

## BRIEF REPORT

# COVID-19 patients often show high-titer non-platelet-activating anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies

Justine Brodard<sup>1</sup> | Johanna A. Kremer Hovinga<sup>1</sup>  | Pierre Fontana<sup>2</sup> | Jan-Dirk Studt<sup>3</sup> | Yves Gruel<sup>4</sup>  | Andreas Greinacher<sup>5</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology and Central Hematology Laboratory, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Division of Angiology and Haemostasis, University Hospitals of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Hematology-Hemostasis, University Hospital of Tours, Tours, France

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Immunologie und Transfusionsmedizin, Universitätsmedizin Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

## Correspondence

Andreas Greinacher, Institut für Immunologie und Transfusionsmedizin, Universitätsmedizin Greifswald, Sauerbruchstraße, 17475 Greifswald, Germany.  
Email: andreas.greinacher@med.uni-greifswald.de

## Funding Information

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project number 374031971 – TRR 240.

## Abstract

**Background:** Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) is a severe adverse reaction to heparin caused by heparin-dependent, platelet-activating anti-platelet factor 4 (PF4)/heparin antibodies. Heparin is a cornerstone of treatment in critically ill COVID-19 patients. HIT antibodies can be detected by antigen tests and functional tests. Often strong reactivity in the antigen test is used as a surrogate marker for the presence of clinically relevant, platelet-activating antibodies. We observed an unexpectedly high percentage of COVID-19 patients, clinically suspected to have HIT, with high titer anti-PF4/heparin antibodies, but a negative functional test.

**Objective:** We investigated whether in COVID-19 patients a serum-derived factor inhibits the heparin-induced platelet activation test (HIPA).

**Methods and Results:** Twelve COVID-19 patients with suspected HIT were tested. Three samples tested negative in all assays; nine samples tested positive by antigen tests, among which only three tested also positive by HIPA. When we spiked COVID-19 serum or control serum with the human HIT antibody like monoclonal antibody 5B9, reactivity of 5B9 remained the same. Also, the purified IgG fractions of COVID-19 sera testing strongly positive in the PF4/heparin antigen test but negative in the functional test did not show increased reactivity in the functional test in comparison to the original serum. Both results make a functionally inhibitory factor in the serum/plasma of COVID-19 patients highly unlikely.

**Conclusion:** COVID-19 patients often present with strong reactivity in PF4/heparin antigen tests without the presence of platelet-activating antibodies. Diagnosis of HIT requires confirmation of heparin-dependent, platelets activating antibodies to avoid overdiagnosis and overtreatment with non-heparin anticoagulants.

## KEYWORDS

COVID-19, heparin, platelet factor 4, thrombocytopenia, thrombosis

Manuscript handled by: Katsue Suzuki-Inoue

Final decision: Katsue Suzuki-Inoue, 3 February 2021

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2021 The Authors. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis

## ESSENTIALS

- COVID-19 patients often present with thrombocytopenia and new thrombosis while receiving heparin resulting in suspicion of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT).
- High titer of anti-platelet factor 4 (PF4)/heparin antibodies are often used as a surrogate marker to predict clinically relevant HIT antibodies.
- In COVID-19-patients, a high titer of anti-PF4/heparin antibody test does not strongly predict clinically relevant HIT antibodies.
- Confirmation of HIT in COVID-19 patients requires demonstration of platelet-activating antibodies, regardless of the anti-PF4/heparin antibody titer.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) is a severe adverse reaction to heparin. Heparin forms complexes with platelet factor 4 (PF4), which induces anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies. When these antibodies activate platelets, this causes a prothrombotic syndrome. HIT typically occurs between day 5 and 14 of heparin treatment, is characterized by a decrease in platelet counts by more than 50%, and an increased risk for new thrombotic complications.<sup>1</sup> Diagnosis of HIT is based on clinical criteria and laboratory tests. However, diagnosis of HIT in critically ill patients is challenging. As thrombocytopenia and thrombotic complications may occur for many reasons other than HIT,<sup>2</sup> diagnosis strongly relies on laboratory tests for anti-PF4/heparin antibodies. Antigen tests detecting anti-PF4/heparin antibodies are widely available<sup>3</sup> and reliable for ruling out HIT but have limitations in confirming HIT. At best, 50% of patients with a positive anti-PF4/heparin antibody test result will also test positive in sensitive platelet activation assays, such as the serotonin-release assay (SRA) or the heparin-induced platelet activation test (HIPA). These functional tests are restricted to specialized laboratories and turn-around times of results are often longer than 1 day. Therefore, a high titer of anti-PF4/heparin antibodies, together with clinical symptoms suggestive for HIT, are often used for the decision to switch heparin to an alternative anticoagulant.

COVID-19 is a severe complication of coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 infection, leading to respiratory failure and the need for ventilation or even extracorporeal oxygenation (ECMO) in some patients. COVID-19 is associated with a prothrombotic state, at least in critically ill patients,<sup>4,5</sup> and heparin is a cornerstone of treatment in this setting.<sup>6,7</sup> Thrombocytopenia is frequent in critically ill and in intensive care unit (ICU) patients, especially when extracorporeal circuits like ECMO are required. Timing of the worsening of COVID-19, usually a week after onset of disease, overlaps with the typical time window of HIT occurrence; that is, between day 5 and 14 after initiation of heparin treatment.

In patients without COVID-19, high titer anti-PF4/heparin antibodies usually predict reasonably well a positive platelet activation test.<sup>4,5</sup> We observed several COVID-19 patients with clinical symptoms suggestive of HIT and high titer anti-PF4/heparin antibodies, but a negative HIPA test. We therefore investigated whether a serum-derived factor interfering with the functional HIPA test in COVID-19 patients might be present, similar to the situation faced in patients treated with ticagrelor.<sup>8</sup>

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Antigen assays

The presence of anti-PF4/heparin antibodies in serum samples was assessed by one in-house and two commercially available tests. The in-house PF4/heparin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EIA) was performed as described.<sup>9</sup> The HemosIL AcuStar-HIT IgG CLIA (Instrumentation Laboratory GmbH, Munich, Germany) and the GTI-PF4 ELISA (GTI Diagnostics, Waukesha, WI) were performed according to manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.2 | Functional assays, IgG-fraction, and monoclonal antibody 5B9

The heparin-induced platelet activation test was performed as described.<sup>10</sup> Briefly, washed platelets of healthy donors were incubated with patient serum in the presence of buffer, low molecular weight heparin, reviparin 0.2 aFXaU, and unfractionated heparin (UFH) 100 units. Platelet aggregation was optically assessed every 5 min.

The IgG fractions of patient and control sera were prepared using a protein G column according to standard methods. The IgG fraction was adjusted to a concentration that gave a similar OD result in the EIA as the original serum and then assessed in the HIPA test.

Monoclonal antibody 5B9 with a human Fc part recognizes PF4/heparin complexes and activates platelets in presence of low concentrations of heparin/reviparin in functional HIT tests<sup>11</sup> and mimics a typical human HIT antibody. Serum samples of COVID-19 patients and of a healthy control were spiked with 5B9 in concentrations from 10 to 400 µg/ml and then assessed in the HIPA test.

## 3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 | High titer of anti-PF4/heparin antibodies

During the observation period from March 2020 to April 2020; 12 COVID-19 patients with suspected HIT were tested. Three samples tested negative in all assays; nine samples tested positive by antigen test among which only three tested also positive by the functional test. The usual frequency of a positive functional test in antigen

TABLE 1 COVID-19 patients evaluated for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia

Pat.	Sex	Age	4 Ts score	Antigen assays				HIPA		Purified IgG fraction		
				HIT-IgG Acustar (U/L)	GTI-PF4 IgG ELISA (OD)	PF4/heparin EIA (OD)	Standard	In presence of mAb 5B6	PF4/heparin EIA (OD)	HIPA	HIPA	
1	M	54	5	0.13	0.262	0.26	Negative	positive	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
2	M	73	6	0.3	0.5	0.5	Negative	positive	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
3	M	59	4	0.4	0.558	0.56	Negative	positive	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
4	M	56	4	0.0	0.127	0.13	Negative	positive	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
5	M	58	4	2.6	n.a	1.71	Negative	n.d	1.2	negative	negative	
6	F	54	4	41.3	2.63	2.43	Negative	n.d	1.91	1/4 test cells with very weak reactivity after 30'	1/4 test cells with very weak reactivity after 20' and 25', respectively	
7	M	81	5	11.3	2.9	2.18	Negative	n.d	2.11	2/4 test cells with very weak reactivity after 20' and 25', respectively	2/4 test cells with very weak reactivity after 20' and 25', respectively	

Abbreviations: EIA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HIPA, heparin-induced platelet activation test; HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; mAb 5B9, monoclonal antibody 5B9; n.d., not done.

test-positive patients in samples referred to reference laboratories is considerably higher with approximately 45% to 50%.<sup>12,13</sup> We therefore assumed that a factor in the patients' sera might inhibit the functional test. To test for the presence of an inhibitory factor in the serum of COVID-19 patients, potentially associated with false negative results in the HIPA test, we followed two approaches. The first was to use serum samples of COVID-19 patients who tested negative or only very weakly positive (OD value <0.6; or <0.5 U/L) in the antigen assays and negative in the HIPA test and to spike them with the monoclonal antibody 5B9 in increasing concentrations. The reactivity of 5B9 remained the same, whether it was diluted in COVID-19 or control serum (Table 1).

### 3.2 | Purification of IgG fraction

We next purified the IgG fraction of COVID-19 serum samples strongly positive in the antigen tests but negative in the HIPA test. The concentration of the purified IgG fractions was adjusted to react with a similar OD in the PF4/heparin EIA as the original sample. When tested with the HIPA, we observed no or a very weak reactivity of the IgG fraction after 20 to 30 min in some of the four test cells per sample (Table 1). We did not consider these reactions as reflecting the presence of typical HIT antibodies as purified IgG fractions may contain some aggregated IgG, which can alter the HIPA test.

These findings suggest that COVID-19 patients exhibit a different reactivity pattern in HIT tests compared to other patient groups. In non-COVID-19 patients, the likelihood for a positive functional test increases along OD values of the PF4/heparin EIA and the U/ml of the AcuStar HIT IgG test,<sup>12</sup> especially if clinical symptoms suggestive for HIT are present.<sup>14</sup> If the result of the AcuStar HIT-IgG is > 4.00 U/ml, the likelihood ratio for the presence of platelet-activating antibodies is 47.53, and at a result of >8.00 U/ml it is 103.4.<sup>12</sup> In contrast, COVID-19 patients may have strongly positive antigen tests, without platelet-activating anti-PF4/heparin antibodies. It is unlikely that this is caused by an inhibitory factor present in the serum of COVID-19 patients. An alternative explanation might be that sera that test only positive in the functional test after addition of PF4<sup>14-16</sup> are prevalent in COVID-19 patients at an unusually high rate. Although we have not tested this, we regard this explanation as very unlikely. In addition, we have also excluded anti-phospholipid antibodies in these sera.

Some current reports on the incidence of HIT in COVID-19 patients base their diagnosis on clinical criteria only,<sup>17,18</sup> or use only anti-PF4/heparin antibody tests.<sup>17-19</sup> Because no functional test was used to confirm the presence of heparin-dependent platelet-activating antibodies, these reports most likely overestimate the incidence of HIT in COVID-19. This is underscored by the findings of others. Most reports on case series of COVID-19 patients suspected to have HIT found a positive functional HIT test only in < 35% of anti-PF4/heparin antibody-positive samples (Table 2). Although Patell et al. report a positive SRA in three of four patients who had been tested by SRA with suspected HIT and a positive antigen test,<sup>20</sup>

**TABLE 2** Published references in COVID-19 patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia

Published references	Patient population	Antigen assay positive	Functional test positive
1. Parzy et al. <sup>21</sup>	14 COVID-19--related ARDS on ECMO	No information given how many patients tested by PF4/heparin antigen test only	3
2. May et al. <sup>22</sup>	7 hospitalized COVID-19 patients	7	1
3. Riker et al. <sup>23</sup>	3 COVID-19 patients with thrombocytopenia	3	1
4. Lingamaneni et al. <sup>24</sup>	5 COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU with clinically suspected HIT	3	1
5. Patell et al. <sup>20</sup>	8 COVID-19 patients receiving UFH with clinically suspected HIT	5	1 of 4 tested borderline pos 2 of 4 tested cells
6. Daviet et al. <sup>19</sup>	7 COVID-19 patients with ARDS and HIT	7	7

Abbreviations: ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; HIT, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; ICU, intensive care unit; PF4, platelet factor 4; UFH, unfractionated heparin.

two sera gave a borderline positive result only in the SRA, which is rather atypical for real positive HIT sera, which typically give a strong positive (all or nothing) reaction. Daviet et al. reported that seven out of seven COVID-19 patients with HIT tested positive by the anti-PF4/heparin antibody test and also positive by a functional test (HIPA).<sup>19</sup> However, this report does not focus on HIT in COVID-19 patients, and patients testing positive by the antigen test only may not have been reported. At the same time, it might very well be that the prevalence of HIT is higher in severely ill COVID-19 patients receiving UFH compared to other ICU patients and HIT should always be considered an important differential diagnosis in patients with a rapid decrease in platelet count associated with new thrombotic complications.

In conclusion, COVID-19 patients often present with strong reactivity in PF4/heparin antigen tests without the presence of platelet-activating antibodies. In COVID-19 patients, suspicion of HIT requires confirmation of heparin-dependent, platelet-activating antibodies in spite of strong reactivity in PF4/heparin antigen tests to avoid overtreatment with non-heparin anticoagulants.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ricarda Raschke, Jessica Fuhrmann, and Silvan Heeb for technical support.


#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

A.G. performed consultant work for Instrumentation Laboratories. J.B., J.K.H., P.F., J.-D.S., and Y.G. have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J. Brodard, J. A. Kremer Hovinga, and J.-D. Studt made the first observation that a strongly positive antigen test may not predict a positive functional test in COVID-19 patients suspected for HIT and performed the initial laboratory tests for HIT. P. Fontana, J.-D. Studt managed COVID-19 patients suspected to have HIT and provided patient sera. Y. Gruel provided the 5B9 antibody. A. Greinacher designed the study, interpreted the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript and reviewed the final version of the manuscript.

#### ORCID

Johanna A. Kremer Hovinga  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1300-7135>

Yves Gruel  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8343-7336>

Andreas Greinacher  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1546-0774>

#### REFERENCES

- Greinacher A. Clinical practice. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:252-261.
- Cuker A, Gimotty PA, Crowther MA, Warkentin TE. Predictive value of the 4Ts scoring system for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood*. 2012;120:4160-4167.
- Nagler M, Bachmann LM, ten Cate H, ten Cate-Hoek A. Diagnostic value of immunoassays for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood*. 2016;127:546-557.
- Fontana P, Casini A, Robert-Ebadi H, Glauser F, Righini M, Blondon M. Venous thromboembolism in COVID-19: systematic review of reported risks and current guidelines. *Swiss Med Week*. 2020;150:w20301.

5. Middeldorp S, Coppens M, van Haaps TF, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18:1995-2002.
6. Thachil J, Juffermans NP, Ranucci M, et al. ISTH DIC subcommittee communication on anticoagulation in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18:2138-2144.
7. Casini A, Alberio L, Angelillo-Scherrer A, et al. Thromboprophylaxis and laboratory monitoring for in-hospital patients with COVID-19 - a Swiss consensus statement by the Working Party Hemostasis. *Swiss Med Week.* 2020;150:w20247.
8. Eekels JJM, Pachler C, Krause N, Muhr T, Walzl G, Greinacher A. Ticagrelor causes false-negative functional tests for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood.* 2020;135:875-878.
9. Juhl D, Eichler P, Lubenow N, Strobel U, Wessel A, Greinacher A. Incidence and clinical significance of anti-PF4/heparin antibodies of the IgG, IgM, and IgA class in 755 consecutive patient samples referred for diagnostic testing for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Eur J Haematol.* 2006;76:420-426.
10. Brodard J, Alberio L, Angelillo-Scherrer A, Nagler M. Accuracy of heparin-induced platelet aggregation test for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res.* 2020;185:27-30.
11. Vayne C, Guéry EA, Charuel N, et al. Evaluation of functional assays for the diagnosis of heparin induced thrombocytopenia using 5B9, a monoclonal IgG that mimics human antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18:968-975.
12. Althaus K, Westphal A, Strobel U, Bakchoul T, Greinacher A. Real-life evaluation of an automated immunoassay for diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Res.* 2020;196:400-403.
13. Nazi I, Arnold DM, Moore JC, et al. Pitfalls in the diagnosis of heparin-Induced thrombocytopenia: a 6-year experience from a reference laboratory. *Am J Hematol.* 2015;90:629-633.
14. Warkentin TE, Sheppard JI, Smith JW, et al. Combination of two complementary automated rapid assays for diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18:1435-1446.
15. Tardy B, Lecompte T, Mullier F, Vayne C, Pouplard C. Detection of platelet-activating antibodies associated with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9:1226-1232.
16. Pandya KA, Johnson EG, Davis GA, Padmanabhan A. Serotonin release assay (SRA)-negative HIT, a newly recognized entity: implications for diagnosis and management. *Thromb Res.* 2018;172:169-171.
17. Lozano R, Franco ME. Incidence of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in patients with 2019 coronavirus disease. *Med Clin.* 2020;155:409-410.
18. Liu X, Zhang X, Xiao Y, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia is associated with a high risk of mortality in critical COVID-19 patients receiving heparin-involved treatment. *medRxiv.* 2020;2020.04.23.20076851.
19. Daviet F, Guervilly C, Baldesi O, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in severe COVID-19. *Circulation.* 2020;142:1875-1877.
20. Patell R, Khan AM, Bogue T, et al. Heparin induced thrombocytopenia antibodies in Covid-19. *Am J Hematol.* 2020; Online ahead of print. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25935>
21. Parzy G, Daviet F, Puech B, et al. Venous thromboembolism events following venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 based on CT scans. *Crit Care Med.* 2020;48:e971-e975.
22. May JE, Siniard RC, Marques M. The challenges of diagnosing heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in patients with COVID-19. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2020;4:1066-1067.
23. Riker RR, May TL, Fraser GL, et al. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia with thrombosis in COVID-19 adult respiratory distress syndrome. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost.* 2020;4:936-941.
24. Lingamaneni P, Gonakoti S, Moturi K, Vohra I, Zia M. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in COVID-19. *J Invest Med High Impact Case Rep.* 2020;8:2324709620944091.

**How to cite this article:** Brodard J, Kremer Hovinga JA, Fontana P, Studt J-D, Gruel Y, Greinacher A. COVID-19 patients often show high-titer non-platelet-activating anti-PF4/heparin IgG antibodies. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2021;19:1294-1298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.15262>