



# Article Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients Treated with Standard Dose of Dexamethasone or High Dose of Methylprednisolone

Alessandro Russo<sup>1,\*</sup>, Chiara Davoli<sup>1</sup>, Cristian Borrazzo<sup>2</sup>, Vincenzo Olivadese<sup>1</sup>, Giancarlo Ceccarelli<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Fusco<sup>1</sup>, Alessandro Lazzaro<sup>2</sup>, Rosaria Lionello<sup>1</sup>, Marco Ricchio<sup>1</sup>, Francesca Serapide<sup>1</sup>, Bruno Tassone<sup>1</sup>, Elio Gentilini Cacciola<sup>2</sup>, Claudio Maria Mastroianni<sup>2</sup>, Carlo Torti<sup>1</sup>, Gabriella d'Ettorre<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Infectious and Tropical Disease Unit, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, "Magna Graecia" University of Catanzaro, 88100 Catanzaro, Italy; chiara.davoli93@gmail.com (C.D.); olivadesevincenzo.95@gmail.com (V.O.); paolofusco89@gmail.com (P.F.); rosarialionello0@gmail.com (R.L.); marco.ricchio@libero.it (M.R.); francescaserapide@gmail.com (F.S.); tassonebruno89@gmail.com (B.T.); torti@unicz.it (C.T.); em.trecarichi@unicz.it (E.M.T.)
- <sup>2</sup> Infectious and Tropical Disease Unit, Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, "Sapienza" University of Rome, 00185 Roma, Italy; cristian.borrazzo@uniroma1.it (C.B.); giancarlo.ceccarelli@uniroma1.it (G.C.); alessandro1lazzaro@gmail.com (A.L.); gentilini.1979701@studenti.uniroma1.it (E.G.C.); claudio.mastroianni@uniroma1.it (C.M.M.); gabriella.dettorre@uniroma1.it (G.d.)
- Correspondence: a.russo@unicz.it

Abstract: The hyperinflammatory phase represents the main cause for the clinical worsening of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), leading to the hypothesis that steroid therapy could be a mainstream treatment in COVID-19 patients. This is an observational study including all consecutive patients admitted to two Italian University Hospitals for COVID-19 from March 2020 to December 2021. The aim of this study was to describe clinical characteristics and outcome parameters of hospitalized COVID-19 patients treated with dexamethasone 6 mg once daily (standard-dose group) or methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily (high-dose group). The primary outcome was the impact of these different steroid treatments on 30-day mortality. During the study period, 990 patients were evaluated: 695 (70.2%) receiving standard dosage of dexamethasone and 295 (29.8%) receiving a high dose of methylprednisolone. Cox regression analysis showed that chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (HR 1.98, CI95% 1.34–9.81, p = 0.002), chronic kidney disease (HR 5.21, CI95% 1.48–22.23, p = 0.001), oncologic disease (HR 2.81, CI95% 1.45–19.8, p = 0.005) and high-flow nasal cannula, continuous positive airway pressure or non-invasive ventilation oxygen therapy (HR 61.1, CI95% 5.12-511.1, p < 0.001) were independently associated with 30-day mortality; conversely, high-dose steroid therapy was associated with survival (HR 0.42, CI95% 0.38–0.86, p = 0.002) at 30 days. Kaplan–Meier curves for 30-day survival displayed a statistically significant better survival rate in patients treated with high-dose steroid therapy (p = 0.018). The results of this study highlighted that the use of high-dose methylprednisolone, compared to dexamethasone 6 mg once daily, in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 may be associated with a significant reduction in mortality.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; dexamethasone; methylprednisolone; mortality

## 1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 infection can progress to a severe respiratory illness that requires hospital care. In a significant percentage of cases, it can progress to a critical disease, ranging from mild respiratory failure to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).



Citation: Russo, A.; Davoli, C.; Borrazzo, C.; Olivadese, V.; Ceccarelli, G.; Fusco, P.; Lazzaro, A.; Lionello, R.; Ricchio, M.; Serapide, F.; et al. Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients Treated with Standard Dose of Dexamethasone or High Dose of Methylprednisolone. *Biomedicines* **2022**, *10*, 1548. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/biomedicines10071548

Academic Editor: Bernhard Ryffel

Received: 2 June 2022 Accepted: 28 June 2022 Published: 29 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). The hyperinflammatory phase, in which an excessive and ineffective immune system activation leads to a massive infiltration of immune cells in the lungs and a systemic overproduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines, represents the main cause for ARDS [1–3] leading to the hypothesis that steroid therapy could be a mainstream treatment for Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

The RECOVERY trial [4] demonstrated for the first time that steroids (dexamethasone in particular) are a standard of care treatment for patients with COVID-19 necessitating oxygen supplementation. However, it is not clear whether a dose of steroids greater than that used in the RECOVERY trial could lead to better outcomes in patients with more severe forms of COVID-19 [5–7].

The aim of this study was to describe clinical characteristics and outcome parameters of hospitalized COVID-19 patients treated with a standard steroid dose (dexamethasone 6 mg once daily) or a higher dose of steroids (methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily). Since the severity of the disease could impact the actual benefit of increasing steroid dose and could, therefore, act as a major confounder, we stratified patients treated with low-flow oxygen therapy and those treated with high-flow nasal cannula, continuous positive airway pressure or non-invasive ventilation (HFNC/CPAP/NIV); furthermore, possible risk factors for 30-day mortality were investigated.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Design and Data Collection

This observational study included all consecutive patients admitted to two Italian University Hospitals: the "Mater Domini" teaching hospital of Catanzaro (Calabria, Southern Italy) and the "Umberto I" teaching hospital of Rome (Lazio, Central Italy), from March 2020 to December 2021.

The Ethics Committees of each participating center approved the study protocol for observational analyses. For the "Umberto I" teaching hospital of Rome, the study was designed as a prospective, and was approved by the local Ethics Committee (n. 5923/2020) with informed consent obtained from each patient included. For the "Mater Domini" teaching hospital of Catanzaro, this study was designed as a retrospective; it was notified to the Ethics Committee of the Calabria Region on 13 May 2020. The study did not require ethical approval by an Ethics Committee as determined by the Italian Drug Agency, note 20 March 2008 (GU Serie Generale no. 76 31 March 2008). The need for written informed consent was waived for patients owing to the retrospective nature of the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Good Clinical Practice standard.

Inclusion criteria were: (1) a positive SARS-CoV-2 real-time polymerase chain reaction test on nasopharyngeal swab, according to WHO recommendations [8]; (2) the need for hospitalization for COVID-19; (3) treatment with steroid therapy.

The following data were extracted from the patients' medical databases of each participating center: demographics, clinical and laboratory findings, comorbidities, microbiologic data, COVID-19 diagnosis date, radiological characteristics of pneumonia, therapies administered, need for oxygen or ventilation support during the hospital stay, length of ICU stay, length of hospital stay and outcome. All patients were followed until discharge or death. Non-invasive respiratory support techniques included HFNC, CPAP and NIV. Management of patients at admission and during hospitalization were previously reported [9].

#### 2.2. Steroid Dosage

Dexamethasone 6 mg once daily (standard-dose therapy) or methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily (high-dose therapy) were used to treat patients based on clinical characteristics and oxygen support, upon the attending physician's clinical judgement and outside predefined protocols [10]. Steroids were administered for at least 10 days, or less in cases of discharge or death. Patients who received a standard dexamethasone dose were evaluated for a switch to a high dose of methylprednisolone (40 mg twice daily) if clinical worsening: these patients were excluded from the final analysis.

#### 2.3. Outcome and Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome was 30-day mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. The Welch's t-tests assuming unequal variances were used for continuous independent variables, while Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test were used for categorical variables when appropriate. The ANOVA test (Welch's analysis of variance) was used to assess group differences for continuous outcomes. Welch's t-tests assuming unequal variances were used for post-hoc comparisons. Results were expressed as the mean and/or median, when appropriate, with standard deviation ( $\pm$ SD) and/or interquartile range (IQR, 25–75%) for non-continuous normally distributed variables (Shapiro–Wilk test) and as a sample (*n*) and percentage (%) for categorical variables. All tests were two-tailed, and a *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Multivariate analysis was used to identify independent predictors of 30-day mortality. Matched bivariate analyses were conducted using a conditional logistic regression model with a stepwise method, incorporating all variables found to be significant at the univariate analysis (p < 0.05). A matched multivariate model was constructed using Cox proportional hazards regression when appropriate, accounting for clustering on matched pairs (with standard steroid dose and those of patients treated with a higher dose of steroid). The final selected model was tested for possible confounding. In addition, 95% confidence intervals were calculated for hazard ratio. Survival was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier curves. All data were analyzed using a commercially available statistical software package (SPSS Statistics for Mac, 25.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

## 3. Results

During the study period, a total of 1054 COVID-19 patients was enrolled. Out of these, 64 patients did not receive any steroid therapy, therefore, according to the inclusion criteria, they were excluded from the analysis. Overall, 990 patients were evaluated: 695 (70.2%) receiving a standard dosage of dexamethasone (6 mg once daily) and 295 (29.8%) receiving a high dose of methylprednisolone (40 mg twice daily).

Table 1 reports the baseline clinical features, demographic information, laboratory values, pharmacological characteristics and outcome of the study population. Median age was 69.3 years (IQR, 58–81) and 45.1% were males. The most frequent comorbidities were cardiovascular disease other than arterial hypertension (34.3%) and arterial hypertension (20.7%), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (21.3%), chronic kidney disease (15.2%), diabetes mellitus type 2 (12.6%) and obesity (10.9%). The most frequent clinical features at the time of hospitalization were fever (59.1%), dyspnoea (44.7%), cough (40.5%), asthenia (34%) and diarrhoea (11.1%). Overall, 671 (67.7%) patients were treated with low-flow oxygen, while 319 (32.3%) received HFNC/CPAP/NIV support. Regarding antibiotics, the most frequently used were: cephalosporins (32%), macrolides (30%), carbapenems (21%). Overall, 198 patients (20%) died at 30 days.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study population.

Variables	All Patients ( $n = 990$ )			
Demographic information				
Age (years), median [IQR 25–75%]	69.3 (58–81)			
Male sex	447 (45.1)			
Comorbidities $[n (\%)]$				
Arterial hypertension	205 (20.7)			
Cardiovascular disease other than arterial hypertension	340 (34.3)			
Obesity	108 (10.9)			
Diabetes mellitus type 2	125 (12.6)			

 Table 1. Cont.

Variables	All Patients ( $n = 990$ )
Chronic kidney disease	151 (15.2)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	211 (21.3)
Oncologic disease	87 (8.7)
Psychiatric disorders	48 (4.8)
Neurologic disorders	60 (6)
Clinical features [ <i>n</i> (%)]	
Fever	586 (59.1)
Cough	401 (40.5)
Dyspnoea	443 (44.7)
Headache	16 (1.6)
Diarrhoea	111 (11.1)
Asthenia	337 (34)
Ageusia	77 (7.7)
Anosmia	89 (9)
Lung function parameters [median (IQR 2	.5–75%)]
Respiratory rate (acts/min)	21 (17–26)
$PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio	314 (216–374)
Laboratory values [median (IQR 25-75	5%)]
Interleukin-6 ( $pg/mL$ )	22 (9-45.3)
D-dimer $(mg/L)$	0.75 (0.4–1.3)
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	520 (451–580)
Lactate dehydrogenase (UI/L)	357 (267-474)
Ferritin (ng/mL)	507 (207.3-867.5)
Platelets count (cells/ $\mu$ L)	213 (163–268.8)
Lymphocytes count (cells/ $\mu$ L)	930 (625–1400)
C-reactive protein $(mg/L)$	11 (4.8–27)
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.08 (0.1–0.2)
Pharmacological Therapy	× ,
Antibiotic	683 (69)
Standard-dose steroid	695 (70.2)
High-dose steroid	295 (29.8)
Remdesivir	270 (27.2)
Tocilizumab	17 (1.7)
Low-weight molecular heparin	479 (48.3)
Oxygen therapy	
Low-flow oxygen	671 (67.7)
HFNC/CPAP/NIV	319 (32.3)
Outcomes	× /
30-day mortality	198 (20)

HFNC—high-flow nasal cannula; NIV—non-invasive ventilation; CPAP—continuous positive airway pressure.

As shown in Table 2, compared to patients who received a high dose, those who received a standard dose of steroids were more likely to be older (71.7 [IQR, 58.5–82.8] vs. 66.3 [IQR 55.3 vs. 80] years, p = 0.001) and male (52.3% vs. 28.1%, p < 0.001), suffered more frequently from a cardiovascular disease other than arterial hypertension (41% vs. 18.6%, p < 0.001), chronic kidney disease (18.2% vs. 8.1%, p < 0.001) and/or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (25.6% vs. 11.1%, p < 0.001), reported asthenia (38.8% vs. 22.7%, p < 0.001), had higher PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio (330 [IQR, 229–386] vs. 286 [IQR, 172–357], p < 0.001) and C-reactive protein levels (11.9 [IQR, 6.7–21.9] vs. 7.9 [IQR, 2.3–37.5] mg/L, p = 0.009); by contrast, they were less likely to suffer from diabetes mellitus (9.6% vs. 19.6%, p < 0.001) and to display dyspnoea (41% vs. 53.5%, p < 0.001).

Regarding pharmacological and oxygen therapies and outcomes (Table 3), remdesivir (15.2% vs. 55.5%, p < 0.001), low-weight molecular heparin (76.9% vs. 36.2%, p < 0.001), antibiotics (78.3% vs. 65%, p = 0.001) and low-flow oxygen (81.6% vs. 61.8%, p < 0.001) were more frequently administered to patients in the high-dose steroid group, compared to the standard-dose group. The overall 30-day mortality rate was significantly higher for

patients in the standard-dose group (166/695, 23.8%) than for those in the high-dose group (32/295, 10.8%; p < 0.001).

Variables	Standard-Dose Steroid ( <i>n</i> = 695)	High-Dose Steroid ( <i>n</i> = 295)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Dem	ographic informatio	n		
Age (years), median [IQR 25–75%]	71.7 (58.5–82.8)	66.3 (55.3-80)	0.001	
Male sex	364 (52.3)	83 (28.1)	< 0.001	
Co	morbidities [n (%)]			
Arterial hypertension	142 (20.4)	63 (21.3)	0.255	
Cardiovascular disease other than	285 (41)	55 (18 6)	<0.001	
Arterial hypertension	203 (41)	55 (16.0)	<0.001	
Obesity	75 (10.8)	33 (11.1)	0.577	
Diabetes mellitus type 2	67 (9.6)	58 (19.6)	< 0.001	
Chronic kidney disease	127 (18.2)	24 (8.1)	< 0.001	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	178 (25.6)	33 (11.1)	<0.001	
Oncologic disease	77 (11)	10 (3.3)	0.052	
Psychiatric disorders	30 (4.3)	18 (6.1)	0.158	
Neurologic disorders	42 (6)	18 (6.1)	0.953	
Clin	nical features [ <i>n</i> (%)]			
Fever	390 (56.1)	196 (66.4)	0.001	
Cough	280 (40.2)	121 (41)	0.885	
Dyspnoea	285 (41)	158 (53.5)	< 0.001	
Headache	15 (2.1)	1 (0.3)	0.122	
Diarrhoea	76 (10.9)	35 (11.8)	0.822	
Asthenia	270 (38.8)	67 (22.7)	< 0.001	
Ageusia	57 (8.2)	20 (6.7)	0.681	
Anosmia	71 (10.2)	18 (6.1)	0.165	
Lung function p	arameters [median (I	QR 25–75%)]		
Respiratory rate (acts/min)	18 (16–24)	24 (19.5–28.5)	<0.001	
$PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio	320 (229–386)	286 (172–357)	< 0.001	
Laboratory values [median (IQR 25–75%)]				
Interleukin-6 (pg/mL)	17.6 (8.4–40.8)	35.3 (15.9–64.4)	0.41	
D-dimer (mg/L)	0.7 (0.4–1.3)	0.71 (0.37–1.31)	0.914	
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	512 (436–574)	546 (467.8–614.5)	0.005	
Lactate dehydrogenase (UI/L)	373 (278–473.5)	334 (239–476)	0.233	
Ferritin (ng/mL)	492 (195–857)	569 (305–947)	0.007	
Platelets count (cells/ $\mu$ L)	215 (164–268)	206 (159–270)	0.177	
Lymphocytes count (cells/µL)	1000 (670–1490)	815 (552.5–1147.5)	0.078	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	11.9 (6.7–21.9)	7.9 (2.3–37.5)	0.009	
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.1 (0.1–0.2)	0.00 (0.00-0.10)	0.105	

 Table 2. Comparison between COVID-19 patients treated with standard- or high-dose steroids.

Table 4 shows the comparison between survivors and non-survivors. Non-survivors were more frequently older (82 [IQR, 73–88] vs. 65 [IQR, 56–80] years, p < 0.001), suffered from cardiovascular disease other than arterial hypertension (66.1% vs. 26.4%, p < 0.001), chronic kidney disease (25.7% vs 12.6%, p = 0.002) and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (56% vs. 12.6%, p < 0.001); they had a higher respiratory rate (27 (IQR, 20–30) vs. 20 [IQR, 17–24] acts/min, p = 0.009) and lower PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio (310 [IQR, 142.8–362.5] vs. 316 [IQR, 228.8–376.4], p = 0.001). In addition, compared to survivors, non-survivors had higher levels of interleukin-6 (49.8 [IQR, 24.6–112.6] vs. 18.9 [IQR, 8.3–43] pg/mL, p = 0.032) and D-dimer (0.9 [IQR, 0.4–1.5] vs. 0.7 [IQR, 0.4–1.3] mg/L, p = 0.043); moreover, they were less frequently treated with remdesivir (10.1% vs. 31.5%, p < 0.001), low-weight molecular heparin (29.8% vs. 53%, p < 0.001), high-dose steroid therapy (16.6% vs. 33%, p < 0.001) and low-flow oxygen (44.4% vs. 73.6%, p < 0.001); instead, non-survivors more frequently received HFNC/CPAP/NIV (70.2% vs. 22.7%, p < 0.001) (see Table 5).

Variables	Standard-Dose Steroid ( <i>n</i> = 695)	High-Dose Steroid ( <i>n</i> = 295)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Pharmacological Therapy				
Antibiotic	452 (65)	231 (78.3)	0.001	
Remdesivir	106 (15.2)	164 (55.5)	<0.001	
Tocilizumab	5 (0.7)	12 (4)	0.064	
Low-weight molecular heparin	252 (36.2)	227 (76.9)	<0.001	
Oxygen therapy				
Low-flow oxygen	430 (61.8)	241 (81.6)	<0.001	
HFNC/CPAP/NIV	239 (34.3)	80 (27.1)	0.246	
Outcomes				
30-day mortality	166 (23.8)	32 (10.8)	<0.001	

**Table 3.** Therapy and outcome parameters of COVID-19 patients treated with standard- or high-doseof steroids.

HFNC—high-flow nasal cannula; NIV—non-invasive ventilation; CPAP—continuous positive airway pressure.

 Table 4. Comparison between surviving and non-surviving COVID-19 patients.

Variables	Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 792)	Non-Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 198)	<i>p</i> -Value	
Dem	ographic informatio	n		
Age (years), median [IQR 25–75%]	65 (56–80)	82 (73-88)	< 0.001	
Male sex $[n (\%)]$	347 (43.8)	100 (50.5)	0.381	
Co	morbidities [n (%)]			
Arterial hypertension	175 (22.1)	30 (15.1)	0.345	
Cardiovascular disease other than	209 (26 4)	131 (66 1)	~0.001	
Arterial hypertension	209 (20.4)	151 (00.1)	<b>N0.001</b>	
Obesity	91 (11.4)	17 (8.6)	0.675	
Diabetes mellitus type 2	88 (11.1)	37 (18.7)	0.355	
Chronic kidney disease	100 (12.6)	51 (25.7)	0.002	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary	100 (12 6)	111 (56)	~0.001	
disease	100 (12.0)	111 (50)	<b>N0.001</b>	
Oncologic disease	50 (6.3)	37 (18.7)	0.002	
Psychiatric disorders	43 (5.4)	5 (2.5)	0.401	
Neurologic disorders	50 (6.3)	10 (5)	0.891	
Clin	nical features [n (%)]			
Fever	516 (65.1)	70 (35.3)	< 0.001	
Cough	361 (45.5)	40 (20.2)	< 0.001	
Dyspnoea	370 (46.7)	73 (36.8)	0.03	
Headache	16 (2)	0	0.633	
Diarrhoea	90 (11.3)	21 (10.6)	0.987	
Asthenia	217 (27.4)	120 (60.6)	< 0.001	
Ageusia	66 (8.3)	11 (5.5)	0.482	
Anosmia	74 (9.3)	15 (7.5)	0.633	
Lung function p	arameters [median (I	QR 25–75%)]		
Respiratory rate (acts/min)	20 (17–24)	27 (20–30)	0.009	
$PaO_2/FiO_2$ ratio	316 (228.8–376.4)	310 (142.8–362.5)	0.001	
Laboratory values [median (IQR 25–75%)]				
Interleukin-6 (pg/mL)	18.9 (8.3–43)	49.8 (24.6–112.6)	0.032	
D-dimer (mg/L)	0.7 (0.4–1.3)	0.9 (0.4–1.5)	0.043	
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	517.5 (448.3–575)	560.5 (447.8-657.5)	0.089	
Lactate dehydrogenase (UI/L)	336 (252–457)	457 (332-614.5)	< 0.001	
Ferritin (ng/mL)	506 (209-852)	551 (214–1078)	0.144	
Platelets count (cells/ $\mu$ L)	210 (162–268)	226 (166.8–270.8)	0.147	
Lymphocytes count (cells/µL)	950 (640–1380)	900 (600–1470)	0.323	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	10.5 (3.7–29)	13.1 (8.8–26.7)	0.160	
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	0.1 (0.1–0.6)	0.202	

Variables	Survivors ( $n = 792$ )	Non-Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 198)	<i>p</i> -Value
Pharmacological Therapy			
Antibiotic	551 (69.5)	132 (66.6)	0.359
Remdesivir	250 (31.5)	20 (10.1)	< 0.001
Tocilizumab	12 (1.5)	5 (2.5)	0.572
Low-weight molecular heparin	420 (53)	59 (29.8)	<0.001
Standard-dose steroid therapy	539 (68)	156 (78.8)	0.122
High-dose steroid therapy	262 (33)	33 (16.6)	<0.001
Oxygen therapy			
Low-flow oxygen	583 (73.6)	88 (44.4)	< 0.001
HFNC/CPAP/NIV	180 (22.7)	139 (70.2)	<0.001

Table 5. Therapy and outcome of surviving or non-surviving COVID-19 patients.

HFNC—high-flow nasal cannula; NIV—non-invasive ventilation; CPAP—continuous positive airway pressure.

Cox regression analysis (reported in Table 6) showed that risk factors independently associated with 30-day mortality were chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (HR 1.98, CI95% 1.34–9.81, p = 0.002), chronic kidney disease (HR 5.21, CI95% 1.48–22.23, p = 0.001), oncologic disease (HR 2.81, CI95% 1.45–19.8, p = 0.005) and HFNC/CPAP/NIV oxygen therapy (HR 61.1, CI95% 5.12–511.1, p < 0.001); conversely, high-dose steroid therapy was associated with survival (HR 0.42, CI95% 0.38–0.86, p = 0.002).

Table 6. Cox regression analysis of risk factors associated with 30-day mortality in all study populations.

Variables	Hazard Ratio	CI95%-Lower	CI95%-Upper	<i>p</i> -Value
Chronic kidney disease	5.21	1.48	22.23	0.001
Oncologic disease	2.81	1.45	19.8	0.005
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1.98	1.34	9.81	0.002
High-dose steroid therapy	0.42	0.38	0.86	0.002
HFNC/CPAP/NIV	61.1	5.12	511.1	< 0.001

HFNC—high-flow nasal cannula; NIV—non-invasive ventilation; CPAP—continuous positive airway pressure.

Figure 1 shows the Kaplan–Meier curves for 30-day survival in the COVID-19 population treated with standard-dose or high-dose steroids, displaying a statistically significant better survival in patients treated with high-dose steroid therapy (p = 0.018).

Kaplan–Meier curves in COVID-19 patients treated with high-dose steroid therapy or standard-dose steroid therapy in the subgroups of those treated with HFNC/CPAP/NIV or low-flow oxygen are reported in Figure 2. No statistically significant differences were observed in terms of survival in the 2 subgroups treated with low-flow oxygen (p = 0.457) or with HFNC/CPAP/NIV (p = 0.842).



**Figure 1.** Kaplan–Meier curves of all COVID-19 patients treated with high-dose steroid therapy (red line) or standard-dose steroid therapy (blue line).



Figure 2. Cont.



**Figure 2.** Kaplan–Meier curves of COVID-19 patients treated with high-dose steroid therapy (red line) or standard-dose steroid therapy (blue line) in the subgroups with no HFNC/CPAP/NIV use (p = 0.457) or with HFNC/CPAP/NIV use (p = 0.842). HFNC—high-flow nasal cannula; NIV—non-invasive ventilation; CPAP—continuous positive airway pressure.

# 4. Discussion

This observational real-life study primarily aimed to investigate the clinical impact of the use of two different dosages of steroids on 30-day mortality in a large population of patients hospitalized for COVID-19.

In our large cohort, treatment with a high-dose corticosteroid (i.e., methylprednisolone 40 mg twice daily) was significantly associated with a lower 30-day mortality compared to the outcome of treatment with a standard dose (i.e., dexamethasone 6 mg once daily) in Cox regression analysis and survival analysis by using Kaplan–Meier curves. However, when the impact on mortality of the two steroid regimens was analyzed in the subgroups of patients treated or not with HFNC/CPAP/NIV, no statistically significant differences were found.

The strength of our study is that the effect of high-dose methylprednisolone on survival was significantly higher on the overall population if compared to dexamethasone, and it is possible to hypothesize that methylprednisolone could be at least as effective as dexamethasone in the treatment of COVID-19. This could be also explained by a better penetration of methylprednisolone in the lung tissue, in comparison to dexamethasone [11–14], although no data are available in severe COVID-19 patients. Of importance, none of the previous studies analyzed the impact of methylprednisolone vs. dexamethasone in subgroups of patients treated with a high vs. low flow of oxygen.

There are some important limitations to our study. First, the retrospective nature of this study involving two heterogeneous populations belonging to different centers; moreover, data are refereed to different waves of the pandemic and therapeutic approach was consistently modified during these years; third, microbiological endpoints, such as time to negative nasal swab or bacterial or fungal co- and super-infections, were not considered in the final analysis; finally, in this cohort, consecutively hospitalized patients were enrolled independently from COVID-19 severity and no data are available about follow-up after discharge.

During the first month of the pandemic, several authors recommended prescribing steroids based on anecdotal observations and retrospective uncontrolled series of patients [15–18]. In contrast, other studies argued that corticosteroids may be harmful and cause delayed viral clearance in COVID-19 patients [19], as previously reported also in SARS patients [20]. As reported below, several studies and randomized clinical trials tried to inquire into steroid therapy efficacy with the aim to define the most appropriate drug, dose and duration of steroid therapy that should be administered to improve the clinical outcomes of COVID-19.

In July 2020, the RECOVERY Collaborative Group showed in a preliminary report, and confirmed in the definitive publication in February 2021, that in patients with COVID-19, therapy with 6 mg once daily for up to 10 days (or until hospital discharge if sooner) of oral or intravenous dexamethasone resulted in significantly lower 28-day mortality among those undergoing oxygen supplementation, but not among those receiving no respiratory support [4]. Current recommendations by international guidelines on the use of steroids in COVID-19 patients are based on the findings of this trial [21,22].

In September 2020, the WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group published the results of a prospective meta-analysis that pooled data from seven randomized clinical trials (including the RECOVERY trial) that evaluated the efficacy of corticosteroids in 1703 critically ill patients with COVID-19 [23]. They found that administration of systemic corticosteroids (dexamethasone, hydrocortisone and methylprednisolone), compared to usual care or placebo, was associated with a significantly lower 28-day all-cause mortality. After stratifying the studies according to corticosteroid drug, a significant association between steroid treatment and survival was demonstrated only for dexamethasone, and not for hydrocortisone and methylprednisolone. However, only in one of the seven clinical trials included (Steroids-SARI), methylprednisolone (at dosage of 40 mg twice daily for five days) was the drug investigated [24]; a total of 47 patients was enrolled in this trial, so that this study contributed to only 3.5% of the weight in the primary meta-analysis.

Further studies investigated the efficacy of treatment with methylprednisolone in COVID-19 patients [5,6,25,26]. In particular, the COVID-19-Metcovid trial evaluated the efficacy of methylprednisolone at a dosage of 0.5 mg/kg twice daily for 5 days compared to placebo in hospitalized patients with suspected COVID-19 requiring supplementary oxygen or mechanical ventilation [25]. In this trial, the authors did not find any significant difference for 28-day mortality between the groups in the overall population, but only in the subgroup of patients aged > 60 years. By contrast, in the trial conducted by Ranjbar et al. [5] comparing patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and respiratory impairment (i.e., O<sub>2</sub> saturation of less than 92 in room air on admission) randomly assigned to receive a 10-day course of methylprednisolone (2 mg per kilogram intravenously) vs. dexamethasone (6 mg intravenously) with the standard care, the all-cause 28-day mortality rates were lower in patients treated with methylprednisolone than in those who received dexamethasone, though the difference did not reach statistical significance. Instead, they reported a significantly better clinical status at day 5 and day 10 of admission, lower mean length of hospital stay and need for a ventilator in the intervention group than in the control group [5].

A more recent prospective study published on February 2022 by Saeed et al. compared the differences in clinical outcome and laboratory results in mechanically ventilated patients with SARS-CoV-2 radiologically confirmed pneumonia treated for ten days with dexamethasone 6 mg/day (192 patients) vs. those treated with methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg/day [26]. They reported that patients treated with methylprednisolone showed a statistically significant improvement in the mechanical ventilation days and length of stay in the intensive care unit, together with the overall mortality and severity inflammatory markers of cytokine storm, compared to those treated with dexamethasone after 10 days. Similar results were reported in the observational study conducted by Pinzon et al. [6].

# 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, these real-life experience data highlight that the use of methylprednisolone in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 may be associated with a significant reduction in mortality in the global population, but this difference was not observed when stratifying patients undergoing HFNC/CPAP/NIV or not. These results suggest the need to conduct further RCTs to evaluate the impact of different dose and duration of corticosteroid therapy in hospitalized COVID-19 patients at different stages of the disease.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.R. and E.M.T.; methodology, A.R., C.B. and E.M.T.; formal analysis, C.B.; data curation, C.D. and V.O.; writing—original draft preparation, A.R., C.D., C.B. and E.M.T.; writing—review and editing, G.C., P.F., A.L., R.L., M.R., F.S., B.T. and E.G.C.; supervision, C.T., C.M.M. and G.d. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The Ethics Committees of each participating center approved the study protocol for observational analyses. For "Umberto I" teaching hospital of Rome, the study was designed as a prospective, and approved by the local Ethics Committee (n. 5923/2020) with informed consent obtained from each patient included. For "Mater Domini" teaching hospital of Catanzaro, this study was designed as a retrospective; it was notified to the Ethics Committee of the Calabria Region on 13 May 2020. In Italy, such studies do not require ethical approval by an Ethics Committee as determined by the Italian Drug Agency note 20 March 2008 (GU Serie Generale no. 76 31 March 2008). The need for written informed consent was waived for patients owing to the retrospective nature of the study.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the prospective cohort or it was waived in the retrospective cohort.

Data Availability Statement: Data supporting reported results can be found at a.russo@unicz.it.

Acknowledgments: On behalf of Popilia-COVID-19 collaboration group: Federica Alessi, Luigi Battistini, Lavinia Berardelli, Erica Binetti, Gabriele Bruno, Eugenio Nelson Cavallari, Sara Gullì, Valentina La Gamba, Gabriella Marino, Helen Linda Morrone, Eleonora Motta, Gregorio Recchia, Marco Ridolfi, Francesco Romeo, Salvatore Rotundo, Vincenzo Scaglione, Riccardo Serraino, Maria Teresa Tassone. Regione Calabria (noCOVID19@UMG POR Calabria-FESR/FSE 2014-2020 D.D.R.C. n. 4584 del 4/5/2021-Azione 10.5.12).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Bohn, M.K.; Hall, A.; Sepiashvili, L.; Jung, B.; Steele, S.; Adeli, K. Pathophysiology of COVID-19: Mechanisms Underlying Disease Severity and Progression. *Physiology* 2020, 35, 288–301. [CrossRef]
- Bime, C.; Casanova, N.G.; Nikolich-Zugich, J.; Knox, K.S.; Camp, S.M.; Garcia, J.G.N. Strategies to DAMPen COVID-19-mediated lung and systemic inflammation and vascular injury. *Transl. Res.* 2021, 232, 37–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Parasher, A. COVID-19: Current understanding of its Pathophysiology, Clinical presentation and Treatment. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 2021, 97, 312–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group; Horby, P.; Lim, W.S.; Emberson, J.R.; Mafham, M.; Bell, J.L.; Linsell, L.; Staplin, N.; Brightling, C.; Ustianowski, A.; et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 2021, 384, 693–704. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ranjbar, K.; Moghadami, M.; Mirahmadizadeh, A.; Fallahi, M.J.; Khaloo, V.; Shahriarirad, R.; Erfani, A.; Khodamoradi, Z.; Gholampoor Saadi, M.H. Methylprednisolone or dexamethasone, which one is superior corticosteroid in the treatment of hospitalized COVID-19 patients: A triple-blinded randomized controlled trial. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2021, *21*, 337. [CrossRef]
- Pinzón, M.A.; Ortiz, S.; Holguín, H.; Betancur, J.F.; Cardona Arango, D.; Laniado, H.; Arias Arias, C.; Muñoz, B.; Quiceno, J.; Jaramillo, D.; et al. Dexamethasone vs methylprednisolone high dose for COVID-19 pneumonia. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0252057. [CrossRef]
- Mehta, J.; Rolta, R.; Mehta, B.B.; Kaushik, N.; Choi, E.H.; Kaushik, N.K. Role of Dexamethasone and Methylprednisolone Corticosteroids in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Hospitalized Patients: A Review. *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 813358. [CrossRef]
- 8. World Health Organization. Laboratory Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Suspected Human Cases: Interim Guidance. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331329 (accessed on 2 May 2022).

- Russo, A.; Bellelli, V.; Ceccarelli, G.; Marincola Cattaneo, F.; Bianchi, L.; Pierro, R.; Russo, R.; Steffanina, A.; Pugliese, F.; Mastroianni, C.M.; et al. Comparison Between Hospitalized Patients Affected or Not Affected by Coronavirus Disease 2019. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 72, e1158–e1159. [CrossRef]
- Corticosteroids for COVID-19. Living Guidance. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019 -nCoV-Corticosteroids-2020.1 (accessed on 24 June 2022).
- 11. Braude, A.C.; Rebuck, A.S. Prednisone and methylprednisolone disposition in the lung. Lancet 1983, 2, 995–997. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hirano, T.; Homma, M.; Oka, K.; Tsushima, H.; Niitsuma, T.; Hayashi, T. Individual variations in lymphocyte-responses to glucocorticoids in patients with bronchial asthma: Comparison of potencies for five glucocorticoids. *Immunopharmacology* **1998**, 40, 57–66. [CrossRef]
- 13. Vichyanond, P.; Irvin, C.G.; Larsen, G.L.; Szefler, S.J.; Hill, M.R. Penetration of corticosteroids into the lung: Evidence for a difference between methylprednisolone and prednisolone. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **1989**, *84*, 867–873. [CrossRef]
- 14. Torres, A.; Sibila, O.; Ferrer, M.; Polverino, E.; Menendez, R.; Mensa, J.; Gabarrús, A.; Sellarés, J.; Restrepo, M.I.; Anzueto, A.; et al. Effect of corticosteroids on treatment failure among hospitalized patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia and high inflammatory response: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015, *313*, 677–686. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zha, L.; Li, S.; Pan, L.; Tefsen, B.; Li, Y.; French, N.; Chen, L.; Yang, G.; Villanueva, E.V. Corticosteroid treatment of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Med. J. Aust.* 2020, 212, 416–420. [CrossRef]
- Fadel, R.; Morrison, A.R.; Vahia, A.; Smith, Z.R.; Chaudhry, Z.; Bhargava, P.; Miller, J.; Kenney, R.M.; Alangaden, G.; Ramesh, M.S. Henry Ford COVID-19 Management Task Force. Early Short-Course Corticosteroids in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 71, 2114–2120. [CrossRef]
- Wu, C.; Chen, X.; Cai, Y.; Xia, J.; Zhou, X.; Xu, S.; Huang, H.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, X.; Du, C.; et al. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2020, 180, 934–943. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhou, W.; Liu, Y.; Tian, D.; Wang, C.; Wang, S.; Cheng, J.; Hu, M.; Fang, M.; Gao, Y. Potential benefits of precise corticosteroids therapy for severe 2019-nCoV pneumonia. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2020**, *5*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 19. Ling, Y.; Xu, S.B.; Lin, Y.X.; Tian, D.; Zhu, Z.Q.; Dai, F.H.; Wu, F.; Song, Z.G.; Huang, W.; Chen, J.; et al. Persistence and clearance of viral RNA in 2019 novel coronavirus disease rehabilitation patients. *Chin. Med. J.* **2020**, *133*, 1039–1043. [CrossRef]
- 20. Stockman, L.J.; Bellamy, R.; Garner, P. SARS: Systematic review of treatment effects. PLoS Med. 2006, 3, e343. [CrossRef]
- Bhimraj, A.; Morgan, R.L.; Shumaker, A.H.; Lavergne, V.; Baden, L.; Cheng, V.C.; Edwards, K.M.; Gandhi, R.; Muller, W.J.; O'Horo, J.C.; et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the Treatment and Management of Patients with COVID-19. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, ciaa478. [CrossRef]
- Bartoletti, M.; Azap, O.; Barac, A.; Bussini, L.; Ergonul, O.; Krause, R.; Paño-Pardo, J.R.; Power, N.R.; Sibani, M.; Szabo, B.G.; et al. ESCMID COVID-19 living guidelines: Drug treatment and clinical management. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2022, 28, 222–238. [CrossRef]
- WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group; Sterne, J.A.C.; Murthy, S.; Diaz, J.V.; Slutsky, A.S.; Villar, J.; Angus, D.C.; Annane, D.; Azevedo, L.C.P.; Berwanger, O.; et al. Association Between Administration of Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically III Patients With COVID-19: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2020, 324, 1330–1341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Sterne, J.A.C.; Diaz, J.; Villar, J.; Murthy, S.; Slutsky, A.S.; Perner, A.; Jüni, P.; Angus, D.C.; Annane, D.; Azevedo, L.C.P.; et al. Corticosteroid therapy for critically ill patients with COVID-19: A structured summary of a study protocol for a prospective meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Trials* **2020**, *21*, 734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jeronimo, C.M.P.; Farias, M.E.L.; Val, F.F.A.; Sampaio, V.S.; Alexandre, M.A.A.; Melo, G.C.; Safe, I.P.; Borba, M.G.S.; Netto, R.L.A.; Maciel, A.B.S.; et al. Methylprednisolone as Adjunctive Therapy for Patients Hospitalized with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19; Metcovid): A Randomized, Double-blind, Phase IIb, Placebo-controlled Trial. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 72, e373–e381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Saeed, M.A.M.; Mohamed, A.H.; Owaynat, A.H. Comparison between methylprednisolone infusion and dexamethasone in COVID-19 ARDS mechanically ventilated patients. *Egypt. J. Intern. Med.* **2022**, *34*, 19. [CrossRef]