

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Primary Angle Closure and Sequence Variants within MicroRNA Binding Sites of Genes Involved in Eye Development

Haihong Shi, Junfang Zhang, Rongrong Zhu, Nan Hu, Hong Lu, Mei Yang, Bai Qin, Jian Shi, Huaijin Guan*

Eye Institute, Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, China

* guanhjeye@163.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Shi H, Zhang J, Zhu R, Hu N, Lu H, Yang M, et al. (2016) Primary Angle Closure and Sequence Variants within MicroRNA Binding Sites of Genes Involved in Eye Development. PLoS ONE 11(11): e0166055. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055

Editor: Hemant Khanna, University of Massachusetts Medical School, UNITED STATES

Received: May 11, 2016

Accepted: October 21, 2016

Published: November 8, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Shi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Purpose

The formation of primary angle closure (PAC) and primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG) is regulated by a tissue remodeling pathway that plays a critical role in eye development. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are powerful gene expression regulators and may exert their effects on tissue remodeling genes. This study investigated the associations between gene variants (single-nucleotide polymorphism, SNP) in miRNA binding sites in the 3'-UTR region of genes involved in eye development and PAC.

Methods

The sample consisted of 232 PAC subjects and 306 controls obtained from a population-based cohort in the Funing District of Jiangsu, China. The markers include 9 SNPs in the *COL11A1*, *PCMTD1*, *ZNRF3*, *MTHFR*, and *ALPPL2* genes respectively. SNP genotyping was performed with a TaqMan-MGB probe using an RT-PCR system.

Results

Of the 9 SNPs studied, the frequency of the minor A allele of *COL11A1* rs1031820 was higher in the PAC group than in the control group in allele analysis ($p = 0.047$). The genotype analysis indicated that *MTHFR* rs1537514 is marginally associated with PAC ($p = 0.014$). The CC genotype of rs1537514 was present solely in the PAC group. However, the differences lost significance after Bonferroni correction.

Conclusion

Our study reveals a possible association of *COL11A1* and *MTHFR* with PAC in the Han Chinese population. These results will contribute to an improved understanding of the genetic basis of PACG.

Introduction

Glaucoma is the leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide. Clinically, primary glaucoma is divided into primary open-angle glaucoma and primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG), depending on the anatomical characteristics of the anterior chamber angle. Asian populations are at higher risk of developing PACG than other ethnic groups [1], and it is estimated that PACG affects as many as 4.5 million people in China, constituting half the world's cases of PACG [1].

Eyes with PACG possess characteristic anatomical features, such as smaller corneal diameter (both horizontal and vertical from 'white-to-white'), steeper corneal curvature, shallower anterior chamber, thicker and more anteriorly positioned lens, and shortened eye ball, often accompanied by hyperopic refraction error [2]. Because ocular anatomic features are predisposing factors for PACG, the genes related to the regulation of axial length (AL) and the structural remodeling of connective tissues may contribute to the development of PACG. Several tissue remodeling genes, including *frizzled-related protein (MFRP)* [3–5], *extracellular matrix metalloprotease-9 (MMP-9)* [6–8], *methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR)* [9], and *heat shock protein 70 (HSP70)* [5,10], have been associated with PACG. However, the above findings remain controversial and were unable to be replicated in different ethnic groups. Apart from the above candidate gene association studies, some genome-wide association study (GWAS) added substantial data to the genetics of PACG. A GWAS identified three new susceptibility loci for PACG, including rs11024102 in *PLEKHA7*, rs3753841 in *COL11A1*, and rs1015213 in *PCMTD1-ST18* [11]. The mechanism of action of these genes in the pathogenesis of PACG is unknown and likely acts by affecting eye development [11,12]. Another GWAS confirmed the association at these three loci and found significant association of five additional loci with PACG [13]. Nongpiur et al. conducted a two-staged GWAS and found that a genetic variant within *ABCC5* gene may increase the risk of having PACG by shaping the anterior chamber depth (ACD) [14].

The above-described SNPs associated with PACG all occur in the coding, promoter or intron regions of the genes. While some 3'-untranslated (3'-UTR) SNPs participate in fibrotic remodeling diseases [15,16], no SNPs in microRNA (miRNA) binding sites in the 3'-UTR of any genes have been reported as associated with PACG or primary angle closure (PAC). miRNAs are short noncoding RNAs and key regulators of protein-mediated gene expression [17]. Evidence suggests that miRNAs play essential roles in controlling cellular processes, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation [18]. miRNAs repress target mRNA expression by binding the miRNA regulatory elements located in the 3'-UTR of mRNAs. Thus, SNPs in the 3'-UTR highly influence the binding efficiency of miRNAs and mRNA, causing gain or loss of function and thereby regulating gene expression [19].

We hypothesized that SNPs in the miRNA binding sites of genes involved in eye development are associated with PACG or PAC. PAC shares the same anatomical features with PACG but does not present a glaucomatous optic neuropathy. Considering the obvious anatomic features in PAC whose pathogenesis might be of less confounding than those of PACG, we studied the association between SNPs in miRNA binding sites of the genes described above and other eye development-regulating genes, such as *ALPPL2* and *ZNRF3* [20] with PAC instead of PACG. To our knowledge, this is the first report of SNPs in miRNA binding sites associated with PAC in a Han Chinese population.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University and complied with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant was fully

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants.

| Demographic features | Control n (%) | PAC n (%) | P |
|-------------------------|---------------|--------------|------|
| Female | 248 (81.05) | 191 (82.33) | 0.70 |
| Male | 58 (18.95) | 41(17.67) | |
| Mean age (year) ± SD | 65.08 ± 7.53 | 64.84 ± 8.59 | 0.74 |
| Age range | 50–85 | 50–83 | |
| Hypertension | 66 (19.64) | 46 (19.83) | 0.69 |
| Diabetes | 24 (7.36) | 20 (8.6) | 0.76 |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 10 (3.27) | 4 (1.72) | 0.41 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055.t001

informed of the purpose and procedures involved in the study and signed the informed consent form. The general demographic information of the participants is listed in [Table 1](#). All participants were recruited using a cluster random sampling strategy from a population-based cohort conducted in the Funing District of Jiangsu, China. Of the 6,032 people aged 50 years and above screened, 232 subjects met the criteria of inclusion and exclusion of PAC defined for this study. We included 306 controls within the same communities and matched for sex and age with PAC subjects. The participants were all unrelated and self-identified as Han Chinese. There was no difference between the control group and the PAC group in terms of gender, age and major systemic diseases provenances.

This sample size has an 80% power to detect 5.8% confidence interval from a 10% allele frequency of a SNP based on the null hypothesized, regardless of multiple testing correction. The two SNPs of interest have the allele frequencies of 6.0% (rs1031820) and 8.4% (rs1537514) in the control group, thus the sample size has an 80% power to detect 2.6% and 5% confidence interval respectively.

The participants underwent thorough ocular examination that included best-corrected visual acuity, anterior segment photography, Goldmann applanation tonometry, fundus examination, optic disc photography, visual field, objective refraction and subjective refraction. The depth of the peripheral anterior chamber was evaluated using the Van Herick technique [21]. Participants with a peripheral chamber depth less than one-third the corneal thickness were invited for gonioscope, A scan ultrasonography and ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM, SW-3200S, SUOER, Tianjin, China) examinations. UBM examination was conducted under light and dark conditions and in eight positions. The detailed protocol for gonioscopy and UBM was adopted from Barkana et al. [22].

PAC was defined according to the International Society of Geographical and Epidemiologic Ophthalmology (ISGEO) classification reported by Foster et al. [23] as follows: 1) Either eye shows the presence of an occluded angle (at least 180° of closed angle in which the trabecular meshwork is not visible on gonioscopy or iris apposition to the trabecular meshwork greater than 180° on UBM); 2) There are no sign of glaucomatous optic neuropathy or peripheral visual loss; 3) There are no sign of secondary angle closure; and 4) There are no previous ocular surgery or laser therapy. The clinical features of the PAC subjects are listed in [Table 2](#).

The criteria for enrollment of the control group were as follows: 1) peripheral chamber depth more than one-third the corneal thickness; 2) normal optic nerve heads with cup-to-cup ratio less than 0.5; 3) intraocular pressure less than 21 mmHg; 4) normal visual field; 5) no family history of glaucoma; 6) no ophthalmic diseases except slight cataract; and 7): refractive error less than three diopters.

Table 2. Clinical features of PAC subjects.

| | Right eye | Left eye | Total eyes |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Axial length (mm) | 22.17 ± 0.83 | 22.17 ± 0.82 | 22.17 ± 0.83 |
| ACD (mm) | 2.49 ± 0.29 | 2.45 ± 0.30 | 2.47 ± 0.29 |
| Refractive (diopter) | 0.53 ± 1.85 | 0.68 ± 1.87 | 0.58 ± 1.84 |
| Tonometry (mmHg) | 15.18 ± 4.31 | 15.78 ± 4.46 | 15.52 ± 4.39 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055.t002

SNP selection

The initial selection of selected 3'-UTR SNPs were from 8 genes related to genetic susceptibility of PACG (*COL11A1*, *PCMTD1*, *PLEKHA7*, *HSP70*, *eNOS*, *MMP9*, *MTHFR*, *MFRP*) and 9 genes involved in eye development (*ZNRF3*, *ALPPL2*, *RSPO1*, *C3orf26*, *LAMA2*, *GJD2*, *CD55*, *MIP*, *ZC3H11B*) based on the literatures. The 3'-UTR SNPs to be investigated have minor allele frequencies (MAF) greater than 5% in Chinese Han populations, according to the HapMap database (<http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, in the public domain), and small free energy (a parameter for nucleic acids binding affinity), referenced to the miRNA SNP 2.0 database (<http://www.bioguo.org/miRNASNP/>). After screening with these bioinformatics tools, we finally selected 9 3'-UTR SNPs in 5 genes that fell into the defined criteria, which included *COL11A1*, *PCMTD1*, *MTHFR*, *ZNRF3* and *ALPPL2*. Details of the tested SNPs are listed in Table 3. Their functions were summarized in the discussion section.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood of each individual using the Qiagen Blood DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and stored at -20°C.

Genotyping was conducted with the TaqMan genotyping assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using the Real-time PCR 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The PCR procedure is described in our previous publication [5].

Table 3. SNPs tested and assay information from the NCBI and miRNA databases.

| Gene | SNP | Ancestral allele | Assay ID | miRNA | Function | Chr site |
|----------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|
| <i>COL11A1</i> | rs9659030 | T | C_29689555_10 | hsa-miR-495 | gain | Chr.1: 102876836 |
| <i>PCMTD1</i> | rs6768 | T | C_8891791_10 | hsa-miR-217 | gain | Chr.8: 51817881 |
| | | | | hsa-miR-297 | loss | |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs7290117 | C | C_44007078_10 | hsa-miR-1250 | loss | Chr.22: 29054868 |
| | | | | hsa-miR-520f | gain | |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs3178915 | G | C_2479939_10 | hsa-miR-4312 | loss | Chr.22:29057039 |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs4823006 | A | C_2479935_10 | hsa-miR-331-3p | gain | Chr.22: 29055683 |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs2179129 | A | C_2479934_10 | hsa-miR-936 | gain | Chr.22:29054935 |
| <i>ALPPL2</i> | rs1009934 | C | C_11531521_10 | hsa-miR-3201 | loss | Chr.22:29057205 |
| <i>MTHFR</i> | rs1537514 | C | C_8861307_10 | hsa-miR-518a-5p | loss | Chr.1:11788011 |
| | | | | hsa-miR527 | | |
| | | | | hsa-miR-596 | | |
| <i>COL11A1</i> | rs1031820 | G | C_3087047_10 | hsa-miR-107 | gain | Chr.1:102877914 |
| | | | | hsa-miR-103 | | |
| | | | | hsa-miR-4310 | | |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055.t003

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 15.0 software. Differences in age and gender between PAC subjects and controls were assessed using the T-test and χ^2 test, respectively. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested using the χ^2 test. The frequencies of genotypes and alleles were evaluated using the χ^2 test or Fisher’s exact test. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. In addition, logistic regression analysis was performed to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). If any positive association was found in the initial analysis, Bonferroni correction was performed. Three models of genotype are presented: the general model, the dominant model and the recessive model. Power analysis was conducted by Minitab 17 software.

Results

The call rates of all SNPs genotyped were >98% and the call accuracies were 100% based on a 10% randomly selected sample. All 9 SNPs conformed to HWE (p>0.05) except for *MTHFR* rs1537514 in the PAC group.

Of the 9 SNPs tested, only *COL11A1* rs1031820 showed a marginal association with PAC in allele analysis. The frequency of the minor A allele of rs1031820 was higher in the PAC group than in the control group (p = 0.047) and conferred an OR of 1.59 (95%CI: 1.00–2.53), indicating a harmful role of the SNP in developing PAC (Table 4). In the genotype analysis, the CC genotype of *MTHFR* rs1537514 was solely present in the PAC group. The differences regarding the genotypes between PAC and control were statistically significant (p = 0.014) and conferred an OR of 14.89 in recessive model, indicating its harmful roles in developing PAC (Table 5). However, these differences did not remain statistically significant after Bonferroni correction. The remaining 7 SNPs showed no differences in the distribution of genotypes and allele frequencies between the two groups.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this was the first report from a population-based study to investigate the association of eye development genes with PAC. The design of a population-based study can reduce the sample selection bias often present in hospital-based case-control studies. Furthermore, this study was the first to discuss the association of SNPs in miRNA binding sites with PAC in a Han Chinese population. Our results showed that rs1537514 in the *MTHFR* gene and

Table 4. Allele frequency of SNPs in control and PAC subjects.

| Gene | SNP(Minor/Major) | Call rate (%) | Allele distribution Minor/Major (%) | | P | OR(95% CI) |
|----------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------|------------------|
| | | | Control | PAC | | |
| <i>COL11A1</i> | rs9659030(C/T) | 98.9 | 159/447 (26.2) | 108/350 (23.6) | 0.323 | 0.87 (0.65–1.15) |
| <i>PCMTD1</i> | rs6768 (C/T) | 99.6 | 118/490 (19.4) | 82/382 (17.7) | 0.469 | 0.89 (0.65–1.22) |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs7290117 (T/C) | 99.3 | 36/572 (5.9) | 19/441(4.1) | 0.190 | 0.68 (0.39–1.21) |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs3178915 (A/G) | 98.1 | 214/398 (39.0) | 204/252(44.7) | 0.061 | 1.27 (0.99–1.62) |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs4823006 (A/G) | 99.4 | 270/340 (44.3) | 219/241(47.6) | 0.277 | 1.14 (0.90–1.46) |
| <i>ZNRF3</i> | rs2179129 (G/A) | 98.5 | 285/319 (47.2) | 190/266(41.7) | 0.074 | 0.80 (0.63–1.02) |
| <i>ALPL2</i> | rs1009934(G/C) | 98.7 | 146/460 (24.1) | 114/342(25.0) | 0.734 | 1.05 (0.79–1.39) |
| <i>MTHFR</i> | rs1537514(C/G) | 98.5 | 51/553 (8.4) | 38/418(8.3) | 0.949 | 0.99 (0.64–1.53) |
| <i>COL11A1</i> | rs1031820(A/G) | 98.7 | 36/568 (6.0) | 42/416(9.2) | 0.047 | 1.59 (1.00–2.53) |

All HWE p values > 0.05 except *MTHFR*rs1537514 in PAC.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055.t004

Table 5. Genotype frequency of SNPs in control and PAC subjects.

| Gene | SNP | Genotype distribution n (%) | | General P value | Dominant | | Recessive | | |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | Control | PAC | | p | OR(95% CI) | p | OR(95% CI) | |
| COL11A1 | rs9659030 | TT | 164(54.1) | 136(59.4) | 0.450 | 0.226 | 0.81(0.57–1.14) | 0.98 | 0.99(0.50–1.98) |
| | | TC | 119(39.3) | 78(34.1) | | | | | |
| | | CC | 20(6.6) | 15(6.6) | | | | | |
| PCMTD1 | rs6768 | TT | 160(52.3) | 133(57.6) | 0.474 | 0.94 | 0.65(0.57–1.34) | 0.22 | 0.55(0.21–1.45) |
| | | TC | 116(37.9) | 79(34.2) | | | | | |
| | | CC | 30(9.8) | 19(8.2) | | | | | |
| ZNR3 | rs7290117 | CC | 270(88.8) | 211(91.7) | 0.310 | 0.26 | 0.72(0.40–1.29) | 0.51 | 0.26(0.01–5.50) |
| | | CT | 32(10.5) | 19(8.3) | | | | | |
| | | TT | 2(0.7) | 0(0.0) | | | | | |
| ZNR3 | rs3178915 | GG | 114(38.0) | 70(30.7) | 0.172 | 0.08 | 1.38(0.96–1.99) | 0.21 | 1.33(0.85–2.08) |
| | | GA | 138(46.0) | 112(49.1) | | | | | |
| | | AA | 48(16.0) | 46(27.4) | | | | | |
| ZNR3 | rs4823006 | GG | 96(31.5) | 63(27.4) | 0.544 | 0.31 | 1.22(0.83–1.78) | 0.46 | 1.17(0.77–1.77) |
| | | GA | 148(48.5) | 115(50.0) | | | | | |
| | | AA | 61(20.0) | 52(22.6) | | | | | |
| ZNR3 | rs2179129 | AA | 78(25.8) | 76(33.3) | 0.157 | 0.06 | 0.70(0.48–1.02) | 0.30 | 0.79(0.50–1.24) |
| | | AG | 163(54.0) | 114(50.0) | | | | | |
| | | GG | 61(20.2) | 38(16.7) | | | | | |
| APPL2 | rs1009934 | CC | 176(58.1) | 127(55.7) | 0.776 | 0.58 | 1.10(0.78–1.56) | 0.79 | 0.90(0.44–1.87) |
| | | CG | 108(35.6) | 88(38.6) | | | | | |
| | | GG | 19(6.3) | 13(5.7) | | | | | |
| MTHFR | rs1537514 | GG | 251(83.1) | 195(85.5) | 0.014 | 0.45 | 0.83(0.52–1.34) | 0.01 | 14.89(0.82–270.80) |
| | | GC | 51(16.9) | 28(12.3) | | | | | |
| | | CC | 0(0.0) | 5(2.2) | | | | | |
| COL11A1 | rs1031820 | GG | 266(88.1) | 189(82.5) | 0.076 | 0.07 | 1.51(0.96–2.55) | 0.19 | 6.65(0.32–139.30) |
| | | GA | 36(11.9) | 38(16.6) | | | | | |
| | | AA | 0(0.0) | 2(0.9) | | | | | |

All p values > 0.05 after Bonferroni correction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166055.t005

rs1031820 in the COL11A1 gene are nominally associated with PAC, despite the loss of significance after rigorous Bonferroni correction.

Hyperhomocysteinemia is involved in alterations of the extracellular matrix and the structural remodeling of connective tissues [24,25]. Hyperhomocysteine may affect the overall anterior segment structure and may be involved in enhancing the attachment of the trabecular meshwork to the iris during development and aging [9]. The rs1801133 polymorphism in MTHFR gene leads to reduced enzyme activity and mild hyperhomocysteinemia, induces collagen and α -actin expression and causes extracellular matrix remodeling [8]. Michael et al. found that MTHFR rs1801133 was associated with PACG in a Pakistani population [9]. In their study, the minor TT genotype was present solely in the PACG group. Interestingly in our study, the wild-type CC genotype of rs1537514 was present solely in the PAC group, although the allelic frequency was similar in the control and PAC groups. When the C allele mutates to a G allele, the corresponding miRNAs cause loss-of-function and the loss of inhibition, while the wild-type CC genotype causes the corresponding miRNAs to bind to the 3'-UTR and leads to mRNA degradation or inhibition of translation, thereby down-regulating MTHFR expression

and hyperhomocysteinemia. Our results indicated that the wild-type CC genotype of rs1537514 may contribute to PAC by negatively regulating *MTHFR* gene expression.

COL11A1 and *PCMTD1* are genetic risk factors for PACG based on a GWAS [11]. The *COL11A1* gene codes for one of the two α -chains of type XI collagen, a minor fibril-forming collagen that controls fibril growth, diameter, and assembly of major collagens. This gene is expressed primarily in the articular cartilage and the ocular vitreous [26], as well as the trabecular meshwork [27]. Mutations in *COL11A1* cause disorders characterized by midfacial hypoplasia, sensorineural-hearing deficit, and nonprogressive axial myopia [28], such as Marshall syndrome, Stickler syndrome, and Stickler-like syndrome. In our present study, the frequency of the A allele of *COL11A1* rs1031820 was higher in the PAC group than in the control group. According to the miRNA SNP database, mutation in this 3'-UTR causes the corresponding miRNA to undergo gain of function and enhances its inhibition, thereby down-regulating *COL11A1* expression. This expression may be important in regulating drainage of the aqueous humor. For *PMCD1*rs6768, there were no significant differences between the two groups in regards to genotype frequency and alleles.

Recently, a GWAS meta-analysis identified 9 AL loci, 5 of which were associated with refraction in 18 independent cohorts [20]. However, three well-known loci identified in the GWAS on PACG were not associated with AL in this meta-analysis [11]. *ZNRF3* encodes proteins that are directly involved in the Wnt signaling pathway, the most significant pathway in vertebrate eye development [29]. Overexpression of a dominant-negative variant of human *ZNRF3* in zebrafish embryos induces small eyes or the absence of eyes [30]. *ALPPL2* was identified as associated with both AL and refraction, and the mRNA levels of *ALPPL2* show a two-fold difference in the sclera, retinal pigment epithelium, and neural retina in induced myopic eyes [31]. In our present study, none of the SNPs in *ZNRF3* and *ALPPL2* was significantly associated with PAC. Our results suggest that in addition to short AL and hyperopic refractive error, lens, iris, and anterior eye characteristics may serve as risk factors in PAC and PACG.

The study was nested in a perspective cohort of Jiangsu Eye Study and the sampling protocol was predetermined, which led to the limited PAC case available and caused potential underpowered in analysis. The profiling of ocular anatomic features particularly in control group was not be complete, which could result in possible missed opportunities. However, as the current available parameters, the data offered a potential for further studies.

In summary, our study reveals a nominal association of *MTHFR* rs1537514 and *COL11A1* rs1031820 with PAC in a Han Chinese population. The details of these miRNA-mRNA interactions in the context of gene variation and their roles in the pathogenesis of PACG require further study.

Supporting Information

S1 File. Genotype of 9 SNPs in control and PAC subjects.
(XLS)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all patients and family members for their participation. We appreciate the significant contributions of Funing Health Bureau, Funing CDC, Shizhuang Eye Hospital of Funing and the People's Hospital of Funing to study coordination and participant recruitment.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: HG.

Formal analysis: HS MY.

Investigation: HS JZ RZ HL NH BQ JS.

Methodology: HS.

Project administration: HS HL HG.

Resources: HS JZ RZ HL NH BQ JS.

Supervision: HG.

Validation: HS.

Visualization: HS JZ.

Writing – original draft: HS.

References

1. Foster PJ, Johnson GJ. Glaucoma in China: how big is the problem? *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2001; 85: 1277–1282. doi: [10.1136/bjo.85.11.1277](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.85.11.1277) PMID: [11673287](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11673287/)
2. Sihota R, Lakshmaiah NC, Agarwal HC, Pandey RM, Titiyal JS. Ocular parameters in the subgroups of angle closure glaucoma. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2000; 28: 253–258. PMID: [11021552](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11021552/)
3. Aung T, Lim MC, Wong TT, Thalamuthu A, Yong VH, Venkataraman D, et al. Molecular analysis of CHX10 and MFRP in Chinese subjects with primary angle closure glaucoma and short axial length eyes. *Mol Vis*. 2008; 14: 1313–1318. PMID: [18648522](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18648522/)
4. Wang IJ, Lin S, Chiang TH, Chen ZT, Lin LL, Hung PT, et al. The association of membrane frizzled-related protein (MFRP) gene with acute angle-closure glaucoma—a pilot study. *Mol Vis*. 2008; 14: 1673–1679. PMID: [18781223](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18781223/)
5. Shi H, Zhu R, Hu N, Shi J, Zhang J, Jiang L, et al. Association of frizzled-related protein (MFRP) and heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) single nucleotide polymorphisms with primary angle closure in a Han Chinese population: Jianguo Eye Study. *Mol Vis*. 2013; 19: 128–134. PMID: [23378726](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23378726/)
6. Aung T, Yong VH, Lim MC, Venkataraman D, Toh JY, Chew PT, et al. Lack of association between the rs2664538 polymorphism in the MMP-9 gene and primary angle closure glaucoma in Singaporean subjects. *J Glaucoma*. 2008; 17: 257–258. doi: [10.1097/JG.0b013e31815c3aa5](https://doi.org/10.1097/JG.0b013e31815c3aa5) PMID: [18552608](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18552608/)
7. Cong Y, Guo X, Liu X, Cao D, Jia X, Xiao X, et al. Association of the single nucleotide polymorphisms in the extracellular matrix metalloprotease-9 gene with PACG in southern China. *Mol Vis*. 2009; 15: 1412–1417. PMID: [19633731](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19633731/)
8. Wang IJ, Chiang TH, Shih YF, Lu SC, Lin LL, Shieh JW, et al. The association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the MMP-9 genes with susceptibility to acute primary angle closure glaucoma in Taiwanese patients. *Mol Vis*. 2006; 12: 1223–1232. PMID: [17110919](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17110919/)
9. Michael S, Qamar R, Akhtar F, Khan WA, Ahmed A. C677T polymorphism in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene is associated with primary closed angle glaucoma. *Mol Vis*. 2008; 14: 661–665. PMID: [18385801](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18385801/)
10. Ayub H, Khan MI, Micheal S, Akhtar F, Ajmal M, Shafique S, et al. Association of eNOS and HSP70 gene polymorphisms with glaucoma in Pakistani cohorts. *Mol Vis*. 2010; 16: 18–25. PMID: [20069064](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20069064/)
11. Vithana EN, Khor CC, Qiao C, Nongpiur ME, George R, Chen LJ, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify three new susceptibility loci for primary angle closure glaucoma. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44: 1142–1146. doi: [10.1038/ng.2390](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2390) PMID: [22922875](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22922875/)
12. Day AC, Luben R, Khawaja AP, Low S, Hayat S, Dalzell N, et al. Genotype-phenotype analysis of SNPs associated with primary angle closure glaucoma (rs1015213, rs3753841 and rs11024102) and ocular biometry in the EPIC-Norfolk Eye Study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2013; 97: 704–707. doi: [10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302969](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302969) PMID: [23505305](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23505305/)
13. Khor CC, Do T, Jia H, Nakano M, George R, et al. (2016) Genome-wide association study identifies five new susceptibility loci for primary angle closure glaucoma. *Nat Genet* 48: 556–562. doi: [10.1038/ng.3540](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3540) PMID: [27064256](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27064256/)
14. Nongpiur ME, Khor CC, Jia H, Cornes BK, Chen LJ, et al. (2014) ABCC5, a gene that influences the anterior chamber depth, is associated with primary angle closure glaucoma. *PLoS Genet* 10: e1004089. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1004089](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004089) PMID: [24603532](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24603532/)

15. Vettori S, Gay S, Distler O. Role of MicroRNAs in fibrosis. *Open Rheumatol J*. 2012; 6: 130–139. doi: [10.2174/1874312901206010130](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874312901206010130) PMID: [22802911](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22802911/)
16. Patel V, Noureddine L. MicroRNAs and fibrosis. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2012; 21: 410–416. doi: [10.1097/MNH.0b013e328354e559](https://doi.org/10.1097/MNH.0b013e328354e559) PMID: [22622653](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22622653/)
17. Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell*. 2004; 116: 281–297. PMID: [14744438](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14744438/)
18. Chen CZ, Li L, Lodish HF, Bartel DP. MicroRNAs modulate hematopoietic lineage differentiation. *Science*. 2004; 303: 83–86. doi: [10.1126/science.1091903](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091903) PMID: [14657504](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14657504/)
19. Gong J, Tong Y, Zhang HM, Wang K, Hu T, Shan G, et al. Genome-wide identification of SNPs in microRNA genes and the SNP effects on microRNA target binding and biogenesis. *Hum Mutat*. 2012; 33: 254–263. doi: [10.1002/humu.21641](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.21641) PMID: [22045659](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22045659/)
20. Cheng CY, Schache M, Ikram MK, Young TL, Guggenheim JA, Vitart V, et al. Nine loci for ocular axial length identified through genome-wide association studies, including shared loci with refractive error. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2013; 93: 264–277. doi: [10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.06.016) PMID: [24144296](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24144296/)
21. Foster PJ, Devereux JG, Alsbirk PH, Lee PS, Uranchimeg D, Machin D, et al. Detection of gonioscopically occludable angles and primary angle closure glaucoma by estimation of limbal chamber depth in Asians: modified grading scheme. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2000; 84: 186–192. doi: [10.1136/bjo.84.2.186](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.84.2.186) PMID: [10655196](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10655196/)
22. Barkana Y, Dorairaj SK, Gerber Y, Liebmann JM, Ritch R. Agreement between gonioscopy and ultrasound biomicroscopy in detecting iridotrabecular apposition. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2007; 125: 1331–1335. doi: [10.1001/archophth.125.10.1331](https://doi.org/10.1001/archophth.125.10.1331) PMID: [17923539](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17923539/)
23. Foster PJ, Buhrmann R, Quigley HA, Johnson GJ. The definition and classification of glaucoma in prevalence surveys. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2002; 86: 238–242. PMID: [11815354](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11815354/)
24. Mujumdar VS, Tummalapalli CM, Aru GM, Tyagi SC. Mechanism of constrictive vascular remodeling by homocysteine: role of PPAR. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*. 2002; 282: C1009–C1015. doi: [10.1152/ajpcell.00353.2001](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00353.2001) PMID: [11940516](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11940516/)
25. Tyagi SC. Homocysteine redox receptor and regulation of extracellular matrix components in vascular cells. *Am J Physiol*. 1998; 274: C396–C405. PMID: [9486129](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9486129/)
26. Annunen S, Korkko J, Czarny M, Warman ML, Brunner HG, Kaariainen H, et al. Splicing mutations of 54-bp exons in the COL11A1 gene cause Marshall syndrome, but other mutations cause overlapping Marshall/Stickler phenotypes. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1999; 65: 974–983. doi: [10.1086/302585](https://doi.org/10.1086/302585) PMID: [10486316](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10486316/)
27. Michael I, Shmoish M, Walton DS, Levenberg S. Interactions between trabecular meshwork cells and lens epithelial cells: a possible mechanism in infantile aphakic glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2008; 49: 3981–3987. doi: [10.1167/iovs.08-1674](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.08-1674) PMID: [18469193](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18469193/)
28. Kahler RA, Yingst SM, Hoepfner LH, Jensen ED, Krawczak D, Oxford JT, et al. Collagen 11a1 is indirectly activated by lymphocyte enhancer-binding factor 1 (Lef1) and negatively regulates osteoblast maturation. *Matrix Biol*. 2008; 27: 330–338. doi: [10.1016/j.matbio.2008.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2008.01.002) PMID: [18280717](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18280717/)
29. Fuhrmann S. Wnt signaling in eye organogenesis. *Organogenesis*. 2008; 4: 60–67. PMID: [19122781](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19122781/)
30. Hao HX, Xie Y, Zhang Y, Charlat O, Oster E, Avello M, et al. ZNRF3 promotes Wnt receptor turnover in an R-spondin-sensitive manner. *Nature*. 2012; 485: 195–200. doi: [10.1038/nature11019](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11019) PMID: [22575959](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22575959/)
31. Barathi VA, Beuerman RW, Schaeffel F. Effects of unilateral topical atropine on binocular pupil responses and eye growth in mice. *Vision Res*. 2009; 49: 383–387. doi: [10.1016/j.visres.2008.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2008.11.005) PMID: [19059278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19059278/)