

REVIEW

Open Access

Long-acting beta-agonists in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: current and future agents

Donald P Tashkin^{1*}, Leonardo M Fabbri²

Abstract

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is characterized by progressive airflow limitation and debilitating symptoms. For patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, long-acting bronchodilators are the mainstay of therapy; as symptoms progress, guidelines recommend combining bronchodilators from different classes to improve efficacy. Inhaled long-acting β_2 -agonists (LABAs) have been licensed for the treatment of COPD since the late 1990s and include formoterol and salmeterol. They improve lung function, symptoms of breathlessness and exercise limitation, health-related quality of life, and may reduce the rate of exacerbations, although not all patients achieve clinically meaningful improvements in symptoms or health related quality of life. In addition, LABAs have an acceptable safety profile, and are not associated with an increased risk of respiratory mortality, although adverse effects such as palpitations and tremor may limit the dose that can be tolerated.

Formoterol and salmeterol have 12-hour durations of action; however, sustained bronchodilation is desirable in COPD. A LABA with a 24-hour duration of action could provide improvements in efficacy, compared with twice-daily LABAs, and the once-daily dosing regimen could help improve compliance. It is also desirable that a new LABA should demonstrate fast onset of action, and a safety profile at least comparable to existing LABAs.

A number of novel LABAs with once-daily profiles are in development which may be judged against these criteria. Indacaterol, a LABA with a 24-hour duration of bronchodilation and fast onset of action, is the most advanced of these. Preliminary results from large clinical trials suggest indacaterol improves lung function compared with placebo and other long-acting bronchodilators. Other LABAs with a 24-hour duration of bronchodilation include carmoterol, vilanterol trifenate and oldaterol, with early results indicating potential for once-daily dosing in humans. The introduction of once-daily LABAs also provides the opportunity to develop combination inhalers of two or more classes of once-daily long-acting bronchodilators, which may be advantageous for COPD patients through simplification of treatment regimens as well as improvements in efficacy. Once-daily LABAs used both alone and in combination with long-acting muscarinic antagonists represent a promising advance in the treatment of COPD, and are likely to further improve outcomes for patients.

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive disease characterized by increasing airflow limitation and respiratory symptoms, often associated with chronic comorbidities, leading to a significant burden for the patient.

Specific pharmacological therapy for COPD helps to prevent and control symptoms, reduce the frequency

and severity of exacerbations, improve health status and improve exercise tolerance [1-3]. Inhaled bronchodilators, such as β_2 -agonists and muscarinic antagonists, improve lung function by altering airway smooth muscle tone but also act on peripheral airways [4]. They reduce air trapping and improve emptying of the lungs, thereby reducing lung volumes, improving symptoms such as breathlessness and increasing exercise capacity [1,5].

The present review focuses on the role and future of long-acting β_2 -agonists (LABAs) in the management of COPD, updating previously published reviews [6].

* Correspondence: dtashkin@mednet.ucla.edu

¹David Geffen School of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

β_2 -agonists

The principal action of β_2 -agonists is to relax airway smooth muscle by stimulating β_2 -adrenergic receptors. This increases the intracellular messenger cyclic AMP that is responsible for the control of smooth muscle tone [7]. Thus, activation of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor results directly in bronchodilation. Muscarinic antagonists also facilitate bronchodilation but work by competing with acetylcholine for muscarinic receptors [8]. By inhibiting the action of acetylcholine at receptor sites in the lung, they indirectly inhibit contraction of airway smooth muscle. Figure 1 [7,8] illustrates the pathways by which each class of bronchodilator produces smooth muscle relaxation. β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonists may also attenuate cholinergic neurotransmission due to stimulation of β_2 -adrenergic receptors on parasympathetic ganglia [9,10].

β_2 -agonists are widely used in the management of COPD, either alone or in combination with other bronchodilators, corticosteroids, or both. Short-acting β_2 -agonists (SABAs) were the first agents of the class to become available for the treatment of COPD. LABAs

with a 12-hour duration of action were subsequently introduced in the late 1990s, following positive experience in asthma [11], providing improvements in bronchodilator efficacy and patient outcomes compared with SABAs which have a duration of action of only 4-6 hours [12]. The currently available SABAs and LABAs are summarized in Table 1 [1,13-18].

Current position in management guidelines

SABAs such as salbutamol (albuterol), terbutaline, pirbuterol or fenoterol and/or short-acting muscarinic antagonists (SAMAs) such as ipratropium bromide or oxitropium bromide are recommended as rescue medication for all severities of COPD to relieve acute symptoms of bronchospasm. For patients with Stage II (moderate) or more severe COPD, regular treatment with a twice-daily LABA such as formoterol or salmeterol, or a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA) such as tiotropium, is more effective and convenient than treatment with the shorter-acting agents [1]. As symptoms progress, combining bronchodilators from different classes is recommended to improve efficacy.




Table 1 SABAs and LABAs commonly used in COPD

Drug	Dose delivered by inhaler (µg)	Other formulations	Recommended dose	Duration of action (hours)	Onset of action
Short-acting					
Salbutamol (albuterol)	100, 200 (MDI & DPI)	Solution for nebulizer; oral (syrup, tablets); vials for injection	200 µg up to 4 times daily	4-6	5 mins
Terbutaline	400, 500 (DPI)		500 µg up to 4 times daily	4-6	30 mins
Long-acting					
Formoterol	4.5-12 (MDI & DPI)	Solution for nebulizer (20 µg/2 mL)	12 µg twice daily (Aerolizer/Easyhaler) 9 µg [†] twice daily (Turbuhaler) 20 µg twice daily (Nebulizer)	12+	<5 mins*
Arformoterol		Solution for nebulizer (15 µg/2 mL)	15 µg twice daily	12+	6.7 mins*
Salmeterol	25-50 (MDI & DPI)		50 µg twice daily	12+	2 hours**

DPI, dry powder inhaler; MDI, metered dose inhaler * mean FEV₁ increase of 15%; ** mean FEV₁ increase of 12% or more and at least 200 mL; [†] delivered dose (equivalent to 12 µg metered dose)

Inhaled corticosteroids may be added to bronchodilator treatment in patients with severe COPD and a history of repeated exacerbations.

No one long-acting bronchodilator is recommended over another in management guidelines [1], because few studies have specifically compared different LABAs [19,20]. In addition, studies comparing LABAs with LAMAs have not been designed or powered to answer this clinical question to date, providing inconclusive results [21-23]. The choice therefore depends on availability and the response of the individual patient [1]. Inhaled therapy is preferred to oral therapy, in light of the greater risk of systemic side effects with oral beta-agonists and the unfavorable safety profile of oral methylxanthines.

Recently, there has been extensive research into the efficacy and safety of available twice-daily LABAs, as well as the development of new long-acting β₂-agonists which have the potential for once-daily use [6]. This paper focuses on the current and future role of LABAs in COPD management. The efficacy and safety of currently available LABAs is reviewed, and their limitations considered. Articles were identified using a PubMed search including search terms for all currently available LABAs (salmeterol, formoterol, arformoterol), β₂-agonists, efficacy, safety and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease/COPD. This is followed by the authors' views on the ideal criteria for a new LABA for COPD, and a discussion of the extent to which novel LABAs, currently being developed, may meet these criteria.

Clinical efficacy

Efficacy in COPD has traditionally been assessed primarily through impact on lung function measured by spirometry. However, to adequately understand the impact of a medication, outcomes of importance to the

patient including symptoms and quality of life must also be taken into account [24]. The following section reviews efficacy by endpoint to assess how far salmeterol and formoterol address the need to improve lung function, symptoms, exercise endurance, quality of life and exacerbations in patients with COPD. A summary is provided in Table 2 [1,25-31].

Lung function

Formoterol and salmeterol have both demonstrated significant improvements in lung function [12,26,27,29-51].

Improvements in pre-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) ranged from 50-90 mL compared with placebo [26,30,36,40,49]. Bronchodilation was rapid in onset with formoterol [34], although salmeterol had an onset of action slower than salbutamol or ipratropium bromide [44].

Improvements in lung function were sustained in studies of 3 months to 3 years' duration when twice-daily LABAs were used as maintenance therapy [28-31,46,48,51,52]. Some studies have suggested there may be a decline in bronchodilator efficacy over time with salmeterol [53,54]; over 6 months, significant declines in peak forced vital capacity (FVC) relative to placebo (-83 mL, *p* < 0.05), but not FEV₁ (-12 mL), were observed with salmeterol [53]. Other studies have also suggested a partial loss of bronchodilator efficacy of formoterol over time. For example, the initial improvement in mean trough FEV₁ (95% confidence interval [CI]) with formoterol 12 µg compared with placebo declined from 110 ml (90-130) after Day 1 to 70 mL (40-100) at Week 12 and to 50 ml (10-90) at Week 52 [55]. Moreover, there appears to be a partial decline in the integrated 12-hour improvement in FEV₁ following the morning dose of formoterol 24 µg from 3 to 12 months of twice-daily therapy [46]. However, no

Table 2 Summary of clinical efficacy for currently available β_2 -agonists in COPD

	Duration of action (hours) ¹	Improvement in outcome				
		Lung function	Breathlessness	Exercise endurance*	Quality of life	Exacerbations
Salbutamol (albuterol)	4-6	✓ ^{1,25}	✓ ^{1,25}	✓ ^{1,25}	NA	NA
Salmeterol	≥12	✓✓ ^{1,25-27}	✓ ²⁵⁻²⁷	✓ ^{1,25}	✓(✓ [†]) ^{1,25-28}	✓✓ ^{1,25,26,28}
Formoterol	≥12	✓✓ ^{1,25,29}	✓✓ ^{25,29}	✓ ^{1,25}	✓✓ ^{1,25,29}	✓ [†] ^{1,25,29-31}

✓ evidence of effectiveness; ✓✓ evidence of effectiveness over SABA or SAMA; *Outcome demonstrated by all bronchodilators, lack of evidence of significant differences between them; † equivocal evidence depending on formulation; ‡ evidence of numerical improvements over shorter acting comparator; NA = evidence not available

evidence of clinically meaningful tolerance has been demonstrated in pooled or meta-analyses of salmeterol [56,57].

Further, in a post-hoc analysis from the 3-year Towards a Revolution in COPD Health (TORCH) study, salmeterol reduced the rate of decline in lung function by 13 mL/year compared with placebo (p = 0.003), which was not statistically different from the reduction in the rate of decline by salmeterol in combination with the inhaled corticosteroid fluticasone propionate [58].

Formoterol and salmeterol have demonstrated similar or greater improvements in lung function compared with ipratropium bromide [26,29,39,44,45] and significantly greater improvements compared with theophylline [35,46,59], but appear to be less effective than tiotropium [21,60].

Symptom control

Numerous tools and questionnaires have been developed to assess respiratory symptoms in COPD ranging from patient rating of symptoms on diary cards, to validated scales such as the Transition Dyspnea Index (TDI) and Borg scale of perceived dyspnea, for which minimal clinically important differences (MCID) have been established [61-63].

Both formoterol and salmeterol have demonstrated significant improvement compared with placebo in diary card symptom scores including breathlessness, together with significant reductions in the requirement for rescue medication [12,23,27,29,30,32,36,37,40,48,50,51]. Improvements in symptoms were generally similar [26,27,46] or greater [29,59] with formoterol and salmeterol than with ipratropium bromide and theophylline.

Fewer studies have included the TDI instrument, and these have yielded inconsistent results [21,26,32,33,40,43]. Formoterol 18 µg twice daily, but not 4.5 µg or 9 µg twice daily via the Turbuhaler, significantly improved TDI by 1.15 units compared with placebo (1.77 vs 0.62 units; p = 0.002) [32], achieving the MCID (≥1 unit) for this instrument. Similarly, nebulized arformoterol (the active (R,R)-enantiomer of formoterol) 25 µg and 50 µg but not 15 µg twice daily significantly improved TDI (1.08 and 1.04 units vs placebo respectively) [33]. In contrast, salmeterol has not achieved the MCID for TDI in clinical studies

[21,26,33,40,43]. Donohue et al [21] found that only 35% of patients receiving salmeterol achieved the MCID (p = ns vs placebo), compared with 42% receiving the LAMA tiotropium (p < 0.01 vs placebo).

Exercise tolerance

There are fewer studies investigating the effect of LABAs on exercise tolerance. Two 12-week studies found no significant difference in distance covered in the incremental shuttle walking test with formoterol 18 µg twice daily via Turbuhaler compared with placebo [32,51]. Similarly, studies with salmeterol show inconsistent effects on walking tests compared with placebo [12,39,42,64]. However, in constant (submaximal) work rate exercise endurance tests (considered to be more responsive to the effect of pharmacotherapy) [63], significant improvements in exercise tolerance have been demonstrated for formoterol and salmeterol, accompanied by improvements in dynamic hyperinflation (exercise-induced air trapping which is a significant contributor to exercise limitation due to intolerable dyspnea) [65,66]. For example, in cycle tests performed at 75% of peak work rate, salmeterol significantly prolonged exercise time compared with placebo (6.0 ± 0.8 vs 4.5 ± 0.8 minutes, p < 0.05), although this fell just short of the 1.75 minute MCID proposed by Casaburi [67].

Data with active comparators are limited, but small studies have found similar improvements in exercise endurance and dyspnea ratings during submaximal or progressive exercise tests between twice-daily LABAs and ipratropium bromide [68-70].

Health-related quality of life

In the majority of studies, significant improvements in health-related quality of life as measured by the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) have been demonstrated with formoterol compared with placebo [23,29-31,46] and with salmeterol compared with placebo [33,36,48,71] although other studies have failed to demonstrate a significant effect on quality of life [21,40,43,51].

In some [29,30,48,71], but not all [23,28,31,33,36] studies, improvements compared with placebo exceeded the MCID of 4 units for the SGRQ [72]. Although

improvements in health-related quality of life with formoterol and salmeterol were at least as good as those with ipratropium bromide [26,27,29] and theophylline [59], overall, a meta-analysis found that LABAs produced a mean change from baseline of 3.36 units in SGRQ [73], falling short of the MCID for this instrument. Similarly, in a responder analysis of a 6-month study, only 42% of patients receiving salmeterol achieved the MCID for health-related quality of life, compared with 40% for placebo ($p = ns$) and 51% receiving tiotropium ($p < 0.05$ vs salmeterol) [21].

Exacerbations

Exacerbations contribute greatly to the increased morbidity and diminished health-related quality of life of COPD patients [74]. However, there has been a lack of a consistent and widely accepted definition with which to evaluate and compare the efficacy of therapeutic interventions on this outcome [75]. Definitions of, and methods for counting, COPD exacerbations vary across studies, and statisticians disagree as to the most appropriate statistical method for analysis [76,77]. Thus, the results of clinical studies must be interpreted with caution.

To date, clinical studies have not been specifically designed to investigate the effect of formoterol on exacerbations, and no significant benefit on moderate to severe exacerbations has been demonstrated [23,30,31,78]. Salmeterol has more consistently demonstrated a significant effect on COPD exacerbation rate, which may reflect the study designs used [57]. The 3-year TORCH study found that salmeterol monotherapy reduced the annual rate of COPD exacerbations (requiring oral corticosteroids and/or antibiotics and/or hospitalization) by 15% compared with placebo ($p < 0.001$), although the greatest reductions were observed in the combination salmeterol/fluticasone propionate arm (25% annual reduction compared with placebo, $p < 0.001$) [28].

In a meta-analysis of 14 randomized clinical trials involving more than 6,400 patients, LABAs (salmeterol or formoterol) significantly reduced exacerbations requiring study withdrawal or hospitalization compared with placebo, with a relative risk of 0.78 (95% CI, 0.67-0.91) [73]. Hospitalizations tend to be relatively rare events and there may be large variability in the criteria applied by different physicians for hospitalizing a patient for an exacerbation, so this result is difficult to interpret. However, it is interesting to note that the use of ICS was not associated with a further risk reduction [73]. In a more recent meta-analysis of 23 randomized controlled trials of twice-daily LABAs (16 salmeterol vs placebo, four formoterol vs placebo, three salmeterol vs tiotropium and one formoterol vs tiotropium), LABAs significantly reduced the risk of an exacerbation

compared with placebo (odds ratio [OR] 0.84; 95% CI, 0.76-0.92), whereas tiotropium significantly reduced the exacerbation risk compared with a LABA (OR 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72-0.93) [79]. A LABA-ICS combination tended to reduce the risk of an exacerbation more than a LABA alone, although this did not reach statistical significance (OR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.80-1.01).

Efficacy summary

In summary, evidence to date suggests that both formoterol and salmeterol provide significant improvements in lung function, symptoms including dyspnea, and health-related quality of life. However, for the latter two endpoints, not all patients appear to achieve clinically meaningful improvements, and improvements were lower than those achieved with the once-daily LAMA tiotropium. Studies using the sensitive measure of submaximal exercise testing suggest there is a beneficial effect on exercise tolerance, although data are limited in this area. There are few definitive studies investigating the effect of treatment on exacerbations and results should be interpreted with caution, but suggest a significant effect, at least for salmeterol.

Given the general trend for twice-daily LABAs to provide improved lung function and more consistent symptom control compared with shorter-acting comparators (Table 2), one could argue that a longer duration of action is desirable in COPD [80]. The extension of this argument is that efficacy could be further improved by the introduction of a once-daily LABA.

Clinical safety

There is clear consensus with regard to the central role of inhaled bronchodilators as the standard of care in COPD patients. However, recent concerns have been raised suggesting that LABA use could lead to an increased risk of respiratory death in patients with asthma. This was initiated by the results of the Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial (SMART), which investigated the safety of adding salmeterol to usual asthma treatment in patients not already receiving a LABA; it was stopped following an interim analysis in 26,355 patients because of a small but significant increase in the number of asthma-related deaths in the salmeterol group [81]. These results raised significant doubts about the safety of LABA monotherapy in patients with asthma. Recent editorials have recommended that physicians should continue to use LABAs to treat asthma, but only when combined with appropriate doses of inhaled corticosteroids [82,83].

In COPD there are no restrictions on LABA use as monotherapy. COPD is a distinct disease from asthma, although there is some degree of overlap, with an estimated 10-50% of COPD patients having concomitant

asthma. This wide variation in reported prevalence may relate to differences in diagnostic criteria [84,85]. An overlap in symptoms, together with the lack of validated discriminatory biomarkers, can make differential diagnosis difficult. The major distinguishing features between the two diseases are clinical: in general, COPD occurs later in life, with slowly progressive symptoms during exercise and is associated with a history of smoking or exposure to noxious gases and particulates. Asthma is likely to present early in life (often in childhood) and be associated with symptoms which vary from day to day, often at night or in the early morning; subjects often have a history of asthma in their family and airflow limitation tends to be more reversible than in COPD [1,86]. It is important to recognize that COPD, in most cases, is associated with significant, albeit never complete, reversibility [87-89] at one time or another. Therefore, it is not appropriate as is sometimes done, to refer to COPD patients who exhibit significant reversibility in response to a bronchodilator as having an 'asthmatic' component or 'mixed disease'. Indeed, the fact that most COPD patients respond significantly to a bronchodilator provides the major rationale for the recommendation of bronchodilators as the mainstay of therapy for COPD.

LABA monotherapy in COPD is not associated with increased risk of respiratory mortality. A meta-analysis performed in 2006 [90], suggested that β_2 -agonists were associated with an increased rate of respiratory deaths compared with placebo, and a 2-fold greater risk of severe exacerbations compared with muscarinic antagonists. However, this meta-analysis did not include the large dataset provided by the TORCH study [28]. TORCH found no increased risk of mortality with salmeterol monotherapy. Indeed, factorial analyses [91,92] have suggested the numerical mortality benefit observed with the combination (17.5% reduction in risk of death, $p = 0.052$) might be driven by the LABA component (Table 3) [91]. Further, a recent meta-analysis of 27 studies found no significant difference between LABA and placebo in terms of risk of respiratory death (relative risk 1.09 [95%, CI 0.45-2.64]) [73]. These results were confirmed in a more recent meta-analysis of 23 trials that also failed to find any increased mortality risk comparing a LABA with placebo (odds ratio 0.95 [95% CI, 0.71-1.27]) [79].

Clinical studies have demonstrated that both formoterol and salmeterol are well tolerated, over periods of at least a year [28,30,31,36,48,78]. Commonly occurring LABA class effects include palpitations, headache and tremor, which may limit the dose that can be tolerated, especially in some older patients [1]. Cardiovascular side effects are also possible in susceptible patients [93], and there is some risk associated with the use of β_2 -agonists

in patients with COPD and concomitant cardiac diseases, particularly chronic heart failure [94,95].

Chronic heart failure is a frequent comorbidity in patients with COPD. Cazzola et al [96] reported that COPD patients in Italy were at increased risk of chronic heart failure compared with the general population (7.9% compared with 2%), while in a Scottish study, 11.9% patients with COPD also had chronic heart failure [97]. Older individuals were at even higher risk in both studies. Moreover, heart failure may be under-diagnosed in COPD due to diagnostic confusion between the two diseases [98]. Research by Au et al. [99,100] indicates a strong trend towards a dose-response relationship of increased hospitalization and death, due to heart failure in patients with underlying heart failure who received increasing amounts of β_2 -agonist. Thus it is important that physicians should exercise caution in using high doses of β_2 -agonists in such patients.

However, studies specifically investigating safety have demonstrated that formoterol and salmeterol have good cardiovascular safety profiles [101,102]. Further, studies with formoterol found a similar profile irrespective of device or formulation [103,104]. In a large cohort of patients with no or stable cardiac comorbidities, the proportion of patients with treatment-emergent atrial tachycardia ranged from 27%-32% and was non-significantly higher, by 2%-5% ($p = 0.70$), in patients receiving salmeterol or arformoterol compared with placebo; more serious arrhythmias did not increase, nor did mean heart rate [105].

Improving β_2 -agonists

Formoterol and salmeterol have acceptable benefit:risk profiles in the treatment of COPD; however, both have their limitations. For example, both formoterol and salmeterol have 12-hour durations of action and are approved for twice-daily dosing. The longer duration of bronchodilation of twice-daily LABAs compared with SABAs appears to be associated not only with improved lung function but also with more consistent efficacy in symptomatic endpoints, such as breathlessness and quality of life (Table 2). Evidence also indicates that LAMAs with a 24-hour duration of action have additional benefits in comparison with shorter acting SAMAs [106]. This suggests that sustained bronchodilation is desirable for a bronchodilator [80] and that a LABA with a 24-hour duration of action could provide further improvements in efficacy compared with twice-daily LABAs. In addition, patient adherence to treatment plans is a major obstacle to successful COPD management, especially since it is likely that patients will also be on a range of medications for comorbidities. Simplified dosing regimens are known to improve compliance [107], so that once-daily dosing is an important strategy to

Table 3 Effects of treatment with salmeterol and fluticasone on mortality

Factor	Placebo (A)	Salmeterol (B)	Fluticasone (C)	Salmeterol plus fluticasone (D)	Main effect*			
					Salmeterol received		Fluticasone received	
					Yes (B+D)	No (A+C)	Yes (C+D)	No (A+B)
No. of subjects	1,524	1,521	1,534	1,533	3,054	3,058	3,067	3,045
No. of deaths	231	205	246	193	398	477	439	436
Probability of deaths at 3 years (%)	15.2	13.5	16.0	12.6	13.0	15.6	14.3	14.3
Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)					0.81 (0.70-0.94)		1.00 (0.87-1.15)	
Chi-square					8.20		0.00	
P value					0.004		0.99	

*Data were analyzed according to a factorial design to estimate the main effect of each drug with adjustment for the other

improve compliance and is a regimen preferred by most patients [6].

Fast onset of action is a significant feature of bronchodilators and may be beneficial for patients with COPD. Rapid relief of symptoms which patients can feel from the first dose provides reassurance of effect, and is likely to improve compliance with the medication [108,109]. When administered in the morning, the fast onset of action of bronchodilators is also advantageous, since COPD symptoms and patients' ability to perform daily activities appear to be worst in the morning [110]. While formoterol has an onset of action similar to salbutamol, salmeterol may not produce a significant increase in bronchodilation for as long as 2 hours following the dose.

For COPD patients, bronchodilator medication is a chronic maintenance therapy, and it is desirable that efficacy should be maintained over time. As discussed above there is some evidence of loss of bronchodilator effectiveness over time with β_2 -agonists, as demonstrated by Tsagaraki et al. [54], Donohue et al. [21], Dahl et al. [55] and Rossi et al. [46] although the clinical significance of these changes remains unclear.

Studies to date with twice-daily LABAs indicate that a minority of patients achieve clinically relevant improvements in dyspnea or health-related quality of life, based on current validated thresholds [21,73]. Data with current twice-daily LABAs in terms of preventing COPD exacerbations are mixed, with some studies showing no effect [30,31,48]. However, evidence from large datasets such as TORCH and pooled analyses reviewed above support a relatively modest reduction in the rate of moderate and severe exacerbations [28,73,79].

Improvements in these areas are desirable, but it is also essential that a new LABA has a comparable, if not better, safety profile compared with twice-daily LABAs. LABAs are associated with known class effects, the most significant being related to cardiac safety [1]. While the

balance of evidence (as reviewed above) indicates that current LABAs are well tolerated, it is important that any new entry to the market ensures that potential cardiac effects are minimized, especially taking into account that COPD patients are often older and may have cardiovascular comorbidities.

Finally, consideration should be given to the delivery of any new molecule, ensuring the inhaler device is appropriate to the COPD patient. Many COPD patients have difficulty in using metered-dose inhalers so dry powder inhalers that are of low resistance, relatively insensitive to changes in airflow and can be used irrespective of inspiratory flow rate may be beneficial [111]. Soft-mist inhalers are also less technique-dependent than metered-dose inhalers [112], and may hold promise for future delivery of LABAs alone or in combination with other agents.

Ultra-LABAs in development for use in COPD

'Ultra-LABA' is a term already in use to describe the class of once-daily LABAs in development [6,113]. Ultra-LABAs providing not only once-daily dosing but also improvements in the range of criteria outlined above would represent an advance on currently available LABAs, and an alternative to existing once-daily bronchodilators for the treatment of COPD. Tiotropium, the only once-daily inhaled bronchodilator currently available, is a LAMA that significantly improves lung function, increases exercise capacity and improves effectiveness of pulmonary rehabilitation, improves health status, and reduces exacerbations [1,79]. Although a four-year study of tiotropium on a background of usual therapy did not reduce the rate of decline in lung function [114], *post-hoc* analyses did demonstrate a modest, but significant, reduction in the rate of decline in lung function with tiotropium compared with placebo in patients not on other maintenance drugs [114,115]. However, tiotropium is relatively slow in onset of action

and is associated with anticholinergic side effects, such as dry mouth (most commonly) and urinary retention (rarely) [1].

Ultimately, the choice of one agent over another as monotherapy in individual COPD patients will depend on a number of factors, including the expected side effects of each class of drugs, data on comparative efficacy with respect to onset, magnitude and duration of action, preference for the delivery device used and cost.

A number of novel LABAs with once-daily profiles are in development [6]. Here, we summarize the data available to date for the most promising of these.

Indacaterol, a novel inhaled ultra-LABA, has recently been approved in Europe for the treatment of COPD and is the first ultra-LABA to be launched in this region. Preclinical and Phase II studies indicated that indacaterol has a 24-hour duration of bronchodilation with fast onset of action, a good cardiovascular safety profile and no antagonism of the effect of rescue medication [22,116-121]. Further, Bauwens et al. [117] included doses approved for registration (150 and 300 µg) and demonstrated that the bronchodilator efficacy of indacaterol (150, 300 and 600 µg) at 24 hours post-dose was at least as good as formoterol 12 µg twice daily (Figure 2[117]).

It has been hypothesized that indacaterol's long duration of action may relate to its high affinity for the lipid raft domain of the cell membrane [122] (cholesterol-enriched microdomains of the lipid membrane, where β_2 -receptors are held together in close contact with signaling molecules and effectors) [123].

A number of placebo- and active-controlled Phase III studies in COPD have been completed or are ongoing [55,124-129]. Indacaterol 150 µg once daily significantly improved trough FEV₁ at 12 weeks by 130-180 mL compared with placebo ($p < 0.001$) [125,127-129] while the 300 µg dose provided improvements of 170-180 mL ($p < 0.001$) [55,127,129], sustained over 1 year [55,129]. Both doses were significantly more effective than open-label tiotropium ($p \leq 0.01$) [127] while in further studies, the 150 µg dose was significantly more effective than salmeterol 50 µg twice daily ($p < 0.001$) [128], and the 300 µg dose was significantly more effective than formoterol 12 µg twice daily ($p < 0.05$) [55].

Patient outcomes were also improved: indacaterol 150 and 300 µg significantly improved dyspnea as measured by TDI to a clinically relevant degree in a six month study (≥ 1 unit, $p < 0.001$) [127] with similar results achieved for indacaterol 300 µg throughout a 12 month study (1.00-1.32 unit improvement vs placebo, $p < 0.001$) [55]. In addition, indacaterol 150 and 300 µg were found to be at least as effective as open-label tiotropium in improving clinical outcomes over a 26-week period [127]. Indacaterol 150 and 300 µg also


significantly reduced dyspnea compared with salmeterol and formoterol ($p < 0.05$) [55,128], and significantly reduced rescue medication use compared with tiotropium and salmeterol ($p < 0.05$) [127,128]. Patients reported significant improvements in health-related quality of life as measured by SGRQ for both doses compared with placebo in 6 month studies ($p \leq 0.01$) [127,128] with the 150 µg dose achieving a clinically relevant improvement of 5 units versus placebo at 26 weeks in one study ($p < 0.001$) [128]. Further, indacaterol 300 µg achieved significant, clinically relevant improvements over one year (-4.7 units improvement at Week 52, $p < 0.001$ vs placebo) [55]. In studies of up to one year, indacaterol was well tolerated with an acceptable safety profile, including cardiovascular safety, similar to placebo, and comparable to tiotropium and formoterol [55,127,128].

Olodaterol (BI-1744 CL) is in a late stage of development. Preclinical studies with olodaterol suggested a potential for once-daily dosing in humans, along with a rapid onset of action and a favorable safety profile [130,131]. Phase III studies are based on doses of 5 and 10 µg once daily, delivered via the Respimat® device [124].

Carmoterol has demonstrated long duration of activity in patients with COPD [132,133], with no tolerance observed over two weeks of treatment [134]. In a Phase II study, the 2 µg and 4 µg doses of carmoterol given once daily resulted in significant bronchodilation over 24 hours compared to placebo and comparable to that obtained with salmeterol 50 µg twice daily in patients with COPD [135]. No cardiac safety concerns were reported at doses less than 16 µg in healthy volunteers [136], while in patients with COPD initial data also suggests it has a good safety profile and is well tolerated [137].

Vilanterol trifenate (GW642444M) [138,139] has also completed Phase II clinical trials [4,124]. In a four-week dose-ranging study involving 605 subjects, all doses (3, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 µg once daily) achieved statistically significant increases in lung function (trough FEV₁) compared to placebo ($p < 0.05$), with the two highest doses exceeding a predefined threshold of 130 mL increase in FEV₁. Improvements in lung function were sustained over 24 hours. Vilanterol trifenate was well tolerated at all doses and the frequency of adverse events was comparable to placebo [139].

PF-00610355 has been investigated in Phase I studies in healthy male subjects. A single dose of PF-00610355 450 µg had a superior duration of action as measured by specific airway conductance (sGAW) compared with placebo (16.4 hours longer) and salmeterol (9.8 hours longer) [140]. PF-00610355 was also well tolerated over 14 days within the anticipated clinical dose range (≤ 600



µg) [141]. Further studies will be needed to confirm if this drug has a 24-hour duration of bronchodilator action and is suitable for once-daily dosing.

Potential impact of ultra-LABAs on COPD management as monotherapy and in combination

It could be hypothesized that a longer duration of bronchodilation with an ultra-LABA may be associated with superior and more consistent efficacy over a range of endpoints than is achieved with a twice-daily LABA. Furthermore, compared with complicated or multiple treatment regimens, simplified (once-daily) treatment regimens represent a significant convenience benefit and increase the likelihood of compliance with treatment - as does fast onset of action and a favorable safety profile. Together, these benefits could lead to improved overall clinical outcomes in COPD patients [6], and might help compliance with GOLD guidelines.

Based on the results of studies combining currently available LABAs and LAMAs [23,50,142-145], significantly greater improvements in lung function and symptoms can be achieved with a twice-daily LABA in combination with a LAMA compared with tiotropium

used alone. Further, initial data from a single study suggests LABA/LAMA combinations may provide superior bronchodilator efficacy compared with LABA/ICS, at least in moderate COPD [146]; however, this was a short-term (6 weeks) study and did not assess possible differences in patient-reported outcomes. Longer-term studies are required with sufficient power to assess the relative efficacy of such combinations on quality of life and exacerbations. The introduction of ultra-LABAs is likely to further improve outcomes for patients, when used in combination with a LAMA. Current opinion is that it will be advantageous to develop inhalers containing combinations of two or more classes of once-daily long-acting bronchodilator drugs, in an attempt to simplify treatment regimens as much as possible [6]. Several ultra-LABA/LAMA combinations are in development, including QVA149 (indacaterol + NVA237 [glycopyrrolate]) [147,148] and vilanterol trifenate/darotripium bromide [124].

As COPD progresses, patients with frequent exacerbations may require 'triple therapy' with a LAMA, LABA and an ICS. Evidence to date indicates that ICS/LABA in combination with tiotropium improves lung function,

symptoms and health status, and reduces the risk of hospitalizations compared with tiotropium alone in patients with COPD [149-151]. These findings lend support to the concept that combining different classes of drugs with different mechanisms such as ultra-LABAs and once-daily LAMAs and ICS will further improve efficacy, and represent an important step towards the goal of optimal control for COPD patients.

Conclusions

Long-acting bronchodilators are fundamental to the management of COPD; however, many patients remain symptomatic despite their use. Twice-daily LABAs salmeterol and formoterol provide some improvements in clinical outcomes compared with placebo and, although there are known class effects, have a favorable safety profile. However, in studies including validated instruments to measure dyspnea and health-related quality of life, not all patients achieve clinically meaningful improvements.

Thus, there is still an unmet need, particularly to improve symptoms, in many COPD patients. A range of features can be identified that could be considered to be criteria for a new LABA to fulfil. In particular, longer duration of action than 12 hours appears to be associated with improved, more consistent benefits across a range of outcomes. New ultra-LABAs have the potential to improve outcomes for COPD patients, both alone (providing an alternative to the only once-daily muscarinic antagonist bronchodilator currently available) or in combination. There are already promising data for indacaterol, which is likely to be the first ultra-LABA to be available for the treatment of COPD.

List of abbreviations used

cAMP: Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; DPI: Dry Powder Inhaler; FEV₁: Forced Expiratory Volume in one second; FVC: Forced Vital Capacity; GOLD: Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; ICS: Inhaled Corticosteroid; LABA: Long-acting β_2 -agonist; LAMA: Long-acting Muscarinic Antagonists; MCID: Minimal Clinically Important Difference; MDI: Metered-dose Inhaler; SABA: Short-acting β_2 -agonist; SAMA: Short-acting Muscarinic Antagonist; SGAW: Specific Airway Conductance; SMART: Salmeterol Multicentre Asthma Research Trial; SGRO: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; TDI: Transition Dyspnea Index; TORCH: Towards a Revolution in COPD Health.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mary Sayers of ACUMED who provided medical writing services. This support was funded by Novartis Pharma AG.

Author details

¹David Geffen School of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA. ²Department of Respiratory Diseases, University of Modena & Reggio Emilia, Via del Pozzo 71, I-41124 Modena, Italy.

Authors' contributions

Both authors contributed to the concept for the manuscript and critically reviewed and revised the initial and subsequent versions of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

DPT has received fees for serving on consulting/advisory boards from Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Dey Laboratories and Schering-Plough; speaker fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer, Dey Laboratories and GlaxoSmithKline; and grant support from Almirall, Boehringer Ingelheim, Dey Laboratories, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Novartis and Sepracor. LMF reports having served as a consultant to Nycomed, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi Farmaceutici, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Roche and Pfizer; having been paid lecture fees by Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi Farmaceutici, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novartis, Nycomed, Roche and Pfizer, having received grant support from Nycomed, Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Menarini, Novartis, Schering Plough, Chiesi Farmaceutici, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharp & Dohme, UCB, Pfizer, Italian Ministry of Health, and Italian Ministry for University and Research.

Received: 17 May 2010 Accepted: 29 October 2010

Published: 29 October 2010

References

1. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD): **Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease**. 2009.
2. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: **Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults in primary and secondary care**. National Clinical Guideline Centre; 2010 [http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG101/Guidance/pdf/English], Accessed June 28, 2010.
3. Niewoehner DE: **Clinical practice. Outpatient management of severe COPD**. *N Engl J Med* 2010, **362**:1407-16.
4. Hasegawa M, Makita H, Nasuhara Y, Odajima N, Nagai K, Ito Y, Betsuyaku T, Nishimura M: **Relationship between improved airflow limitation and changes in airway caliber induced by inhaled anticholinergics in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease**. *Thorax* 2009, **64**:332-8.
5. Cooper C: **Airflow obstruction and exercise**. *Respir Med* 2009, **103**:325-34.
6. Cazzola M, Matera MG: **Novel long-acting bronchodilators for COPD and asthma**. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008, **155**:291-9.
7. Johnson M: **The β_2 -adrenoceptor**. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **158**: S146-53.
8. Roux E, Molimard M, Savineau JP, Marthan R: **Muscarinic stimulation of airway smooth muscle cells**. *Gen Pharmacol* 1998, **31**:349-56.
9. Rhoden KJ, Meldrum LA, Barnes PJ: **Inhibition of cholinergic neurotransmission in human airways by β_2 -adrenergic receptors**. *J Appl Physiol* 1988, **65**:700-5.
10. Bricchetto L, Song P, Crimi E, Rehder K, Brusasco V: **Modulation of cholinergic responsiveness through the β_2 -adrenergic receptor signal transmission pathway in bovine trachealis**. *J Appl Physiol* 2003, **95**:735-41.
11. Shrewsbury S, Pyke S, Britton M: **Meta-analysis of increased dose of inhaled steroid or addition of salmeterol in symptomatic asthma (MIASMA)**. *Br Med J* 2000, **320**:1368-73.
12. Boyd G, Morice AH, Pounsford JC, Siebert M, Peslis N, Crawford C: **An evaluation of salmeterol in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)**. *Eur Respir J* 1997, **10**:815-21.
13. Serevent Diskus: **Prescribing information (US)**. GlaxoSmithKline; 2008 [http://www.fda.gov/drugs], accessed March 24 2010.
14. Foradil Aerolizer: **Prescribing information (US)**. Novartis; 2006 [http://www.fda.gov/drugs], accessed March 24 2010.
15. Brovana: **Prescribing information (US)**. Sepracor; 2007 [http://www.fda.gov/drugs], accessed March 24 2010.
16. Perforomist: **Prescribing information (US)**. Dey LP; 2007 [http://www.fda.gov/drugs], accessed March 24 2010.
17. Ventolin Accuhaler: **Summary of Product Characteristics**. Allen & Hanburys; 2009.
18. Bricanyl Turbohaler: **Summary of Product Characteristics**. Astra Zeneca; 2009.
19. Jara M, Lanes SF, Wentworth C, May C, Kesten S: **Comparative safety of long-acting inhaled bronchodilators: a cohort study using the UK THIN primary care database**. *Drug Saf* 2007, **30**:1151-60.
20. Donohue JF, Hanania NA, Sciarappa KA, Goodwin E, Grogan DR, Baumgartner RA, Hanrahan JP: **Arformoterol and salmeterol in the**

- treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a one year evaluation of safety and tolerance. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2008, **2**:37-48.
21. Donohue JF, van Noord JA, Bateman ED, Langley SJ, Lee A, Witek TJ Jr, Kesten S, Towse L: **A 6-month, placebo-controlled study comparing lung function and health status changes in COPD patients treated with tiotropium or salmeterol.** *Chest* 2002, **122**:47-55.
 22. Rennard S, Bantje T, Centanni S, Chanez P, Chuchalin A, D'Urzo A, Kommann O, Perry S, Jack D, Owen R, Higgins M: **A dose-ranging study of indacaterol in obstructive airways disease, with a tiotropium comparison.** *Respir Med* 2008, **102**:1033-44.
 23. Vogelmeier C, Kardos P, Harari S, Gans SJ, Stenglein S, Thirlwell J: **Formoterol mono- and combination therapy with tiotropium in patients with COPD: a 6-month study.** *Respir Med* 2008, **102**:1511-20.
 24. van der Molen T, Pieters W, Bellamy D, Taylor R: **Measuring the success of treatment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease - patient, physician and healthcare payer perspectives.** *Respir Med* 2002, **96**(Suppl C):S17-S21.
 25. Celli BR, MacNee W, committee members: **Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper.** *Eur Respir J* 2004, **23**:932-46.
 26. Mahler DA, Donohue JF, Barbee RA, Goldman MD, Gross NJ, Wisniewski ME, Yancey SW, Zakes BA, Rickard KA, Anderson WH: **Efficacy of salmeterol xinafoate in the treatment of COPD.** *Chest* 1999, **115**:957-65.
 27. Rennard SI, Anderson W, ZuWallack R, Broughton J, Bailey W, Friedman M, Wisniewski M, Rickard K: **Use of a long-acting inhaled beta2-adrenergic agonist, salmeterol xinafoate, in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **163**:1087-92.
 28. Calverley PM, Anderson JA, Celli B, Ferguson GT, Jenkins C, Jones PW, Yates JC, Vestbo J, TORCH investigators: **Salmeterol and fluticasone propionate and survival in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2007, **356**:775-89.
 29. Dahl R, Greefhorst LA, Nowak D, Nonikov V, Byrne AM, Thomson MH, Till D, Della Cioppa G, the Formoterol in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease I Study Group: **Inhaled formoterol dry powder versus ipratropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **164**:778-84.
 30. Calverley PM, Boonsawat W, Cseke Z, Zhong N, Peterson S, Olsson H: **Maintenance therapy with budesonide and formoterol in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Eur Respir J* 2003, **22**:912-9.
 31. Szafranski W, Cukier A, Ramirez A, Menga G, Sansores R, Nahabedian S, Peterson S, Olsson H: **Efficacy and safety of budesonide/formoterol in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Eur Respir J* 2003, **21**:74-81.
 32. Aalbers R, Ayres J, Backer V, Decramer M, Lier PA, Magyar P, Malolepszy J, Ruffin R, Sybrecht GW: **Formoterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized, controlled, 3-month trial.** *Eur Respir J* 2002, **19**:936-43.
 33. Baumgartner RA, Hanania NA, Calhoun WJ, Sahn SA, Sciarappa K, Hanrahan JP: **Nebulized arformoterol in patients with COPD: a 12-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo- and active-controlled trial.** *Clin Ther* 2007, **29**:261-78.
 34. Benhamou D, Cuvelier A, Muir JF, Leclerc V, Le Gros V, Kottakis J, Bourdeix I: **Rapid onset of bronchodilation in COPD: a placebo-controlled study comparing formoterol (Foradil Aerolizer) with salbutamol (Ventodisk).** *Respir Med* 2001, **95**:817-21.
 35. Broseghini C, Testi R, Polese G, Tosatto R, Rossi A: **A comparison between inhaled salmeterol and theophylline in the short-term treatment of stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2005, **18**:103-8.
 36. Calverley P, Pauwels R, Vestbo J, Jones P, Pride N, Gulsvik A, Anderson J, Maden C, the TRIal of Inhaled STeroids ANd long-acting beta2 agonists study group: **Combined salmeterol and fluticasone in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2003, **361**:449-56.
 37. Chhabra SK, Vijayan VK, Vasu T: **Inhaled formoterol versus ipratropium bromide in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 2006, **48**:97-102.
 38. Gross NJ, Nelson HS, Lapidus RJ, Dunn L, Lynn L, Rinehart M, Denis-Mize K, the Formoterol Study Group: **Efficacy and safety of formoterol fumarate delivered by nebulisation to COPD patients.** *Respir Med* 2008, **102**:189-97.
 39. Grove A, Lipworth BJ, Reid P, Smith RP, Ramage L, Ingram CG, Jenkins RJ, Winter JH, Dhillon DP: **Effects of regular salmeterol on lung function and exercise capacity in patients with chronic obstructive airways disease.** *Thorax* 1996, **51**:689-93.
 40. Hanania NA, Darken P, Horstman D, Reisner C, Lee B, Davis S, Shah T: **The efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate (250 microg)/salmeterol (50 microg) combined in the Diskus inhaler for the treatment of COPD.** *Chest* 2003, **124**:834-43.
 41. Hanrahan JP, Hanania NA, Calhoun WJ, Sahn SA, Sciarappa K, Baumgartner RA: **Effect of nebulized arformoterol on airway function in COPD: results from two randomized trials.** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2008, **5**:25-34.
 42. Kaushik ML, Kashyap S, Bansal SK, Sharma A: **Effectiveness of salmeterol in stable COPD.** *Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci* 1999, **41**:207-12.
 43. Mahler DA, Wire P, Horstman D, Chang CN, Yates J, Fischer T, Shah T: **Effectiveness of fluticasone propionate and salmeterol combination delivered via the Diskus device in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002, **166**:1084-91.
 44. Matera MG, Cazzola M, Vinciguerra A, Di Perna F, Calderaro F, Caputi M, Rossi F: **A comparison of the bronchodilating effects of salmeterol, salbutamol and ipratropium bromide in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Pulm Pharmacol* 1995, **8**:267-71.
 45. Minakata Y, Iijima H, Takahashi T, Miura M, Ogawa H, Kimura K, Koga T, Kinoshita M, Tsuda T, Aizawa H, Ichinose M: **Efficacy and safety of formoterol in Japanese patients with COPD.** *Intern Med* 2008, **47**:217-23.
 46. Rossi A, Kristufek P, Levine BE, Thomson MH, Till D, Kottakis J, Della Cioppa G, the Formoterol in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (FICOPD) II Study Group: **Comparison of the efficacy, tolerability, and safety of formoterol dry powder and oral, slow-release theophylline in the treatment of COPD.** *Chest* 2002, **121**:1058-69.
 47. Schultze-Werninghaus G: **Multicenter 1-year trial on formoterol, a new long-acting beta 2-agonist, in chronic obstructive airway disease.** *Lung* 1990, **168**(Suppl):83-9.
 48. Stockley RA, Chopra N, Rice L: **Addition of salmeterol to existing treatment in patients with COPD: a 12 month study.** *Thorax* 2006, **61**:122-8.
 49. Tashkin DP, Rennard SI, Martin P, Ramachandran S, Martin UJ, Silkoff PE, Goldman M: **Efficacy and safety of budesonide and formoterol in one pressurized metered-dose inhaler in patients with moderate to very severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results of a 6-month randomized clinical trial.** *Drugs* 2008, **68**:1975-2000.
 50. van Noord JA, de Munck DR, Bantje TA, Hop WC, Akveld ML, Bommer AM: **Long-term treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with salmeterol and the additive effect of ipratropium.** *Eur Respir J* 2000, **15**:878-85.
 51. Wadbo M, Löfdahl CG, Larsson K, Skoogh BE, Tornling G, Arweström E, Bengtsson T, Ström K, Swedish Society of Respiratory Medicine: **Effects of formoterol and ipratropium bromide in COPD: a 3-month placebo-controlled study.** *Eur Respir J* 2002, **20**:1138-46.
 52. Campbell M, Eliraz A, Johansson G, Tornling G, Nihlén U, Bengtsson T, Rabe KF: **Formoterol for maintenance and as-needed treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Respir Med* 2005, **99**:1511-20.
 53. Donohue JF, Menjoge S, Kesten S: **Tolerance to bronchodilating effects of salmeterol in COPD.** *Respir Med* 2003, **97**:1014-20.
 54. Tsagaraki V, Amfilochiou A, Markantonis SL: **Evidence of tachyphylaxis associated with salmeterol treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients.** *Int J Clin Pract* 2006, **60**:415-21.
 55. Dahl R, Chung KF, Buhl R, Magnussen H, Nonikov V, Jack D, Bleasdale P, Owen R, Higgins M, Kramer B, the INVOLVE (indacaterol: value in COPD pulmonary disease: longer term validation of efficacy and safety) study investigators: **Efficacy of a new once-daily LABA, indacaterol, versus the twice-daily LABA, formoterol, in COPD.** *Thorax* 2010, **65**:473-479.
 56. Hanania NA, Kalberg C, Yates J, Emmett A, Horstman D, Knobil K: **The bronchodilator response to salmeterol is maintained with regular, long-term use in patients with COPD.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2005, **18**:19-22.
 57. Stockley RA, Whitehead PJ, Williams MK: **Improved outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with salmeterol compared with placebo/usual therapy: results of a meta-analysis.** *Respir Res* 2006, **7**:147.
 58. Celli BR, Thomas NE, Anderson JA, Ferguson GT, Jenkins CR, Jones PW, Vestbo J, Knobil K, Yates JC, Calverley PMA: **Effect of pharmacotherapy on rate of decline of lung function in chronic obstructive pulmonary**

- disease: results from the TORCH study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, **178**:332-8.
59. Di Lorenzo G, Morici G, Drago A, Pellitteri ME, Mansueto P, Melluso M, Norrito F, Squassante L, Fasolo A: **Efficacy, tolerability, and effects on quality of life of inhaled salmeterol and oral theophylline in patients with mild-to-moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** SLMT02 Italian Study Group. *Clin Ther* 1998, **20**:1130-48.
 60. van Noord JA, Aumann JL, Janssens E, Smeets JJ, Verhaert J, Disse B, Mueller A, Cornelissen PJ: **Comparison of tiotropium once daily, formoterol twice daily and both combined once daily in patients with COPD.** *Eur Respir J* 2005, **26**:214-22.
 61. Mahler DA, Weinberg DH, Wells CK, Feinstein AR: **The measurement of dyspnea: contents, interobserver agreement, and physiologic correlates of two new clinical indexes.** *Chest* 1984, **85**:751-8.
 62. Make B, Casaburi R, Kline Leidy N: **Interpreting results from clinical trials: understanding minimal clinically important differences in COPD outcomes.** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2005, **2**:1-5.
 63. Witek TJ Jr, Mahler DA: **Meaningful effect size and patterns of response of the Transition Dyspnea Index.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 2003, **56**:248-55.
 64. Man WD, Mustafa N, Nikolietou D, Kaul S, Hart N, Rafferty GF, Donaldson N, Polkey MI, Moxham J: **Effect of salmeterol on respiratory muscle activity during exercise in poorly reversible COPD.** *Thorax* 2004, **59**:471-6.
 65. Neder JA, Fuld JP, Overend T, Thirlwell J, Carter R, Stevenson R, Ward SA: **Effects of formoterol on exercise tolerance in severely disabled patients with COPD.** *Respir Med* 2007, **101**:2056-64.
 66. O'Donnell DE, Voduc N, Fitzpatrick M, Webb KA: **Effect of salmeterol on the ventilatory response to exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Eur Respir J* 2004, **24**:86-94.
 67. Casaburi R: **Factors determining constant work rate exercise tolerance in COPD and their role in dictating the minimal clinically important difference in response to interventions.** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2005, **2**:1-5.
 68. Akkoca Yildiz O, Onen ZP, Demir G, Eriş Gülbay B, Saryal S, Karabiyikoglu G: **Is there any difference between effects of ipratropium bromide and formoterol on exercise capacity in moderate COPD patients?** *Tuberk Toraks* 2006, **54**:105-13.
 69. Ayers ML, Mejia R, Ward J, Lentine T, Mahler DA: **Effectiveness of salmeterol versus ipratropium bromide on exertional dyspnoea in COPD.** *Eur Respir J* 2001, **17**:1132-7.
 70. Patakas D, Andreadis D, Mavrofridis E, Argyropoulou P: **Comparison of the effects of salmeterol and ipratropium bromide on exercise performance and breathlessness in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Respir Med* 1998, **92**:1116-21.
 71. Jones PW, Bosh TK: **Quality of life changes in COPD patients treated with salmeterol.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997, **155**:1283-89.
 72. Jones PW: **St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire: MCID.** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2005, **2**:75-9.
 73. Rodrigo GJ, Nannini LJ, Rodriguez-Roisin R: **Safety of long-acting β -agonists in stable COPD.** *Chest* 2008, **133**:1079-87.
 74. Sapey E, Stockley R: **COPD exacerbations - 2: Aetiology.** *Thorax* 2006, **61**:250-8.
 75. Pauwels R, Calverley P, Buist AS, Rennard S, Fukuchi Y, Stahl E, Löfdahl CG: **COPD exacerbations: the importance of a standard definition.** *Respir Med* 2004, **98**:99-107.
 76. Keene ON, Calverley PMA, Jones PW, Vestbo J, Anderson JA: **Statistical analysis of exacerbation rates in COPD: TRISTAN and ISOLDE revisited.** *Eur Respir J* 2008, **32**:17-24.
 77. Liu D, Menjoge S: **Statistical analysis of COPD exacerbations (letter).** *Eur Respir J* 2008, **32**:1422.
 78. Rennard SI, Tashkin DP, McElhattan J, Goldman M, Ramachandran S, Martin UF, Silkoff PE: **One-year evaluation of the efficacy and tolerability of budesonide/formoterol in one hydrofluoroalkane pressurized metered-dose inhaler in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Drugs* 2009, **69**:549-65.
 79. Baker WI, Baker EL, Coleman CI: **Pharmacologic treatments for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis.** *Pharmacotherapy* 2009, **29**:891-905.
 80. Calverley PM: **Long-acting inhaled bronchodilators in COPD: how many drugs do we need?** *Eur Respir J* 2005, **26**:190-1.
 81. Nelson HS, Weiss ST, Bleecker ER, Yancey SW, Dorinsky PM, the SMART Study Group: **The Salmeterol Multicenter Asthma Research Trial: a comparison of usual pharmacotherapy for asthma or usual pharmacotherapy plus salmeterol.** *Chest* 2006, **129**:15-26.
 82. Drazen JM, O'Byrne PM: **Risks of long-acting beta-agonists in achieving asthma control.** *New Eng J Med* 2009, **360**:1671-2.
 83. Sears MR: **Safety of long-acting β -agonists. Are new data really required?** *Chest* 2009, **136**:604-6.
 84. Guerra S: **Overlap of asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2005, **11**:7-13.
 85. Shaya FT, Dongyi D, Akazawa MO, Blanchette CM, Wang J, Mapel DM, Dalal A, Scharf SM: **Burden of concomitant asthma and COPD in a Medicaid population.** *Chest* 2008, **134**:14-9.
 86. Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA): **Global strategy for asthma management and prevention.** 2009 [http://www.ginasthma.com/Guidelineitem.aspx?i1=2&i2=1&iintid=1561].
 87. Dorinsky PM, Reiser C, Ferguson GT, Menjoge SS, Serby CW, Witek TJ, et al: **The combination of ipratropium and albuterol optimizes pulmonary function reversibility testing in patients with COPD.** *Chest* 1999, **115**:966-71.
 88. Donohue JF: **Therapeutic responses in asthma and COPD. Bronchodilators.** *Chest* 2004, **126**(2 Suppl):125S-137S.
 89. Tashkin DP, Celli B, Decramer M, Liu D, Burkhart D, Cassino C, Kesten S: **Bronchodilator responsiveness in patients with COPD.** *Eur Respir J* 2008, **31**:742-50.
 90. Salpeter SR, Buckley NS, Salpeter EE: **Meta-analysis: anticholinergics, but not beta-agonists, reduce severe exacerbations and respiratory mortality in COPD.** *J Gen Intern Med* 2006, **21**:1011-19.
 91. La Vecchia C, Fabbri LM: **Prevention of death in COPD (letter).** *New Engl J Med* 2007, **356**:2211-2.
 92. Suissa S, Ernst P, Vandemheen KL, Aaron SD: **Methodological issues in therapeutic trials of COPD.** *Eur Respir J* 2008, **31**:927-33.
 93. Cazzola M, Imperatore F, Salzillo A, Di Perna F, Calderaro F, Imperatore A, Matera MG: **Cardiac effects of formoterol and salmeterol in patients suffering from COPD with preexisting cardiac arrhythmias and hypoxemia.** *Chest* 1998, **114**:411-5.
 94. Rutten FH, Cramer MJ, Lammers JW, Grobbee DE, Hoes AW: **Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: an ignored combination?** *Eur J Heart Fail* 2006, **8**:706-11.
 95. Hawkins NM, Wang D, Petrie M, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Granger CB, Yusuf S, Solomon SD, Sterglen JO, Michelson EL, Pocock SJ, Maggioni AP, McMurray JJV, for the CHARM investigators and committees: **Baseline characteristics and outcomes of patients with heart failure receiving bronchodilators in the CHARM programme.** *Eur J Heart Fail* 2010.
 96. Cazzola M, Bettoncelli G, Sessa E, Cricelli C, Biscione G: **Prevalence of comorbidities in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Respiration* 2010.
 97. Hawkins NM, Jhund PS, Simpson CR, Petrie MC, Macdonald MR, Dunn FG, Macintyre K, McMurray JJ: **Primary care burden and treatment of patients with heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Scotland.** *Eur J Heart Fail* 2010, **12**:17-24.
 98. Hawkins NM, Petrie MC, Jhund PS, Chalmers GW, Dunn FG, McMurray JJV: **Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: diagnostic pitfalls and epidemiology.** *Eur J Heart Fail* 2009, **11**:130-9.
 99. Au DH, Udris EM, Fan VS, Curtis JR, McDonnell MB, Fihn SD: **Risk of mortality and heart failure exacerbations associated with inhaled β -adrenoceptor agonists among patients with known left ventricular systolic dysfunction.** *Chest* 2003, **123**:1964-9.
 100. Au DH, Udris EM, Curtis JR, McDonnell MB, Fihn SD: **Association between chronic heart failure and inhaled β -2-adrenoceptor agonist.** *Am Heart J* 2004, **148**(5):915-20.
 101. Campbell SC, Criner GJ, Levine BE, Simon SJ, Smith JS, Orevillo CJ, Zieher BA: **Cardiac safety of formoterol 12 microg twice daily in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2007, **20**:571-9.
 102. Ferguson GT, Funck-Brentano C, Fischer T, Darken P, Reiser C: **Cardiovascular safety of salmeterol in COPD.** *Chest* 2003, **123**:1817-24.
 103. Randell J, Saarinen A, Walamies M, Vahteristo M, Silvasti M, Lähelmä S: **Safety of formoterol after cumulative dosing via Easyhaler and Aerolizer.** *Respir Med* 2005, **99**:1485-93.

104. Donohue JF, Hanania NA, Fogarty C, Campbell SC, Rinehart M, Denis-Mize K: **Long-term safety of nebulized formoterol: results of a twelve-month open-label clinical trial.** *Thorax* 2008, **63**:199-208.
105. Hanrahan JP, Grogan DR, Baumgartner RA, Wilson A, Cheng H, Zimetbaum PJ, Morganroth J: **Arrhythmias in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD): occurrence frequency and the effect of treatment with the inhaled long-acting beta2-agonists arformoterol and salmeterol.** *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2008, **87**:319-28.
106. Vincken W, van Noord JA, Greefhorst APM, Bantje ThA, Kesten S, Korducki L, Cornelissen PJG: **Improved health outcomes in patients with COPD during 1 yr's treatment with tiotropium.** *Eur Respir J* 2002, **19**:209-16.
107. Breekveldt-Postma NS, Koerselman J, Erkens JA, Lammers J-WJ, Herings RMC: **Enhanced persistence with tiotropium compared with other respiratory drugs in COPD.** *Respir Med* 2007, **101**:1398-405.
108. Bourbeau J, Bartlett SJ: **Patient adherence in COPD.** *Thorax* 2008, **63**:831-8.
109. Cote C, Pearle J, Sharafkhaneh A, Spangenthal S: **Faster onset of action of formoterol versus salmeterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a multicenter, randomized study.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2009, **22**:44-9.
110. Partridge MR, Miravittles M, Ståhl E, Karlsson N, Svensson K, Welte T: **Development and validation of the Capacity of Daily Living during the Morning and Global Chest Symptoms Questionnaires in COPD.** *Eur Respir J* 2010, **36**:96-104.
111. Janssens W, Van den Brande P, Hardeman E, De Langhe E, Philips T, Troosters T, Decramer M: **Inspiratory flow rates at different levels of resistance in elderly COPD patients.** *Eur Respir J* 2008, **31**:78-83.
112. Hochrainer D, Holz H, Kreher C, Scaffidi L, Spallek M, Wachtel H: **Comparison of the aerosol velocity and spray duration of Respimat® Soft Mist™ Inhaler and pressurized metered dose inhalers.** *J Aerosol Med* 2005, **18**:273-82.
113. Cazzola M, Matera MG, Lötvall J: **Ultra long-acting beta 2-agonists in development for asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* 2005, **14**:775-83.
114. Tashkin DP, Celli B, Senn S, Burkhardt D, Kesten S, Menjoge S, Decramer M, for the UPLIFT study investigators: **A 4-year trial of tiotropium in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2008, **359**:1543-54.
115. Troosters T, Celli B, Lystig T, Kesten S, Mehra S, Tashkin DP, Decramer M, on behalf of the UPLIFT investigators: **Tiotropium as a first maintenance drug in COPD: secondary analysis of the UPLIFT trial.** *Eur Respir J* 2010, **36**:65-73.
116. Battram C, Charlton SJ, Cuenoud B, Dowling MR, Fairhurst RA, Farr D, Fozard JR, Leighton-Davies JR, Lewis CA, McEvoy L, Turner RJ, Trifilieff A: **In vitro and in vivo pharmacological characterization of 5-[(R)-2-(5,6-dihydroindan-2-ylamino)-1-hydroxy-ethyl]-8-hydroxy-1H-quinolin-2-one (indacaterol), a novel inhaled beta(2) adrenoceptor agonist with a 24-h duration of action.** *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2006, **317**:762-70.
117. Bauwens O, Ninane V, Van de Maele B, Firth R, Dong F, Owen R, Higgins M: **24-hour bronchodilator efficacy of single doses of indacaterol in subjects with COPD: comparison with placebo and formoterol.** *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009, **25**:463-70.
118. Beier J, Chanez P, Martinot JB, Schreurs AJ, Tkáčová R, Bao W, Jack D, Higgins M: **Safety, tolerability and efficacy of indacaterol, a novel once-daily beta(2)-agonist, in patients with COPD: a 28-day randomised, placebo controlled clinical trial.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2007, **20**:740-9.
119. Beier J, Beeh KM, Brookman L, Peachey G, Hmissi A, Pascoe S: **Bronchodilator effects of indacaterol and formoterol in patients with COPD.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2009, **22**:492-6.
120. Naline E, Trifilieff A, Fairhurst RA, Advenier C, Molimard M: **Effect of indacaterol, a novel long-acting β_2 -agonist, on isolated human bronchi.** *Eur Respir J* 2007, **29**:575-81.
121. Sturton RG, Trifilieff A, Nicholson AG, Barnes PJ: **Pharmacological characterisation of indacaterol a novel once daily inhaled β_2 adrenoceptor agonist, on small airways in human and rat precision cut lung slices.** *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2008, **324**:270-5.
122. Lombardi D, Cuenoud B, Krämer SD: **Lipid membrane interactions of indacaterol and salmeterol: do they influence their pharmacology properties?** *Eur J Pharm Sci* 2009, **38**:533-47.
123. Pontier SM, Percherancier Y, Galandrin S, Breit A, Gale C, Bouvier M: **Cholesterol-dependent separation of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor from its partners determines signalling efficacy. Insight into nanoscale organization of signal transduction.** *J Biol Chem* 2008, **283**:24659-72.
124. ClinicalTrials.gov: 2010 [http://www.clinicaltrials.gov].
125. Feldman G, Siler T, Pasad N, Jack D, Piggott S, Owen R, Higgins M, Kramer B, for the INLIGHT 1 Study Group: **Efficacy and safety of indacaterol 150 mcg once-daily in COPD: a double-blind, randomised, 12-week study.** *BMC Pulmonary Medicine* 2010, **10**:11.
126. Barnes PJ, Pocock SJ, Magnussen H, Iqbal A, Kramer B, Higgins M, Lawrence D: **Integrating indacaterol dose selection in a clinical study in COPD using an adaptive seamless design.** *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2010, **23**:165-171.
127. Donohue JF, Fogarty C, Lötvall J, Mahler DA, Worth H, Yorgancıoğlu A, Iqbal A, Swales J, Owen R, Higgins M, Kramer B, for the INHANCE study investigators: **Once-daily bronchodilators for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: indacaterol versus tiotropium.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010, **182**:155-162.
128. Kornmann O, Dahl R, Centanni S, Dogra A, Owen R, Lassen C, Kramer B: **Once-daily indacaterol vs twice-daily salmeterol for COPD: a placebo-controlled comparison.** *Eur Respir J* 2010.
129. Rennard SI, Chapman KR, Luthra A, Swales J, Lassen C, Owen R, Kramer B: **Once-daily indacaterol provides effective bronchodilation over 1 year of treatment in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [abstract].** *Chest* 2009, **136**:4S-f.
130. Bouyssou T, Casarosa P, Naline E, Pestel S, Konetzki I, Devillier P, Schnapp A: **Pharmacological characterization of olodaterol, a novel inhaled beta2-adrenoceptor agonist exerting a 24-hour-long duration of action in preclinical models.** *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 2010, **334**:53-62.
131. Bouyssou T, Hoenke C, Rudolf K, Lustenberger P, Pestel S, Sieger P, Lotz R, Heine C, Büttner FH, Schnapp A, Konetzki I: **Discovery of olodaterol, a novel inhaled beta2-adrenoceptor agonist with a 24 h bronchodilatory efficacy.** *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2010, **20**:1410-4.
132. Kanniss F, Make BJ, Petruzzelli S: **Acute effect of carmoterol, a long-acting beta2-agonist, in patients with COPD [abstract].** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, **177**(Abstracts):A655.
133. Make BJ, Kanniss F, Bateman ED, Linberg SE: **Efficacy of 3 different doses of carmoterol, a long-acting beta2-agonist in patients with COPD [abstract].** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, **177**(Abstracts):A961.
134. Rossing TH, Make BJ, Heyman ER: **Carmoterol does not induce tolerance in COPD [abstract].** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, **177**(Abstracts):A962.
135. Tashkin DP, Kleerup EC, Heyman ER, Norris D, Tetrizzelli S: **Effect of carmoterol pMDI on 24-hour FEV₁ in COPD patients [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**(Suppl 53):E4346.
136. Linberg SE, Heyman ER, Nandeuil MA, Kottakis I, Maison-Blance P: **Cardiac safety of the novel very long-acting beta2-agonist carmoterol following single rising doses in healthy volunteers [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2006, **28**(Suppl 50):665s.
137. Bateman ED, Make BJ, Nandeuil MA: **Safety and tolerability of a long-acting beta2-agonist in patients with COPD [abstract].** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008, **177**(Abstracts):A653.
138. Procopiou PA, Barrett VJ, Bevan NJ, Biggadike K, Box PC, Butchers PR, Coe DM, Conroy R, Emmons A, Ford AJ, Holmes DS, Horsley H, Kerr F, Li-Kwai-Cheung AM, Looker BE, Mann IS, McLay IM, Morrison VS, Mutch PJ, Smith CE, Tomlin P: **Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of long-acting beta2 adrenergic receptor agonists incorporating metabolic inactivation: an antedrug approach.** *J Med Chem* 2010, **53**(11):4522-30.
139. Theravance, inc:[http://www.theravance.com], [Accessed October 2009].
140. Macintyre F, Jones I, Surujbally B: **A randomised, double-blind study to determine the duration of action of lung pharmacodynamics by plethysmography (sGaw) of a β_2 adrenoceptor agonist, PF-00610355 [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**(Suppl 53):P2017.
141. Li GL, Macintyre F, Surujbally B, Chong CL, Davis J: **Safety and toleration of PF-00610355, a novel inhaled long acting β_2 adrenoceptor agonist [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**(Suppl 53):P2017.
142. Tashkin DP, Littner M, Andrews CP, Tomlinson L, Rinehart M, Denis-Mize K: **Concomitant treatment with nebulized formoterol and tiotropium in subjects with COPD: A placebo-controlled trial.** *Respir Med* 2008, **102**:479-87.
143. Tashkin D, Pearle J, Iezzoni D, Verghese ST: **Treatment with formoterol plus tiotropium is more effective than treatment with tiotropium alone in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: findings from a randomized, placebo-controlled trial.** *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease* 2009, **6**:17-25.

144. Tashkin DP, Donohue JF, Mahler DA, Huang H, Goodwin E, Schaefer K, Hanrahan JP, Andrews WT: **Effects of arformoterol twice daily, tiotropium once daily, and their combination in patients with COPD.** *Respir Med* 2009, **103**:516-24.
145. van Noord JA, Aumann JL, Janssens E, Smeets JJ, Mueller A, Cornelissen P: **Combination therapy of tiotropium plus salmeterol superior to single agent therapy in terms of dyspnea improvement in COPD [abstract].** *Chest* 2005, **128**:177S.
146. Rabe KF, Timmer W, Sagkriotis A, Viel K: **Comparison of a combination of tiotropium plus formoterol plus fluticasone in moderate COPD.** *Chest* 2008, **134**:255-62.
147. van Noord JA, Buhl R, LaForce C, Martin C, Jones F, Dolker M, Overend T: **QVA149, a novel combination of indacaterol and glycopyrronium, demonstrates superior bronchodilation compared with indacaterol or placebo in patients with COPD [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**(Suppl 53): E4347.
148. Fabbri LM, van de Maele B, Lemmens B, Martin C, Horton R, Dolker M, Overend T: **Cardiovascular safety of QVA149, a novel combination of indacaterol and glycopyrronium compared with indacaterol and placebo in patients with COPD [abstract].** *Eur Respir J* 2009, **34**(Suppl 53):P2027.
149. Aaron SD, Vandemheen KL, Fergusson D, Maltais F, Bourbeau J, Goldstein R, Balter M, O'Donnell D, McIvor A, Sharma S, Bishop G, Anthony J, Cowie R, Field S, Hirsch A, Hernandez P, Rivington R, Road J, Hoffstein V, Hodder R, Marciniuk D, McCormack D, Fox G, Cox G, Prins HB, Ford G, Bleskie D, Doucette S, Mayers I, Chapman K, Zamel N, Fitzgerald M, for the Canadian Thoracic Society/Canadian Clinical Research Consortium: **Tiotropium in combination with placebo, salmeterol, or fluticasone-salmeterol for treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized trial.** *Ann Intern Med* 2007, **146**:545-55.
150. Singh D, Brooks J, Hagan G, Cahn A, O'Connor BJ: **Superiority of "triple" therapy with salmeterol/fluticasone propionate and tiotropium bromide versus individual components in moderate to severe COPD.** *Thorax* 2008, **63**:592-8.
151. Welte T, Miravitlles M, Hernandez P, Eriksson G, Peterson S, Polanowski T, Kessler R: **Efficacy and tolerability of budesonide/formoterol added to tiotropium in COPD patients.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009, **180**:741-50.

doi:10.1186/1465-9921-11-149

Cite this article as: Tashkin and Fabbri: Long-acting beta-agonists in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: current and future agents. *Respiratory Research* 2010 **11**:149.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

