

Perspective

A tale of two applications: lessons learned from national LMIC COVID applications

Mitchell J. Winkie^{1,2} and Vinod E. Nambudiri^{1,2}

¹Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, and ²Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Corresponding Author: Vinod E. Nambudiri, MD, MBA, Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 221 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA; vnambudiri@bwh.harvard.edu

Received 1 June 2022; Revised 9 August 2022; Editorial Decision 10 August 2022; Accepted 21 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Mobile health (mHealth) technologies in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) have received increased attention for the significant potential benefits they can bring to underserved populations. As smartphones are becoming increasingly accessible, many stakeholders in the mHealth space have begun exploring smartphone applications as a means to impact individuals living within LMICs. With the COVID-19 pandemic straining healthcare systems around the world, many governments in LMICs turned to use smartphone applications to help support and manage their pandemic responses. By analyzing national COVID-19 applications created and launched by the Indian and Vietnamese governments, we highlight effective application functions and strategies, summarizing best practices for future LMIC application development.

Key words: mHealth, COVID-19 applications, LMICs, India, Vietnam, comparative analysis, technology, mobile health

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has created unprecedented strains on medical systems around the world. Early in the pandemic, governments were particularly challenged with reconciling massive amounts of original research¹ with public health policy to make timely and effective data-informed decisions while simultaneously tending to clinical care crises. The digital era of the COVID-19 pandemic is equally unprecedented: the world has never been more technologically connected.

In an increasingly digital world, mobile health (mHealth) technologies have gained significant attention for potential to overcome physical and temporal constraints of medicine.^{2,3} While mHealth interventions' effectiveness has been predominantly studied in highincome countries (HICs),^{4,5} mHealth's value in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is gaining interest.^{6–8} In LMICs, mHealth offers opportunities to reach underserved populations, enhancing accessibility, patient-driven autonomy, and sustainability. Early mHealth LMIC studies have explored efficacy in areas of reproduc-

tive health, medication adherence, and management of noncommunicable diseases the municipal with promising results.

While a digital divide still exists globally regarding internet accessibility—an estimated 37% of the world's population did not have reliable internet access as of 2021—significant progress has been in made in the past decade to bridge this gap. ¹² The COVID-19 pandemic has seen an unprecedented boom in global internet access; internet users globally grew by more than 10% in the first year of the pandemic which was the largest annual increase in over a decade by a significant margin. ¹² Moreover, this growth was largely driven by developing countries. In United Nations designated developing countries, internet access increased by roughly 13% during the first year of the pandemic. ¹² This observation was magnified in United Nations designated least developed countries, with average increases in internet access exceeding 20%. ¹²

Of note, while major trends show great progress, the distribution of accessibility in developing countries stratified by population density (urban versus rural), sex, and age reveal disparities.¹² Urban

populations in developing countries have increased internet access as compared to rural populations at a ratio of about 2:1. ¹² Fifty-seven percentage of males in developing countries have access to the internet as compared to 50% of females. ¹² Lastly, 67% of individuals aged 15–24 have access to the internet in developing countries as compared to 51% of individuals outside this age range. ¹² Many organizations are continuing to explore these demographic trends and have dedicated themselves to achieving parity.

In LMICs, the internet is most commonly accessed via smartphones. ¹³ Recent 2020 assessments estimate approximately 63% of phones worldwide are smartphones. ¹⁴ As smartphones have become globally more accessible, mHealth stakeholders have increased investment into smartphone applications ("mHealth applications") that promote interactive user experiences. In the past decade, thousands of mHealth applications have launched ¹⁵ targeting conditions including smoking cessation, diabetes management, and geriatric care among many others. ¹⁶ Many mHealth applications serve HIC populations, augmenting already robust medical infrastructures. However, mHealth applications specifically designed for LMICs with goals of combating inequity, bridging disparities, ¹⁷ and/or promoting the democratization of healthcare are emerging. ⁶

Given mHealth applications' growth in LMICs during the pandemic, we explored government-launched applications from two countries—India and Vietnam—highlighting functions and strategies in mitigating COVID-19. While countries pursued different approaches in execution of COVID-19 strategies, many LMIC COVID-19 applications shared core features of contract tracing, quarantine tracking, testing coordination, screening, clinical management recommendations, and information dissemination. ¹⁸

India

India's government—through its National Informatics Centre under the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology—created a national COVID-19 application called Aarogya Setu, or "bridge to health" in Sanskrit. ¹⁹ The application released on April 2, 2020, in 11 different Indian languages and was quickly adopted, amassing 50 million downloads within two weeks. ^{20,21} The application initially had four major functions: COVID-19 risk-assessment; symptombased likelihood of COVID-19 diagnosis; exposure tracking and notification; and information dissemination. ¹⁹ Multiple functions were later added through updates, including telemedicine and electronic passes for quarantine exemptions. ¹⁹

Beyond Aarogya Setu, local governments, healthcare institutions, and private entities created unique COVID-19 applications in India, with at least 50 COVID-19 applications available in India by April 2020. These applications' most prevalent shared functions were information dissemination, quarantine monitoring, telemedicine via instant messaging, and COVID-19 symptom-based risk assessment. Secondary of the control of the c

Vietnam

Vietnam's government—in coordination with its Ministry of Health, Ministry of Information and Communications, and multiple state-owned telecommunication groups—created multiple COVID-19 applications serving different functions, including the Vietnam Health Declaration application, "NCOVI," and "Bluezone." The Vietnam Health Declaration application was a single-dimensional tracking and tracing application mandatory for all travelers, while NCOVI was multifunctional, entailing voluntary symptom logging, mobile healthcare provider access, and official public health recom-

mendations. Both applications were released in March 2020. In April 2020, Bluezone debuted, offering automated contact tracing and exposure notifications via low-energy Bluetooth technology. To consolidate functionality and improve accessibility, a single conglomerate application ("PC-Covid") launched in September 2021²⁴ replacing all previous nationally sponsored applications and adding functions like electronic vaccination certificates.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

In assessing India's and Vietnam's nationally sponsored COVID-19 applications, several commonalities emerge. Contact tracing, telemedicine, quarantine monitoring, and information dissemination were generally conserved; additionally, similarities exist between how each government launched and advertised their applications (Table 1).

When evaluating each application's execution of functions more granularly, however, differences become apparent. For instance, while both incorporated automated contact tracing, Bluezone used exclusively low-energy Bluetooth technology²¹ whereas Aarogya Setu used both Bluetooth and global positioning system (GPS)¹⁷ technologies. Such differences have implications for each application's capabilities and will be explored to highlight best practices for future applications.

Roll-out and adoption

Both India and Vietnam released national COVID-19 applications early in the pandemic. Aarogya Setu launched on April 2, 2020, when India had 2543 cumulative cases of COVID-19.²⁵ Vietnam Health Declaration application and NCOVI, Vietnam's first applications, launched on March 9, 2020, when Vietnam had 100 cumulative cases.²⁰ While the timeliness of launching these applications was rapid, getting users to download and use them thereafter was equally important. India's government employed multiple marketing strategies, including social media advertising,²⁶ having public officials support the application via speeches,²⁷ and prioritizing inclusivity. 18 Additionally, India's government required the application for anyone living in containment zones and all government and private-sector employees—a topic of legal debate.²⁸ While acknowledging legal concerns, India had major success in Aarogya Setu's adoption; by May 2020, Aarogya Setu became the most downloaded healthcare application globally, with over 100 million downloads²⁴ among India's estimated 500 million smartphone users.²⁵

Vietnam approached its launches similarly, promoting public campaigns to download the applications as patriotic acts protecting all Vietnamese.²¹ State-owned telecommunication companies and social media were also used to promote the applications. The Vietnamese government leveraged an existing communication platform—Zalo, Vietnam's most popular messaging application—to send daily alerts promoting the applications.²¹ In contrast to India, Vietnam's government had fewer application downloading mandates. When Vietnam launched its first three applications, only the Vietnam Health Declaration application was mandatory for travelers entering the country. During large outbreaks in cities, local governments could enforce temporary mandates of specific applications, and later in the pandemic, entry to restaurants required the applications be downloaded (with business owner enforcement discretion).²¹ By July 2020, NCOVI achieved 7.2 million downloads and Bluezone 200 000²¹ among Vietnam's estimated 76.8 million smartphone users.²¹

Table 1. Summary of national COVID-19 mHealth applications released by India and Vietnam

Country	Application name	Release date	Adoption	Functions
India	Aarogya Setu	April 2, 2020	50 million downloads within first 2 weeks of launch 100 million downloads 1 month after launch	Risk assessment of contracting COVID-19 Likelihood of having COVID-19 based on self-reported symptoms Exposure tracking and notification Information dissemination Electronic passes for movement through checkpoints ^a Telemedicine (virtual doctor visits, home laboratory testing, and home medication delivery) ^a
Vietnam	Vietnam Health Declara- tion application	March 9, 2020	>1 million downloads before being retired ^b	Electronic vaccination verification ^a Medical declaration upon entry of country at airport or border Electronic passes corresponding to medical declaration
	NCOVI	March 9, 2020	7.2 million 4 months after launch	Voluntary symptom logging Telemedicine (triaging services provided by healthcare professionals) Information dissemination Mapping of suspected positive cases based on symptom logs Electronic passes for checkpoints ^a
	Bluezone	April 18, 2020	200 000 downloads 3 months after launch	Exposure tracking and notification
			20 million downloads 4 months after launch	Information dissemination
	PC-Covid	September 30, 2021	Over 3.6 million downloads in the first month after launch	Medical declaration upon entry of country at airport or border Voluntary symptom logging Exposure tracking and notification Telemedicine (scheduling tests and vaccinations) Information dissemination Electronic domestic travel passes and vaccination cards Mapping of suspected hot spots based on symptom logs and reported test results

^aFunctions added in updates after initial application release.

India's higher early adoption rates may result from download mandates amongst specific populations. Additionally, India's singular, centralized application approach versus Vietnam's multiple applications likely facilitated adoption. Vietnam's significant difference between NCOVI versus Bluezone downloads may derive from the latter's delayed release, or the government more heavily promoting the former; regardless, the differences illustrate potential drawbacks of the multiple application approach, including users having to consciously download multiple applications and requiring separate ongoing application updates. In LMICs, limited phone data plans could magnify this challenge. After 18 months, Vietnam ultimately transitioned to a centralized application, believing it superior for accessibility and convenience. ²²

Both India and Vietnam tailored their applications and rollouts to their individualized populations. India's launch in 11 different major Indian languages acknowledged its population's heterogeneity. Vietnam's government utilized Zalo to advertise, knowing it was well-established and heavily used. These measures targeting the

intended populations and utilizing existing infrastructure highlight best-practices to enhance mHealth applications' impact in LMICs.

Contact tracing

Contact tracing—which can significantly blunt COVID-19 spread²⁹—was a mainstay practice during the pandemic, and many countries (including India and Vietnam) leveraged mHealth applications to aid the resource-intensive process. Application-based contact tracing effectively decreases transmission when used in conjunction with social distancing.³⁰ India's Aarogya Setu and Vietnam's Bluezone both possess contact tracing, but differ in technologies used, the data collected from users, and data utilization by public health officials.

Aarogya Setu employs Bluetooth and GPS technologies while Bluezone uses exclusively Bluetooth. Using Bluetooth, phones transmit and receive radio waves from one another, recognizing other devices within short distances. Applications using Bluetooth can be

^bDownloads by month after release not disclosed.

programmed to automatically list proximate devices within 2 meters (\sim 6.5 ft), a common social distancing radius. By simultaneously tracking positive COVID-19 cases in the application, a users' history of exposure to positive cases can be compiled and automatic alerts can be sent informing users of exposures, as is done in Vietnam's Bluezone. ²¹ GPS technologies can work synergistically with Bluetooth to provide real-time geolocations of users and precise information on movement trends. Aarogya Setu simultaneously collects users' GPS data while enabling Bluetooth to record close contacts to cross reference with positive cases. ¹⁷

From a disease control perspective, incorporating both technologies affords more detailed data collection versus Bluetooth alone. Clustering GPS data from positive COVID-19 cases can map "hotspots" and determine containment zones. Bluezone only allows for stored contacts to be cross-referenced with positive cases, notifying users of exposures. Aarogya Setu's GPS-enabled added capabilities, however, raise concerns regarding data collection and privacy, ³¹ especially when considering the application's download requirements. Future developers must be conscientious of privacy concerns, weighing mandates of such technologies and impacting established trust with users.

Application utilization also impacts overall effectiveness, as application-based contact tracing only works if large numbers of people actively use it consistently. Models can predict what percentage of populations must use an application for significant disease-modifying impacts²⁸; in LMICs, smartphone prevalence must be considered. Prior to launching Bluezone, Vietnam's Ministry of Health determined 50 million users would ensure meaningful impact, which the government believed was plausible. If reaching an optimal user base is implausible based on demographics, resources may be better allocated toward other contact tracing methods.²¹

Telemedicine

Telemedicine components were present in both India's and Vietnam's applications. In LMICs, where medical resources may not be as accessible, the benefits of telemedicine are considerable. India's Aarogya Setu added an update "Mitr" allowing users to virtually consult doctors, schedule home testing, and request medication delivery. Vietnam's NCOVI allowed users to get advice from healthcare providers on self-quarantining or clinical management options.

Multiple studies of telemedicine interventions in LMICs outside of applications have reported positive outcomes. ^{33,34} Particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, many LMICs have expanded telemedicine through both legislation and healthcare provider training. ³⁵ Aarogya Setu's and NCOVI's incorporation of telemedicine represent another medium to access remote care; application-based telemedicine's efficacy on overall COVID-19 burden requires further characterization.

In the later stages of the pandemic when vaccines became available for widespread use, both India and Vietnam added features via application updates of vaccination scheduling and electronic vaccination certificate validation. Both countries added these features by integrating their applications with existing national healthcare technology infrastructure in combination with electronic validation software that was created through a collaborative international open-source model.³⁶

Information dissemination

Both India's and Vietnam's applications incorporated information dissemination. Aarogya Setu's first version's "COVID-19 Updates"

section served as a direct government communication channel. NCOVI served as an official Vietnamese government channel to share best practices, new case numbers, neighborhood hotspots, and real-time health recommendations. Bluezone, though mainly launched for contact tracing, also provided real-time numbers of cases, deaths, recoveries, and public health recommendations.

One creative quality of Aarogya Setu's information dissemination was user communication flexibility. Aarogya Setu established multiple communication channels to disseminate information based on phone service capabilities. In addition to interacting directly with the application's Updates section, users with slower-speed data could opt for voice calling and/or text messaging. Using combinations of interactive voice response systems, voice recognition, and user inputs like pressing numbers on a keypad, the voice calling and text messaging options offered quasi-interactivity, allowing all users to access information irrespective of data constraints. ³⁷

Vietnam capitalized on the government's ownership of major telecommunication groups to disseminate information, providing free data to some users accessing NCOVI. Moreover, text messages and phone calls coordinated through the applications were subsidized.²¹ These efforts could be emulated in other countries with privatized telecommunications, for example, by forming public-private partnerships to achieve similar outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted mHealth's utility in government-organized responses to a pandemic. Utilizing increased smartphone access and growing digital infrastructures, India and Vietnam created national COVID-19 mHealth applications to augment accessibility, communication, and public health practices. While the applications differed in how functions were organized and executed, overarching themes including telemedicine, automated contact and quarantine tracing, and formal information dissemination were shared. Best practices for application rollouts included utilizing existing infrastructure to advertise applications, emphasizing inclusivity in design, and using a centralized, one-application model. Incorporating both GPS and Bluetooth-based tracing offers numerous capabilities for contact tracing but raises questions of personal privacy. Both applications offer telemedicine services, but data on their impact is needed. Information dissemination through multiple modalities and free or subsidized data usage combated financial barriers and promoted greater flow of information.

mHealth applications in LMICs can bridge gaps of accessibility, provide greater patient autonomy, and augment governments' abilities to respond to rapidly evolving healthcare crises. New research to characterize application capabilities and technology modalities implemented will be pivotal for guiding future, data-driven application design. As future applications are designed and currently available applications are updated, creating open dialogue on best practices and failures will promote global health equity and respect the contexts of different society's cultures, norms, and local polices.³⁸ Lastly, while LMICs are experiencing digitalization at increased rates in recent years, the benefits that mHealth applications offer must always considered in the context of known technology accessibility disparities.¹²

FUNDING

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Both authors made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content; and final approval of the version to be published. The authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data underlying this article are available in the article and in the references cited below.

REFERENCES

- Nowakowska J, Sobocińska J, Lewicki M, et al. When science goes viral: the research response during three months of the COVID-19 outbreak. Biomed Pharmacother 2020; 129: 110451.
- Varshney U. Pervasive Healthcare Computing: EMR/EHR, New York: Wireless and Health Monitoring Springer; 2009.
- Varshney U. Mobile health: four emerging themes of research. Decis Support Syst 2014; 66: 20–35.
- Dale LP, Dobson R, Whittaker R, et al. The effectiveness of mobile-health behaviour change interventions for cardiovascular disease selfmanagement: a systematic review. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2016; 23 (8): 801–17.
- Triantafyllidi A, Kondylakis H, Votis K, et al. Features, outcomes, and challenges in mobile health interventions for patients living with chronic diseases: a review of systematic reviews. Int J Med Inform 2019; 132: 103984.
- McCool J, Dobson R, Whittaker R, et al. Mobile Health (mHealth) in low- and middle-income countries. Annu Rev Public Health 2022; 43 (1): 525–39
- Lee SH, Nurmatov UB, Nwaru BI, et al. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions for maternal, newborn and child health in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health 2016; 6 (1): 010401.
- Lund S, Nielsen BB, Hemed M, et al. Mobile phones improve antenatal care attendance in Zanzibar: a cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2014; 14: 29.
- Khorshid MR, Afshari P, Abedi P. The effect of SMS messaging on the compliance with iron supplementation among pregnant women in Iran: a randomized controlled trial. J Telemed Telecare 2014; 20 (4): 201–6.
- Horvath T, Azman H, Kennedy GE, et al. Mobile phone text messaging for promoting adherence to antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV infection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; (3): CD009756.
- Abaza H, Marschollek M. SMS education for the promotion of diabetes self-management in low- & middle-income countries: a pilot randomized controlled trial in Egypt. BMC Public Health 2017; 17 (1): 962.
- Bogdan-Martin D. Measuring Digital Development: Facts and Figures 2021. International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Publications; 2021. https://www.itu.int/en/itu-d/statistics/pages/facts/default.aspx. Accessed August 4, 2022.
- Sambuli N. Challenges and opportunities for advancing Internet access in developing countries while upholding net neutrality. J Cyber Policy 2016; 1 (1): 61–74.
- Odendaal WA, Anstey WJ, Leon N, et al. Health workers' perceptions and experiences of using mHealth technologies to deliver primary healthcare services: a qualitative evidence synthesis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020; 3 (3): CD011942.

- Chib A, Lin SH. Theoretical advancements in mHealth: a systematic review of mobile apps. J Health Commun 2018; 23 (10-11): 909–55.
- Silva BMC, Rodrigues JJPC, de la Torre Díez I, López-Coronado M, Saleem K. Mobile-health: a review of current state in 2015. J Biomed Inform 2015; 56: 265–72.
- Med e-News. IAPAC, RI-MUHC, SYMPACT-X Announce Partnership to Implement HIVSmart! Self-Testing App in High HIV Burden Fast-Track Cities. McGill Publications; 2017. http://publications.mcgill.ca/medenews/2017/09/18/apac-ri-muhc-sympact-x-announce-partnership-to-implement-hivsmart-self-testing-app-in-high-hiv-burden-fast-track-cities/. Accessed August 21, 2022.
- Whitelaw S, Mamas MA, Topol E, Van Spall HGC. Applications of digital technology in COVID-19 pandemic planning and response. *Lancet Digital Health* 2020; 2 (8): e435–440.
- Gupta R, Bedi M, Goyal P, Wadhera S, Verma V. Analysis of COVID-19 tracking tool in India. Digit Gov Res Pract 2020; 1 (4): 1–8.
- 20. Kodali HS, Kopparty S. How Indians responded to the Arogya Setu app? *Indian J Public Health* 2020; 64 (6): 228–30.
- Bassi A, Arfin S, John O, et al. An overview of mobile applications (apps) to support the coronavirus disease 2019 response in India. Indian J Med Res 2020; 151 (5): 468–73.
- 22. Trevisan M, Le L, Le A. The COVID-19 pandemic: a view from Vietnam. Am J Public Health 2020; 110 (8): 1152–3.
- 23. Exemplars in Global Health. NCOVI and Bluezone in Vietnam: Government Launches Digital Apps to Contain Covid-19. www.exemplars. health/emerging-topics/epidemic-preparedness-and-response/digital-health-tools/ncovi-and-bluezone-in-vietnam?requestedPdfUrl=%2Fapi%2FPdfHandler%2FDownloadPdf%3Fid%3D%7B01F4DAC7-F3C2-4DED-B8DF-D7DA0DDBEA5B%7D%26lang%3Den&requestedPdfTitle=ECR_Digital+Health_Vietnam_Narrative+y2021 0628. Accessed August 21, 2022.
- PC-Covid: Việt Nam's unified app for COVID-19 prevention and control available for download. Viet Nam News. September, 2021. www.vietnamnews.vn/society/1050857/pc-covid-viet-nams-unified-app-for-covid-19-prevention-and-control-available-for-download.html
- Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis 2020; 20 (5): 533–4.
- Chehal D, Gupta P, Gulati P. COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: an emotional health perspective of Indians on Twitter. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2021; 67 (1): 64–72.
- Upadhyay S. COVID-19 Aarogya Setu App that PM wants citizens to download may become must: sources. New Delhi Television Ltd: All India. May, 2020. https://www.ndtv.com/india-news/coronavirus-indiashould-covid-19-aarogya-setu-app-be-mandatory-talks-on-no-decisionyet-say-sources-2221597. Accessed August 21, 2022.
- 28. Blasimme A, Vayena E. What's next for COVID-19 apps? Governance and oversight. *Science* 2020; 370 (6518): 760–2.
- Girum T, Lentiro K, Geremew M, Migora B, Shewamare S. Global strategies and effectiveness for COVID-19 prevention through contact tracing, screening, quarantine, and isolation: a systematic review. *Trop Med Health* 2020; 48 (1): 91.
- Hernández-Orallo E, Calafate CT, Cano J-C, Manzoni P. Evaluating the effectiveness of COVID-19 bluetooth-based smartphone contact tracing applications. *Appl Sci* 2020; 10 (20): 7113.
- Sharma T, Bashir M. Use of apps in the COVID-19 response and the loss of privacy protection. Nat Med 2020; 26 (8): 1165–7.
- Saxena T, Srivastava R, Chandra C. Role of telemedicine in COVID-19 pandemic—an overview. Asian J Oral Health Allied Sci 2022; 12: 1.
- Sayani S, Muzammil M, Saleh K, Muqeet A, Zaidi F, Shaikh T. Addressing cost and time barriers in chronic disease management through telemedicine: an exploratory research in select low- and middle-income countries. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis* 2019; 10:2040622319891587.
- 34. Hoffer-Hawlik M, Moran A, Zerihun L, Usseglio J, Cohn J, Gupta R. Telemedicine interventions for hypertension management in low- and middle-income countries: a scoping review. PLoS One 2021; 16 (7): e0254222.

- 35. Dash S, Aarthy R, Mohan V. Telemedicine during COVID-19 in India—a new policy and its challenges. *J Public Health Policy* 2021; 42 (3): 501–9.
- Exemplars in Global Health. CoWIN in India: The Digital Backbone for the Covid-19 Vaccination Program. https://www.exemplars.health/emerging-topics/epidemic-preparedness-and-response/digital-health-tools/ cowin-in-india. Accessed August 21, 2022.
- Jhunjhunwala A. Role of telecom network to manage COVID-19 in India: Aarogya Setu. Trans Indian Natl Acad Eng 2020; 5 (2): 157-61
- 38. Büyüm AM, Kenney C, Koris A, Mkumba L, Raveendran Y. Decolonising global health: if not now, when? *BMJ Glob Health* 2020; 5 (8): e003394.