Short Communication

Co-circulation of Flanders Virus and West Nile Virus in *Culex* Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) from Chicago, Illinois

Karen C. Poh,¹ Estelle Martin,¹ Edward D. Walker,² Uriel Kitron,³ Marilyn O. Ruiz,⁴ Tony L. Goldberg,⁵ and Gabriel L. Hamer^{1,6}

¹Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, TAMU 2475, College Station, TX 77843, ²Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, 567 Wilson Road, East Lansing, MI 48824, ³Department of Environmental Sciences, Emory University, 400 Dowman Dr., Atlanta, GA 30322, ⁴Department of Pathobiology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2001 South Lincoln Avenue, Urbana, IL 61802, ⁵Department of Pathobiological Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Veterinary Medicine, 1656 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706, and ⁶Corresponding author, e-mail: ghamer@tamu.edu

Subject Editor: Theodore Andreadis

Received 19 January 2018; Editorial decision 16 March 2018

Abstract

West Nile virus (WNV) and Flanders virus (FLAV) co-occur in regions of North America. Because both viruses are maintained in a transmission cycle involving *Culex* mosquitoes and birds, screening mosquitoes for FLAV has been suggested as an enhancement to WNV surveillance and epidemic prediction. Using samples collected in 2010 and 2012 in Chicago, IL, USA, we demonstrate the presence of FLAV in four out of 287 (1.4%) *Culex* pools. We estimated minimum infection rates for WNV and FLAV to be 5.66 and 1.22 in 2010 and 8.74 and 0.61 in 2012, respectively. FLAV occurred 1 and 3 wk prior to the peak of WNV transmission in 2010 and 2012, respectively. FLAV sequences from Chicago were genetically diverse and phylogenetically representative of lineage A viruses from across the United States.

Key words: Flanders virus, West Nile virus, co-infection

Flanders virus (FLAV) is a single-stranded RNA hapavirus within the *Rhabdoviridae* family (Kokernot et al. 1969; Boyd 1972; Nasci et al. 2001). The prototype strain 61-7684 was first isolated in the town of Flanders in Long Island, NY in 1961 (Whitney 1964). FLAV is widely distributed throughout the United States and circulates in a bird-mosquito cycle in the eastern half of the United States (Whitney 1964; Mack et al. 1967; Sudia et al. 1967a; Sudia et al. 1967b; Chamberlain et al. 1969; Kokernot et al. 1969; Kokernot et al. 1974; Main et al. 1979; Rowley et al. 1983; Andre et al. 1985; Gilliland et al. 1995; Mitchell et al. 1996; Nasci et al. 2001; Wozniak et al. 2001; Takeda et al. 2003; Lucero et al. 2016), Utah (Crane et al. 1970), Canada (Hall et al. 1969; Thorsen et al. 1980; Belloncik et al. 1982), and Mexico (Sudia et al. 1975).

Similar to West Nile virus (WNV), avian hosts involved in transmission include house sparrows (*Passer domesticus* L., Passeriformes: Passeridae), red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus* L., Passeriformes: Icteridae), and Northern cardinals (*Cardinalis cardinalis* L., Passeriformes: Cardinalidae) (Whitney 1964; Kokernot et al. 1969) and common mosquito vectors belong to the *Culex* genus (Diptera: Culicidae) (e.g., *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say, *Culex pipiens* L., *Culex restuans* Theobald, and *Culex tarsalis* Coquillett), all of which vector zoonotic encephalitic viruses (Sudia et al. 1967b; Kokernot et al. 1969; Nasci et al. 2001). In contrast to WNV, FLAV is not known to be pathogenic in humans (Kokernot et al. 1969; Lucero et al. 2016).

Because FLAV and WNV share the same hosts and vectors, there is renewed interest in patterns of FLAV and WNV co-occurrence in space and time. According to Lucero et al. (2016), FLAV circulates between April and October with a peak in June, approximately 10 wk prior to the peak of WNV in Tennessee. Spatially, WNV occurred within 3,000 m (1.86 miles) of FLAV-positive pools in Shelby County, Tennessee, with positive locations overlapping geographically during peak transmission (Lucero et al. 2016). FLAV may therefore serve as an 'early warning system' for WNV amplification (Lucero et al. 2016).

We collected and tested *Culex* mosquito pools collected in 2010 and 2012 from suburban Chicago, IL for FLAV. Our goals were to assess whether FLAV might co-occur with WNV and whether temporal patterns reported by Lucero et al. (2016) in the greater Memphis area of Tennessee might also occur in the greater Chicago area of Illinois. We also conducted a phylogenetic analysis to determine the relationship of FLAV detected in Chicago to other lineages circulating in the United States.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

[©] The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Entomological Society of America.

Methods

Mosquito Collection

Mosquitoes were collected from the Chicago, IL region in 2010 and 2012, 2 yr with high WNV infection rates in mosquitoes. Briefly, mosquitoes were collected using CO_2 -baited light traps and infusion-baited gravid traps for one night per week between June and October for both years. Mosquitoes were then identified and pooled based on their species, date, unique trap location, and bloodfed status as described in Hamer et al. (2008). Given the inherent uncertainty in distinguishing *Cx. pipiens* and *Cx. restuans* morphologically (Harrington and Poulson 2008), separation of these two species was not attempted during the creation of *Culex* spp. pools.

We previously reported WNV minimum infection rate (MIR) values of 5.66 and 8.74 per 1,000 mosquitoes, respectively, in 2010 and 2012 (Shand et al. 2016). Because Lucero et al. (2016) found FLAV prior to the amplification of WNV, we selected for FLAV testing a subset of mosquito pools prior to and during the peak of WNV amplification. We tested 130 and 82 *Culex* pools prior to and 17 and 58 pools during the peak WNV season in 2010 and 2012, respectively.

RNA Isolation and Virus Testing

Culex mosquito pools were homogenized in 800 µl of lysis binding solution concentrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with three #7 steel shots on a vortex mixer (VWR International, Radnor, PA) followed by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 2 min at room temperature. Resulting supernatant (115 µl) was used as starting material for RNA isolation using the MagMax Viral RNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Extracted RNA was stored at -80°C pending further testing. Culex pools were tested for WNV infection using a published quantitative reverse-transcriptase TagMan assay (Lanciotti et al. 2000). Mosquitoes were tested for the presence of FLAV using a conventional reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) assay targeting a 486-bp region of the U1 gene followed by gel electrophoresis. For each reaction, 2.5 µl of extracted RNA was added to 22.5 µl of master mix containing Promega GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase and a concentration of 50 µM for each primer (FLAV-U1-F (forward, $5' \rightarrow 3'$): TAG CAC TTG TAT CAG CCC AT; FLAV-U1-R (reverse, $5' \rightarrow 3'$): GTT CAC TAA CTG TTC CCT TTT G) to create a 25-µl total volume reaction. The thermal cycling conditions for the reaction were 42°C for 45 min, 94°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 48°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension step of 72°C for 7 min and held at 4°C. FLAV positive control was obtained from the World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses (University of Texas Medical Branch). The positive control was originally detected in a Cx. quinquefasciatus mosquito pool collected from Harris County, TX in 2005 (accession no. KF028716; Allison et al. 2014); to assess congruence, we sequenced this same isolate.

Amplicons were visualized on a 2% agarose gel and then purified using ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Cleanup (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Purified samples were sent to Eton Bioscience (San Diego, CA) for Sanger sequencing. To verify the presence of FLAV, newly obtained sequences were characterized using NCBI BLAST. MIR values for each virus were calculated using the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method from the CDC Excel Add-In (Biggerstaff 2009).

Phylogenetic Analysis of FLAV

To examine the phylogenetic position of FLAV circulating in Chicago, IL, we aligned new FLAV sequences using the Clustal-W method in Geneious version 9.1.8 (Thompson et al. 1994; Kearse et al. 2012). Alignments were analyzed using RAxML (Rapid Axelerated Maximum Likelihood) Blackbox server (Stamatakis 2014) to obtain a maximum likelihood tree with statistical confidence of groupings based on 10,000 bootstrap replicates of the data (Felsenstein 1985), with Hart Park Virus (HPV) strain AR7C as the outgroup (accession no. KM205011.1). In addition, 61 sequences of the U1 gene of FLAV lineages A and B reported in Allison et al. (2014) (accession nos. KF028661–KF028763) were included. All four unique sequences produced during this project and used in the phylogenetic analysis were deposited in GenBank (accession nos. MG844997–MG845000) (Table 1).




Fig. 1. *Culex* infection rate with West Nile Virus for 2010 and 2012 in Chicago, IL. The filled shapes denote the weeks when the FLAV-positive mosquito pools were collected.

Accession no.	Mosquitoes	Trapping date (wk)	WNV result	FLAV lineage
MG844997	25	8/12/2010 (32)	Positive	А
MG844998	50	8/12/2010 (32)	Positive	А
MG844999	40	8/12/2010 (32)	Positive	А
MG845000	22	7/18/2012 (29)	Positive	А

Cx. pipiens and Cx. restuans were not separated.




Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny based on a 486-bp region of the FLAV U1 gene. The phylogenetic tree was rooted with Hart Park Virus (HPVirus) strain AR7C (accession no. KM205011.1) and includes four FLAV sequences from Chicago generated in this study represented with solid underlines (accession nos. MG844997–MG85000) as well as 61 publically available sequences of the Flanders virus U1 gene from the United States (accession nos. KF02861–KF028763). The positive control is highlighted with a dashed underline (accession no. KF028716). Bootstrap values are based on 10,000 ML replicates generated in RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) and values above 50.0 are shown.

Results and Discussion

FLAV and WNV Infection Rates and Co-occurrence

In total, we tested 287 Culex spp. pools for FLAV, representing 4,118 individual mosquitoes. These consisted of 69 WNV-positive pools and 78 WNV-negative pools from 2010 and 38 WNV-positive pools and 102 WNV-negative pools from 2012. Four total mosquito pools were positive for FLAV by PCR of the U1 gene and all were collected using gravid traps (Table 1). Our FLAV-positive pools were also previously positive for WNV. Three of these pools were collected on 8 August 2010. One was collected from Holy Sepulchre Cemetery, Alsip, IL and two were collected from an American robin (Turdus migratorius) communal roost in a natural area adjacent to interstate 294 in Alsip, IL. The remaining positive pool was collected on 18 July 2012 in a residential area of Alsip, IL. All four samples were collected within a 2-km² area of the study site (Hamer et al. 2014). The MIR values for FLAV in 2010 and 2012 were 1.22 and 0.61 per 1,000 Culex sp. mosquitoes, respectively. Because we did not separate Cx. restuans and Cx. pipiens, we were unable to determine which of these two species were infected with FLAV or WNV. However, concurrent blood meal analyses in the same region from 2005 to 2012 (Medeiros et al. 2015) determined that Cx. pipiens represents 95% of the Culex mosquito community (with Cx. restuans representing the remaining 5%) during the July to August time period (Hamer et al., unpublished data).

The appearance of FLAV prior to WNV was consistently reported in Tennessee by Lucero et al. (2016). FLAV and WNV would appear in spring and late spring and then peak in summer and late summer, respectively. The same study also found that peak FLAV occurrence preceded peak WNV occurrence by 10.2 wk in Shelby County, TN. Based on these results, the authors hypothesized that FLAV might be useful for WNV surveillance, as an 'early warning system'. Our results are broadly consistent with this idea but show less of a lag between FLAV and WNV appearance, with FLAV occurring only 1 and 3 wk prior to the peak of WNV in 2010 and 2012, respectively (Fig. 1). Furthermore, we found that only 1.4% of our samples were positive for FLAV, suggesting minimal amplification of this virus in the Chicago metropolitan area. This observation may have been influenced by the molecular technique used in this study (conventional RT-PCR), which some studies have found to be less sensitive than quantitative RT-PCR (Lanciotti et al. 2000) while others have found them to be similar (Bastien et al. 2008). Additionally, screening of additional pools for FLAV would have improved the spatiotemporal resolution of our study. Our current data suggest that the use of FLAV as a WNV 'early warning system' would not be efficient in this region.

Nevertheless, co-circulating pathogens have been identified as possible modulators of WNV transmission. For example, the insectspecific *Culex* flavivirus (CxFV) co-occurs with WNV (Crockett et al. 2012; Newman et al. 2017) and alters WNV transmission

Accession no.	Strain origin	% Similarity	Accession number
MG844997	Chatham County, GA	99.8%	KF028696, KF028706, KF028735, KF028759, KF028760
	Harris County, TX	99.8%	KF028728
MG844998	Chatham County, GA	100.0%	KF028686
	Fulton County, GA	100.0%	KF028695
MG844999	Clayton County, GA	99.4%	KF028662
	DeKalb County, GA	99.4%	KF028750
	Lowndes County, GA	99.4%	KF028669
	Jefferson County, WV	99.4%	KF028667
MG845000	Chatham County, GA	99.8%	KF028670
	Fulton County, GA	99.8%	KF028692

Table 2. Flanders Virus positive pools from Chicago, IL and their most phylogenetically similar variants based on a 486 position alignment of the U1 gene

(Kent et al. 2010) and flight behavior (Newman et al. 2016) in *Culex* mosquitoes. Interactions between WNV and FLAV therefore merit further investigation.

Phylogenetic Analysis of FLAV

There are currently two sympatric co-circulating lineages of FLAV (Lineage A and Lineage B) found throughout the United States (Allison et al. 2014). We found that the four FLAV-positive sequences identified in this study were nested within Lineage A and shared >97% similarity to samples within this lineage and with each other (Fig. 2, Table 2). Our samples were approximately 85% similar to Lineage B, which correlates with the approximate nucleotide divergence amount between Lineages A and B of the U1 gene reported in Allison et al. (2014). The four isolates from the Chicago study area span nearly the full phylogenetic diversity of Lineage A, even though our study area is small (Hamer et al. 2014). This pattern is similar to what we have previously documented for WNV, which displays approximately as much genetic diversity within this small geographic area in the suburbs of Chicago, Illinois, as across all of North America (Bertolotti et al. 2008; Amore et al. 2010).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Robert Tesh from the University of Texas Medical Branch (World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses) for providing our FLAV positive controls and Lisa Auckland for expertise and support on PCR protocols. This work was funded by the National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health Ecology of Infectious Disease program under Award No. 084040 and the Texas AgriLife Research/Texas Veterinary Medical Diagnostic Laboratory Seed Grant Program. We appreciate the constructive comments from two anonymous reviewers.

References Cited

- Allison, A. B., D. G. Mead, G. F. Palacios, R. B. Tesh, and E. C. Holmes. 2014. Gene duplication and phylogeography of North American members of the Hart Park serogroup of avian rhabdoviruses. Virology. 448: 284–292.
- Amore, G., L. Bertolotti, G. L. Hamer, U. D. Kitron, E. D. Walker, M. O. Ruiz, J. D. Brawn, and T. L. Goldberg. 2010. Multi-year evolutionary dynamics of West Nile virus in suburban Chicago, USA, 2005-2007. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 365: 1871–1878.
- Andre, R. G., W. A. Rowley, Y. W. Wong, and D. C. Dorsey. 1985. Surveillance of arbovirus activity in Iowa, USA, 1978-1980. J. Med. Entomol. 22: 58–63.
- Bastien, P., G. W. Procop, and U. Reischl. 2008. Quantitative real-time PCR is not more sensitive than "conventional" PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46: 1897–1900.

- Belloncik, S., L. Poulin, A. Maire, A. Aubin, M. Fauvel, and F. X. Jousset. 1982. Activity of California encephalitis group viruses in Entrelacs (province of Quebec, Canada). Can. J. Microbiol. 28: 572–579.
- Bertolotti, L., U. D. Kitron, E. D. Walker, M. O. Ruiz, J. D. Brawn, S. R. Loss, G. L. Hamer, and T. L. Goldberg. 2008. Fine-scale genetic variation and evolution of West Nile Virus in a transmission "hot spot" in suburban Chicago, USA. Virology. 374: 381–389.
- Biggerstaff, B. 2009. PooledInfRate, Version 4.0: a Microsoft Office Excel Add-Into compute prevalence estimates from pooled samples. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Fort Collins.
- Boyd, K. R. 1972. Serological comparisons among Hart Park virus and strains of Flanders virus. Infect. Immun. 5: 933–937.
- Chamberlain, R. W., W. D. Sudia, P. H. Coleman, J. G. Johnston, Jr, and T. H. Work. 1969. Arbovirus isolations from mosquitoes collected in Waycross, Georgia, 1963, during an outbreak of equine encephalitis. Am. J. Epidemiol. 89: 82–88.
- Crane, G. T., R. E. Elbel, D. E. Klimstra, and K. L. Smart. 1970. Arbovirus isolations from mosquitoes collected in central Utah in 1967. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 19: 540–543.
- Crockett, R. K., K. Burkhalter, D. Mead, R. Kelly, J. Brown, W. Varnado, A. Roy, K. Horiuchi, B. J. Biggerstaff, B. Miller, et al. 2012. *Culex* flavivirus and West Nile virus in *Culex quinquefasciatus* populations in the southeastern United States. J. Med. Entomol. 49: 165–174.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an Approach Using The Bootstrap. Evolution. 39: 783–791.
- Gilliland, T. M., W. A. Rowley, N. S. Swack, J. K. Vandyk, and M. G. Bartoces. 1995. Arbovirus surveillance in Iowa, USA, during the flood of 1993. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 11: 157–161.
- Hall, R. R., J. A. McKiel, J. McLintock, and A. N. Burton. 1969. Arboviruses from Saskatchewan mosquitoes–isolation of a member of the Flanders-Hart Park group and of a strain as yet unidentified. Can. J. Public Health. 60: 486–488.
- Hamer, G. L., E. D. Walker, J. D. Brawn, S. R. Loss, M. O. Ruiz, T. L. Goldberg, A. M. Schotthoefer, W. M. Brown, E. Wheeler, and U. D. Kitron. 2008. Rapid amplification of West Nile virus: the role of hatch-year birds. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 8: 57–67.
- Hamer, G. L., T. K. Anderson, D. J. Donovan, J. D. Brawn, B. L. Krebs, A. M. Gardner, M. O. Ruiz, W. M. Brown, U. D. Kitron, C. M. Newman, et al. 2014. Dispersal of adult *Culex* mosquitoes in an urban west nile virus hotspot: a mark-capture study incorporating stable isotope enrichment of natural larval habitats. Plos Negl. Trop. Dis. 8: e2768.
- Harrington, L. C., and R. L. Poulson. 2008. Considerations for accurate identification of adult *Culex restuans* (Diptera: Culicidae) in field studies. J. Med. Entomol. 45: 1–8.
- Kearse, M., R. Moir, A. Wilson, S. Stones-Havas, M. Cheung, S. Sturrock, S. Buxton, A. Cooper, S. Markowitz, C. Duran, et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 28: 1647–1649.
- Kent, R. J., M. B. Crabtree, and B. R. Miller. 2010. Transmission of West Nile virus by *Culex quinquefasciatus* say infected with *Culex* Flavivirus Izabal. Plos Negl. Trop. Dis. 4: e671.

- Kokernot, R. H., J. Hayes, R. L. Will, B. Radivojević, K. R. Boyd, and D. H. Chan. 1969. Arbovirus studies in the Ohio-Mississippi Basin, 1964-1967. 3. Flanders virus. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 18: 762–767.
- Kokernot, R. H., J. Hayes, K. R. Boyd, and P. S. Sullivan. 1974. Arbovirus studies in Houston, Texas, 1968-1970. j. Med. Entomol. 11: 419–425.
- Lanciotti, R. S., A. J. Kerst, R. S. Nasci, M. S. Godsey, C. J. Mitchell, H. M. Savage, N. Komar, N. A. Panella, B. C. Allen, K. E. Volpe, et al. 2000. Rapid detection of west nile virus from human clinical specimens, field-collected mosquitoes, and avian samples by a TaqMan reverse transcriptase-PCR assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38: 4066–4071.
- Lucero, D. E., T. C. Carlson, J. Delisle, S. Poindexter, T. F. Jones, and A. C. Moncayo. 2016. Spatiotemporal co-occurrence of flanders and West Nile viruses within *Culex* populations in shelby County, Tennessee. J. Med. Entomol. 53: 526–532.
- Mack, T. M., B. F. Brown, W. D. Sudia, J. C. Todd, H. Maxfield, and P. H. Coleman. 1967. Investigation of an epidemic of St. Louis encephalitis in Danville, Kentucky, 1964. J. Med. Entomol. 4: 70–76.
- Main, A. J., S. E. Brown, R. C. Wallis, and J. Elston. 1979. Arbovirus surveillance in Connecticut. II. Flanders Virus. Mosquito. News. 39: 560–566.
- Medeiros, M. C., R. E. Ricklefs, J. D. Brawn, and G. L. Hamer. 2015. *Plasmodium* prevalence across avian host species is positively associated with exposure to mosquito vectors. Parasitology. 142: 1612–1620.
- Mitchell, C. J., C. D. Morris, G. C. Smith, N. Karabatsos, D. Vanlandingham, and E. Cody. 1996. Arboviruses associated with mosquitoes from nine Florida counties during 1993. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 12: 255–262.
- Nasci, R. S., D. J. White, H. Stirling, J. A. Oliver, T. J. Daniels, R. C. Falco, S. Campbell, W. J. Crans, H. M. Savage, R. S. Lanciotti, et al. 2001. West Nile virus isolates from mosquitoes in New York and New Jersey, 1999. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 7: 626–630.
- Newman, C. M., T. K. Anderson, and T. L. Goldberg. 2016. Decreased flight activity in *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) naturally infected with *Culex* flavivirus. J. Med. Entomol. 53: 233–236.
- Newman, C. M., B. L. Krebs, T. K. Anderson, G. L. Hamer, M. O. Ruiz, J. D. Brawn, W. M. Brown, U. D. Kitron, and T. L. Goldberg. 2017. Culex

flavivirus during west nile virus epidemic and interepidemic years in Chicago, United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 17: 567–575.

- Rowley, W. A., G. J. Hunt, and D. C. Dorsey. 1983. Flanders virus activity in Iowa, USA. J. Med. Entomol. 20: 409–413.
- Shand, L., W. M. Brown, L. F. Chaves, T. L. Goldberg, G. L. Hamer, L. Haramis, U. Kitron, E. D. Walker, and M. O. Ruiz. 2016. Predicting West Nile virus infection risk from the Synergistic effects of rainfall and temperature. j. Med. Entomol. 53: 935–944.
- Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogeneis. Bioinformatics. 30: 1312–1313.
- Sudia, W., E. Fowinkle, and P. Coleman. 1967a. St. Louis encephalitis in Memphis, Tennessee, 1964. J. Med. Entomol. 4: 77–79.
- Sudia, W., P. Coleman, R. Chamberlain, J. Wiseman, and T. Work. 1967b. St. Louis encephalitis vector studies in Houston, Texas, 1964. J. Med. Entomol. 4: 32–36.
- Sudia, W. D., L. Fernandez, V. F. Newhouse, R. Sanz, and C. H. Calisher. 1975. Arbovirus vector ecology studies in Mexico during the 1972 Venezuelan equine encephalitis outbreak. Am. J. Epidemiol. 101: 51–58.
- Takeda, T., C. A. Whitehouse, M. Brewer, A. D. Gettman, and T. N. Mather. 2003. Arbovirus surveillance in Rhode Island: assessing potential ecologic and climatic correlates. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 19: 179–189.
- Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 4673–4680.
- Thorsen, J., H. Artsob, L. Spence, G. Surgeoner, B. Helson, and R. Wright. 1980. Virus isolations from mosquitoes in southern Ontario, 1976 and 1977. Can. J. Microbiol. 26: 436–440.
- Whitney, E. 1964. Flanders strain, an arbovirus newly isolated from mosquitoes and birds of New York state. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 13: 123–131.
- Wozniak, A., H. E. Dowda, M. W. Tolson, N. Karabatsos, D. R. Vaughan, P. E. Turner, D. I. Ortiz, and W. Wills. 2001. Arbovirus surveillance in South Carolina, 1996-98. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 17: 73–78.