

# Development and evaluation of a venous computed tomography radiomics model to predict lymph node metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer

Mengdi Cong, MD<sup>a</sup>, Haoyue Yao, Master of Medicine<sup>b</sup>, Hui Liu, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Liqiang Huang, Master of Medicine<sup>b</sup>, Gaofeng Shi, MD<sup>b,\*</sup>

# Abstract

The objective of this study was to develop a venous computed tomography (CT)-based radiomics model to predict the lymph node metastasis (LNM) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). A total of 411 consecutive patients with NSCLC underwent tumor resection and lymph node (LN) dissection from January 2018 to September 2018 in our hospital. A radiologist with 20 years of diagnostic experience retrospectively reviewed all CT scans and classified all visible LNs into LNM and non-LNM groups without the knowledge of pathological diagnosis. A logistic regression model (radiomics model) in classification of pathology-confirmed NSCLC patients with and without LNM was developed on radiomics features for NSCLC patients. A morphology model was also developed on qualitative morphology features in venous CT scans. A training group included 288 patients (99 with and 189 without LNM) and a validation group included 123 patients (42 and 81, respectively). The receiver operating characteristic curve was performed to discriminate LNM (+) from LNM (-) for CT-reported status, the morphology model and the radiomics model. The area under the curve value in LNM classification on the training group was significantly greater at 0.79 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.77–0.81) by use of the radiomics model (build by best 10 features in predicting LNM) compared with 0.51 by CT-reported LN status (P < .001) or 0.66 (95% CI: 0.64–0.68) by morphology model (build by tumor size and spiculation) (P < .001). Similarly, the area under the curve value on the validation group was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.70–0.76) by the radiomics model, compared with 0.52 or 0.63 (95% CI: 0.60–0.66) by the other 2 (both P < .001). A radiomics model shows excellent performance for predicting LNM in NSCLC patients. This predictive radiomics model may benefit patients to get better treatments such as an appropriate surgery.

**Abbreviations:** AUC = area under curve, CT = computed tomography, LASSO = least absolute shrinkage and selection operator, LN = lymph node, LNM = lymph node metastasis, NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer, PET = positron emission tomography, ROC = receiver operator characteristic, ROI = regions of interest.

Keywords: forecasting, lymph nodes, non-small cell lung cancer, radiomics, tomography, X-ray computed

Editor: Neeraj Lalwani.

This study was funded by Hebei Provincial Health Planning Commission (20200659).

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance, Children's Hospital of Hebei Province, <sup>b</sup> Department of Computed Tomography and Magnetic Resonance, Hebei Medical University Fourth Affiliated Hospital and Hebei Provincial Tumor Hospital, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province, <sup>c</sup> Cooperate Research Center, United Imaging Healthcare, Shanghai, China.

\* Correspondence: Gaofeng Shi, Hebei Medical University Fourth Affiliated Hospital and Hebei Provincial Tumor Hospital, 12 Jiankang Road, Shijiazhuang 050011, Hebei Province, China (e-mail: gaofengs62@sina.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Cong M, Yao H, Liu H, Huang L, Shi G. Development and evaluation of a venous computed tomography radiomics model to predict lymph node metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer. Medicine 2020;99:18 (e20074).

Received: 11 May 2019 / Received in final form: 30 November 2019 / Accepted: 31 March 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000020074

# 1. Introduction

Lung cancer is a major cause of cancer-related death worldwide and is one of the most common malignant tumors in China.<sup>[1]</sup> Early detection and staging of tumors are important for the management of lung cancer.<sup>[2]</sup> The National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines (2018) state that surgery should be the first choice for early non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, and radiation and chemotherapy should be the first choice for advanced NSCLC patients.<sup>[3]'</sup> The most common metastatic pathway of lung cancer is lymphatic metastasis. Lymph node metastasis (LNM) is an important factor to determine lung cancer staging, treatment plan, and prognosis. Preoperative understanding of tumor metastasis can provide valuable information for determining the need for adjuvant therapy and the adequacy of surgical excision, thus helping clinicians to make correct decisions.<sup>[4]</sup> At present, there are many methods to evaluate whether NSCLC lymph nodes (LNs) have metastasis, and these methods include computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET)-CT, ultrasoundguided biopsy, thoracoscope, and so on.<sup>[5,6]</sup> Pathology-related biopsy and thoracoscopy evaluate the LN staging better than radiological images, however, these would be invasive procedures for the patients. PET-CT is a noninvasive method which is

used in cancer staging, and a growing number of multidisciplinary research teams of lung cancer prefer to use PET-CT for lymphatic metastasis evaluation.<sup>[7,8]</sup> Many studies reviewed the diagnostic performance of PET-CT in LN staging of NSCLC. Noninvasive PET-CT is a relatively accurate imaging technique, which has high specificity for LNM in preoperative NSCLC patients. However, the misdiagnosis rate<sup>[9]</sup> and false negative rate<sup>[10]</sup> were higher in PET-CT diagnosis of LNM than the gold standard pathological results. In addition, PET-CT is unaffordable for most patients, especially in underdeveloped and developing countries, which also limits its large-scale clinical application.<sup>[11]</sup> Therefore, a noninvasive and cost-effective evaluation tool is needed to predict whether there is LNM in primary lung cancer patient.

Recently, radiomics has received more and more attention from radiologists. It provides a noninvasive assessment for the characteristics of tumor tissue which has great prospects.<sup>[12]</sup> Medical imaging has been routinely used for the diagnosis, staging, and treatment of tumors.<sup>[13,14]</sup> Radiomics features were extracted from high-throughput medical images and logistic regression model which was built on the selected radiomics features was applied in various tumor managements. The application of radiomics depends on radiologists, who are required to firstly outline the regions of interest (ROI), and then calculate the quantitative texture features.<sup>[15]</sup>

Currently, radiomics has been reported to predict LNM of colorectal cancer, bladder cancer, breast cancer, and lung adenocarcinoma, and it has higher sensitivity and specificity than traditional methods of assessing LNM.<sup>[16,17]</sup> Previous studies<sup>[17,18]</sup> predicting LNM were all interested in lung adenocarcinoma, and the sample size was small. These studies suggested that it was reasonable to analyze the primary tumor itself and predict LNM. And our study was focused on patients with NSCLC, which was different from the previous researches. The pathological diagnosis of NSCLC needed to be confirmed by invasive procedures such as biopsy or surgery. We believed that the postsurgical diagnosis (eg, lung adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, adenosquamous carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, and so on) could not be presurgical parameters since the radiologists could not make imaging diagnosis as exactly as the pathological finding on the thin-section CT scan. That was the reason for using all the types of NSCLC patients to develop the radiomics model in our study. Accurate clinical stage is very important for the treatment of NSCLC.

This study developed a CT-based radiomics model to predict the probability of LNM in NSCLC, and then to guide the clinical selection of appropriate surgical population.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Patients

An institutional review board waiver was obtained for this retrospective study. Patients retrospectively met the following criteria from January 2018 to September 2018 in our hospital:

- Patients underwent radical resection of lung cancer with LN dissection.
- (2) Postoperative pathology was NSCLC and LNM was reported.
- (3) Patients had complete preoperative clinical data.

Exclusion criteria:

(1) Patients had received radiotherapy and chemotherapy before surgery.

- (2) Patients underwent CT examination more than 1 month before surgery.
- (3) Patients did not have a thin film enhanced chest scan.
- (4) Patients had distant metastasis. Patients' selection process is shown in Figure 1.

A total of 411 patients were randomly divided into the training group and the validation group according to 7:3. Training set included 288 cases, where 99 of them had LNM and the 189 left were not. Another 123 cases were used as the independent validation set (42 of them had LNM and the 81 left were not). The clinical and histopathologic data of the patients were evaluated, including age, gender, smoking, drinking, family history of lung cancer, histological type (adenocarcinoma, squamous carcinoma, others) and LN status. This study had been reviewed by the ethics committee of our hospital.

LN status preoperatively was evaluated by a variety of standards. Benign (negative) LNs were defined as follows: calcification and fat in the LN. Malignant (positive) LNs were defined as follows: short axis of the LN  $\geq$ 10 mm, necrosis in the LN.<sup>[19]</sup> The LN status reported on CT was evaluated on preoperative CT by 2 radiologists (with 5 and 3 years of experience) without the knowledge of pathological diagnosis separately. If the 2 radiologists did not have the same opinion, the decision was made after consultation with a senior physician (20 years of experience in chest diagnosis). Tumor node metastasis (TNM) classification and preoperative clinical stages were based on the most recent (8th edition) international staging criteria published by the Union for International Cancer Control and the American Joint Committee on Cancer.<sup>[20]</sup>

# 2.2. CT image acquisition

All patients underwent enhanced chest spiral CT scanning. The screening machine was the second generation SOMATOM Definition Flash CT scanner of Siemens. The patient was examined in the supine position. The scan range was from the entrance of the chest to the lower lung (costophrenic angle), continuous screening with single breath at the end of inspiration.

Scanning settings: slice thickness, 1.0 mm; tube voltage, 120 kVp; tube current, 80 to 300 mAs. All images were displayed with standard lung (width, 1200 HU; level, -600 HU) and mediastinal (width, 350 HU; level, 40 HU) window settings. The contrast agent was injected into the elbow vein. The flow rate was 3 mL/s, the dose was 1.5 to 2 mL/kg, and the venous phase scan was delayed for 90 seconds. All images were exported in digital imaging and communications in medicine format for image feature extraction.

### 2.3. Quantitative and qualitative feature definition

The quantitative features were age, size (maximum tumor diameter). The qualitative features were gender, smoking status, drinking status, family history, location of tumor (upper location contains left and right upper lobes; middle-lower location means right middle lobe, left and right lower lobes), lobulation, spiculation, pleural indentation, vacuole sign, and CT-reported LN status.

## 2.4. Radiomics feature extraction and selection

The ROI for the primary tumor was semi-automatically drawn by a 5-year radiologist using the segment editor (grow-cut



Figure 1. A representative CT region of interest segmentation diagram. CT = computed tomography.

ROI toolbox) of 3D slicer (www.slicer.org). The tumor ROI considered the bronchi, blood vessels, and vacuoles inside the tumors, excluding the normal lung tissue. A representative CT region of interest segmentation diagram is shown in Figure 2. Pyradiomics (version 2.0.0, https://github.com/Radio mics/pyradiomics) was used to extract features from lung lesions with settings as followed: bin size is 64, voxel shift is 1000, voxel size is 1 mm isotropic, filters include LoG (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0) and wavelet (LLH, LHL, LHH, HLL, HLH, HHL, HHH, LLL).<sup>[21]</sup> A total of 1229 radiomics features were extracted and grouped as First Order Statistics (19 features), Shape-based (26 features), Gray Level Cooccurrence Matrix (24 features), Gray Level Run Length Matrix (16 features), Gray Level Size Zone Matrix (16 features), Neighboring Gray Tone Difference Matrix (5 features), and Gray Level Dependence Matrix (14 features). By applying a Laplacian of Gaussian filter with 5 sigma levels (1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0), 421 features were obtained. And 688 wavelet features were extracted. Each numerical radiomics feature was normalized using the z-score method before input to the model.

Features selection is a process to further improve the robustness and accuracy of machine learning model by selecting mostly contributed features to the prediction results to reduce the overfitting. We applied the univariate feature selection method (analysis of variance) for each feature to test its *F*-value belonging to TNM(-) or TNM(+) groups. The best of 10 features (by soring *F*-value) were selected to be input to the model.

# 2.5. Model

We used logistic regression with least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) constrain as the classifier for both qualitative CT morphology features and radiomics features. L1 norm in the LASSO regression model adds the absolute value of weighing coefficient term as penalty term in the lost function, and shrinks the less important feature's weighting coefficient to 0. The performance of the model was evaluated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was calculated for quantification. The accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were also calculated at a cutoff value of the radiomics score (LASSO output probability with range from 0 to 1, defined as the linear combining of selected features with their weighting) to evaluate the efficiency of the final models. The modeling and ROC performance evaluation were performed in a machine learning modeling toolbox (FeAture Explorer v0.2.2, https://github.com/salan668/FAE), which were implemented on the basis of Scikit-learn python package (https:// scikit-learn.org).

# 2.6. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation, while categorical variables were performed as counts. The differences of continuous variables were analyzed by paired *t* test including age and tumor diameter. Differences of categoric variables were analyzed by Chi-square test including sex,



smoking, drinking, history, tumor location, lobulation, spiculation, pleural indentation, vacuole sign, and type of tumor.

ROC was used to evaluate the prediction performance for CTreported LN status, morphological features and radiomics features on MedCalc (https://www.medcalc.org, version: 18.11.6). Delong test was used to test the statistical significance of the difference among the areas under ROC curves, and *P*-value of less than .01 was considered as significant.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Patient characteristics

From January 2018 to September 2018, 411 consecutive patients met the inclusion criteria, including 204 males and 207 females, with averaged mean age of 59.62 (24–84). The characteristics of all patients are summarized in Table 1. Postoperative pathology confirmed the presence of LNM in 141 cases, with a metastatic

rate of 34.3%. Tumor size was  $2.80 \pm 1.40$  cm, including 144 cases with diameter greater than 3 cm and 267 cases with diameter less than 3 cm.

# 3.2. ROC analysis

The ROC curves comparison for CT reported LN status, morphology model and radiomics model were shown in Figure 3.

In the CT reported LN status, the AUC value in the training group is 0.51 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.49–0.53), and the AUC value of the validation group is 0.52 (95% CI: 0.48–0.55).

CT-reported tumor size and spiculation (P < .05) were chosen to build the morphology model. The AUC value of the model is 0.66 (95% CI: 0.64–0.68) and 0.63 (95% CI: 0.60–0.66), respectively in the training and validation group.

For the LASSO model using radiomics features, 10 features with highest AUC on the training data set were selected, where its feature weight is listed in Table 2. The AUC value of the training

# Table 1

Characteristics of patients in the training and validation cohorts.

Characteristics  Negative for LNM  Positive for LNM  P-value  Negative for LNM  Positive for LNM  P-value    Age  59.32±9.79  59.01±8.4  .784  61.04±7.68  59.25±10.1  .5    Gender	<i>P</i> -value .364 .923 .652	Positive for LNM					
Age  59.32±9.79  59.01±8.4  .784  61.04±7.68  59.25±10.1     Gender	.364 .923 .652	I USITIVE IOI LINN	Negative for LNM	P-value	Positive for LNM	Negative for LNM	Characteristics
Gender  Male  85  47  50  22    Female  100  56  .959  35  16  .959    Smoking status	.923 .652	59.25±10.1	61.04±7.68	.784	59.01 ± 8.4	59.32±9.79	Age
Male  85  47  50  22    Female  100  56  .959  35  16  .959    Smoking status  Smoker  65  40  41  20    Never smoker  120  63  .532  44  18  .6	.923 .652						Gender
Female  100  56  .959  35  16  .959    Smoking status  Smoker  65  40  41  20    Never smoker  120  63  .532  44  18  .6	.923 .652	22	50		47	85	Male
Smoking status Smoker65404120Never smoker12063.5324418.6Dripking status	.652	16	35	.959	56	100	Female
Smoker  65  40  41  20    Never smoker  120  63  .532  44  18  .6	.652						Smoking status
Never smoker 120 63 .532 44 18 .6	.652	20	41		40	65	Smoker
Drinking status		18	44	.532	63	120	Never smoker
Drinking status							Drinking status
Drinker 58 31 48 24		24	48		31	58	Drinker
Never drinker 127 72 .825 37 14 .4	.487	14	37	.825	72	127	Never drinker
Family history							Family history
No 174 99 79 37		37	79		99	174	No
Yes 11 4 .45 6 1 .5	.327	1	6	.45	4	11	Yes
CT-reported tumor size $2.50 \pm 1.19$ $3.32 \pm 1.47$ < .01 $2.61 \pm 1.36$ $3.25 \pm 1.75$ .0	.029	$3.25 \pm 1.75$	$2.61 \pm 1.36$	<.01	$3.32 \pm 1.47$	$2.50 \pm 1.19$	CT-reported tumor size
Location							Location
Upper lobe 108 58 42 20		20	42		58	108	Upper lobe
Middle-lower lobe 77 45 .734 43 18 .7	.741	18	43	.734	45	77	Middle-lower lobe
Pleural indentation							Pleural indentation
Absent 72 30 30 9		9	30		30	72	Absent
Present 113 70 .245 55 29 .2	.201	29	55	.245	70	113	Present
Lobulation							Lobulation
Absent 49 16 26 7		7	26		16	49	Absent
Present 136 87 .033 59 31 .1	.159	31	59	.033	87	136	Present
Spiculation							Spiculation
Absent 82 27 39 9		9	39		27	82	Absent
Present 103 76 .002 46 29 .	.02	29	46	.002	76	103	Present
Vacuole sign							Vacuole sign
Absent 137 72 63 32		32	63		72	137	Absent
Present 48 31 .449 22 6 .2	.217	6	22	.449	31	48	Present
Type of tumor							Type of tumor
Adenocarcinoma 174 92 75 32		32	75		92	174	Adenocarcinoma
Squamous carcinoma 6 6 8 3		3	8		6	6	Squamous carcinoma
Others 5 5 .35 2 3 .	.35	3	2	.35	5	5	Others
Preoperative clinical staging							Preoperative clinical staging
Early stage (l or II) 166 76 74 28		28	74		76	166	Early stage (I or II)
Advanced stage (III or IV) 19 27 <.01 11 10 .0	.069	10	11	<.01	27	19	Advanced stage (III or IV)
CT-reported LN status							CT-reported LN status
LN-negative status 155 72 70 26		26	70		72	155	LN-negative status
LN-positive status 30 31 .006 15 12 .0		12	15	.006	31	30	LN-positive status

CT = computed tomography, LN=lymph node, LNM=lymph node metastasis.

group is 0.79 (95% CI: 0.77–0.81), with an accuracy of 0.710, a sensitivity of 0.812, a specificity of 0.603, a PPV of 0.680, an NPV of 0.756. The AUC value of the validation group is 0.73 (95% CI: 0.70–0.76) for the radiomics model, with an accuracy of 0.725, a sensitivity of 0.778, a specificity of 0.672, a PPV of 0.704, an NPV of 0.752.

Delong test shows that the *P*-values for pairwise comparison between radiomics model, CT reported LN status and morphology model are all less than .0001. Therefore, there is significant difference between CT reported LN status, qualitative morphology model and radiomics model, where the radiomics model gives the best performance.

# 4. Discussion

The study used radiomics model to identify LNM in NSCLC. We used a radiomics model that contained the radiomics signature for prediction of LNM in patients with NSCLC before surgery. The radiomics model we established showed good identification ability in both the training cohort (AUC, 0.79) and the validation cohort (AUC, 0.73), and it was superior to the CT reported and morphological prediction model for LNM. We usually diagnose LNM by mediastinal LN enlargement, calcification, and so on. In our experiment, the AUC value of the CT reported LN status model was 0.51 and 0.52, respectively in the training and validation cohort, indicating a low prediction efficiency. This study showed that features such as tumor diameter and spiculation were of great significance in identifying LNM in NSCLC. Some studies had shown that tumor size was associated with LNM in NSCLC.<sup>[22,23]</sup> As the diameter of the tumor increased, the proportion of LNM was rising, which was consistent with the results of this study. In our research, we demonstrated that tumor with spiculation was more likely to have LNM. We found that spiculation was a predictive feature of invasiveness and poor prognosis.<sup>[18,24]</sup> We believed that the possibility of LNM was greater in tumors with spiculation. As a qualitative indicator, morphology had great subjectivity in the clinical work, and senior radiologists had obvious advantages of



Figure 3. Comparison of ROC curves among the CT-reported LN status, morphology model and radiomics model respectively to prediction the lymph node metastasis in the train cohorts (A) and validation cohorts (B). CT = computed tomography, LN=lymph node, ROC = receiver operating characteristic.

diagnosis. In our experiment, Radiomics features addressing the heterogeneity such as original first order mean and root mean squared had the greatest correlation. Mean represents the average pixel mean of an image. Skewness and entropy were also the features which could predict LNM for NSCLC. Skewness represents the asymmetry of the image histogram distribution. The larger the value is, the more asymmetric the histogram (lesion) distribution of the image will be; the smaller the value is, the more symmetrical the histogram distribution will be. Entropy refers to the uniformity of pixel value distribution in the image histogram. The higher the entropy is, the more evenly the image pixel value is distributed. They were reported as predictors of LN metastasis in other malignant tumors.<sup>[25,26]</sup> The efficacy of these radiomics features in predicting LNM was significantly higher than that of CT-reported LN status model and morphology model.

Our research showed that LNM in some patients could not be seen on CT images, which was in compliance with the previous studies.<sup>[17,18]</sup> In recent years, radiomics has been widely used in medical imaging and clinical. Yan Zhong et al analyzed 492 patients and predicted occult mediastinal LNM in lung adenocarcinoma.<sup>[17]</sup> But their study only predicted LNM in patients who were not clinically detected instead of analyzing the false positive patients. In our study, patients who were clinically diagnosed with Nx were also included, and some of them had actually pathological results of N0. In addition, the nonenhanced

Table 2	
The coefficients of features in the model.	

Features	Coef in model
original_firstorder_Mean	8.664
log-sigma-1-0-mm-3D_glrlm_RunEntropy	1.14
original_firstorder_Median	1.071
log-sigma-5-0-mm-3D_firstorder_Range	1.023
log-sigma-3-0-mm-3D_glszm_ZoneEntropy	0.796
original_glcm_Autocorrelation	0.733
log-sigma-1-0-mm-3D_gldm_DependenceVariance	-0.526
original_firstorder_Skewness	-0.775
log-sigma-4-0-mm-3D_glszm_ZoneEntropy	-1.335
original_firstorder_RootMeanSquared	-10.648

scan images of lung cancer were selected for ROI drawing in this study. In our study, enhanced images of NSCLC patients were selected for ROI outline. Systematic mediastinal lymphadenectomy was an important part of surgical treatment of early stage NSCLC. LN dissection of patients with NSCLC had a greater trauma and had a great impact on their recovery.<sup>[27]</sup> Therefore, in order to avoid these effects, the clinical requirements for highprecision and noninvasive methods to predict LNM of NSCLC were increasingly high. We needed to assess whether the patient had LNM accurately to improve the sensitivity and to reduce the false positive rate. Previous studies had reported that the pathological type and histological grade of patients were predictors of early LNM in NSCLC.<sup>[17,22,23]</sup> These data could only be collected after the surgery, so they cannot be used as a guide for LN dissection. More and more early stage NSCLC was found and received surgical treatment. Surgical resection was the first choice for NSCLC patients with clinical stage I, II, and part III. Systematic mediastinal lymphadenectomy was still controversial in these patients.

This study had limitations. First of all, this was a retrospective study, which would lead to migration. Second, this study only used the enhanced CT image. Because the thickness of the nonenhanced lung CT image was 5 mm, which would lead to the reduction of some image features, we only selected the thin-slice contrast-enhanced scanning image.

Through statistical analysis of CT morphology, radiology and clinical data of NSCLC, we established a radiomics model by using LASSO method, which could predict the probability of LNM of NSCLC and guide clinical decision better.

In conclusion, we proposed a radiomics model established by logistic regression to predict LNM in NSCLC, and this model could guide clinical decision better. The performance of the radiomics model was much better than the traditional morphology model.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Gaofeng Shi. Data curation: Mengdi Cong, Haoyue Yao. Formal analysis: Haoyue Yao. Funding acquisition: Gaofeng Shi. Investigation: Mengdi Cong.

Methodology: Mengdi Cong, Gaofeng Shi.

Software: Mengdi Cong, Hui Liu, Liqiang Huang.

Writing – original draft: Mengdi Cong, Hui Liu.

Writing – review & editing: Gaofeng Shi.

Mengdi Cong orcid: 0000-0002-1371-2301.

#### References

- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016;66:115–32.
- [2] Palma JF, Das P, Liesenfeld O. Lung cancer screening: utility of molecular applications in conjunction with low-dose computed tomography guidelines. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2016;16:435–47.
- [3] Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Aisner DL, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer, version 5.2017, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2017;15:504–35.
- [4] Silvestri GA, Gould MK, Margolis ML, et al. Noninvasive staging of non-small cell lung cancer: ACCP evidenced-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest 2007;132(3 Suppl):178s–201s.
- [5] Walker CM, Chung JH, Abbott GF, et al. Mediastinal lymph node staging: from noninvasive to surgical. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012;199: W54–64.
- [6] Szlubowski A, Zieliński M, Soja J, et al. Accurate and safe mediastinal restaging by combined endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound-guided needle aspiration performed by single ultrasound bronchoscope. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;46:262–6.
- [7] Lv YL, Yuan DM, Wang K, et al. Diagnostic performance of integrated positron emission tomography/computed tomography for mediastinal lymph node staging in non-small cell lung cancer: a bivariate systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:1350–8.
- [8] Pham TD, Watanabe Y, Higuchi M, et al. Texture analysis and synthesis of malignant and benign mediastinal lymph nodes in patients with lung cancer on computed tomography. Sci Rep 2017;7:43209. DOI: 10.1038/ srep43209.
- [9] Roberts PF, Follette DM, von Haag D, et al. Factors associated with falsepositive staging of lung cancer by positron emission tomography. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;70:1154–9.
- [10] Kanzaki R, Higashiyama M, Fujiwara A, et al. Occult mediastinal lymph node metastasis in NSCLC patients diagnosed as clinical N0-1 by preoperative integrated FDG-PET/CT and CT: risk factors, pattern, and histopathological study. Lung Cancer 2011;71:333–7.
- [11] Verduzco-Aguirre HC, Lopes G, Soto-Perez-De-Celis E. Implementation of diagnostic resources for cancer in developing countries: a focus on PET/CT. Ecancermedicalscience 2019;13:ed. 87. DOI: 10.3332/ecancer. 2019.ed87.
- [12] Thawani R, McLane M, Beig N, et al. Radiomics and radiogenomics in lung cancer: a review for the clinician. Lung Cancer 2018;115:34–41.

- [13] Lambin P, Rios-Velazquez E, Leijenaar R, et al. Radiomics: extracting more information from medical images using advanced feature analysis. Eur J Cancer 2012;48:441–6.
- [14] Wu W, Parmar C, Grossmann P, et al. Exploratory study to identify radiomics classifiers for lung cancer histology. Front Oncol 2016; 6:71. DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2016.00071.
- [15] Gillies RJ, Kinahan PE, Hricak H. Radiomics: images are more than pictures, they are data. Radiology 2016;278:563–77.
- [16] Dong Y, Feng Q, Yang W, et al. Preoperative prediction of sentinel lymph node metastasis in breast cancer based on radiomics of T2weighted fat-suppression and diffusion-weighted MRI. Eur Radiol 2018;28:582–91.
- [17] Zhong Y, Yuan M, Zhang T, et al. Radiomics approach to prediction of occult mediastinal lymph node metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2018;211:109–13.
- [18] Liu Y, Kim J, Balagurunathan Y, et al. Prediction of pathological nodal involvement by CT-based radiomic features of the primary tumor in patients with clinically node-negative peripheral lung adenocarcinomas. Med Phys 2018;45:2518–26.
- [19] Nambu A, Kato S, Motosugi U, et al. Thin-section CT of the mediastinum in preoperative N-staging of non-small cell lung cancer: comparison with FDG PET. Eur J Radiol 2010;73:510–7.
- [20] Detterbeck FC, Chansky K, Groome P, et al. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: methodology and validation used in the development of proposals for revision of the stage classification of NSCLC in the forthcoming (Eighth) edition of the TNM classification of lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:1433–46.
- [21] van Griethuysen JJM, Fedorov A, Parmar C, et al. Computational radiomics system to decode the radiographic phenotype. Cancer Res 2017;77:e104–7.
- [22] Ye B, Cheng M, Li W, et al. Predictive factors for lymph node metastasis in clinical stage IA lung adenocarcinoma. Ann Thorac Surg 2014; 98:217–23.
- [23] Koike T, Yamato Y, Yoshiya K, et al. Predictive risk factors for mediastinal lymph node metastasis in clinical stage IA non-small-cell lung cancer patients. J Thorac Oncol 2012;7:1246–51.
- [24] Aoki T, Tomoda Y, Watanabe H, et al. Peripheral lung adenocarcinoma: correlation of thin-section CT findings with histologic prognostic factors and survival. Radiology 2001;220:803–9.
- [25] Huang YQ, Liang CH, He L, et al. Development and validation of a radiomics nomogram for preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2157–64.
- [26] Ji GW, Zhang YD, Zhang H, et al. Biliary tract cancer at CT: a radiomics-based model to predict lymph node metastasis and survival outcomes. Radiology 2019;290:90–8.
- [27] Han H, Zhao Y, Chen H. Selective versus systematic lymph node dissection (other than sampling) for clinical N2-negative non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. J Thorac Dis 2018;10:3428–35.