Response to "Relationship between soluble transferrin receptor and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis"

We thank Fernández-Cao¹ for the interest and constructive criticisms of our recent article published in the *Journal of Diabetes Investigation*².

First, in most of the studies included in the meta-analysis in our recent article in the Journal of Diabetes Investigation², the subjects were obese or non-obese. However, indicators related to obesity such as waist circumference or body mass index were adjusted for in the regression analysis, and we extracted the adjusted OR values in all these studies. Therefore, to analyze the association between soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) and type 2 diabetes, we only extracted the OR values and 95% CIs corresponding to the nonobese group in Fernández-Cao et al.'s study³. Undoubtedly, as described by Fernandez-Cao¹, the results may be more reasonable based on subgroup analysis of the non-obese and obese groups for the relationship between sTfR and type 2 diabetes. However, to date, there has been only one clinical controlled study on the relationship between sTfR and type 2 diabetes in obese and non-obese individuals. If subgroup analysis is conducted, then there is only one study involving the nonobese group, which may introduce biased results.

Second, in a meta-analysis of the association between ferritin and type 2 diabetes, sensitivity and subgroup analyses were performed², and Rajpathak *et al.*'s⁴ study did not identify a source of heterogeneity. To further clarify the impact of this study on the results, in the present study, the meta-analysis was carried out after excluding this study. The results showed that the serum median and high ferritin concentration groups remained significantly associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes [odds ratio (OR) 1.16, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.04–1.29 and OR 1.43, 95% CI 1.28–1.59, respectively] (Figure 1a).

Third, in the present study, the reference groups of sTfR or sTfR-to-ferritin ratio in Arija et al.'s⁵ study were adjusted as the low-concentration or low-ratio respectively. Microsoft excel groups, macros with VBA software were used to calculate the OR values and 95% CIs in the other three groups. The meta-analysis indicated that no significant association was observed between serum sTfR and type 2 diabetes (Figure 1b), whereas the sTfR-to-ferritin ratio was significantly inversely related to the risk of type 2 diabetes in the low, median, and high ratio subgroups (OR 0.69, 95% CI 0.50-0.95; OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.47-0.91; and OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.36-0.77, respectively) (Figure 1c). However, there are relatively few studies on the relationships between sTfR or sTfR-to-ferritin ratio and type 2 diabetes, and there are different serum sTfR or ferritin levels among various studies, which may lead to bias in the results. Further studies are needed to confirm the relationships between sTfR or the sTfR-to-ferritin ratio and the risk of type 2 diabetes.

DISCLOSURE

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Jingfang Liu*

Department of Endocrinology, The First Hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China

REFERENCES

- 1. Fernández-Cao JC. Relationship between soluble transferrin receptor and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a metaanalysis. *J Diabetes Investig* 2021; 12: 1118–1119.
- Liu J, Li Q, Yang Y, et al. Iron metabolism and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis and systematic review. J Diabetes Investig 2020; 11: 946–955.
- 3. Fernández-Cao JC, Arija V, Aranda N, *et al.* Soluble transferrin receptor and risk of type 2 diabetes in the obese and nonobese. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2017; 47: 221–230.
- 4. Rajpathak SN, Wylie-Rosett J, Gunter MJ, et al. Biomarkers of body iron stores and risk of developing type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2009; 11: 472–479.
- Arija V, Fernandez-Cao JC, Basora J, et al. Excess body iron and the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a nested case-control in the PREDIMED (PREvention with MEDiterranean Diet) study. Br J Nutr 2014; 112: 1896–1904.

Doi: 10.1111/jdi.13505

^{*}Corresponding author. Jingfang Liu

Tel.: +86-943-835-6470

Fax: +86-943-835-6470

E-mail address: ljf824168@126.com

Received 24 November 2020; revised 22 December 2020; accepted 28 December 2020

0/

(a)	OR (95% CI)	90 Weight
Low concentration Change Hee Jung (2013)_low Victoria Arija (2014)_low Sunyong Kim (2015)_low Liang Sun (2008)_low Dechun Luan (2008)_low N. G. Forouhi 2007_low J. Montone & H (2012)_low Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, P = 0.475)	1.10 (0.63, 1.93) 1.17 (0.44, 3.07) 1.00 (0.87, 1.12) 1.13 (0.78, 1.65) 1.69 (0.87, 3.28) 0.70 (0.50, 1.20) 1.00 (0.61, 1.65) 1.01 (0.90, 1.12)	1.25 0.41 24.47 2.78 0.89 2.04 1.58 33.41
Median Concentration Chang hee Jung (2013)_median Victoria Arija (2014)_median Sunyong Kim (2015)_median Liang Sun (2008)_median DeChun Luan (2008)_median N. G. Forouhi 2007_median J. Monotonen & H (2012)_median Subtotal (I-Squared = 17.5%, p = 0.297)	1.16 (0.67, 1.99) 0.65 (0.24, 1.79) 1.13 (1.00, 1.29) 1.51 (1.05, 2.18) 0.90 (0.43, 1.87) 0.90 (0.60, 1.50) 1.59 (1.05, 2.42) 1.16 (1.04, 1.29)	1.32 0.39 24.08 2.93 0.72 1.86 2.24 33.53
High Concentration Chang Hee Jung (2013)_high Victoria Arija (2014)_high Sunyong Kim (2015)_high Liang Sun (2008)_high DeChun Luan (2008)_high N. G. Forouhi 2007_high J. Monotonen & H (2012)_high Subtotal (I-squared = 83.8%, p = 0.000)	2.17 (1.27, 3.72) 3.62 (132, 9.95) 1.18 (1.04, 1.34) 2.76 (1.96, 3.90) 2.96 (1.53, 5.72) 3.20 (1.30, 7.60) 1.73 (1.15, 2.61) 1.73 (1.15, 2.61) 1.73 (1.28, 1.59)	1.35 0.38 24.30 3.30 0.90 0.50 2.32 33.06
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000 Overall (I-squared = 71.4%, P = 0.000)	1.18 (1.11.126)	100.00
.101 1	9.95	

(b)	OR (95% CI)	Weight
Low Concentration J. Montonen & H (2012)_low Victoria Arija (2014)_low Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.363)	1.38 (0.86, 2.20) 0.88 (0.38, 2.07) 1.24 (0.82, 1.87)	19.15 5.88 25.04
Median Concentration Fernández-Cao (2017)_median J. Montonen & H (2012)_median Victoria Arija (2014)_median Subtotal (I-squared = 71.3%, p = 0.031)	0.52 (0.27, 1.00) 1.47 (0.97, 2.22) 1.23 (0.49, 3.12) 1.11 (0.80, 1.54)	9.86 24.65 4.93 39.44
High Concentration Fernández-Cao (2017)_high J. Montonen & H (2012)_high Victoria Arija (2014)_high Subtotal (I-squared = 71.8%, p = 0.029)	0.40 (0.20, 0.79) 1.21 (0.78, 1.89) 0.78 (0.31, 1.95) 0.86 (0.61, 1.21)	8.95 21.57 5.00 35.53
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.364 Overall (I-squared = 58.6%, p = 0.018)	1.04 (0.85, 1.28)	100.00
2	5	

(c)	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Low Ratio		
J. Montonen & H (2012)_low	0.76 (0.54, 1.06)	33.78
Victoria Arija (2014)_low	0.35 (0.14, 0.87)	4.60
Subtotal (I-squared = 59.0%, p = 0.119)	0.69 (0.50, 0.95)	38.38
Median Ratio		
J. Montonen & H (2012)_median	0.67 (0.47, 0.96)	30.12
Victoria Arija (2014)_median 🔹	0.55 (0.21, 1.46)	4.09
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.708)	0.65 (0.47, 0.91)	34.21
High Ratio		
J. Montonen & H (2012)_high	0.57 (0.38, 0.85)	23.71
Victoria Arija (2014)_high	0.33 (0.12, 0.92)	3.70
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.328)	0.53 (0.36, 0.77)	27.41
Heterogeneity between groups: $p = 0.543$		
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.447)	0.63 (0.52, 0.77)	100.00
	1	
.12 1	8.33	

Figure 1 | The associations between serum ferritin, sTfR or sTfR to ferritin ratio and type 2 diabetes. (a) ferritin; (b) sTfR; (c) sTfR to ferritin ratio. Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.