typical for sturgeons' natural interspecific hybridization. In this case, it should



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

Figure 1. AFLP profile fragments from four sturgeon samples (90-190 bp, EcoFAM_ACA - Msp_pr_ACC primer combination). (1–8) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Caspian Sea; (9–16) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Azov Sea; (17–24) *A. baerii* from the Ob' River; and (25–32) *A. persicus* from the Southern Caspian Sea.

Table 2. The matrix of genetic original Neidistances (Nei, 1978) of four sturgeonsamples. (1) A. gueldenstaedtii from theCaspian Sea; (2) A. gueldenstaedtii from theAzov Sea; (3) A. baerii from the Ob' River; and(4) A. persicus from the Southern CaspianSea.

Sample number	1	2	3	4
1	****			
2	0.0105	****		
3	0.0138	0.0213	****	
4	0.0084	0.0136	0.0224	****



Figure 2. Dendrogram depicting genetic similarity of four sturgeon samples. (1) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Caspian Sea; (2) *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Azov Sea; (3) *A. baerii* from the Ob' River; and (4) *A. persicus* from the Southern Caspian Sea. Similarities were estimated based on the UPGMA method. The values refer to bootstrap values greater than 0.7.

be mentioned that there is no gene flow from the Russian sturgeon to the Persian sturgeon, as the Persian sturgeon is completely free from the 'baeri-like' mitotype, typical for the Russian sturgeon in the Caspian Sea (Muge *et al.*, 2008).

The results of this study show the special status of the Russian sturgeon of the Azov Sea, which is geographically and genetically isolated from the Russian sturgeon of the Caspian Sea. This differentiation was shown in previous studies with morphology, mtDNA and STR markers of the Russian sturgeon from the Black

Sea-Azov and the Caspian basins (Timoshkina *et al.*, 2009). The present study has now confirmed these results using the AFLP method.

On the dendrogram, we can observe high bootstrap support values (Salemi & Vandamme, 2003). However, the obtained genetic distances are unusually small for river spawning species. This can be explained by a slower molecular evolution rate of sturgeons (Krieger & Fuerst, 2002). Further studies applying SNP and microsatellite analysis approaches are needed in order to confirm results of this study.

Data availability

The raw data is available from Zenodo: (https://zenodo.org/ record/167463#.WC8wTtWLTcs) DOI, 10.5281/zenodo.167463 (Sergeev, 2016).

Dataset 1 includes AFLP chromatograms (ABI Prism Genetic Analyzer 3100, Applied Biosystems). **Dataset 2** includes AFLP profiles for Phoretix 1D Advanced v. 5.20 software (Nonlinear

Dynamics). **Dataset 3** includes TFPGA files (Tools for Population Genetic Analysis v 1.3) with genetic distances and trees.

Author contributions

AS carried out all work relating to this study.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Alexander A. Volkov, Sergey M. Rastorguev, and Nikolai S. Mugue for their valuable guidance and very useful methodical comments and discussion.

References

Abdurakhmanov YA: Fishes of Fresh Waters of Azerbaidjan. [in Russian]. 1962. Artyukhin EN: Sturgeons. Ecology, geographic distribution and phylogeny. St. Petersburg State University, [in Russian]. 2008; 136. Reference Source Berg LS: Selected Papers. Ichthyology. Moscow-Leningrad. Academy of Sciences of the USSR. [in Russian]; 1961; 4. Birstein VJ, DeSalle R: Molecular phylogeny of Acipenserinae. Mol Phylogenet

Evol. 1998; 9(1): 141–155. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Birstein VJ, Ruban G, Ludwig A, *et al.*: The enigmatic Caspian Sea Russian sturgeon: how many cryptic forms does it contain? *Syst Biodivers.* 2005; 3(2): 203–218.

Publisher Full Text

Borodin NA: Report on excursion with the zoological purpose in the summer 1895 on the cruiser "Uralets" in the northern part of the Caspian Sea. *Bulletin of Fishing industry.* [in Russian]. 1897; **12**(1): 1–31.

Congiu L, Fontana F, Patarnello T, *et al.*: **The use of AFLP in sturgeon** identification. J Appl Ichthyol. 2002; **18**(4–6): 286–289. Publisher Full Text

Guillot G, Carpentier-Skandalis A: On the Informativeness of Dominant and Co-Dominant Genetic Markers for Bayesian Supervised Clustering. Open Stat Prob J, 2011; 3(1): 7–12.

Publisher Full Text

Jenneckens I, Meyer JN, Debus L, *et al.*: Evidence of mitochondrial DNA clones of Siberian sturgeon, *Acipenser baerii*, within Russian sturgeon, *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*, caught in the River Volga. *Ecol Lett.* 2000; 3(6): 503–508. Publisher Full Text

Krieger J, Hett AK, Fuerst PA, et al.: The molecular phylogeny of the order Acipenseriformes revisited. Appl Ichthyol. 2008; 24(S1): 36–45. Publisher Full Text

Krieger J, Fuerst PA: Evidence for a slowed rate of molecular evolution in the order acipenseriformes. *Mol Biol Evol.* 2002; **19**(6): 891–897. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Legeza MI: Sturgeon Distribution in the Caspian Sea. *Tr VNIRO Moscow*. [in Russian]. 1975; **108**: 121–133.

Legeza MI, Voinova IA: Contemporary Status of Sturgeon in Kura-Caspian Region. Tr TSNIORKh Moscow. [in Russian]. 1967; (1): 26–33.

Lynch M, Milligan BG: Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers. *Mol Ecol.* 1994; 3(2): 91–99. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Lukyanenko VI, Dubinin VN, Karataeva BB, et al.: On Species Status of So-Called

Late Spring or Summer Spawning Sturgeon in the Volga River. Abstracts of the Account Session of TSNIORKh. Astrakhan, 1974a; 92–94.

Lukyanenko VI, Umerov ZG, Karataeva BB: South- Caspian Sturgeon—a Distinct Species of Genus Acipenser. *Izv Akad Nauk SSSR Ser Biol.* 1974b; (5): 736–738.

Mayr E: Principles of systematic zoology. New-York- Sydney: McGraw-Hill Book

Company; 1969.

Muge NS, Barmintseva AE, Rastorguev SM, *et al.*: [Polymorphism of the mitochondrial DNA control region in eight sturgeon species and development of a system for DNA-based species identification]. *Genetika*. 2008; 44(7): 913–9.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Nei M: Genetic distance between populations. Am Nat. 1972; 106: 283–292. Publisher Full Text

Nei M: Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics*. 1978; 89(3): 583–590. PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text

Ogden R, Gharbi K, Mugue N, et al.: Sturgeon conservation genomics: SNP discovery and validation using RAD sequencing. *Mol Ecol.* 2013; 22(11): 3112–31123.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Rastorguev SM, Nedoluzhko AV, Mazur AM, *et al.*: High-throughput SNPgenotyping analysis of the relationships among Ponto-Caspian sturgeon species. *Ecol Evol.* 2013; 3(8): 2612–2618. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Rodzen JA, May B: Inheritance of microsatellite loci in the white sturgeon

(Acipenser transmontanus). Genome. 2002; 45(6): 1064–1076. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Ruban GI, Kholodova MV, Kalmykov VA, *et al.*: Morphological and molecular genetic study of the persian sturgeon Acipenser persicus Borodin (Acipenseridae) taxonomic status. *J Ichthyol.* 2008; **48**(10): 891–903. Publisher Full Text

Salemi M, Vandamme AM: The Phylogenetic Handbook: A Practical Approach to DNA and Protein Phylogeny. Cambridge Univercity Press, 2003; 100–119. Reference Source

Sergeev A: Evolutionary relations and population differentiation of Acipenser gueldenstaedtii Brandt, Acipenser persicus Borodin and Acipenser baerii Brandt. Zenodo. 2016. Data Source

Timoshkina NN, Barmintseva AE, Usatov AV, et al.: [Intraspecific genetic polymorphism of Russian sturgeon Acipencer gueldenstaedtii]. Genetika. [in Russian]. 2009; 45(9): 1250–1259. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Vasil'ev VP: Evolutionary Karyology of Fishes. Nauka, Moscow, [in Russian]. 1985: 1–300.

Reference Source

Vasil'eva ED: Morphological data corroborating the assumption of independent origins within octoploid sturgeon species. *J Ichthyology*. 2004; 44(Suppl 1): 63–72.

Reference Source

Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, et al.: AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. 1995; 23(21): 4407–4414. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Reference Source

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Version 2

Referee Report 28 December 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.11321.r18748



Dmitri D. Pervouchine

Bioinformatics and Genomics Programme, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Barcelona, Spain

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 28 December 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.11321.r18749



Ekaterina V. Ponomareva

Department of Ichthyology, Faculty of Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU), Moscow, Russian Federation

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Referee Report 08 December 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.11026.r18153



Dmitri D. Pervouchine

Bioinformatics and Genomics Programme, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), Barcelona, Spain

The manuscript entitled "Evolutionary relations and population differentiation of *Acipenser gueldenstaedtii* Brandt, *Acipenser persicus* Borodin, and *Acipenser baerii* Brandt" by Alexey Sergeev describes the relationship between mitochondrial genotypes of four closely related sturgeon species by using the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFPL) method. As a result, an analog of a phylogenetic tree is constructed, one in which the species paradoxically cluster by the habitat (*A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Caspian Sea is more closely related to *A. persicus* from the Southern Caspian Sea rather than to *A. gueldenstaedtii* from the Azov Sea).

Generally, the experiment is carried out at a good level and the findings are sufficiently novel. My main criticism is that it is not quite clear to what extent the AFPL method reflects the actual phylogenetic distance between species and that only the mitochondrial genome was interrogated. Generally, the reader has to get an idea of the evolutionary similarity between species by looking at the similarity of patterns in the AFPL profiles. I think the paper can be accepted for indexing if the author explains the caveats and limitations related to this method somewhere in the introduction. Otherwise the readership might be mislead by the dendrogram and the phylogeny reported by the author.

Currently I have very cosmetic comments which are outlined below.

Abstract:

According to previous studies, 'baerii-like' mitotypes => mitochondrial genotypes -- the readership might be unfamiliar with this term

The amplified fragment length polymorphism (method) => The amplified fragment length polymorphism method (AFLP) -- the abbreviation must cited in the abstract next to full size sentence, since it appears later without reference

Persian sturgeons from the South Caspian Sea, Russian sturgeons from the Caspian Sea and the Sea of Azov, and Siberian sturgeons from the Ob' River, which are close to these - which these? Change 'these' to 'the latter two' - two species, but are also clearly morphologically and genetically distinct from them.

Main text has to be checked carefully with a native English speaker for word usage.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 14 Dec 2016

Alexey Sergeev, the Laboratory of Molecular Genetics at the Russian Federal Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (VNIRO), Moscow, Russian Federation

We appreciate a lot such a detailed analysis of the article and very useful comments of Dr. Pervuchine. All suggestions will be applied to the edited version of the article. Some clarifications are needed.

 In this study the mitochondrial genome was not investigated at all. It will be mentioned in the article. In fact, mitochondrial DNA of A. gueldenstaedtii has only two restriction sites which EcoRI endonuclease recognizes and about fourty sites matched to Mspl. In our research we analyze those fragments of AFLP pattern which meet the following conditions: 1) the AFLP band must have lenghth between 100 – 400 bp, 2) the amplified fragment must be produced with EcoRI selective primer (due to that only EcoRI primer has fluorescent dye), 3) the bases that followed by restriction site should be complementary to the selective bases of primers at final step of amplification. This mtDNA has only two restriction fragments which could be produced but they do not meet these conditions. Previous studies showed weak ability of mitochondrial DNA markers to perform exact species identification of individuals from this sturgeon species group and to clarify their phylogenetic relations. The main goal of this work was to analize nuclear markers as much more informative ones. The AFLP method allows obtaining a large set of anonymous nuclear marker of the genome.

- 2. AFLP profiles reveal patterns of nuclear DNA markers obtained from the whole genome and reflect its polymorphism. Interrogating these profiles we can estimate similarity of the sample genomes, and statistically verify significance of AFLP pattern difference which reflect similarity of nuclear genomes. By examining the differences between the populations and computing genetic distances, and taking into account former geological events, we can make suggestions on approximate time of the population and species separation (Nei, 1972).
- 3. Obviously, this method has some limitations. Dominant markers are applicable for polyploid genome study but less informative than co-dominant markers (G. Guillot and Carpentier-Skandalis, 2010). We obtained large marker set from nuclear DNA but these markers are anonymous. We can't distinguish which of them are selectively neutral and more informative. We work with them in complex which can somewhat distort the whole picture. Therefore, it's not correct to make the ultimate phylogenetic conclusions based only on this data. However, these results can be very useful in comparison with the data obtained from other approaches. It will also be mentioned in the article.

Many thanks for very useful comments. They will be very helpful for improving the manuscript.

1. Guillot G.* and Carpentier-Skandalis A. 2010. On the Informativeness of Dominant and Co-Dominant Genetic Markers for Bayesian Supervised Clustering. The Open Statistics & Probability Journal 3(1) · December 2011with160 ReadsDOI: 10.2174/1876527001103010007 2. Nei, M. (1972). "Genetic distance between populations". Am. Nat. 106: 283–292. doi:10.1086/282771

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 06 December 2016

doi:10.5256/f1000research.11026.r18201



Ekaterina V. Ponomareva

Department of Ichthyology, Faculty of Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU), Moscow, Russian Federation

In this article, Sergeev addressed the problem having long and complex history of discussion ¹⁻³. Molecular genetic methods (namely, AFLP in Sergeev's study) open a possibility to solve some principal and controversial questions of sturgeon species systematic relationships.

It is of particular interest also, because the problem has strong practical (and international) dimension, connected with commercial use, conservation and restoration of sturgeons. In spite of significant amount of literature on the subject, there is still a deficit of studies using genomic markers.

It should be specially mentioned, that Sergeev's study supports differentiation between Russian sturgeons (*Acipenser gueldenstaedtii*) from the Caspian Sea and the Sea of Azov, previously revealed by using other methods⁴.

I think results obtained by Sergeev are important for resolving relationships between Acipenser spp.

References

1. Birstein V, Ruban G, Ludwig A, Doukakis P, DeSalle R: The enigmatic Caspian Sea Russian sturgeon: How many cryptic forms does it contain?. *Systematics and Biodiversity*. 2005; **3** (2): 203-218 Publisher Full Text

2. Vasil'eva ED: Morphological data corroborating the assumption of independent origins within octoploid sturgeon species. *J Ichthyology*. 2004; **44**.

 Krieger J, Hett A, Fuerst P, Artyukhin E, Ludwig A: The molecular phylogeny of the order Acipenseriformes revisited. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*. 2008; 24 (s1): 36-45 Publisher Full Text
Timoshkina NN, Barmintseva AE, Usatov AV, Miuge NS: [Intraspecific genetic polymorphism of Russian sturgeon Acipencer gueldenstaedtii].*Genetika*. 2009; 45 (9): 1250-9 PubMed Abstract

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 14 Dec 2016

Alexey Sergeev, the Laboratory of Molecular Genetics at the Russian Federal Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (VNIRO), Moscow, Russian Federation

We appreciate the time and effort of Dr. Ponomareva and would like to thank her for detailed analysis of the investigated theme and high appreciation of this work.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.