


Received 2 February 2015 Accepted 3 March 2015

Edited by J. Simpson, University of Otago, New Zealand


‡ Additional correspondence author, email: suchada.c@psu.ac.th. Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-5085-2009.

**Keywords**: crystal structure; Pd<sup>II</sup> complex; NO donors; Schiff base; catalyst activity; hydrogen bonding;  $\pi$ – $\pi$  interactions

**CCDC reference**: 1045879 **Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



## OPEN 3 ACCESS



## Crystal structure of bis(2-{1-[(*E*)-(4-fluorobenzyl)imino]ethyl}phenolato- $\kappa^2 N$ ,*O*)palladium(II)

# Amalina Mohd Tajuddin,<sup>a</sup> Hadariah Bahron,<sup>a,b</sup>\* Hamizah Mohd Zaki,<sup>a</sup> Karimah Kassim<sup>a,c</sup> and Suchada Chantrapromma<sup>d</sup>‡

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Applied Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>b</sup>DDH CoRe, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>c</sup>Institute of Science, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand. \*Correspondence e-mail: hadariah@salam.uitm.edu.my


The asymmetric unit of the title complex,  $[Pd(C_{15}H_{13}FNO)_2]$ , contains one half of the molecule with the Pd<sup>II</sup> cation lying on an inversion centre and is coordinated by the bidentate Schiff base anion. The geometry around the cationic Pd<sup>II</sup> centre is distorted square planar, chelated by the imine N- and phenolate O-donor atoms of the two Schiff base ligands. The N- and O-donor atoms of the two ligands are mutually *trans*, with Pd–N and Pd–O bond lengths of 2.028 (2) and 1.9770 (18) Å, respectively. The fluorophenyl ring is tilted away from the coordination plane and makes a dihedral angle of 66.2 (2)° with the phenolate ring. In the crystal, molecules are linked into chains along the [101] direction by weak C–H···O hydrogen bonds. Weak  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions with centroid–centroid distances of 4.079 (2) Å stack the molecules along *c*.

#### 1. Chemical context

Schiff bases represent one of the most widely utilized classes of ligands in coordination chemistry and the chemistry of Schiff bases is still an area of increasing interest (Canali & Sherrigton, 1999). The Pd<sup>II</sup> and Ni<sup>II</sup> complexes of Schiff bases have attracted much attention as they play important roles in bioinorganic chemistry and may provide the basis for models of active sites of biological systems (Malik et al., 2013) or act as catalysts (Shahnaz et al., 2013). The title compound,  $[Pd(C_{15}H_{13}FNO)_2]$ , is related to the previously reported bis{2-[(E)-(4-fluorobenzyl)iminomethyl]phenocompound lato- $\kappa^2 N, O^1$  nickel(II) (Mohd Tajuddin *et al.*, 2014) in terms of the coordination geometry around the central metal. In this article, we report the synthesis of the title Schiff base-Pd<sup>II</sup> complex and its characterization by spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The X-ray structure (Fig. 1), confirms the binding mode of the 4-fluorobenzyl(iminoethyl)phenolate ligand to the Pd<sup>II</sup> cation.

CrossMark

## research communications





The molecular structure of (1), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. The labelled atoms are related to the unlabelled atoms of the Schiff base ligands by the symmetry code 1 - x, 2 - y, 2 - z.

The title compound (1) was screened for catalytic activity in the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction between phenylboronic acid and iodobenzene with a catalyst loading of 1 mmol%. The conversion of iodobenzene was found to occur with a yield of 52%.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of (1) contains one-half of the molecule with the  $Pd^{II}$  cation lying on an inversion centre and the Schiff base anion acting as an *N*,*O* bidentate chelate ligand (Fig. 1).




Figure 2 Screw chains of molecules of (1) linked by  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions (drawn as dashed lines).

Pd1-O1	1.9770 (18)	Pd1-N1	2.028 (2)
O1-Pd1-N1	88.48 (8)	O1 <sup>i</sup> -Pd1-N1	91.52 (8)
Symmetry code: (i) -	-x + 1, -y + 2, -z +	2.	
Symmetry code. (i)	x + 1, y + 2, z +	2.	
Table 2			
Table 2 Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å, °).		
14010 -		$H \cdots A \qquad D \cdots A$	D-H···A

Symmetry code: (ii) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 2.

The Pd<sup>II</sup> cation binds to the N and the O atoms of two symmetry-related Schiff base ligand such that the N and O atoms are mutually trans. The N2O2 donor sets of the two chelating Schiff base ligands in the equatorial plane around Pd1 adopt a slightly distorted square-planar coordination geometry. The Pd1-N1 and Pd1-O1 distances (Table 1) are typical of square-planar Pd<sup>II</sup> complexes, and compare well with those observed in other closely related Pd<sup>II</sup> complexes (Adrian et al., 2008; Bahron et al., 2014; Wan Ibrahim & Shamsuddin, 2012). The bite angle of the iminomethylphenolate chelate, N1-Pd1-O1 is 88.48 (8)°, which is also similar to that in a related Pd<sup>II</sup> complex (Bahron et al., 2014). The ring Pd1/N1/C8/C9/C10/O1 adopts an envelope conformation with Pd1 displaced by 0.270 (2) Å from the plane of the other ring atoms, and with puckering parameters Q =0.525 (2) Å,  $\theta = 112.8$  (3) and  $\varphi = 206.9$  (3)°. Other bond lengths and angles observed in the structure are also normal. The fluorophenyl ring (C1-C6) makes a dihedral angle of  $66.2(2)^{\circ}$  with the phenolate ring (C9–C14).

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal packing of (1), the molecules are linked into chains along the [101] direction by weak C4–H4A····O1 interactions (Fig. 2, Table 2). A weak  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking interaction occurs between the phenolate rings of adjacent complexes (Fig. 3), with a centroid–centroid distance,  $Cg4 \cdots Cg4^{iii}$ , of 4.079 (2) Å [symmetry code: (iii) = 1 – x, 2 – y, 1 – z; Cg4 is the centroid of the C9–C14 ring]. These combine with the C–H···O contacts to generate sheets in the ac plane (Fig. 4). These sheets are further stacked along the b-axis direction.

#### 4. Database survey

Six  $Pd^{II}$  complexes with related Schiff base  $N_2O_2$  donor sets have been reported (Brunner *et al.*, 2000; Mehta & Vengurlekar, 2001; Bahron *et al.*, 2011, 2014; Mohd Tajuddin *et al.*, 2012*a*; Tsuno *et al.*, 2013). However, only three of these  $Pd^{II}$ complexes have closely related Schiff base ligands (Bahron *et al.*, 2011; 2014; Mohd Tajuddin *et al.*, 2012*a*).

## research communications




Figure 3

 $\pi$ - $\pi$  contacts for (1) drawn as dotted lines with ring centroids shown as coloured spheres. *Cg4* is the centroid of the C9–C14 ring. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The (E)-2-(1-(4-fluorobenzylimino)ethyl)phenol ligand. (Mohd Tajuddin et al., 2012b) (2 mmol, 0.4877 g) was dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (30 mL) in a round-bottomed flask. Palladium(II) acetate (1 mmol, 0.2251 g) was dissolved separately in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (20 mL) and was then added into the flask containing the ligand solution. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 5 h upon which a turmeric yellow solid was formed. The solid was filtered off, washed with ice-cold CH<sub>3</sub>CN and air dried at room temperature. The solid product was recrystallized from chloroform, yielding yellow crystals (yield 48.5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR and IR spectral bands have been studied and agree well with the structure obtained from the values of the CHN analyses and X-ray structure determination.

Melting point 508–510 K. Analytical data for  $C_{30}H_{26}F_{2}N_{2}O_{2}Pd$ : C, 60.97; H, 4.43; N, 4.74%; Found: C, 60.81; H, 4.49; N, 4.66%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1598  $\nu$ (C=N), 1319  $\nu$ (C–N), 1216  $\nu$ (C–O), 1321  $\nu$ (CH<sub>3</sub>), 556  $\nu$ (Pd–N), 450  $\nu$ (Pd–O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (p.p.m.) 2.32 (*s*, 3H, C–CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.11 (*s*, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.53–7.46 (ArC). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (p.p.m.) 19.5 (C–CH<sub>3</sub>), 54.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 115.3, 115.6, 121.3, 128.6, 130.2 (ArC), 169.8 (C=N).

The infrared spectrum of (1) exhibits a strong band at 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup> assignable to the C=N stretching frequency of the azomethine moiety. Weak bands at 556 and 450 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributable to Pd-N and Pd-O vibrations, respectively (Ouf et al., 2010), are due to the participation of the nitrogen of the azomethine group and the oxygen of the phenolate ring in the complexation of the palladium(II) centre by the Schiff base ligands. From the NMR results, the free 4-fluorobenzyl-(iminoethyl)phenolate ligand shows a multiplet at around 6.80-7.57 p.p.m. assignable to the aromatic protons. A corresponding multiplet appears in almost the same position in the spectrum of the Pd<sup>II</sup> complex (compound 1) as that observed by Gupta et al. (2013). Singlets for aliphatic methylene  $(-CH_2)$  and methyl  $(-CH_3)$  protons appear at 5.11 and 2.32 p.p.m., respectively. The <sup>13</sup>C chemical shift for the imine carbon (C=N) is found at 169.8 p.p.m., agreeing with data reported by Senol et al. (2011).

Table 3	
Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Pd(C_{15}H_{13}FNO)_2]$
M <sub>r</sub>	590.93
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	296
a, b, c (Å)	7.5924 (5), 21.9212 (14), 9.3475 (5)
$\beta$ (°)	124.963 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	1274.97 (15)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.77
Crystal size (mm)	$0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD area detector
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2009)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.699, 0.830
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	38866, 2776, 2720
R <sub>int</sub>	0.057
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.639
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.034, 0.073, 1.30
No. of reflections	2776
No. of parameters	170
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.24, -0.48

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2009), SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), PLATON (Spek, 2009), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

The title compound was screened for catalytic activity in the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction between phenylboronic acid with iodobenzene. The reaction was carried out under nitrogen at 373 K in dimethylacetamide with a catalyst loading of 1 mmol%. The conversion of iodobenzene was monitored using GC–FID after 24 hours of reaction time. This resulted in a 52% conversion of iodobenzene in the reaction.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and crystal structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All H atoms were posi-




Figure 4

The packing of (1) viewed approximately along the *b* axis showing molecular sheets of the  $Pd^{II}$  complex. Only H atoms involved in C-H···O interactions are shown for clarity.

tioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with d(C-H) = 0.93 Å for aromatic, 0.97 Å for CH<sub>2</sub> and 0.96 Å for CH<sub>3</sub> hydrogen atoms. The  $U_{iso}$  values were constrained to be  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom for methyl H atoms and  $1.2U_{eq}$  for the remaining H atoms. A rotating group model was used for the methyl groups.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the Universiti Teknologi MARA for the research grants Nos. 600-RMI/DANA 5/3/CIFI (1/2014) and 600-RMI/DANA 5/3/PSI (1/2014) and the research facilities. A scholarship from the Universiti Teknologi MARA and the Ministry of Education Malaysia is also gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- Adrian, R. A., Broker, G. A., Tiekink, E. R. T. & Walmsley, J. A. (2008). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 361, 1261–1266.
- Bahron, H., Mohd Tajuddin, A., Wan Ibrahim, W. N., Hemamalini, M. & Fun, H.-K. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m759–m760.
- Bahron, H., Mohd Tajuddin, A., Wan Ibrahim, W. N., Chantrapromma, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, m289–m290.
- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Brunner, H., Niemetz, M. & Zabel, M. (2000). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 55, 145–154.

- Canali, L. & Sherrington, D. C. (1999). Chem. Soc. Rev. 28, 85-93.
- Gupta, M., Sihag, S., Varshney, A. K. & Varshney, S. (2013). J. Chem. pp. 1–8.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Malik, S., Ghosh, S., Jain, B., Singh, A. & Bhattacharya, M. (2013). Int. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 1–6.
- Mehta, B. H. & Vengurlekar, V. P. (2001). Asian J. Chem. 13, 939-943.
- Mohd Tajuddin, A. M., Bahron, H., Kassim, K., Wan Ibrahim, W. N. & Fun, H.-K. (2012a). Adv. Mater. Res. 554–556, 736–740.
- Mohd Tajuddin, A., Bahron, H., Kassim, K., Wan Ibrahim, W. N. & Yamin, B. M. (2012b). *Malaysian J. Anal. Sci.* 16, 79–87.
- Mohd Tajuddin, A., Bahron, H., Mohammad Hanafiah, R., Ibrahim, N., Fun, H.-K. & Chantrapromma, S. (2014). *Acta Cryst.* E70, 252–255.
- Ouf, A. E., Ali, M. S., Saad, E. M. & Mostafa, S. I. (2010). J. Mol. Struct. 973, 69–75.
- Şenol, C., Hayvali, Z., Dal, H. & Hökelek, T. (2011). J. Mol. Struct. 997, 53–59.
- Shahnaz, N., Banik, B. & Das, P. (2013). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **54**, 2886–2889.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tsuno, T., Iwabe, H. & Brunner, H. (2013). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 400, 262–266.
- Wan Ibrahim, W. N. & Shamsuddin, M. (2012). Cryst. Struct. Theor. Appl. 1, 25–29.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 350-353 [doi:10.1107/S2056989015004405]

# Crystal structure of bis(2-{1-[(*E*)-(4-fluorobenzyl)imino]ethyl}phenolato- $\kappa^2 N, O$ )palladium(II)

### Amalina Mohd Tajuddin, Hadariah Bahron, Hamizah Mohd Zaki, Karimah Kassim and Suchada Chantrapromma

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

#### Bis(2-{1-[(E)-(4-fluorobenzyl)imino]ethyl}phenolato- $\kappa^2 N$ ,O)palladium(II)

Crystal data [Pd(C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>FNO)<sub>2</sub>]  $M_r = 590.93$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 7.5924 (5) Å b = 21.9212 (14) Å c = 9.3475 (5) Å  $\beta = 124.963$  (4)° V = 1274.97 (15) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2009)  $T_{\min} = 0.699, T_{\max} = 0.830$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$  $wR(F^2) = 0.073$ S = 1.302776 reflections 170 parameters F(000) = 600  $D_x = 1.539 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 508–510 K Mo *Ka* radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2776 reflections  $\theta = 3.2-27.0^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 296 KBlock, yellow  $0.50 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ 

38866 measured reflections 2776 independent reflections 2720 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.057$  $\theta_{max} = 27.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.2^{\circ}$  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -28 \rightarrow 28$  $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 

0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0086P)^2 + 1.3994P]$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Pd1	0.5000	1.0000	1.0000	0.03202 (9)
F1	1.3139 (4)	0.77987 (11)	1.2186 (5)	0.1153 (11)
01	0.5384 (3)	1.04581 (9)	0.8375 (2)	0.0462 (5)
N1	0.4268 (3)	0.92521 (9)	0.8478 (3)	0.0343 (4)
C1	0.7655 (7)	0.78728 (16)	1.0865 (7)	0.0924 (16)
H1A	0.6670	0.7629	1.0890	0.111*
C2	0.9701 (7)	0.76567 (18)	1.1567 (8)	0.117 (2)
H2A	1.0108	0.7272	1.2078	0.140*
С3	1.1113 (6)	0.80149 (15)	1.1502 (6)	0.0716 (11)
С4	1.0618 (5)	0.85845 (14)	1.0834 (4)	0.0495 (7)
H4A	1.1627	0.8827	1.0839	0.059*
C5	0.8555 (5)	0.87966 (12)	1.0140 (4)	0.0416 (6)
Н5	0.8184	0.9188	0.9670	0.050*
C6	0.7048 (5)	0.84467 (12)	1.0125 (4)	0.0411 (6)
C7	0.4795 (5)	0.86633 (12)	0.9399 (4)	0.0429 (6)
H7A	0.3781	0.8358	0.8598	0.051*
H7B	0.4629	0.8702	1.0349	0.051*
C8	0.3497 (4)	0.92650 (12)	0.6831 (4)	0.0382 (6)
С9	0.2963 (4)	0.98338 (13)	0.5855 (3)	0.0381 (6)
C10	0.3929 (4)	1.03958 (13)	0.6678 (3)	0.0390 (6)
C11	0.3352 (6)	1.09165 (15)	0.5625 (4)	0.0527 (7)
H11A	0.3971	1.1289	0.6147	0.063*
C12	0.1898 (6)	1.08905 (16)	0.3845 (4)	0.0578 (8)
H12A	0.1554	1.1243	0.3181	0.069*
C13	0.0945 (5)	1.03431 (17)	0.3037 (4)	0.0551 (8)
H13A	-0.0052	1.0326	0.1833	0.066*
C14	0.1479 (5)	0.98286 (15)	0.4023 (4)	0.0482 (7)
H14A	0.0844	0.9461	0.3468	0.058*
C15	0.3123 (6)	0.86788 (14)	0.5833 (4)	0.0566 (8)
H15A	0.3280	0.8756	0.4900	0.085*
H15B	0.1698	0.8531	0.5359	0.085*
H15C	0.4154	0.8378	0.6608	0.085*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>	
Pd1	0.03017 (15)	0.03263 (14)	0.03135 (14)	-0.00387 (10)	0.01650 (11)	-0.00210 (11)	
F1	0.0547 (14)	0.0598 (14)	0.175 (3)	0.0194 (11)	0.0329 (16)	0.0054 (16)	
01	0.0568 (12)	0.0479 (11)	0.0349 (10)	-0.0199 (9)	0.0269 (9)	-0.0048 (8)	
N1	0.0332 (11)	0.0332 (10)	0.0370 (11)	-0.0041 (8)	0.0203 (9)	-0.0044 (9)	
C1	0.062 (2)	0.0424 (19)	0.143 (4)	-0.0064 (17)	0.041 (3)	0.027 (2)	
C2	0.066 (3)	0.042 (2)	0.183 (6)	0.0083 (19)	0.036 (3)	0.038 (3)	
C3	0.0486 (19)	0.0420 (17)	0.089 (3)	0.0076 (15)	0.0185 (19)	-0.0048 (17)	
C4	0.0444 (16)	0.0509 (17)	0.0489 (17)	0.0005 (13)	0.0242 (14)	-0.0016 (13)	
C5	0.0495 (16)	0.0367 (13)	0.0406 (14)	0.0042 (12)	0.0269 (13)	0.0054 (11)	
C6	0.0476 (15)	0.0316 (12)	0.0381 (14)	-0.0029 (11)	0.0211 (12)	-0.0027 (11)	
C7	0.0504 (16)	0.0328 (13)	0.0514 (16)	-0.0084 (11)	0.0327 (14)	-0.0041 (12)	
C8	0.0335 (13)	0.0426 (14)	0.0395 (14)	-0.0059 (11)	0.0215 (12)	-0.0100 (11)	
С9	0.0350 (13)	0.0478 (15)	0.0337 (13)	-0.0014 (11)	0.0209 (11)	-0.0032 (11)	
C10	0.0424 (14)	0.0460 (15)	0.0363 (14)	-0.0025 (12)	0.0271 (12)	-0.0016 (11)	
C11	0.067 (2)	0.0483 (17)	0.0498 (18)	-0.0012 (15)	0.0373 (17)	0.0029 (13)	
C12	0.065 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.0513 (18)	0.0141 (16)	0.0365 (17)	0.0169 (16)	
C13	0.0447 (17)	0.079 (2)	0.0360 (15)	0.0042 (16)	0.0197 (13)	0.0037 (15)	
C14	0.0422 (15)	0.0614 (18)	0.0375 (15)	-0.0046 (13)	0.0208 (13)	-0.0066 (13)	
C15	0.070 (2)	0.0485 (17)	0.0523 (18)	-0.0102 (15)	0.0360 (17)	-0.0160 (14)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

#### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd1—O1	1.9770 (18)	C6—C7	1.510 (4)	
Pd1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	1.9770 (18)	C7—H7A	0.9700	
Pd1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.028 (2)	С7—Н7В	0.9700	
Pd1—N1	2.028 (2)	C8—C9	1.458 (4)	
F1—C3	1.369 (4)	C8—C15	1.516 (4)	
O1—C10	1.321 (3)	C9—C14	1.411 (4)	
N1—C8	1.297 (3)	C9—C10	1.415 (4)	
N1—C7	1.474 (3)	C10-C11	1.403 (4)	
C1—C2	1.378 (6)	C11—C12	1.373 (5)	
C1—C6	1.381 (4)	C11—H11A	0.9300	
C1—H1A	0.9300	C12—C13	1.381 (5)	
С2—С3	1.358 (6)	C12—H12A	0.9300	
C2—H2A	0.9300	C13—C14	1.363 (5)	
C3—C4	1.349 (5)	C13—H13A	0.9300	
C4—C5	1.387 (4)	C14—H14A	0.9300	
C4—H4A	0.9300	C15—H15A	0.9600	
C5—C6	1.371 (4)	C15—H15B	0.9600	
С5—Н5	0.9300	C15—H15C	0.9600	
O1—Pd1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (10)	N1—C7—H7B	108.9	
O1—Pd1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	91.52 (8)	C6—C7—H7B	108.9	
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Pd1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	88.48 (8)	H7A—C7—H7B	107.7	
O1—Pd1—N1	88.48 (8)	N1—C8—C9	122.4 (2)	

O1 <sup>i</sup> —Pd1—N1	91.52 (8)	N1—C8—C15	120.7 (3)
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Pd1—N1	180.000(1)	C9—C8—C15	116.9 (2)
C10-O1-Pd1	118.68 (16)	C14—C9—C10	118.1 (3)
C8—N1—C7	120.0 (2)	C14—C9—C8	119.6 (3)
C8—N1—Pd1	124.82 (18)	C10—C9—C8	122.2 (2)
C7—N1—Pd1	115.13 (17)	O1-C10-C11	117.9 (3)
C2-C1-C6	120.9 (4)	01—C10—C9	. ,
	. ,		124.0 (2)
C2—C1—H1A	119.6	C11—C10—C9	118.1 (3)
C6—C1—H1A	119.6	C12—C11—C10	121.8 (3)
C3—C2—C1	118.9 (4)	C12—C11—H11A	119.1
C3—C2—H2A	120.6	C10—C11—H11A	119.1
C1—C2—H2A	120.6	C11—C12—C13	120.3 (3)
C4—C3—C2	122.6 (3)	C11—C12—H12A	119.9
C4—C3—F1	118.5 (4)	C13—C12—H12A	119.9
C2—C3—F1	118.9 (3)	C14—C13—C12	119.3 (3)
C3—C4—C5	117.8 (3)	C14—C13—H13A	120.3
C3—C4—H4A	121.1	C12—C13—H13A	120.3
C5—C4—H4A	121.1	C13—C14—C9	122.4 (3)
C6—C5—C4		C13—C14—H14A	. ,
	121.9 (3)		118.8
C6—C5—H5	119.0	C9—C14—H14A	118.8
C4—C5—H5	119.0	C8—C15—H15A	109.5
C5—C6—C1	117.9 (3)	C8—C15—H15B	109.5
C5—C6—C7	123.5 (2)	H15A—C15—H15B	109.5
C1—C6—C7	118.6 (3)	C8—C15—H15C	109.5
N1—C7—C6	113.4 (2)	H15A—C15—H15C	109.5
N1—C7—H7A	108.9	H15B—C15—H15C	109.5
С6—С7—Н7А	108.9		
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Pd1—O1—C10	-134.7 (2)	Pd1—N1—C8—C9	-3.2 (4)
N1—Pd1—O1—C10	45.3 (2)	C7—N1—C8—C15	0.2 (4)
O1—Pd1—N1—C8	-25.1 (2)	Pd1—N1—C8—C15	176.5 (2)
	. ,		. ,
$O1^{i}$ Pd1 N1 C8	154.9 (2)	N1—C8—C9—C14	-157.8(3)
O1—Pd1—N1—C7	151.39 (18)	C15—C8—C9—C14	22.6 (4)
$O1^{i}$ —Pd1—N1—C7	-28.61 (18)	N1-C8-C9-C10	24.0 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.8 (9)	C15—C8—C9—C10	-155.6 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	2.6 (9)	Pd1	141.1 (2)
C1—C2—C3—F1	-179.5 (5)	Pd1	-40.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-2.3 (7)	C14—C9—C10—O1	-178.0(3)
F1—C3—C4—C5	179.8 (3)	C8—C9—C10—O1	0.2 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.2 (5)	C14—C9—C10—C11	0.2 (4)
C4—C5—C6—C1	1.4 (5)	C8—C9—C10—C11	178.4 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C7	179.4 (3)	O1—C10—C11—C12	178.2 (3)
C4—C3—C0—C7 C2—C1—C6—C5		C9-C10-C11-C12	
	-1.1(7)		-0.2(5)
C2-C1-C6-C7	-179.1 (5)	C10-C11-C12-C13	0.4(5)
C8—N1—C7—C6	87.6 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.7(5)
Pd1—N1—C7—C6	-89.0 (2)	C12—C13—C14—C9	0.8 (5)
C5—C6—C7—N1	8.3 (4)	C10—C9—C14—C13	-0.6 (4)

## supporting information

C1—C6—C7—N1 C7—N1—C8—C9	-173.7 (3) -179.5 (2)	C8—C9—C14—C	C13	-178.8 (3)
Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1, -y+2, -z+2$ .				
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)				
D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
C4—H4A···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.50	3.405 (5)	165

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+2, -y+2, -z+2.