Open access Research

BMJ Open Access to mobile phone and willingness to receive mHealth services among patients with diabetes in Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study

Adamu Takele Jemere,¹ Yohannes Ezezew Yeneneh,¹ Biniam Tilahun,¹ Fleur Fritz,² Shitaye Alemu,³ Mihiretu Kebede^{1,4,5}

To cite: Jemere AT. Yeneneh YE. Tilahun B, et al. Access to mobile phone and willingness to receive mHealth services among patients with diabetes in Northwest Ethiopia: a crosssectional study. BMJ Open 2019;9:e021766. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-021766

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021766).

ATJ and MK contributed equally.

Received 16 January 2018 Revised 21 November 2018 Accepted 29 November 2018



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Mihiretu Kebede: mihiretaabush@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aimed at determining access to mobile phone and willingness to receive mobile phone-based diabetes health services as well as identify associated factors in Northwest Ethiopia.

Design An institution-based cross-sectional survey was conducted from February to March 2016.

Participants Systematic randomly selected 423 patients with diabetes.

Setting University of Gondar Hospital diabetic clinic. Main outcome measures The main outcome measure was willingness to receive diabetic health service via mobile phone voice call or messaging services.

Results Out of 423 patients with diabetes, 329 (77.8%) had access to a mobile phone. Among the latter, 232 (70.5%) were willing to receive mobile phone-based health services. The educational status of patients (adjusted OR (AOR): 2.6 (95% CI: 1.2 to 5.58)), route of medication (AOR: 3.2 (95% CI: 1.44 to 7.1)), transportation mechanism (AOR: 4.1 (95% CI: 1.2 to 13.57)), travel time to health facility (AOR: 0.3 (95% CI: 0.12 to 0.82)), current use of mobile phone as appointment reminder (AOR: 2.6 (95% CI: 1.07 to 6.49)) and locking mobile phone with passwords (AOR: 4.6 (95% CI: 1.63 to 12.95)) were significantly associated with the willingness to receive mobile phone-based diabetic health services.

Conclusion Access to a mobile phone and willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services were high. Educational status, route of medication, transportation mechanism, time to reach the service, using mobile phone as appointment reminder and locking mobile phone with passwords were significantly associated factors. Given the high proportion of access and willingness of patients to receive mobile phone-based health services, mHealth interventions could be helpful.

BACKGROUND

According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) report, 1 in 11 adults was reported to have diabetes in 2017. Diabetes is one of the leading chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. More than 3.2% of the population in Africa had diabetes in 2015, of which more than

Strength and limitation of this study

- ► This is the first study in Ethiopia assessing the willingness of patients with diabetes to receive a mobile phone-based diabetes health service.
- Since the study was interviewer based, the responses might have been affected by bias introduced by the interviewers.
- The study is conducted in one referral hospital based in a big town, which may affect the generalisability of the findings.

two-thirds were undiagnosed. Ethiopia is one of the countries in Africa having the highest number of people with diabetes. Around 1.3 million diabetic cases were reported in 2015, which makes Ethiopia the fourth most affected country in Africa.^{2 3} In 2017, IDF reported an estimated 4.8% of adults in Ethiopia to have diabetes. 14 Observational studies across the country reported varying prevalence levels of diabetes, from 0.34% in Debre Berhan Hospital⁵ and 5% at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital⁶ to 8.3% in South Eastern Amhara.

Diabetes is one of the most expensive chronic diseases in the world.⁸ Despite spending large amounts of resources, management of diabetes remains challenging. 9-12 Prevention of diabetes and improving self-management among people with diabetes are still few of the main priorities of diabetes. WHO planned to halt the rise in diabetes and obesity by acting on modifiable risk factors such as unhealthy diet, and physical inactivity. ¹³ Among people with diabetes, adherence to physical activity and nutrition recommendations and increasing the availability and accessibility of insulin are some of the key aspects of diabetes care, yet challenging. 14 15 A plethora of evidence indicated that integrating mobile health (mHealth hereafter) to diabetes care has helped to tackle lifestyle, adherence and self-management challenges. ^{16–18}

To date, >7billion people in the world have mobile phones, with 40% of them owning smart phones. ^{19–21} The global mobile penetration per capita has increased by almost 50%. However, the rate in Africa has only increased by 12%. ²⁰ On average, sub-Saharan African countries have registered an average increment of 38% of per capita mobile penetration. ²² ²³ The growth and expansion of mobile telecommunication technology in Ethiopia was low for many years. But, it has rapidly increased from 17% in 2012 to 48.3% in 2016. ²² ²³ The ubiquitous access and the multitasking nature of mobile phones are great opportunities to improve chronic disease prevention, patient care and self-management.

In high-income countries, mobile phones have gained popularity in diabetes prevention interventions, where they are being used to implement programmes to promote a healthy diet, regular physical activity and to prevent obesity.²⁴⁻³⁰ They have also been used to enhance the engagement of patients to diabetic patient care as well as to reduce the number of care drop outs³¹ and improve glycaemic control.³² Because of this, mHealth particularly smart phone-based diabetes applications are rapidly transforming diabetes care in the high-income world.³² Diabetes is considered as a major niche for mHealth interventions, yet it remains underexplored in sub-Saharan Africa. 33 34 Due to digital literacy, access and unavailability of the technology, there is a dearth of literature regarding the effectiveness and the use of mHealth interventions for improving diabetes care in sub-Saharan Africa.³³ One randomised controlled trial of a text message in sub-Saharan Africa (Senegal) reported a clinically significant reduction of glycated haemoglobin levels were achieved with the intervention.³⁵ A review that compared mHealth intervention between low-income and high-income countries reported that text messages are more commonly implemented than mobile apps in low-income countries.³⁶ Another systematic review on mHealth in Africa indicated mHealth appears to be feasible mainly on supporting follow-up and retention of patients on the chronic care continuum.³⁷

In Ethiopia, there has been no report of mobile-based interventions to support diabetes prevention and care. A study in Addis Ababa reported that a patient-centred approach could augment diabetes patient care.³⁸ Mobile phones may facilitate the provision of patient-centred care. There are emerging efforts to use mobile phones for supporting a variety of healthcare services such as maternal health service, health promotion and disease surveillance, yet mHealth in diabetes is untapped.³⁹⁻⁴¹ A study conducted at the University of Gondar Hospital among people on antiretroviral treatment (ART) reported that a high proportion of respondents had a mobile phone and almost half were willing to receive text message medication reminders. 42 The use of mobile technology-assisted management has a great potential to improve diabetes care. For instance, in low-resource

settings with limited access to healthcare, mobile phones may help provide mass health education across a wide geography. In addition, mobile phones can be used as medication and appointment reminders. However, before implementing mobile phone-based health service interventions, it is important to assess access to mobile phones and the willingness to use mobile phone-based health services. Therefore, this study aimed at determining the access to mobile phones and willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services; and identifying factors associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health service among persons with diabetes at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital.

METHODS

Study design and setting

An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted at the University of Gondar Hospital from February to March 2016. The University of Gondar Hospital is located in Northwest Ethiopia, 727 km away from the capital, Addis Ababa. It is one of the tertiary hospitals in Ethiopia and potentially serves about 5 million people. During the study period, 700 patients with diabetes were on diabetes care follow-up at the hospital.

Study subjects

The study population comprised patients with diabetes at the University of Gondar Hospital. Patients who were seriously ill or unable to hear and/or understand instructions were excluded from the study. The sample size was determined using a single population proportion formula, $n=Z_{\alpha}/2^{2}pq/\delta^{2}$. We assumed: n=the required sample size, Z=thevalue of standard normal distribution corresponding to $\alpha/2$, 1.96, p=proportion of patients with diabetes willing to be contacted via mobile phone, q=proportion of patients with diabetes NOT willing to be contacted via mobile phone and d=precision assumed as 0.05. To the knowledge of the investigators, no study has been conducted in Ethiopia to determine the willingness to receive mobile-based health service among patients with diabetes. Therefore, we assumed p (proportion of patients with diabetes willing to be contacted via mobile phone) to be 0.5. Hence, the sample size for this study was calculated to be 384. Taking 10% non-response rate into account, the final sample size was adjusted to be 423. A systematic random sampling technique was performed to select 423 patients with diabetes as respondents of this study. Respondents were selected while they were on their follow-up visits at the diabetic clinic. On the first day of the data collection, the first respondent was randomly selected. Then, every other respondent was selected and interviewed until the number of interviewed respondents reached the calculated sample size.

Data collection procedure

Data were collected through face-to-face interviews using a structured questionnaire adapted from previous

studies. 21 42 The questionnaire (online annex 1) contains questions assessing sociodemographic characteristics, comprehensive assessment of access to mobile telecommunication technologies, willingness to receive mobilebased health services for diabetic management and preventive practices. The data collection instrument was first developed in English and then translated to Amharic and later back-translated to English by language experts to ensure consistency. To evaluate the understandability and the applicability of the questionnaire, a pretest was conducted I week prior to the main fieldwork. Following the analysis of the pretest study data, ambiguous or unclear questions were rephrased. Data were collected by four trained clinical nurses and onsite supervision was performed by the principal investigator. Questionnaires were evaluated on a daily basis. A timely edition of the data was also done and feedback was given to the data collectors where there were errors in the data.

Study variables

The primary outcome variable of this study was willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services. Mobile phone-based health service includes any form of mobile phone-based diabetes patient support via smart phone apps, text messages and voice calls. However, in this particular study, our interest was mainly to look at willingness to receive diabetes care support via text message and/or voice calls. We collectively called this as willingness to receive mobile phone-based health service. Independent variables included in the questionnaire were the following:

Sociodemographic variables: age, sex, educational status, marital status and employment status.

Environmental factors: ownership of television, radio, transportation mechanism, access to mobile phone network at home.

Behavioural factors: drinking alcohol, khat chewing, smoking, habit of sugar intake, physical activity behaviour.

Healthcare service-related factors: self-reported client satisfaction in diabetic service, perceived satisfaction on insulin supply.

Access to a mobile phone was measured using a single yes/no question, asking whether patients owned a mobile phone.

To measure willingness, respondents were asked whether they would be willing to receive a mobile phonebased diabetic health service, either through a mobile phone voice call or messaging services.

Data quality assurance

Prior to the actual study data collection, a pretest was conducted at Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital on a 10% of the calculated sample size. The data collectors received a 2 days' training that included practical session. Feedbacks received from the data collectors were incorporated to enrich the questionnaire and adjust it to the local context. The principal investigator randomly checked 10% of the questionnaires collected each day. Anything which was

unclear, missing and/or confusing was discussed with the respective data collector and corrected on the next day.

Data processing and analysis

Data from the entire questionnaire were coded and entered using Epi Info V.7 database. Data were then exported to SPSS V.20 for analysis. Frequency and cross-tabulations were used to describe the data. The associations between characteristics of respondents and the willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services were analysed using binary and multivariable logistic regression analyses. The purposeful selection method was used to select variables having a p value of <0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression analyses. These variables were entered in the logistic regression to adjust for the effect of confounders as recommended in the previous methodological literature. At 4 45 Statistical significance was declared using a p value of <0.05. ORs with 95% CIs were calculated to measure the strength of the association.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question, defining the outcome measures, developing the design, recruitment and conduct of the study. No patients were also involved in the analysis and interpretation of the findings. In addition, there are no plans on disseminating the results to study participants or patients. The public was not also directly involved in the design of the study. However, the results of the study were disseminated to clinicians and nurses working at the diabetic clinic.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the respondents

A total of 423 patients with diabetes participated in the study. The majority were male, n=227; 53.7%, the mean age was 47.4 years (SD=16.6) and about two-thirds, n=261; 61.7%, were from urban areas (table 1).

More than the third-quarter of respondents (n=329, 77.8%) had access to mobile phone. The proportion of males with access to a mobile phone was higher than that of females: (n=191; 84.1% vs n=138; 70.4%, respectively). Access to a mobile phone was highest among respondents below 30 years of age (n=69; 81.2%) (table 1).

Among patients with diabetes having access to mobile, the majority (n=232, 70.5%) were willing to receive mobile phone-based health service, with the proportion being higher among females (n=99; 71.7%) than males (n=133, 69.6%) (table 1).

Environmental, behavioural characteristics and patterns of mobile phone use

The majority of patients with diabetes, n=303; 92%, with access to a mobile phone reported being satisfied with their healthcare provider. A third, n=110; 33.4%, of them visited the diabetic follow-up clinic every 2 months, n=94; 28.6% every month, n=72; 21.9% every 3 months and n=53; 16.1% had a variable visiting frequency. Patients



 Table 1
 Sociodemographic characteristics of University of Gondar Hospital patients with diabetes, Gondar, Ethiopia 2016

	Access to mobi	le phone (n=423)	Willingness to receive mobile phone- based health service (n=329)		
Variables	No N (%)	Yes N (%)	No N (%)	Yes N (%)	
Sex					
Male	36 (15.9)	191 (84.1)	58 (30.4)	133 (69.6)	
Female	58 (29.6)	138 (70.4)	39 (28.3)	99 (71.7)	
Age (years)					
<30	16 (18.8)	69 (81.2)	27 (39.1)	42 (60.9)	
30–45	30 (27.5)	79 (72.5)	20 (25.3)	59 (74.7)	
≥45	48 (21)	181 (79.0)	50 (27.6)	131 (72.4)	
Residence					
Urban	27 (10.3)	234 (89.7)	59 (25.2)	175 (74.8)	
Rural	67 (41.4)	95 (58.6)	38 (40.0)	57 (60.0)	
Marital status					
Single	13 (21.3)	48 (78.7)	20 (41.7)	28 (58.3)	
Married	48 (17.0)	235 (83.0)	66 (28.1)	169 (71.9)	
Separated	4 (57.1)	3 (42.9)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	
Divorced	12 (48.0)	13 (52.0)	2 (15.4)	11 (84.6)	
Widowed	17 (36.2)	30 (63.8)	7 (23.3)	23 (76.7)	
Educational status					
Illiterate	55 (38.2)	89 (61.8)	30 (33.7)	59 (66.3)	
Read and write	25 (25.8)	72 (74.2)	33 (45.8)	39 (54.2)	
Primary	12 (16.4)	61 (83.6)	17 (27.9)	44 (72.1)	
Secondary	2 (3.5)	55 (96.5)	10 (18.2)	45 (81.8)	
≥College	0 (0.0)	52 (100.0)	7 (13.5)	45 (86.5)	
Occupation					
Employed	4 (4.2)	92 (95.8)	20 (21.7)	72 (78.3)	
Merchant	6 (9.5)	57 (90.5)	12 (21.1)	45 (78.9)	
Farmer	37 (37.0)	63 (63.0)	25 (39.7)	38 (60.3)	
Housewife	37 (37.0)	63 (63.0)	21 (33.3)	42 (66.7)	
Others	10 (15.6)	54 (84.4)	19 (35.2)	35 (64.8)	
Whom do you live with					
Alone	23 (35.9)	41 (64.1)	16 (39.0)	25 (61.0)	
With spouse	36 (22.9)	121 (77.1)	41 (33.9)	80 (66.1)	
With family	35 (17.3)	167 (82.7)	40 (24.0)	127 (76.0)	
Comorbidity					
No	72 (24.8)	218 (75.2)	69 (31.7)	149 (68.3)	
Yes	22 (16.5)	111 (83.5)	28 (25.2)	83 (74.8)	
Comorbid disease					
Hypertension	17 (16.2)	88 (83.8)	22 (25.0)	66 (75.0)	
Heart disease	3 (20.0)	12 (80.0)	5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	
Other	2 (15.4)	11 (84.6)	1 (9.1)	10 (90.9)	
Type of diabetes (self-report)					
T	17 (15.2)	95 (84.8)	15 (15.8)	80 (84.2)	
II	46 (20.1)	183 (79.9)	57 (31.3)	125 (68.7)	

Continued

Ta	h	A 1	\sim	nti	nı	ıed

	Access to mobile phone (n=423)		Willingness to receive mobile phor based health service (n=329)	
Variables	No N (%)	Yes N (%)	No N (%)	Yes N (%)
Unknown	31 (37.3)	52 (62.7)	25 (48.1)	27 (51.9)
Time since diagnosis				
<6 months	8 (21.1)	30 (78.9)	(23.3)	23 (76.7)
6-12 months	3 (8.1)	34 (91.9)	15 (44.1)	19 (55.9)
>12 months	84 (24.1)	264 (75.9)	75 (28.4)	189 (71.6)
Diabetes follow-up time				
<6 months	9 (20.5)	35 (79.5)	8 (22.9)	27 (77.1)
6-12 months	3 (8.3)	33 (91.7)	14 (42.4)	19 (57.6)
>12 months	82 (23.9)	261 (76.1)	75 (28.7)	186 (71.3)
Route of medication				
Injection	33 (20.8)	126 (79.2)	32 (25.4)	94 (74.6)
Pill	49 (21.1)	183 (78.9)	57 (31.1)	126 (68.9)
Both	11 (35.5)	20 (64.5)	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)

who reported receiving assistant or health education had a bigger proportion of willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services than those who did not (n=192; 72.2% vs n=40; 64.5%). With regard to treatment satisfaction, satisfied patients were more willing to be contacted via mobile phone than non-satisfied patients (n=221; 72.2% vs n=11; 47.8%). Nearly a third of the patients (n=100; 30.4%) had a history of missing appointments. Forgetting the appointment was the most frequently mentioned reason for missing appointments (34%), followed by illness (27%), not having permission from employers (18%), and other reasons (21%). Additionally, among those who owned mobile phones, (n=152; 80%) of those reported having a television at home were willing to receive mobile phone-based health services (table 2).

Almost a fifth (n=63; 19%) of those with access to a mobile phone owned a smart phone. Of these, 55 (87.3%) were willing to receive mobile phone-based health services, compared with those without a smart phone (n=177; 66.5%) (table 3).

Factors associated with willingness to receive mobile phonebased diabetic health service

Results of the bivariate analyses indicated that educational status, with whom patients live with, route of medication, presence of radio in dwelling, transportation mechanism, time to reach the health facility, satisfaction with healthcare service, current use of mobile phone as appointment reminder and presence/absence of time or place where no calls are taken were associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-base diabetic health service. Moreover, locking mobile phone with passwords, access to mobile phone by others, current use of mobile phone for adherence and automatic appointment

reminder were also significantly associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based diabetic health services at a p value of <0.2. All of these associated factors were entered in the multivariable logistic regression analysis model to control for the effect of confounders (table 4).

The multivariable logistic regression model identified educational status (educated; 2.6 (95% CI: 1.2 to 5.6)), route of medication (pill; 3.2 (95% CI: 1.4 to 7.1)), transportation mechanism (by car; 4.0 (95% CI: 1.2 to 13.6)) and time to reach the service (<1 hour; 0.32 (95% CI: 0.12 to 0.82)) as factors significantly associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based diabetic health services. In addition, current use of mobile phone as appointment reminder (used: 2.6 (95% CI: 1.1 to 6.5)), locking mobile phone with passwords (locked: 4.6 (95% CI: 1.6 to 12.9)) and current use of mobile phone for adherence support (used: 2.6 (95% CI: 1.07 to 6.5)) were identified to be significantly associated factors (table 4).

Educated respondents were 2.6 times more likely to be willing to use mobile phone-based health services than uneducated respondents. Patients with diabetes who take their medication in the form of a pill were 3.2 times more likely to be willing than those who take in the form of an injection. Respondents who used a car as a mode of transportation were 4.0 times more likely to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health services than those who came on foot, while those who travel <1 hour to reach the hospital were less likely to be willing compared with those who travel >1 hour. Respondents who were currently using their mobile phone as an appointment reminder were 2.64 times more likely to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health services than those who were not. Furthermore, those who lock their mobile

Table 2 Willingness to mobile health services stratified by sociodemographic characteristics among University of Gondar Hospital patients with diabetes, Gondar, Ethiopia 2016

	-	receive mobile phone services (n=329)
Variable	No N (%)	Yes N (%)
Television		
No	59 (42.4)	80 (57.6)
Yes	38 (20.0)	152 (80.0)
Radio		
No	59 (40.4)	87 (59.6)
Yes	38 (20.8)	145 (79.2)
Transportation n	nechanism	
On foot	13 (43.3)	17 (56.7)
By car	84 (28.1)	215 (71.9)
Time to reach th	e service site	
<1 hour	60 (27.5)	158 (72.5)
>1 hour	37 (33.3)	74 (66.7)
Electricity in the	house	
No	32 (36.0)	57 (64.0)
Yes	65 (27.1)	175 (72.9)
Mobile network	access	
No	11 (50.0)	11 (50.0)
Yes	86 (28.0)	221 (72.0)
Using reminder	mechanisms	
No	58 (31.2)	128 (68.8)
Yes	39 (27.3)	104 (72.7)
Pill box		
No	97 (29.8)	229 (70.2)
Yes	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)
Written schedule	е	
No	70 (27.2)	187 (72.8)
Yes	27 (37.5)	45 (62.5)
Watch alarm		
No	88 (30.7)	199 (69.3)
Yes	9 (21.4)	33 (78.6)
Mobile phone		
No	88 (29.5)	210 (70.5)
Yes	9 (29.0)	22 (71.0)
Other reminders	3	
No	96 (29.8)	226 (70.2)
Yes	1 (14.3)	6 (85.7)
Taking addictive substances		
No	85 (28.9)	209 (71.1)
Yes	12 (34.3)	23 (65.7)

Continued

Table 2 Continu	ued			
	•	Willingness to receive mobile phone- based health services (n=329)		
Variable	No N (%)	Yes N (%)		
Alcohol				
No	89 (29.2)	216 (70.8)		
Yes	8 (33.3)	16 (66.7)		
Khat chewing				
No	91 (28.8)	225 (71.2)		
Yes	6 (46.2)	7 (53.8)		
Smoking				
No	95 (29.1)	231 (70.9)		
Yes	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)		

phones with a password were 4.6 times more likely to be willing than those who did not.

However, with whom patients live with, perceived satisfaction with the healthcare provider, the presence of radio in dwelling and availability of time or place where no calls are taken were not significantly associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services among patients with diabetes at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine access to mobile phones and the willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services and associated factors among patients with diabetes at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital. The results of the study show that access to mobile phones among patients with diabetes at the University of Gondar Hospital is high, 77.8%. From those respondents who had access to mobile phones, majority (70.5%) were willing to receive mobile phonebased health services. Educational status, route of medication, transportation mechanism, time to reach the service, current use of mobile phone as an appointment reminder, locking mobile phone with passwords were significantly associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services among patients with diabetes at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital.

Access to mobile phones in this study (77.8%) is slightly higher than in a previous study conducted at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital ART clinic (76.2%), 42 and in Kenya (74%). 46 The difference might be due to the socioeconomic difference between HIV/AIDS and patients with diabetes and the difference in the timing of the study. While access to mobile phones in the current study is consistent with the report on Honduras chronic patients (78%), 21 it is however lower than that in a study from the USA, 83%. 47 The difference is possibly due to the differences in socioeconomic, Information Communication Technology (ICT) development index

Table 3 Willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services stratified by pattern of mobile phone use among University of Gondar Hospital patients with diabetes, Gondar, Ethiopia, 2016

Variable	•	Willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services (n=329)					
Type of mobile pho	ne						
Smart	8 (12.7)	55 (87.3)					
Non-smart	89 (33.5)	177 (66.5)					
Use mobile phone as appointment reminder							
No	76 (32.5)	158 (67.5)					
Yes	21 (22.3)	73 (77.7)					
Use mobile phone as medication reminder							
No	78 (33.8)	153 (66.2)					
Yes	19 (19.6)	78 (80.4)					
Preferred mode of	communication						
Voice call	85 (27.6)	223 (72.4)					
Text message	14 (25.0)	42 (75.0)					
Email	4 (26.7)	11 (73.3)					
How often do you h	nave your mobile p	hone with you?					
Always	73 (26.3)	205 (73.7)					
Sometimes	21 (60.0)	14 (40.0)					
Seldom	3 (30.0)	7 (70.0)					
Never	0 (0.0)	6 (100.0)					
Mobile phone lost,	damaged or stolen	in the past					
No	77 (34.4)	147 (65.6)					
Yes	20 (19.0)	85 (81.0)					
Have additional pho	one number						
No	81 (28.8)	200 (71.2)					
Yes	16 (33.3)	32 (66.7)					
Switch off mobile p	Switch off mobile phone during day time						
No	89 (30.2)	206 (69.8)					
Yes	8 (23.5)	26 (76.5)					
There are times or	olaces where I do r	not take calls					
No	76 (33.6)	150 (66.4)					
Yes	21 (20.4)	82 (79.6)					
Do not answer unki	nown calls						
No	71 (30.7)	160 (69.3)					
Yes	26 (26.5)	72 (73.5)					
Lock phone with password							
No	82 (34.5)	156 (65.5)					
Yes	15 (16.5)	76 (83.5)					
Mobile phone used	and accessed by	others					
No	79 (35.4)	144 (64.6)					
Yes	18 (17.0)	88 (83.0)					
Mobile phone shared with another person							
No	76 (29.8)	179 (70.2)					
		Continued					

\sim		
(:Or	ገ†Ir	nuec
001	1111	IUC

Table 3 Contin	nued				
Variable	•	receive mobile health services			
Yes	21 (28.4)	53 (71.6)			
Able to read and	d send text message u	sing mobile			
No	81 (38.4)	130 (61.6)			
Yes	16 (13.8)	102 (86.2)			
Delete text mes	sage without reading it	t			
No	13 (13.5)	83 (86.5)			
Yes	3 (13.6)	19 (86.4)			
Likelihood of te	xt message to be seen	by others			
Very high	2 (20.0)	8 (80.0)			
High	3 (6.4)	44 (93.6)			
Lower	8 (22.2)	28 (77.8)			
Very low	5 (18.5)	22 (81.5)			
Use internet on the phone					
No	83 (33.2)	167 (66.8)			
Yes	14 (17.7)	65 (82.3)			
Use social netw	ork pages such as Fac	ebook on the phone			
No	84 (32.3)	76 (67.7)			
Yes	13 (18.8)	56 (81.2)			
Email					
No	91 (30.2)	210 (69.8)			
Yes	6 (21.4)	22 (78.6)			
Google					
No	88 (28.9)	217 (71.1)			
Yes	9 (37.5)	15 (62.5)			
Mobile-based support could be helpful in your adherence					
No	61 (82.4)	13 (17.6)			
Yes	36 (14.1)	219 (85.9)			

and infrastructure development between the USA and Honduras and Ethiopia^{21 42}

The proportion of respondents with access to mobile phones who were willing to receive mobile phone-based health services observed in this study (70.5%) is higher than that reported in the previous study conducted at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital among patients on ART. In the latter, only 50.5% of the patients were willing to receive text message medication reminders. 42 The difference may be due to the discrepancy in the study period, perceived stigma and discrimination and the difference in the literacy rate of the study populations. Perceived stigma and discrimination is lower among patients with diabetes than patients on ART, which probably makes patients with diabetes to have a higher willingness to be contacted via their private mobile phones. Furthermore, traditionally, patients with diabetes in low-income and middle-income countries



Table 4 Bivariate and multivariate analyses of factors associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services among University of Gondar Referral Hospital patients with diabetes, Gondar, Ethiopia, 2016

	Willingness			
Variable	Yes	No	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Educational status				
No education	98	63	1	1
Educated	134	34	2.534 (1.549 to 4.143)	2.59 (1.2 to 5.58)*
Whom do you live with				
Live alone	25	16	1	1
With spouse	80	41	1.249 (0.601 to 2.596)	0.52 (0.14 to 1.92)
With parents	127	40	2.032 (0.988 to 4.179)	1.95 (0.65 to 5.9)
Route of medication				
Injection	126	57	1	1
Pill	106	40	1.884 (1.169 to 3.037)	3.2 (1.44 to 7.1)*
Presence of radio				
No	87	59	1	1
Yes	145	38	2.588 (1.591 to 4.21)	2.02 (0.94 to 4.35)
Transportation mechanism				
On foot	17	13	1	1
By car	215	84	0.527 (0.247 to 1.124)	4.07 (1.2 to 13.57)*
Time to reach the service				
>1 hour	74	37	1	1
<1 hour	158	60	0.67 (0.41 to 1.11)	0.32 (0.12 to 0.82)*
Satisfied with the healthcare provider				
Not satisfied	15	11	1	1
Satisfied	217	86	1.85 (0.817 to 4.189)	3.71 (0.99 to 13.96)
Use mobile phone as appointment reminder				
No	158	76	1	1
Yes	73	21	1.67 (0.958 to 2.919)	2.64 (1.07 to 6.49)*
Time or place no calls are taken				
No	150	76	1	1
Yes	82	21	1.98 (1.14 to 3.44)	0.498 (0.21 to 1.18)
Lock phone with passwords				
No	155	82	1	1
Yes	76	15	5.075 (2.567 to 10.03)	4.6 (1.63 to 12.95)*
Others access the mobile phone				
No	144	79	1	1
Yes	88	18	2.68 (1.51 to 4.77)	1.88 (0.84 to 4.23)
Automatic appointment reminder				
No	109	30	1	1
Yes	123	67	0.51 (0.31 to 0.84)	1.71 (0.83 to 3.51)

^{*}Statistically significant (p <0.05).

tend to be from a higher socioeconomic class and more educated in comparison to patients with HIV/AIDS, which could possibly make them more likely to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health service. Willingness to receive mobile phone-based diabetic health service was also higher than studies

from Japan (50%), 50 and the USA (56.7%), 51 but lower than a study from Honduras chronic patients (>80%). 21 The difference might be due to the difference in the ICT development index and digital divide across countries that can potentially be reflected among the study participants. 22 23

In this study, being educated is positively associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services. This is in line with findings of the study conducted among patients on ART at the same hospital, ⁴² and with those of the Honduras study conducted among chronic patients. ²¹ The observed association is probably due to the fact that improved educational status is likely to lead to an increase in awareness about diabetic management, and to have better access to a mobile phone and mobile network. ⁴⁹

The current study shows that those taking medication in the form of a pill were more willing to receive mobile phone-based health services than those taking injectable insulin. This finding contradicts findings of a study from the USA.⁵² The contradiction might be due to the fact that patients on non-insulin treatment in our study were having adherence problems, which resulted in them being more interested in using mobile phone-based health services.⁵³ In addition, forgetting medication might be higher among people who take pills than injections. This might have influenced their interest in receiving mobile phone-based health services.

In line with the study done in Honduras among chronic patients, ²¹ patients who reported using a car as a transportation mechanism were more likely to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health services than those who travel on foot. This may be because those who have access to improved transportation services might be in better socioeconomic conditions ^{54 55} and may have a better access to mobile phone network coverage and have reduced digital divide challenges, ^{50 56} which in turn might positively influence their decision to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health services. ⁵⁴

In this study, patients who travel <1 hour to receive health services are less likely to be willing to receive mobile phone-based health services compared with those who travel more than an hour. This contradicts with findings of the study done among patients on ART at the same setting, the University of Gondar Hospital.⁴² This may be because patients who travel less time may prefer to consult their clinician face-to-face as they have a lower cost of transportation.⁴² In addition, patients who travel less time might have a lower perceived expectation from technology than a face-to-face consultation.

Current use of mobile phones as an appointment reminder in this study is positively associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services, which is in line with the study done in Honduras among chronic patients. The positive association is probably due to the patients' improved awareness of the importance of mobile phones for diabetes care. 50 55 57

The positive association between locking the mobile phone with a password and willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services observed in this study is in line with findings of the study among patients on ART done at the University of Gondar Hospital.⁴² The association might be due to the perceived privacy and security protection of the information stored in their mobile phone offered by the use of the passwords.⁵⁸

This study reports a large proportion of willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services. However, the study has limitations and we call for careful interpretation of the findings. First, the responses might have been affected by bias introduced by the interviewers. To reduce this bias, we trained the interviewers to standardise the interviewing procedures. Second, the study was conducted in one of the largest referral hospitals in Ethiopia based in a mid-size town. This affected the rural population to be under-represented in the study. Only one-third of the study participants owning mobile phones were from rural areas. One may argue this affects the generalisability of the study to all people with diabetes in Ethiopia. However, stratified analysis to compare willingness among respondents from rural and urban residence still shows a high (60%) willingness among rural residents, although it is lower than urban residents. Therefore, it looks feasible to introduce a text message and/or voice call-based mHealth interventions that may help patients tackle behavioural, self-management and adherence challenges.

CONCLUSION

Access to mobile phones was found to be high. Among respondents who had access to mobile phones, willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services was also high. Educational status, route of medication, transportation mechanism, time to reach the service, current use of mobile phone as an appointment reminder and lock phone with passwords were significantly associated with willingness to receive mobile phone-based health services. Based on this result, implementing a mobile phone-based health services such as self-monitoring and adherence support, behavioural counselling and interventions targeting to improve the knowledge of patients to solve problem areas in diabetes might change the livelihood of patients with diabetes.

Author affiliations

¹University of Gondar, Institute of Public Health, Department of Health Informatics, Gondar, Ethiopia

²Institute of Medical Informatics, University of Münster, Münster, Germany ³School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
⁵Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology-BIPS, Bremen, Germany

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the staff of the University of Gondar Referral Hospital diabetic clinic for their involvement in data collection and the patients for their responses. The authors would also like to thank Dr Florence Samkange-Zeeb for her outstanding support to proofread the manuscript for English language errors.

Contributors AJ contributed to the conception and design, acquisition of data, data collection supervision, data analysis, interpretation of data and writing the first draft of the manuscript. YY, BT, FF and SA substantially contributed to the drafting of the manuscript and/or revising it critically for important intellectual content. They further all agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work

are appropriately investigated and resolved. MK supervised the study, substantially contributed in the conception and design, acquisition of data, data analysis, interpretation of data, interpretation of data, wrote the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content and has given final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript before submission.

Funding The authors declare no specific grant for this research was received from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Data were collected after receiving ethical approval from the ethical review board of the Institute of Public Health, University of Gondar. Informed verbal consent was obtained from each study participant. Each respondent was informed about the purpose of the study, and privacy during data collection was ensured.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement Data are available from the first and last authors and can be accessed on request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- 1. IDF. IDF Diabetes Atlas: regional fact sheets. 8th edn: IDF, 2017.
- Alwan A. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010: World Health Organization, 2011.
- 3. IDF. Diabetes Atlas. 7th edn. UK, 2017.
- Diabetes in Ethiopia. International Diabetes Federation Africa: Diabetes in Ethiopia, 2015.
- Habtewold TD, Tsega WD, Wale BY. Diabetes mellitus in outpatients in Debre Berhan Referral Hospital, Ethiopia. J Diabetes Res 2016:2016:1–6.
- Abebe SM, Getachew A, Fasika S, et al. Diabetes mellitus among HIV-infected individuals in follow-up care at University of Gondar Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. BMJ Open 2016;6:e011175.
- Workneh MH, Bjune GA, Yimer SA. Prevalence and associated factors of diabetes mellitus among tuberculosis patients in South-Eastern Amhara Region, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0147621.
- American Diabetes Association. Economic costs of diabetes in the U.S. in 2017. *Diabetes Care* 2018;41:917–28.
- Bahrami B, Zhu M, Hong T, et al. Diabetic macular oedema: pathophysiology, management challenges and treatment resistance. *Diabetologia* 2016;59:1594–608.
- Stanton RC. Clinical challenges in diagnosis and management of diabetic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis 2014;63(2 Suppl 2):S3–21.
- Widyahening IS, Wangge G, van der Graaf Y, et al. Adapting clinical guidelines in low-resources countries: a study on the guideline on the management and prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Indonesia. J Eval Clin Pract 2017;23:121–7.
- Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010;87:4–14.
- World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases and mental health-Target 7: Halt the rise in obesity: WHO, 2015.
- American Diabetes Association. 1. Strategies for Improving Care. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39(Supplement 1):S6–12.
- American Diabetes Association. 4. Lifestyle Management. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(Suppl 1):S33–43.
- International Diabetes Federation. How mobile health can help tackle
 the diabetes epidemic and strengthen health systems: International
 Diabetes Federation (IDF) response to the public consultation on the
 European Commission's Green Paper on mobile health. *Diabetes Res
 Clin Pract* 2014;105:271–2.
- 17. Iyengar V, Wolf A, Brown A, et al. Challenges in diabetes care: can digital health help address them? Clin Diabetes 2016;34:133–41.
- Kitsiou S, Paré G, Jaana M, et al. Effectiveness of mHealth interventions for patients with diabetes: An overview of systematic reviews. PLoS One 2017;12:e0173160.

- Fayaz-Bakhsh A, Golmohamadi FR. Regarding: "Access to mobile communication technology and willingness to participate in automated telemedicine calls among chronically ill patients in honduras". Telemed J E Health 2012.
- ITU and UNESCO. Broadband as a foundation for sustainable development. Switszerland, Geneva, 2015.
- Piette JD, Mendoza-Avelares MO, Milton EC, et al. Access to mobile communication technology and willingness to participate in automated telemedicine calls among chronically ill patients in Honduras. Telemed J E Health 2010;16:1030–41.
- 22. GSMA. The mobile economy: Sub-Saharan Africa GSMA, 2014.
- 23. Intelligence G. The mobile economy: Sub-Saharan Africa 2014: technical report. 2015.
- 24. WHO. 10 Facts about diabetes. 2014. http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/diabetes/en/
- Vollmer WM, Feldstein A, Smith D, et al. Use of health information technology to improve medication adherence. Am J Manag Care 2011:17:SP79–87.
- Jia MY, Wu QS, Li H, et al. The calibration of cellphone camera-based colorimetric sensor array and its application in the determination of glucose in urine. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2015:74:1029–37
- Kamis K, Janevic MR, Marinec N, et al. A study of mobile phone use among patients with noncommunicable diseases in La Paz, Bolivia: implications for mHealth research and development. Global Health 2015;11:30.
- Stetson B, Minges KE, Richardson CR. New directions for diabetes prevention and management in behavioral medicine. *J Behav Med* 2017;40:127–44.
- Agboola S, Palacholla RS, Centi A, et al. A Multimodal mHealth intervention (featforward) to improve physical activity behavior in patients with high cardiometabolic risk factors: rationale and protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Res Protoc* 2016;5:e84.
- Kebede MM, Liedtke TP, Möllers T, et al. Characterizing active ingredients of eHealth interventions targeting persons with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus using the behavior change techniques taxonomy: scoping review. J Med Internet Res 2017:19:e348
- Yamaguchi S, Waki K, Tomizawa N, et al. Previous dropout from diabetic care as a predictor of patients' willingness to use mobile applications for self-management: a cross-sectional study. J Diabetes Investig 2017;8:542–9.
- 32. Kebede MM, Zeeb H, Peters M, et al. Effectiveness of digital interventions for improving glycemic control in persons with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2018;20:767–82.
- Abaza H, Marschollek M. mHealth application areas and technology combinations*. A comparison of literature from high and low/middle income countries. Methods Inf Med 2017;56:e105–22.
- 34. Muralidharan S, Ranjani H, Anjana RM, et al. Mobile health technology in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2017;21:334–40.
- 35. Wargny M, Kleinebreil L, Diop SN, et al. SMS-based intervention in type 2 diabetes: clinical trial in Senegal. *BMJ Innov* 2018;4:142–6.
- Abaza H, Marschollek M. mHealth Application Areas and Technology Combinations*. A Comparison of Literature from High and Low/ Middle Income Countries. *Methods Inf Med* 2017;56:e105–22.
- Bloomfield GS, Vedanthan R, Vasudevan L, et al. Mobile health for non-communicable diseases in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of the literature and strategic framework for research. Global Health 2014;10:49.
- Habte BM, Kebede T, Fenta TG, et al. Explanatory models of adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus from urban centers of central Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes 2016;9:441.
- Little A, Medhanyie A, Yebyo H, et al. Meeting community health worker needs for maternal health care service delivery using appropriate mobile technologies in Ethiopia. PLoS One 2013;8:e77563.
- Medhanyie AA, Moser A, Spigt M, et al. Mobile health data collection at primary health care in Ethiopia: a feasible challenge. J Clin Epidemiol 2015;68:80–6.
- Mathew JL, Nimbalkar SM, Gopichandran V. Efficacy of a mobilebased application on quality of care and perinatal mortality. *Indian* Pediatr 2016;53:823–8.
- Kebede M, Zeleke A, Asemahagn M, et al. Willingness to receive text message medication reminders among patients on antiretroviral treatment in North West Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2015;15:1–10.
- 43. Naing L, Winn T, Rusli BN. Practical issues in calculating the sample size for prevalence studies. *Arch Orofac Sci* 2006;1:9–14.



- Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, et al. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. Source Code Biol Med 2008;3:17–18.
- Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, et al. Purposeful selection of variables in logistic regression. Source Code Biol Med 2008:3:17.
- 46. Kinyua F, Kiptoo M, Kikuvi G, et al. Perceptions of HIV infected patients on the use of cell phone as a tool to support their antiretroviral adherence; a cross-sectional study in a large referral hospital in Kenya. BMC Public Health 2013;13:987.
- St George SM, Delamater AM, Pulgaron ER, et al. Access to and interest in using smartphone technology for the management of type 1 diabetes in ethnic minority adolescents and their parents. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2016;18:104–9.
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Viswanathan V. Burden of type 2 diabetes and its complications-the Indian scenario. *Curr Sci* 2002;83:1471–6.
- Ayele K, Tesfa B, Abebe L, et al. Self care behavior among patients with diabetes in Harari, Eastern Ethiopia: the health belief model perspective. PLoS One 2012;7:e35515.
- Shibuta T, Waki K, Tomizawa N, et al. Willingness of patients with diabetes to use an ICT-based self-management tool: a crosssectional study. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2017;5:e000322.

- Humble JR, Tolley EA, Krukowski RA, et al. Use of and interest in mobile health for diabetes self-care in vulnerable populations. J Telemed Telecare 2016;22:32–8.
- Boas A. The management of type II diabetes mellitus in the face of the healthcare system and social determinants. GUJHS 2014;8:10.
- Abebe SM, Berhane Y, Worku A. Barriers to diabetes medication adherence in North West Ethiopia. Springerplus 2014;3:195.
- Saddik B, Al-Dulaijan N. Diabetic patients' willingness to use teletechnology to manage their disease - a descriptive study. Online J Public Health Inform 2015;7:e214.
- Mathieson K, Leafman JS, Horton MB. Access to digital communication technology and perceptions of telemedicine for patient education among American Indian Patients with Diabetes. J Health Care Poor Underserved 2017;28:1522–36.
- López L, Green AR, Tan-McGrory A, et al. Bridging the digital divide in health care: the role of health information technology in addressing racial and ethnic disparities. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf 2011;37:437–45.
- Nijland N, van Gemert-Pijnen JE, Kelders SM, et al. Factors influencing the use of a Web-based application for supporting the self-care of patients with type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal study. J Med Internet Res 2011;13:e71.
- Shah VN, Garg SK. Managing diabetes in the digital age. Clin Diabetes Endocrinol 2015;1:16.