#### MITOGENOME ANNOUNCEMENT

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# The complete mitogenome of the rockweed *Fucus distichus* (Fucaceae, Phaeophyceae)

Jeffery R. Hughey<sup>a</sup> and Paul W. Gabrielson<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Mathematics, Science, and Engineering, Hartnell College, Salinas, CA, USA; <sup>b</sup>Biology Department and Herbarium, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

#### ABSTRACT

The rockweed *F. distichus* is a one of the most common intertidal seaweeds in the northern hemisphere. The systematics of *F. distichus* however remains open to discussion. Here, we contribute to the bioinformatics and systematics of *F. distichus* by deciphering its complete mitogenome. The *F. distichus* mitogenome is 36,400 bp in length, contains 67 genes, and has a gene content, organization, and sequence that are similar to the generitype, *F. vesiculusus*. These data support the continued recognition of *F. distichus* as a polymorphic entity with a broad distribution and high degree of ecological diversity. **ARTICLE HISTORY** 

Received 7 March 2017 Accepted 21 March 2017

**KEYWORDS** Alaska; British Columbia; *cox1; Fucus vesiculosus*;

Oregon

*Fucus* L. is one of the three original genera proposed by Linnaeus (1753) to accommodate macroscopic marine algae. Since then more than 1000 names have been assigned to the genus (Guiry & Guiry 2017), but nearly all have been reclassified. Based on genetic analyses, three or four species are recognized: *F. distichus* L., *F. serratus* L., *F. spiralis* L., and *F. vesiculosus* L. (Serrao et al. 1999; Coyer et al. 2006; Kucera & Saunders 2008; Coyer et al. 2011; Laughinghouse et al. 2015). This classification remains problematic however, as exemplified by *F. distichus*, which displays a high degree of morphological, ecological, geographical, and reproductive variation (Gardner 1922; Kucera & Saunders 2008; Coyer et al. 2011; Laughinghouse et al. 2015). Herein, we characterize the mitogenome of *F. distichus* to clarify its systematics.

*Fucus distichus* (Voucher- UC 2050487) was collected from Coos Bay, Oregon (43°21′30.3″, -124°18′32.2″) and its DNA isolated following Lindstrom et al. (2011). The 76 bp pairedend library construction and sequencing was performed by myGenomics, LLC (Alpharetta, GA,) yielding 22,040,820 reads. The mitogenome was assembled using the default *de novo* settings in CLC Genomics Workbench 9.5 (<sup>®</sup>2016 CLC bio, a QIAGEN Company, Waltham, MA) and annotated using blastx and NCBI ORF-finder. The mitogenome was aligned to other Phaeophyceae with MAFFT (Katoh & Standley 2013). The RaxML analysis was executed using complete mitogenome sequences at Trex-online (Boc & Makarenkov 2012) with the GTR + gamma model and 1000 fast bootstraps, then visualized with TreeDyn 198.3 at Phylogeny.fr (Dereeper et al. 2008).

The *F. distichus* mitogenome (GenBank KY678904) is 36,400 bp in length and contains *cob* and *tat*C, 3 rRNA, 3 open reading frames, 3 ATP synthase, 3 *cox*, 6 *rpl*, 10 NADH, 11 *rps*, and 26 tRNA genes (*trnL* occurs in triplicate and *trnl*, *trnM*, *trnS*, *trnY* in duplicate). Gene content, organization, and length are nearly identical to *F. vesiculosus* (36,392 bp) (Oudot-Le Secq et al. 2006). The genetic distance between





CONTACT Jeffery R. Hughey 🔯 jhughey@hartnell.edu 😰 Division of Mathematics, Science, and Engineering, Hartnell College, Salinas, CA, USA

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. these two species is 2.0%. Phylogenetic analysis of *F. distichus* supports this close relationship, positioning it in a clade with *F. vesiculosus* (Figure 1). Sequence analysis of *F. distichus* from Oregon identifies it as haplotype *ic6* (Coyer et al. 2011) from Japan and Alaska, USA. Its *cox*1 sequence was also an exact match to a specimen from British Columbia, Canada (GenBank EU646633), and only differed by 1 bp from *F. distichus* from Nova Scotia, Canada (GenBank EU646647), Nordland, Norway (GenBank LN877838), and California, USA (GenBank KM254965).

#### **Disclosure statement**

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

## Funding

This work was made possible by a private family trust from Paul W. Gabrielson.

### References

- Boc A, Makarenkov V. 2012. T-REX: a web server for inferring, validating and visualizing phylogenetic trees and networks. Nucleic Acids Res. 40:W573–W579.
- Coyer JA, Hoarau G, Oudot-Le Secq MP, Stam WT, Olsen JL. 2006. A mtDNA-based phylogeny of the brown algal genus *Fucus* (Heterokontophyta; Phaeophyta). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 39:209–222.
- Coyer JA, Hoarau G, Van Schaik J, Luijckx P, Olsen JL. 2011. Trans-Pacific and trans-Arctic pathways of the intertidal macroalga *Fucus*

*distichus* L. reveal multiple glacial refugia and colonizations from the North Pacific to the North Atlantic. J Biogeogr. 38:756–771.

- Dereeper A, Guignon V, Blanc G, Audic S, Buffet S, Chevenet F, Dufayard JF, Guindon S, Lefort V, Lescot M, et al. 2008. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 36:W465–W469.
- Gardner NL. 1922. The genus *Fucus* on the Pacific coast of North America. Univ Calif Publ Bot. 10:1–180.
- Guiry MD, Guiry GM. 2017. AlgaeBase. [Internet]. Galway: World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland; [cited 2017 Feb 16]. Available from: http://www.algaebase.org
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 30:772–780.
- Kucera H, Saunders GW. 2008. Assigning morphological variants of *Fucus* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) in Canadian waters to recognized species using DNA barcoding. Botany. 86:1065–1079.
- Laughinghouse HD, IV Müller KM, Adey WH, Lara Y, Young R, Johnson G. 2015. Evolution of the northern rockweed, *Fucus distichus*, in a regime of glacial cycling: implications for benthic algal phylogenetics. PLoS One. 10:e0143795.
- Lindstrom SC, Hughey JR, Martone PT. 2011. New, resurrected and redefined species of *Mastocarpus* (Phyllophoraceae, Rhodophyta) from the northeast Pacific. Phycologia. 50:661–683.
- Linnaeus C. 1753. Species plantarum, exhibentes plantas rite cognitas, ad genera relatas, cum differentiis specificis, nominibus trivialibus, synonymis selectis, locis natalibus, secundum systema sexuale digestas. Holmiae (Stockholm): Impensis Laurentii Salvii.
- Oudot-Le Secq MP, Loiseaux-de Goer S, Stam WT, Olsen JL. 2006. Complete mitochondrial genomes of the three brown algae (Heterokonta: Phaeophyceae) *Dictyota dichotoma, Fucus vesiculosus* and *Desmarestia viridis*. Curr Genet. 49:47–58.
- Serrao EA, Alice LA, Brawley SH. 1999. Evolution of the Fucaceae (Phaeophyceae) inferred from nrDNA-ITS. J Phycol. 35:382–394.