



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Surgery Case Reports

journal homepage: www.casereports.com

Total intracorporeal robotic renal auto-transplantation: A new minimally invasive approach to preserve the kidney after major ureteral injuries

Nicolas Doumerc^{a,*}, Jean-Baptiste Beauval^a, Mathieu Roumigué^a, Pauline Roulette^a, Florian Laclergerie^a, Federico Sallusto^a, Michel Soulié^a, Xavier Gamé^a, Clément Biscans^b

^a Urology and Renal Transplantation Department, University Hospital of Rangueil, Toulouse, France

^b University Hospital of Poitiers, 2 Rue de la Milétrie, 86021 Poitiers, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 May 2018

Received in revised form 5 June 2018

Accepted 14 June 2018

Available online 3 July 2018

Keywords:

Renal auto-transplantation

Robotic surgery

Autotransplantation

Totally intracorporeal

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Renal auto-transplantation is a suitable option for managing patients with major ureteric injury. Conventional Renal auto-transplantation is however, underutilized because of its invasiveness. Completely intra-corporeal robotic renal auto-transplantation is a suitable option to decrease the morbidity. In this case, we report the first use of total intra-corporeal robotic renal auto-transplantation outside of North America.

CASE REPORT: A 30-year-old woman presented with an extensive upper left ureter defect, following a high kinetic energy trauma. She underwent 2 median laparotomies, with extensive resection of small intestine, and 1 transverse laparotomy to repair a massive rupture of abdominal muscles. The procedure was performed via a transperitoneal approach, with the assistance of the da Vinci Si robot (Intuitive Surgical Inc. Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The renal auto-transplantation was conducted entirely robotically, in 2 separate stages, using a 4 robotic arm approach. Total operative time was 300 min: 150 min to harvest the kidney including adhesiolysis, 20 min to reposition the patient, and 130 min for the robot assisted kidney transplantation (RAKT). The total ischemia time was 96 min (3 min of warm ischemia, no cold ischemia, 93 min of rewarming time). The estimated blood loss was 150 mL.

CONCLUSION: To our knowledge, this is the first case successfully performed as a total robotic approach outside of North America.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Renal auto-transplantation (RATx) is a suitable option for managing patients with major ureteric injury. The first case was performed by JD Hardy in 1963 to repair a ureteric injury [1]. Conventional RATx is, however, underutilized because of its invasiveness. The laparoscopic approach has now become commonplace in many urological diseases' management, decreasing the morbidity of RATx [2]. The current gold standard approach is a laparoscopic nephrectomy followed by open auto-transplantation [3]. Robot-assisted RATx (R-RATx) is a recent innovative application

of the robotic surgery platform for severe ureteric strictures' management. In this case, we report the first use of total intra-corporeal robotic RATx outside of North America. This work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [4].

2. Presentation of case

A 30 year-old women presented with an extensive trauma from a high kinetic energy car crash. On admission, clinical examination revealed an abdominal trauma with haemorrhagic shock. The initial lesion CT scan report liver laceration, splenic laceration, dissection of the abdominal aorta, rupture of the superior mesenteric artery with haemorrhagic disinsertion of the mesentery, massive rupture of abdominal muscles. She had undergone 2 median laparotomies with an extensive resection of small intestine and right colectomy and 1 transversal laparotomy to fix a massive rupture of abdominal muscles due to the safety belt. After few days, a flow through the abdominal wall made suspect an urinoma. A new abdominal CT scan was performed and revealed a lesion of the left ureter with retro-peritoneal urinoma. A left retrograde

* Corresponding author at: Urology and Renal Transplantation Department, University Hospital of Rangueil, Toulouse, France.

E-mail addresses: doumerc.n@chu-toulouse.fr (N. Doumerc), beauval.jb@chu-toulouse.fr (J.-B. Beauval), roumiguie.m@chu-toulouse.fr (M. Roumigué), roulette.p@chu-toulouse.fr (P. Roulette), laclergerie.f@chu-toulouse.fr (F. Laclergerie), sallusto.f@chu-toulouse.fr (F. Sallusto), soulie.m@chu-toulouse.fr (M. Soulié), game.x@chu-toulouse.fr (X. Gamé), cbiscans@gmail.com (C. Biscans).



Fig. 1. Retrograde and antegrade opacification showing an extensive upper left ureter defect (nephrostomy and per-cutaneous drain in place).

uretero-pyelography and pyelography were performed and found an extensive defect of the left ureter (Fig. 1). Nephrostomy and percutaneous drainage were done in emergency. The patient had a nephrostomy for two months. The patient was counselled on management options, including nephrectomy or RATx. Reconstruction using ileal interposition was not possible in this case because the small intestine was too short after the first intervention. The preoperative serum creatinine was $60 \mu\text{mol/L}$. Institutional review board approval was gained, along with the patient's consent to undergo the procedure.

3. Surgical technique

The patient was placed in right lateral decubitus position for a robotic donor nephrectomy. The procedure was performed via a transperitoneal approach, with the assistance of the da Vinci Si HD robot (Intuitive Surgical Inc. Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The left kidney harvesting was conducted entirely robotically, using a 4 robotic arm approach. Five ports were used: a 12 mm camera port at the junction between the umbilicus and the left costal edge, an 8 mm port in the left upper quadrant, an 8 mm in the left lower quadrant, an 8 mm port in the left axillary line and a 12 mm in periumbilical area for the assistant.




Fig. 2. Renal artery perfusion with a continuous ice-cold lactated ringer solution. (Edwards lifesciences®, Fogarty®, REF12TLW805F35).

3.1. First step: left kidney harvest

As expected, extensive adhesiolysis was necessary to clear the surgical field. The nephrectomy was difficult because of the severe desmoplastic changes due to urinoma. Before dividing the renal vessels, a Fogarty (Edwards Lifesciences®, Fogarty®, REF: 12TLW805F35) was introduced through the 8 mm port in preparation for intracorporeal hypothermic renal perfusion, and renal pelvis dissection and spatulation was performed. After this preparation, the renal artery and vein was then transected above the clips. The kidney was perfused with a continuous ice-cold lactated Ringer solution, under gravity, until clear effluent was observed from the renal vein (Fig. 2). The warm ischemia time was 3 min.

3.2. Second step: left kidney robotic transplantation in the left iliac fossa

The patient was repositioned in dorsal decubitus with steep Trendelenburg, and the da Vinci Si HD robot was docked between the legs. The same ports were utilized for the Robot-assisted Kidney Transplantation (RAKT). A RAKT was performed using the standard technique previously described by Breda et al. from the European Robotic Urology Section (ERUS) group [5,6]. We chose to implant the kidney on the left side to minimize adhesiolysis that would be needed on the right side and to re-use the same ports. The kidney was continuously cooled with a saline solution prior to transplantation. Vascular anastomosis time was 31 min. The native distal ureter was dissected to allow a tension-free anastomosis with the renal pelvis. A double-J stent, 6 fr-24 cm was positioned intracorporeally to protect the anastomosis (Fig. 5).

4. Results

Total operative time was 300 min: 150 min to harvest the kidney including adhesiolysis, 20 min to reposition the patient, and 130 min for the RAKT. The total ischemia time was 96 min (3 min of warm ischemia, no cold ischemia, 93 min of rewarming time). The estimated blood loss was 150 mL. The follow-up was normal and Doppler ultrasonography on postoperative day (POD) 1 was normal as well. Bladder catheter was removed at POD 2 and the patient was discharged on POD 3. The creatinine at POD 3 was $62 \mu\text{mol/L}$. A DMSA renal scintigraphy 3 weeks after the RAKT, demonstrated




Fig. 3. Robot assisted left kidney transplantation in left iliac fossa.




Fig. 4. DMSA scintigraphy at week 3 (Right kidney: 60%, autotransplant kidney: 40%).



Fig. 5. Skin incisions at the end of the procedure (14 Fr drain).

stable renal function (right kidney: 60%, auto-transplant kidney: 40%) (Fig. 3).

5. Discussion

We reported the fourth R-RATx in the world and the first case outside of North America. Moreover, this is the first R-RATx case successfully performed after high kinetic trauma. Conventional

approach requires a large incision to harvest the kidney and a second pelvic incision for renal transplantation into the iliac fossa. This surgery is limited because the morbidity is significant and the convalescence is long [6]. Laparoscopic procedure for harvesting the kidney with extraction through a periumbilical incision and conventional renal transplantation is a solution for a mini-invasive approach in RATx [7,8]. However, robotic technology enables us to perform RATx with intracorporeal manipulation and could decrease the morbidity of this surgery.

In 2014, Gordon et al. published the first case of completely intra-corporeal R-RATx in a 56-year-old male with a major left ureteral loss after endoscopic treatment of an obstructive stone. The warm ischemia time was 2.3 min [9]. The kidney was immediately perfused after clamping with ice-cold lactated Ringer solution under gravity. This solution was chosen because of its lack of peritoneal toxicity. The RAKT was performed according to the technique of Oberholze et al. [10]. No postoperative complications were observed and kidney function was assessed by Doppler ultrasound and intravenous urogram.

A year later, Lee et al. performed the second case of total intracorporeal R-RATx in a 38-year-old female with a 3.5 cm ureteropelvic junction stenosis (failed laparoscopic pyeloplasty) [11]. The kidney was perfused intraperitoneally with a HKT ice cooled solution. Warm ischemia time was 4 min, cold ischemia time was 48 min and re-warming time was 27 min. Total operative time was 390 min. Renal scintigraphy at 3 months was comparable to preoperatively.

Araki et al. reported the first R-RATx case outside of North America in 2017 [12]. A 38-year-old female patient underwent a transperitoneal R-RATx for a 2.7 cm ureteral stricture. However, the kidney was removed outside through a Gelport™ device and perfused with Euro-Collins ice-cold solution. The warm ischemia time was 4 min 5 s. Secondly, the RAKT was performed after repositioning the patient and re-docking the robot. The console time was 507 min. The postoperative course was uneventful.

One of the limitations in this case was the use of lactated Ringer solution to perfuse the kidney. We were concerned about the reaction between some of the recommended solutions and the peritoneum. The second limitation was not using sludge-ice to cool the kidney intracorporeally. Sterile sludge ice was not available in our center at the time. However, this issue didn't appear to dramatically impact the kidney function on the DMSA renal scintigraphy.

6. Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first case successfully performed as a total robotic approach outside of North America [9,11] (Fig. 4). This report demonstrates that complete intracorporeal ATx is a feasible novel surgical procedure for kidney preservation following a major ureteral injury. Proper case selection and experience in robotic surgery are critical to safely reproduce this challenging procedure.

Conflicts of interest

Dr Doumerc: Intuitive Surgical Inc. Sunnyvale, CA, USA.

Sources of funding

No Source.

Ethical approval

The study is exempt from ethical approval by our institution.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request.

Author contribution

Doctor Doumerc Nicolas: Surgeon, study concept and writing the paper.

Doctor Beauval Jean-baptiste: contributors.

Doctor Roumigué Mathieu: contributors.

Doctor Roulette Pauline: contributors.

Doctor Laclergerie Florian: contributors.

Doctor Sallusto Federico: contributors.

Professor Soulié Michel: contributors.

Professor Gamé Xavier: contributors.

Mr Biscans Clément: assistant and writing the paper.

Registration of research studies

N/A.

Guarantor

Doctor Doumerc Nicolas.

References

- [1] J.D. Hardy, S. Eraslan, Autotransplantation of the kidney for high ureteral injury, *J. Urol.* 90 (1963) 563–574.
- [2] G. Tran, K. Ramaswamy, T. Chi, et al., Laparoscopic nephrectomy with autotransplantation: safety, efficacy and long-term durability, *J. Urol.* 194 (2015) 738–743.
- [3] B. Azhar, S. Patel, P. Chadha, et al., Indications for renal autotransplant: an overview, *Exp. Clin. Transplant.* 13 (2015) 109–114.
- [4] R.A. Agha, A.J. Fowler, A. Saeta, et al., The SCARE statement: consensus-based surgical case report guidelines, *Int. J. Surg.* 34 (2016) 180–186.
- [5] A. Breda, A. Territo, L. Gausa, et al., Robot-assisted kidney transplantation: the European experience, *Eur. Urol.* 73 (2018) 273–281.
- [6] M. Menon, A. Sood, M. Bhandari, et al., Robotic kidney transplantation with regional hypothermia: a step-by-step description of the Vattikuti Urology Institute-Medanta technique (IDEAL phase 2a), *Eur. Urol.* 65 (2014) 991–1000.
- [7] M.D. Fabrizio, L.R. Kavoussi, S. Jackman, et al., Laparoscopic nephrectomy for autotransplantation, *Urology* 55 (2000) 145.
- [8] M.V. Meng, C.E. Freise, M.L. Stoller, Expanded experience with laparoscopic nephrectomy and autotransplantation for severe ureteral injury, *J. Urol.* 169 (2003) 1363–1367.
- [9] Z.N. Gordon, J. Angell, R. Abaza, Completely intracorporeal robotic renal autotransplantation, *J. Urol.* 192 (2014) 1516–1522.
- [10] J. Oberholzer, P. Giulianotti, K.K. Danielson, et al., Minimally invasive robotic kidney transplantation for obese patients previously denied access to transplantation, *Am. J. Transplant.* 13 (2013) 721–728.
- [11] J.Y. Lee, T. Alzahrani, M. Ordon, Intra-corporeal robotic renal auto-transplantation, *Can. Urol. Assoc. J.* 9 (2015) E748–749.
- [12] M. Araki, K. Wada, Y. Mitsui, et al., Robotic renal autotransplantation: first case outside of North America, *Acta Med. Okayama* 71 (2017) 351–355.

Open Access

This article is published Open Access at [sciencedirect.com](https://www.sciencedirect.com). It is distributed under the [IJSCR Supplemental terms and conditions](#), which permits unrestricted non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.