RMD

Rheumatic & Musculoskeletal Diseases

pen

SHORT REPORT

Inflammation and biologic therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis achieving versus not achieving ACR/ **EULAR Boolean remission in a treat-to**target study

Nina Paulshus Sundlisæter ,¹ Ulf Sundin ,¹ Anna-Birgitte Aga,¹ Joseph Sexton,¹ Hilde Berner Hammer ,^{1,2} Till Uhlig ,^{1,2} Tore K Kvien ,^{1,2} Espen A Haavardsholm,^{1,2} Siri Lillegraven¹

ABSTRACT

To cite: Paulshus Sundlisæter N, Sundin U, Aga A-B, et al. Inflammation and biologic therapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis achieving versus not achieving ACR/ EULAR Boolean remission in a treat-to-target study. RMD Open 2022;8:e002013. doi:10.1136/ rmdopen-2021-002013

Received 7 October 2021 Accepted 8 January 2022

Check for updates

C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Division of Rheumatology and Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to

Dr Nina Paulshus Sundlisæter; ninasundlisater@gmail.com

Objective To investigate limiting factors of American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/EULAR Boolean remission in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and compare patients who fulfil the criteria to patients who only partly fulfil the criteria, with respect to imaging inflammation and biologic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) usage. Methods Patients with DMARD-naïve RA were treated according to current recommendations in the the ARCTIC trial (Aiming for Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised trial examining the benefit of ultrasound in a Clinical Tlaht Control regimen). Limiting factors of reaching ACR/EULAR Boolean remission at 2 years were assessed. Imaging inflammation (ultrasound and MRI) in patients in remission was compared with patients failing to fulfil different components of the criteria. The OR of biologic therapy was calculated using logistic regression. Results Of 203 patients, 112 (55%) reached ACR/EULAR Boolean remission; 49 (24%) fulfilled three of four criteria. The main limiting factors were patient global assessment (PGA) (59%) and tender joints (22%). Imaging inflammation was not significantly different for patients in remission and patients not fulfilling the criteria due to elevated PGA and/ or tender joints, but higher odds of using biologics (OR 3.63, 95% Cl 1.73 to 7.61) were observed.

Conclusions PGA and tender joints were the factors most often limiting achievement of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission. The level of imaging inflammation was not elevated in these patients compared with patients in remission, but the odds of using biologic DMARDs were higher.

INTRODUCTION

Frequent monitoring of disease activity and treatment tailored towards a defined target is essential in management of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). No single disease activity marker reflects all aspects of the inflammatory process, and composite scores

Key messages

What is already known about this subject?

Remission is the preferred target for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, with American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/EULAR Boolean remission being one of the most stringent remission definitions. It is debated how to interpret near-remission for ACR/ EULAR Boolean criteria, especially when due to increased patient global assessment or tender joint count.

What does this study add?

- ▶ The results show that inflammation measured by ultrasound or MRI was not significantly different between patients with early rheumatoid arthritis missing fulfilment of remission due to patient global assessment and/or tender joints compared to those in full remission after two years of targeted therapy.
- Compared to patients fulfilling the remission criteria, the use of biologic therapy was significantly higher in patients who had not reached the treatment target.

How might this impact on clinical practice or further developments?

Our results support the ongoing work to identify the ideal definition of remission where small adjustments could enable a more individualised treatment target.

have been developed to improve the ability to evaluate the disease course.¹²

In 2011, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and the EULAR developed remission criteria with the purpose of defining a disease state associated with optimised radiographic and functional outcomes.³ The Boolean criteria require swollen and tender

joints counts, C reactive protein (CRP, mg/dL) and patient global assessment (PGA, 0–10 visual analogue scale (VAS) scale) to be $\leq 1,^3$ making this definition sensitive for isolated elevations in one of the four components. PGA has been shown to be the most frequent limiting factor for reaching ACR/EULAR Boolean remission in established RA.^{4 5} However, PGA was found to improve the discriminatory ability of the remission criteria, supporting that the construct might reflect inflammatory activity not caught by the other measures.³

Not achieving remission solely due to PGA often presents a challenge in interpretation of the composite scores as non-inflammatory factors (eg, joint damage, fibromyalgia, fatigue and depression) could strongly impact its elevation.⁶⁷ It has also been discussed if tender joint count potentially overestimates disease activity as it might represent erosive damage and pain sensitisation,⁶⁸ although in early disease tender joints might be more related to inflammation.⁹¹⁰

The aim of this study was to assess which components of the ACR/EULAR Boolean criteria that most often limit achievement of remission in early RA, and to quantify the extent of imaging inflammation and use of biologic therapy in patients failing to fulfil different combinations of the ACR/EULAR Boolean remission criteria, compared with patients in remission.

METHODS

Patients and study design

Patients with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD)-naïve early RA fulfilling the 2010 ACR/ EULAR classification criteria were included in the ARCTIC trial (Aiming for Remission in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised trial examining the benefit of ultrasound in a Clinical TIght Control regimen).¹¹ Patients were followed by a tight control regime with 13 visits during the 2-year follow-up. Treatment was adjusted according to a predefined algorithm aiming for Disease Activity Score remission and no swollen joints, with an additional target of ultrasound remission in half of the patients. A biologic DMARD could not be prescribed without objective signs of active inflammation.¹¹ Data were pooled for the current analyses as previous results indicated no differences between the two study groups.¹¹ The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were not involved in study design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans.

Examinations

Clinical examination included swollen joint count (SJC, 0–44) and Ritchie Articular Index.¹² Laboratory tests, the physician's and patient's global assessment on a VAS (0–10), fatigue VAS (0–10) and physical function assessed by the Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System (PROMIS) were evaluated.¹³ Remission at the 2-year visit was defined by the ACR/ EULAR Boolean remission with SJC ≤ 1 of 44, Ritchie

Articular Index ≤ 1 , CRP ≤ 1 and PGA ≤ 1 . At this time point, ultrasound examination of 32 joints and MRI of the dominant hand and wrist were performed in all patients. Ultrasound inflammation was scored as grey scale (GS) synovitis and power Doppler (PD) activity according to a semi-quantitative scale (0–3) by trained physicians using an atlas for reference.¹⁴ The Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials Rheumatoid Arthritis MRI Scoring system was used for scoring of MRI inflammation.¹⁵

Statistical analysis

Patients with complete clinical data at the 2-year visit were included. Characteristics at baseline and 2years were described as proportions and medians (25th, 75th percentile). The proportion of patients fulfilling ACR/ EULAR Boolean remission and the proportion fulfilling three out of the four remission criteria were calculated. In the latter cases, the component limiting achievement of remission was identified. We compared patient characteristics and clinical data of patients in complete ACR/ EULAR Boolean remission to those who did not achieve remission due to elevated PGA and/or tender joints, and to patients with either SJC or CRP scored above the cut-off without restrictions on tender joints and PGA. χ^2 test and Wilcoxon rank sum test were used for comparisons as appropriate. MRI scores were compared using median regression, dealing with missing values through multiple imputation. We investigated the odds of biologic treatment at the 2-year visit using logistic regression with patients in remission as the reference group. Sensitivity analyses using ACR/EULAR Boolean remission based on 28 joints were performed.

RESULTS

Of the 203 patients, 62% were women and the median symptom duration was 5 months at study initiation (table 1). At the 2-year visit, 112 patients (55%) were in ACR/EULAR Boolean remission.

Limiting factors of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission

ACR/EULAR Boolean remission was not reached by 91/203 (45%) patients, of which 49 failed fulfilment of only one of the four components (figure 1). PGA was the major limiting factor (n=29, 59%), with a median (IQR) PGA of 3.1 (2.0, 4.4) in these patients. Scores were equally distributed between the two treatment groups from the initial randomised trial. The 11 (23%) patients with elevated tender joints had a median Ritchie Articular Index of 2.0 (2.0, 4.0), while in the patients with either swollen joints (n=3, 6%) or CRP (n=6, 12%) as the only limiting factor the median were 2.0 (2.0, 5.0) and 1.5 (1.1, 2.3), respectively. Analyses of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission based on the 28 joint count revealed similar results.

Table 1	Baseline characteristics	Values	are	median	(IQR)
unless ot	herwise stated				

Demographics	n=203
Female, n (%)	125 (61.6)
Age, years	54.1 (42.0, 62.9)
Symptom duration, months	5.4 (2.8, 10.5)
Positive for ACPA, n (%)	165 (81.3)
Positive for RF, n (%)	140 (69.0)
Disease activity	
Disease activity score	3.3 (2.6, 4.1)
Swollen joint count (0–44)	9.0 (4.0, 14.0)
Ritchie Articular Index (0–78)	6.0 (3.0, 12.0)
C reactive protein, mg/dL	0.7 (0.3, 1.8)
Patient's global assessment, VAS (0–10 mm)*	4.9 (2.8, 6.9)
Physician's global assessment, VAS (0–10 mm)*	3.5 (2.3, 5.4)
PROMIS physical function (12.1–62.5)	39.8 (33.3, 45.3)
Fatigue, VAS (0–10 mm)*	3.7 (1.3, 6.3)
Ultrasound grey-scale score (0–96)	19.0 (10.0, 28.0)
Ultrasound power Doppler score (0–96)	7.0 (3.0, 14.0)
MRI RAMRIS synovitis (0–21)	6.0 (4.0, 10.0)
MRI RAMRIS bone marrow oedema (0–75)	1.0 (0.0, 6.0)
MRI RAMRIS tenosynovitis (0–42)	5.0 (2.0, 10.0)

*These variables were assessed on a VAS 0–100 mm, but converted to the more commonly used VAS 0–10 mm scale. ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptides; PROMIS, Patient reported Outcome Measurement Information Score Short Form v1.0—Physical Function 20a (reported as T-scores); RAMRIS, Rheumatoid Arthritis MRI Scoring System; RF, rheumatoid factor; VAS, visual analogue scale.

Characteristics of patients in ACR/EULAR Boolean remission compared with patients not fulfilling the criteria

PGA, tender joints or both of these components were elevated in 61 of the 91 patients not achieving remission. Twenty-nine patients had either SJC >1 or CRP >1 (no restrictions on the PGA and tender joints), while only one patient failed to meet any of the four criteria. Patients missing fulfilment of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission due to PGA and/or tender joints had slightly, but significantly, higher SJC and physician global score compared with those fulfilling remission (table 2). Patients not fulfilling the remission criteria reported more fatigue and impaired physical function compared with those in remission, with the fatigue score especially high in those missing remission due to PGA and/or tender joints (table 2).

Imaging inflammation and use of biologic therapy

Inflammation measured by ultrasound or MRI was not significantly different for patients not fulfilling remission due to PGA and/or tender joints compared with patients





in remission. In patients not fulfilling the remission criteria with either CRP or swollen joints scored above the cut-off, there was higher median ultrasound GS and PD scores compared with patients in remission (table 2), while no significant difference was observed for the MRI scores. In the group fulfilling the ACR/EULAR Boolean remission criteria, 14% were on biologic therapy. In comparison, 38% received biologic therapy in the group with elevated PGA and/or tender joints and 35% in the group with either CRP or swollen joints scored above the cut-off. This corresponds to an OR (95% CI) of 3.63 (1.73 to 7.61) for using biologic therapy in those missing remission due to PGA and/or tender joints and 3.16 (1.24 to 8.01) in those with CRP or SJC elevation (table 2).

DISCUSSION

PGA and tender joints were the components most often limiting achievement of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission in patients with early RA treated according to current recommendations, while few patients had swollen joints or elevated CRP. In patients who failed to meet these more subjective components of the criteria, the level of imaging inflammation measured by ultrasound and MRI was not elevated compared with patients in full remission, but the use of biologic therapy was higher.

The findings support that patient reported outcomes might limit fulfilment of ACR/EULAR remission in some patients without active inflammation assessed by inflammatory markers, swollen joints and imaging.^{7 16 17}

Table 2	Characteristics of patients	in ACR/EULAR Boolean	remission at 2	years compared with	patients missing full	filment of
remissior	due to PGA and/or tender	joints, and patients with	n either swollen j	joints or CRP above o	out-off*	

	ACR/EULAR Boolean remission (ref), n=112	44SJC ≤1 & CRP ≤1 +PGA >1 and/or tender joints >1, n=61	P value for comparison to reference group	44SJC >1 or CRP >1 (no restrictions on tender joints and PGA), n=29	P value for comparison to reference group
Age, years	53.6 (41.1, 63.4)	53.3 (43, 61.2)	0.77	59.4 (51.9, 64.6)	0.08
Female, n (%)	65 (58.0)	44 (72.1)	0.07	16 (55.2)	0.78
Positive for ACPA, n (%)	88 (78.6)	53 (86.9)	0.18	23.0 (79.3)	0.93
Positive for RF, n (%)	74 (66.1)	43 (70.5)	0.55	22 (75.9)	0.31
Swollen joint count (0-44)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.0 (0.0, 1.0)	<0.001	2.0 (0.0, 4.0)	<0.001
Ritchie Articular Index (0–78)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	2.0 (0.0, 3.0)	<0.001	2.0 (0.0, 5.0)	<0.001
C reactive protein, mg/dL	0.2 (0.1, 0.4)	0.3 (0.1, 0.4)	0.50	0.6 (0.4, 1.3)	<0.001
Patient's global assessment, VAS (0–10)†	0.3 (0.1, 0.5)	2.7 (1.6, 4.2)	<0.001	2.2 (0.9, 5.0)	<0.001
Physician's global assessment, VAS (0–10)†	0.2 (0.1, 0.8)	0.8 (0.4, 1.2)	<0.001	1.8 (0.5, 3.0)	<0.001
PROMIS physical function (12.1–62.5)	62.5 (50.0, 62.5)	45.3 (40.2, 50.0)	<0.001	44.2 (38.9, 51.2)	<0.001
Fatigue, VAS (0-10)†	0.4 (0.1, 1.2)	3.4 (1.7, 5.0)	<0.001	1.0 (0.7, 5.5)	<0.001
Ultrasound power Doppler score (0–96)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0)	0.75	0.0 (0.0, 4.0)	<0.001
Ultrasound Grey Scale score (0–96)	3.0 (1.0, 5.5)	3.0 (0.0, 6.0)	0.69	6.0 (4.0, 11.0)	<0.001
MRI RAMRIS synovitis (0–21)	4.0 (2.0, 5.6)	4.0 (1.9, 5.0)	1.00	4.1 (2.0, 6.6)	0.92
MRI RAMRIS bone marrow oedema (0–75)	1.0 (0.0, 2.7)	1.0 (0.0, 2.4)	1.00	2.0 (0.0, 3.0)	0.09
MRI RAMRIS tenosynovitis (0–42)	1.0 (0.0, 2.6)	1.0 (0.0, 2.0)	1.00	2.0 (0.0, 5.9)	0.14
Biologic treatment, n (%)	16 (14.3)	23 (37.7)	<0.001	10 (34.5)	0.012
Any intra-articular injections, n (%)‡	87 (77.7)	54 (88.5)	0.08	25 (86.2)	0.31
Total prednisolone dose (mg)‡§	607.5 (455.0, 825.0)	605.0 (435.0, 890.0)	0.85	735.0 (445.0, 1887.5)	0.11
Any NSAID use, n (%)‡	54 (48.2)	28 (45.9)	0.77	15 (51.7)	0.74
Patients with adverse events, n (%)‡	82 (73.2)	58 (95.1)	<0.001	25 (86.2)	0.15
Patients with serious adverse events, n (%)‡	5 (4.5)	1 (1.6)	0.33	2 (6.9)	0.59

Values are median (IQR) unless otherwise stated.

*One patient who failed to meet all of the four ACR/EULAR Boolean remission criteria is not included in these comparisons.

†These variables were assessed on a VAS 0–100 mm, but converted to the more commonly used VAS 0–10 mm scale.

‡Over 24 months.

§Cumulative dose per patient.

ACPA, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptides; ACR, American College of Rheumatology; CRP, C reactive protein; NSAID, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; PGA, patient global assessment; PROMIS, Patient reported Outcome Measurement Information Score Short Form v1.0—Physical Function 20a (reported as T-scores); RAMRIS, Rheumatoid Arthritis MRI Scoring System; RF, rheumatoid factor; SJC, swollen joint count; VAS, visual analogue scale.

A recent study has proposed a modified threshold (cutoff of ≤ 2) for PGA in the ACR/EULAR Boolean criteria,¹⁸ and a meta-analysis shows that a Boolean-based remission definition excluding the PGA yielded similar prediction of future good radiographic outcome as the original definition.¹⁹ The latter study proposed that this alternative remission definition might prevent unnecessary and potential harmful therapy escalations. In our study, significantly more patients who had not achieved remission were using biologic therapy compared with those in remission. When treating RA to target, further escalation of DMARDs is most likely inappropriate in the patients without signs of active inflammation. Communication of realistic goals for the disease modifying intervention is important early in the disease course, as is identification of non-pharmaceutical interventions necessary for the individual patient. $^{5\,20}$

The number of patients in near-remission was low, with especially few patients failing fulfilment of remission due to elevated CRP or SJC. We could therefore not perform comparative analyses assessing patients failing one of the four components separately. The lack of a formal tender joint count is a limitation, while the opportunity to compare inflammation assessed by imaging between patients in remission and close to remission strengthens the study. Due to the stringent treatment algorithm, our dataset was not suitable for exploring disease activity at biologic DMARD introduction.

In conclusion, PGA and tender joints most frequently limited achievement of ACR/EULAR Boolean remission in this early RA treat-to-target study with high remission rates. The level of inflammation assessed by imaging was not elevated in patients failing to fulfil these more subjective components compared with patients in full ACR/EULAR Boolean remission, but the use of biologic DMARDs was significantly higher. Further research is still needed to define more individualised targets suited to guide treatment.

Twitter Ulf Sundin @UlfSundin

Contributors All authors were involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content and approved the final manuscript to be submitted and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. A-BA, HBH, TU, TKK, EAH and SL contributed to conception and design of the ARCTIC study. A-BA, HBH, TU and EAH contributed to acquisition of data. NPS, US, A-BA, JS, HBH, TU, TKK, EAH and SL contributed to analysis and interpretation of data.

Funding The ARCTIC study has received grants from the Norwegian Research Council, the South-East Health Region in Norway, the Norwegian Rheumatism Association, the Norwegian Women's public Health Association and unrestricted grant support form AbbVie, Pfizer, MSD, Roche and UCB. The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Competing interests NPS reports support from The South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority for the present manuscript; A-BA reports support from The Norwegian Rheumatism Association, The Norwegian Research Council, The Norwegian South-Eastern health Region, The Norwegian Women's Public health Association, Pfizer, UCB, AbbVie, Roche and MSD for the present manuscript, personal fees from Abbvie, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, outside the submitted work; HBH reports personal fees from AbbVie, Novartis and Lilly, outside the submitted work; TU reports consulting fees from Lilly and Pfizer outside the submitted work; TKK reports grants from AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer and UCB outside the submitted work, personal fees from AbbVie, Amgen, Biogen, Celltrion, Egis, Evapharma, Ewopharma, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Hikma, Mylan, Novartis, Oktal, Pfizer, Sandoz and Sanofi outside the submitted work, and has sat Advisory Board for AbbVie and Mylan; EAH reports grants from The Research Council of Norway, grants from The South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority, investigator initiated research grants from AbbVie, UCB Pharma, Pfizer, MSD Norway and Roche Norway during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Pfizer, from AbbVie, personal fees from Celgene, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Janssen, personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from Eli-Lilly, personal fees from UCB, outside the submitted work; SL reports grants from The South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority for the present manuscript.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by the regional Committee for Medical and Health research ethics south-east; reference number 2010/744. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which

permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Nina Paulshus Sundlisæter http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1295-0172 Ulf Sundin http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1860-6150 Hilde Berner Hammer http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7317-8991 Till Uhlig http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6881-9552 Tore K Kvien http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8441-3093

REFERENCES

- Aletaha D, Smolen JS. The simplified disease activity index (SDAI) and clinical disease activity index (CDAI) to monitor patients in standard clinical care. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2007;21:663–75.
- 2 Smolen JS, Aletaha D, McInnes IB. Rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* 2016;388:2023–38.
- 3 Felson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G, et al. American College of Rheumatology/European League against rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011;70:404–13.
- 4 Studenic P, Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Near misses of ACR/EULAR criteria for remission: effects of patient global assessment in Boolean and index-based definitions. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:1702–5.
- 5 Ferreira RJO, Dougados M, Kirwan JR, et al. Drivers of patient global assessment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who are close to remission: an analysis of 1588 patients. *Rheumatology* 2017;56:1573–8.
- 6 Pollard LC, Kingsley GH, Choy EH, et al. Fibromyalgic rheumatoid arthritis and disease assessment. *Rheumatology* 2010;49:924–8.
- 7 Tymms K, Zochling J, Scott J, *et al.* Barriers to optimal disease control for rheumatoid arthritis patients with moderate and high disease activity. *Arthritis Care Res* 2014;66:190–6.
- 8 Hammer HB, Michelsen B, Sexton J, *et al.* Swollen, but not tender joints, are independently associated with ultrasound synovitis: results from a longitudinal observational study of patients with established rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78:1179–85.
 9 Paulshus Sundlisater N, Aga A-B, Olsen IC, *et al.* Joint tenderness
- 9 Paulshus Sundlisater N, Aga A-B, Olsen IC, et al. Joint tenderness and ultrasound inflammation in DMARD-naïve patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:1493–4.
- 10 GessI I, Popescu M, Schimpl V, et al. Role of joint damage, malalignment and inflammation in articular tenderness in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2021;80:884–90.
- 11 Haavardsholm EA, Aga A-B, Olsen IC, et al. Ultrasound in management of rheumatoid arthritis: Arctic randomised controlled strategy trial. *BMJ* 2016;354:i4205.
- 12 Ritchie DM, Boyle JA, McInnes JM, *et al.* Clinical studies with an articular index for the assessment of joint tenderness in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Q J Med* 1968;37:393–406.
- 13 Hays RD, Spritzer KL, Fries JF, et al. Responsiveness and minimally important difference for the patient-reported outcomes measurement information system (PROMIS) 20-item physical functioning short form in a prospective observational study of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:104–7.
- 14 Hammer HB, Bolton-King P, Bakkeheim V, et al. Examination of intra and interrater reliability with a new ultrasonographic reference atlas for scoring of synovitis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1995–8.
- 15 Østergaard M, Peterfy CG, Bird P, et al. The OMERACT rheumatoid arthritis magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scoring system: updated recommendations by the OMERACT MRI in arthritis Working group. *J Rheumatol* 2017;44:1706–12.
- 16 Inanc N, Yilmaz-Oner S, Can M, et al. The role of depression, anxiety, fatigue, and fibromyalgia on the evaluation of the remission status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2014;41:1755–60.
- 17 Brites L, Rovisco J, Costa F, et al. High patient global assessment scores in patients with rheumatoid arthritis otherwise in remission do not reflect subclinical inflammation. *Joint Bone Spine* 2021;88:105242.
- 18 Studenic P, Felson D, de Wit M, et al. Testing different thresholds for patient global assessment in defining remission for rheumatoid arthritis: are the current ACR/EULAR Boolean criteria optimal? Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:445–52.

RMD Open

- 19 Ferreira RJO, Welsing PMJ, Jacobs JWG, *et al.* Revisiting the use of remission criteria for rheumatoid arthritis by excluding patient global assessment: an individual meta-analysis of 5792 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217171. [Epub ahead of print: 06 Oct 2020].
- 20 van Tuyl LHD, Hewlett S, Sadlonova M, *et al.* The patient perspective on remission in rheumatoid arthritis: 'You've got limits, but you're back to being you again'. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1004–10.

പ്പ