RESEARCH

Open Access

Cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk in relation to joint estrogen and progesterone receptor status: a case-control study in Japan

Yoshikazu Nishino¹, Yuko Minami^{1,2*}, Masaaki Kawai^{2,3}, Kayoko Fukamachi⁴, Ikuro Sato⁵, Noriaki Ohuchi³ and Yoichiro Kakugawa⁴

Abstract

An association of cigarette smoking with breast cancer risk has been hypothesized. However, results from previous studies have been inconsistent. This case-control study investigated the association of cigarette smoking with breast cancer risk in terms of estrogen-receptor/progesterone-receptor (ER/PgR) status. From among female patients aged 30 years and over admitted to a single hospital in Japan between 1997 and 2011, 1,263 breast cancer cases (672 ER +/PgR+, 158 ER+/PgR-, 22 ER-/PgR+, 308 ER-/PgR- and 103 missing) and 3,160 controls were selected. History of smoking (ever, never), some smoking-related measures, and passive smoking from husbands (ever, never) were assessed using a self-administered questionnaire. Polytomous logistic regression and tests for heterogeneity across ER+/PgR + and ER-/PgR- were conducted. For any hormone receptor subtype, no significant association was observed between history of smoking (ever, never) and breast cancer risk. Analysis of smoking-related measures revealed that starting to smoke at an early age of ≤19 years was significantly associated with an increased risk of postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer (odds ratio = 7.01, 95% confidence interval: 2.07-23.73). Other measures of smoking such as the number of cigarettes per day, the duration of smoking, and start of smoking before the first birth were not associated with breast cancer risk for any receptor subtype. There was no association between passive smoking (ever, never) and breast cancer risk for any of the four subtypes. These results indicate that history of smoking and passive smoking from husbands may have no overall effect on breast cancer risk for any hormone receptor subtype. However, it is possible that women who start to smoke as teenagers may have a higher risk of developing postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer. Further studies are needed to clarify the association of smoking with breast cancer risk, especially the role of starting to smoke at an early age.

Keywords: Breast cancer; Smoking; Passive smoking; Hormone receptor; Menopausal status; Case-control study

Introduction

Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide (Curado et al. 2007). Although Japan has a lower risk of breast cancer in comparison with Western countries, its incidence is first in terms of age-standardized rates among all female cancers, and it is increasing continuously (Matsuda et al. 2012; Minami et al. 2004). The established risk factors for breast cancer include menstrual and reproductive history, family history of breast cancer, some anthropometric measures such as tallness and postmenopausal obesity, and alcohol consumption (Kelsey et al. 1993; Kawai et al. 2010; Pharoah et al. 1997; Renehan et al. 2008; van den Brandt et al. 2000; Key et al. 2006). Most of these risk factors are not preventable.

Cigarette smoking is known to be a preventable risk factor for cancer including several major sites such as the lung and stomach (Minami and Tateno 2003; Katanoda et al. 2008). An association of cigarette smoking with breast cancer risk has also been hypothesized (Palmer and Rosenberg 1993), and numerous epidemiologic studies have investigated this issue. However, the results have been less consistent compared with those for other



© 2014 Nishino et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: adym@med.tohoku.ac.jp

¹Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Miyagi Cancer Center Research Institute, 47-1 Nodayama, Medeshima-Shiode, Natori, Miyagi 981-1293, Japan

²Division of Community Health, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8575, Japan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

smoking-related cancers. In Western countries, a pooled analysis of 53 epidemiologic studies (Hamajima et al. 2002) has showed that smoking was not associated with breast cancer risk, whereas a review by Terry and Rohan suggested an increased risk of breast cancer among women with specific characteristics such as smoking of long duration (Terry and Rohan 2002). A recent report from Canada indicated a positive association between active smoking and breast cancer risk (Johnson et al. 2011). The IARC Monograph in 2012 suggests the positive association, although evidence is limited (International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Secretan et al. 2009). In Japan, a systematic review including three cohort studies and eight case-control studies concluded that smoking possibly increases breast cancer risk (Nagata et al. 2006); however, only a few studies have demonstrated a significant association between smoking and an increased risk of breast cancer (Hanaoka et al. 2005).

From the viewpoint of biological mechanisms, the relationship between cigarette smoking and the development of breast cancer is likely a complex one. Tobacco smoke contains potential human breast carcinogens such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, aromatic amines, and N-nitrosamine (Zaridze and Peto 1986). These carcinogens may induce mammary tumors (Hecht 2002). Conversely, smoking has been postulated to have an antiestrogenic effect, which may also affect the development of breast cancer (Baron 1984; Tanko and Christiansen 2004). Taking into account these biological characteristics of cigarette smoke, its association with breast cancer risk may differ according to menopausal status and hormone receptor status. However, most studies have evaluated the overall association between cigarette smoking and the risk of breast cancer, which may account for the inconsistency in the results mentioned above. Few studies have focused on breast cancer risk with reference to hormone receptor status (Yoo et al. 1997; Manjer et al. 2001; Gammon et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2011).

To clarify the association of cigarette smoking with breast cancer risk according to menopausal status and hormone receptor status, we conducted a hospital-based case-control study. Data were obtained from women aged 30 years and over who were admitted to a single hospital in Miyagi Prefecture, Japan, between 1997 and 2011. Analyses were performed with reference to joint estrogen-receptor/progesterone-receptor (ER/PgR) status, i.e., ER+/PgR+, ER+/PgR-, ER-/PgR+, and ER-/PgR-.

Methods

Data collection

In January 1997, we began a questionnaire survey in connection with the present study. Information on lifestyle and personal history was collected from all patients at their first admission to the Miyagi Cancer Center Hospital (MCCH), using a self-administered questionnaire. The questionnaire was distributed to patients on the day of their reservation for initial admission, i.e., 10-15 days before admission, and collected by nurses on the day of actual admission. The purpose of the survey was stated on the cover page of the questionnaire. We considered the return of self-administered questionnaires signed by the patients to imply their consent to participate in the study.

The MCCH is located in the southern part of Miyagi Prefecture, and functions as a hospital for both cancer and benign diseases. Details of the questionnaire survey have already been described elsewhere (Minami and Tateno 2003; Minami et al. 2012; Kawai et al. 2012; Seki et al. 2013).

The questionnaire covered demographic characteristics, personal and family histories of cancer and other diseases including a family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters, general lifestyle factors before the development of current symptoms, including cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, exercise, occupation, menstrual and reproductive histories, and histories of oral contraceptive (OC) use and exogenous female hormone use. Items related to the referral status and area of residence were also included. Between January 1997 and December 2011, the questionnaire was distributed to 26,984 first-admitted patients, of whom 24,062 responded.

Study subjects

Cases and controls were selected from among 24,062 patients who responded to the questionnaire survey. To identify incident cases of female breast cancer, a list of the patients was linked with both the hospital-based cancer registry file and the disease registration database at MCCH. The cancer registry records all cancer cases confirmed by clinical, cytological and/or histopathological examination at the MCCH. Through the linkage, 24,062 patients were classified into 2,219 with a past history of cancer, 7,707 males with cancer, 1,309 females with breast cancer, 4,779 females with other cancers, and 8,048 non-cancer patients (4,170 males and 3,878 females). Among the 1,309 females with breast cancer, 1,302 aged 30 years and over were included as the study cases.

Controls were selected from among female non-cancer patients without history of cancer (n = 3,878). Patients with benign tumors have been classified as non-cancer patients for the present study. After excluding patients under 30 years, 3,587 female non-cancer patients aged 30 years and over were selected as possible controls, from whom 295 subjects with smoking-related disease were excluded. Finally, a total of 3,292 female noncancer patients were included as controls. Smokingrelated diseases were defined based on the procedure used in our previous studies (Minami and Tateno 2003; Seki et al. 2013). The diagnoses among the 295 excluded subjects were heart disease in 118, respiratory disease in 130, and benign tumors including the upper respiratory tract in 18, esophagus in 10 and urinary tract in 19. The diagnoses among the 3,292 controls were as follows: benign tumor 2,083 (63.3%), digestive tract disease 403 (12.2%), urologic-gynecologic disease 176 (5.4%), endocrine or metabolic disease 87 (2.6%), orthopedic disorder 54 (1.6%), other benign disease 207 (6.3%), and no abnormal findings 282 (8.6%). The sites of benign tumors were stomach in 156 subjects, colorectum in 532, lung in 21, breast in 44, gynecologic organs in 381, bone or connective tissue in 636, and other in 313.

This study was approved by the ethical review board of the Miyagi Cancer Center.

The final response rate for the questionnaire survey was 94.4% for the cases and 89.6% for the possible controls.

Assessment of cigarette smoking

Information on exposure, i.e., cigarette smoking, was collected from the above questionnaire survey. Exposure variables related to active smoking included history of smoking (never-, past-, current-smoker), age at the start of smoking (never, ≤ 19 , $\geq 20 - \leq 25$, ≥ 26 yr), whether smoking had started before the first birth (never, no, yes, uncertain), duration of smoking (never, $>0- \le 21$, >21), the mean number of cigarettes smoked per day during the smoking period (never, $>0- \le 10$, ≥ 11 yr), and the number of pack-years of smoking (never, $>0- \le 13$, >13). Subjects who quit smoking within one year before the present admission were regarded as current smokers. Pack-years of smoking were calculated by multiplying the duration of smoking by the mean number of cigarettes smoked per day divided by 20. Whether smoking had started before the first birth was defined by comparing the age at the start of smoking and the age at first birth. When age at the start of smoking was equal to the age at first birth, the case was assigned to the "uncertain" category. Cut-off points for age at the start of smoking were determined arbitrarily, considering the importance of the category for subjects who started smoking as teenagers. For the other three exposure variables, the mid-point among the controls was used as a cut-off point. Subjects for whom data on smoking history were missing [n = 39 (3.0%) for cases and n = 132(4.0%) for controls] were excluded from the subsequent analysis, leaving 1,263 cases and 3,160 controls.

The only exposure variable related to passive smoking was the husband's smoking status (never-, past-, currentsmoker). This information was obtained from married subjects. The risk for passive smoking was investigated only among never-smokers.

Hormone receptor status

Information on the expression of ER and PgR in breast cancers was extracted from medical records. To measure ER/PgR status, enzyme immunoassay (EIA) had been used in the early period of the study to determine hormone receptor status. After mid-2003, immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay was routinely conducted. The concordance between the two assays was 94.3% for ER and 100% for PgR in the laboratory of the MCCH (Kakugawa et al. 2007).

Statistical analysis

We used multiple polytomous unconditional logistic regression analysis to estimate hormone receptor-defined breast cancer risk. In the analysis, study subjects were categorized using the cut-off points for each exposure and odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for each category.

We considered the following variables to be potential confounders: age, year of recruitment, referral status (from screening, other), area of residence (Southern Miyagi prefecture, others), alcohol drinking (ever, never), occupation (professional or clerical work, industrial work or fishery, agriculture or forestry, other), age at menarche, age at menopause, menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal), reason for menopause (natural menopause, menopause for other reasons), parity number, age at first birth, family history of breast cancer in mother or sisters (yes, no), physical activity (almost no, more than one hour per week), body mass index (BMI), and history of use of exogenous female hormones or OCs (ever, never). Occupation classification was based on the categorization used in our previous study (Seki et al. 2013). Missing values were treated as an additional variable category.

We stratified case subjects according to joint hormone receptor status: ER+/PgR+, ER+/PgR-, ER-/PgR+, and ER-/PgR-. Stratification by menopausal status was also performed. Menopause was defined as the cessation of menstrual periods due to natural or other reasons, including surgery (Kawai et al. 2012). In the analysis stratified by menopausal status, we excluded cases with ER +/PgR- or ER-/PgR + tumors because these were too few to allow precise estimation of ORs in comparison with subjects who had ER+/PgR + or ER-/PgR- tumors.

Dose-response relationships were tested by treating each exposure category as a continuous variable. We conducted Wald tests for heterogeneity of breast cancer risk across ER+/PgR + and ER-/PgR-. Values were regarded as significant if the two-sided *P* values were <0.05. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

The baseline characteristics of the study subjects are presented in Table 1. Joint ER/PgR status was available for

			Cases			Controls
		ŀ	Hormone receptor			
	ER+/PgR+	ER+/PgR-	ER-/PgR+	ER-/PgR-	Missing	
Number of subjects	672	158	22	308	103	3160
Age group (years old) (%)						
30-39	6.4	3.2	9.1	4.9	9.7	9.7
40-49	26.5	17.1	45.5	22.4	12.6	17.8
50-59	27.8	28.5	22.7	30.8	35.0	22.1
60-69	22.9	32.9	13.6	23.1	18.5	25.2
70 ≤	16.4	18.4	9.1	18.8	24.3	25.3
Mean (years old)	56.7	59.5	52.3	57.8	58.7	59.0
SD	12.4	11.1	11.7	12.1	13.2	13.9
Menopausal status (%) ^a						
Premenopausal	41.1	22.2	45.5	29.9	23.3	29.6
Postmenopausal	54.4	74.0	40.9	63.3	46.6	65.1
Unknown menopausal status	4.5	3.8	13.6	6.8	30.1	5.3
Year of recruitment (%)						
1997-2003	30.1	38.0	54.6	42.9	52.4	52.7
2004-2011	69.9	62.0	45.5	57.1	47.6	47.3
Referrel status (%)						
From screening	21.7	20.3	18.2	15.3	7.8	18.2
Other	78.3	79.8	81.8	84.7	92.2	81.8
Area of residence (%)						
Southern Miyagi prefecture	83.5	85.4	81.8	82.8	79.6	88.3
Other area	16.5	14.6	18.2	17.2	20.4	11.7
Occupation (%)						
Agriculture or forestry	5.1	3.8	9.1	6.2	6.8	9.1
Industrial work or fishery	16.5	12.7	9.1	19.8	10.7	14.3
Professional or clerical work	45.2	46.8	40.9	37.7	33.0	36.0
Other ^b	22.2	26.6	27.3	23.7	30.1	24.5
Missing	11.0	10.1	13.6	12.7	19.4	16.0
BMI (kg/m2, %)						
< 18.5	5.1	6.3	-	5.2	9.7	5.9
18.5 ≤ < 25.0	59.4	63.9	59.1	66.6	62.1	63.9
25.0 ≤ < 30.0	26.8	24.7	40.9	22.4	21.4	25.3
30.0 ≤	8.3	5.1	-	5.5	3.9	4.0
Missing	0.5	-	-	0.3	2.9	1.0
Mean	24.0	23.5	24.2	23.3	23.0	23.4
SD	3.8	3.7	3.2	3.9	3.5	3.5
Time spent exercising (%)						
Almost no	50.5	49.4	45.5	51.3	50.5	48.6
1≤ hr per week	44.1	43.7	50.0	41.6	38.8	45.2
Missing	5.5	7.0	4.6	7.1	10.7	6.2

Table 1 Characteristics of cases and controls by hormone receptor status

Table 1 Characteristics of cases and controls by hormone receptor status (Continued)

Age at menarche (%)						
≤ 12	33.8	20.9	36.4	30.2	28.2	23.3
13	22.8	21.5	22.7	22.1	20.4	19.9
14	19.1	27.9	18.2	20.8	16.5	18.9
15 ≤	20.8	25.3	18.2	22.7	26.2	30.8
Missing	3.6	4.4	4.6	4.2	8.7	7.1
Age at menopause (%)						
< 48	12.3	6.0	22.2	11.8	18.8	12.5
48 ≤ < 51	30.6	29.9	22.2	24.1	41.7	26.9
51 ≤ < 54	18.6	27.4	33.3	25.1	27.1	19.7
54 ≤	13.9	15.4	11.1	12.3	12.5	8.7
Missing	24.6	21.4	11.1	26.7	0.0	32.2
Parity number (%)						
0	12.1	7.6	4.6	6.2	11.7	7.9
1	10.4	9.5	18.2	13.3	8.7	9.1
2	45.5	43.7	59.1	44.8	42.7	40.8
3 ≤	24.4	29.1	9.1	28.6	27.2	33.3
Missing	7.6	10.1	9.1	7.1	9.7	9.1
Age at first birth (%)						
≤ 24	31.9	38.6	9.1	37.7	36.9	41.1
25 ≤ ≤ 29	39.1	36.7	59.1	39.0	35.9	35.8
$30 \leq 50$	11.2	11.4	18.2	11.0	6.8	7.3
Missing	17.9	13.3	13.6	12.3	20.4	15.9
Use of exogenous female hormone	e or oral contraceptiv	/es (%)				
Never	81.1	79.8	90.9	83.1	76.7	78.7
Ever or current	9.8	12.0	90.9	9.7	11.7	9.1
Missing	9.1	8.2	90.9	7.1	11.7	12.3
Family history of breast cancer in n	nother or sister (%)					
No	90.8	87.3	81.8	88.6	94.2	96.0
Yes	9.2	12.7	18.2	11.4	5.8	4.0
Alcohol drinking (%)						
Never	67.6	79.8	77.3	70.5	75.7	72.6
Current or past	30.1	20.3	18.2	27.3	18.5	24.4
Missing	2.4	-	4.6	2.3	5.8	3.0
Smoking status (%)						
Never	82.6	81.7	77.3	83.1	82.5	83.2
Current	12.5	11.4	13.6	12.3	12.6	12.9
Past	4.9	7.0	9.1	4.6	4.9	3.9
Passive smoking (%)						
Number of subjects ^c	499	116	17	241	77	2405
Never	30.1	31.0	41.2	30.3	23.4	30.9
Past	23.9	21.6	-	19.9	14.3	20.3

Current	35.3	31.0	52.9	39.0	48.1	34.4
Missing	10.8	16a.4	5.9	10.8	14.3	14.5

Table 1 Characteristics of cases and controls by hormone receptor status (Continued)

^aMenopause was defined as the cessation of menstrual periods due to natural or other reasons including surgery.

^bHousehold wife/Domestic help/Student/Other.

^cHusband's smoking among never-smoking married women.

1,160 cases (91.8%): 672 were ER+/PgR+, 158 were ER +/PgR-, 22 were ER-/PgR+, and 308 were ER-/PgR-. Cases with ER-/PgR- tumors were less likely to have been referred from screening. Those with ER+/PgR + tumors were more likely to be heavier, and to be nulliparous.

Table 2 shows ORs and 95% CIs for exposure variables related to active smoking according to the four hormone receptor subtypes. No association between history of smoking (ever, never) and breast cancer risk was observed for either ER+/PgR + or ER-/PgR- type. For both ER+/PgR- and ER-/PgR + types, the OR for past smoking exceeded one; however, statistical test showed that this was not significant. Age at the start of smoking was not significantly associated with breast cancer risk for any of the subtypes, although the risk for the ER-/PgR + type was not fully evaluated due to the small number of cases. An increased risk for starting to smoke at an earlier age (OR = 1.55, 95%CI: 0.76-3.20 for \leq 19 years) was observed for the ER-/PgR- type, but this was not statistically significant. There was no association between having started smoking before the first birth and breast cancer risk for any of the subtypes. Analyses of the number of cigarettes smoked per day, the duration of smoking, and the number of pack-years demonstrated no significant association between these exposure variables and the risk for any subtype of breast cancer.

Table 3 shows the results for premenopausal women according to ER+/PgR + and ER-/PgR- status. History of smoking was not associated with breast cancer risk for any of the tumor subtypes. No association with breast cancer risk was also observed for age at the start of smoking, start of smoking before the first birth, the number of cigarettes smoked per day, and duration of smoking.

Table 4 shows the results according to ER+/PgR + and ER-/PgR- status among postmenopausal women. For both subtypes, no association with history of smoking was observed. However, an extremely high risk for ER-/PgR- cancer was found for start of smoking at an early age (OR = 7.01, 95%CI: 2.07-23.73 for \leq 19 years), although the confidence interval for this category was wide, and the trend test for age at the start of smoking failed to demonstrate any significance ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.71$). No other exposure variables were associated with breast cancer risk for either of the hormone receptor subtypes.

Tables 5 and 6 show the association with passive smoking. Overall analysis demonstrated no association

between passive smoking and breast cancer risk for any of the four tumor subtypes, although the risk of the ER-/ PgR + type may have been uncertain due to the small number of cases (Table 5). On the basis of menopausal status, passive smoking was not associated with either ER+/PgR +or ER-/PgR- tumor type in either pre- or post-menopausal women (Table 6).

Discussion

This hospital-based case-control study was designed to investigate the association between smoking and breast cancer risk in relation to joint hormone receptor status. The risks for history of smoking (ever, never) and various smoking-related measures, including age at the start of smoking, whether an individual started smoking before her first birth, the number of cigarettes smoked per day, the duration of smoking, and the number of packyears, and passive smoking from husbands (ever, never) were evaluated, and analysis based on menopausal status was also performed. Evidence for smoking-related breast cancer risk in relation to hormone receptor subtype has been limited in both Japan and Western countries (Terry and Rohan 2002; Althuis et al. 2004). Therefore, our result is important for helping to clarify the impact of smoking on breast cancer risk.

Although the results from previous studies have been inconsistent with regard to the overall association between smoking and breast cancer risk (Hamajima et al. 2002; Terry and Rohan 2002; Johnson et al. 2011; International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Nagata et al. 2006), those related to hormone receptor status have also been inconsistent. Some studies have reported a positive association between ever smoking and the risk of ER + cancer (Yoo et al. 1997; London et al. 1989; Morabia et al. 1998; Gaudet et al. 2013), whereas others have reported a positive association for ER- cancer, or no association (Morabia et al. 1998; Cooper et al. 1989). Although studies focusing on PgR have been few, a cohort study by Manjer et al. found an increased risk of PgR- cancer among ex-smokers and an increased risk of ER-/PgR- cancer among current or ex-smokers (Manjer et al. 2001). In contrast, a case-control study from Japan reported that ever smoking was associated with an increased risk of PgR + cancer (Yoo et al. 1997). A recent cohort study from the US demonstrated a positive association between smoking and the risk of ER+/PgR + cancer among postmenopausal women (Luo et al. 2011).

		ER+/PgR+ (n = 672)					ER+/PgR- (n = 158)					ER-/PgR+ (n = 22)					ER-/P	gR- (n = 308)		Pheterogeneity
	Controls	Cases	OR	95%	6 CI	р	Cases	OR	95% CI	р	Cases	OR	95%	% CI	р	Cases	OR	95% CI	р	ER+/PgR+ vs ER-/PgR-
History of smok	king																			
Never smoker	2629	555	1	(Referen	ice)		129	1	(Reference)		17	1	(Referer	nce)		256	1	(Reference)		
Current	408	84	0.86	0.65 -	1.14		18	0.99	0.58 - 1.69		3	1.06	0.28 -	4.12		38	0.84	0.57 - 1.23		
Past	123	33	0.92	0.60 -	1.41		11	1.80	0.92 - 3.53		2	2.53	0.49 -	12.96		14	1.02	0.57 - 1.83		
Ever	531	117	0.88	0.68 -	1.12		29	1.20	0.76 - 1.88		5	1.40	0.45 -	4.32		52	0.88	0.63 - 1.24		0.96
Age at the start	of smoking	g (years)																		
Never smoker	2629	555	1	(Referen	ice)		129	1	(Reference)		17	1	(Referer	nce)		256	1	(Reference)		
26 ≤	166	28	0.85	0.55 -	1.31		10	1.22	0.61 - 2.43		0	-		-		13	0.73	0.40 - 1.33		
$20 \leq 25$	253	63	0.90	0.65 -	1.24		12	1.01	0.52 - 1.94		4	2.12	0.60 -	7.51		25	0.89	0.56 - 1.41		
≤ 19	60	16	0.91	0.49 -	1.67		2	0.83	0.19 - 3.59		0	-		-		10	1.55	0.76 - 3.20		
p for trend						0.42				0.94					0.58				0.95	0.57
Smoking started	d before firs	st birth																		
Never smoker	2629	555	1	(Referen	ice)		129	1	(Reference)		17	1	(Referer	nce)		256	1	(Reference)		
No	179	30	0.86	0.56 -	1.31		9	0.99	0.48 - 2.03		-	-		-		14	0.70	0.40 - 1.26		
Yes	193	53	0.95	0.67 -	1.35		12	1.36	0.70 - 2.65		4	2.30	0.63 -	8.38		23	0.97	0.60 - 1.59		
Uncertain	27	6	1.02	0.40 -	2.62		1	0.96	0.13 - 7.39		-	-		-		3	0.97	0.28 - 3.31		
Number of ciga	arettes per o	day																		
Never smoker	2629	555	1	(Referen	ice)		129	1	(Reference)		17	1	(Referer	nce)		256	1	(Reference)		
0 < ≤ 10	255	58	0.87	0.62 -	1.20		13	1.12	0.60 - 2.09		3	1.90	0.47 -	7.71		22	0.77	0.48 - 1.25		
11 ≤	229	51	0.92	0.65 -	1.30		11	1.01	0.52 - 1.97		1	0.61	0.07 -	5.15		25	0.99	0.63 - 1.56		
p for trend						0.47				0.87					0.94				0.68	0.92
Duration of smo	oking (years	5)																		
Never smoker	2629	555	1	(Referen	ice)		129	1	(Reference)		17	1	(Referer	nce)		256	1	(Reference)		
0 < ≤ 21	242	48	0.70	0.49 -	1.00		11	1.02	0.52 - 2.02		2	1.07	0.21 -	5.50		21	0.78	0.47 - 1.29		
21 <	226	52	1.00	0.71 -	1.40		12	1.09	0.58 - 2.06		2	1.52	0.31 -	7.41		25	1.01	0.64 - 1.59		
p for trend						0.49				0.80					0.63				0.77	0.85

Table 2 Odds ratio (OR) according to smoking status by hormone receptor status

Table 2 Odds ratio (OR) according to smoking status by normone receptor stati	able 2 Odds ratio (OR) accordir	ng to smoking status l	by hormone recept	tor status (Continued)
---	---------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------	------------------------

Pack-years											
Never smoker	2629	555	1 (Reference)	129	1 (Reference)	17	1 (Reference)	256	1 (Reference)		
0 < ≤ 13	238	48	0.71 0.50 - 1.02	12	1.18 0.61 - 2.27	3	1.67 0.41 - 6.86	17	0.63 0.37 - 1.09		
13 <	225	52	1.01 0.72 - 1.42	10	0.89 0.45 - 1.76	1	0.75 0.09 - 6.24	28	1.13 0.73 - 1.75		
p for trend				0.54		0.88		0.94		0.97	0.71

All models were adjusted by age (continuous), BMI (< 18.5, 18.5 \leq < 25.0, 25.0 \leq < 30.0, 30.0 \leq), occupation (professional or clerical work, industrial work or fishery, agriculture or forestry, other), physical activity (almost no, more than one hour per week), menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal), age at menarche (\leq 12, 13, 14, 15 \leq), age at menopause (< 48, 48 \leq < 51, 51 \leq < 54, 54 \leq), age at first birth (\leq 24, 25 \leq 29, 30 \leq < 50), family history of breast cancer in mother or sister (yes, no), parity number (0, 1, 2, 3 \leq), use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives (yes, no), referrel status (from screening, other), year of recruitment (continuous), area of residence (Southern Miyagi prefecture, other area) and alcohol drinking (never, current or past).

							Preme	enopausal						
		ER-	+/PgR+ (r	า = 27	6)			EF	R-/PgR- (r	n = 92)			
	Controls	Cases	OR	9	95% C	1	р	Cases	OR	9	95% C	1	р	P _{heterogeneity}
History of smokir	ng													
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
Current	220	51	0.89	0.60	-	1.32		20	0.92	0.52	-	1.64		
Past	49	21	1.09	0.59	-	2.02		3	0.49	0.14	-	1.69		
Ever	269	72	0.94	0.65	-	1.34		23	0.82	0.48	-	1.42		0.67
Age at the start of	of smoking (ye	ears)												
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
26 ≤	42	12	1.19	0.57	-	2.47		3	0.61	0.18	-	2.12		
$20 \leq 25$	167	40	0.80	0.52	-	1.24		16	0.93	0.50	-	1.74		
≤ 19	47	15	1.12	0.56	-	2.26		4	0.83	0.27	-	2.54		
p for trend							0.65						0.68	0.92
Smoking started	before first bi	rth												
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
No	56	12	0.75	0.36	-	1.57		3	0.43	0.12	-	1.48		
Yes	136	39	0.94	0.59	-	1.50		14	0.93	0.47	-	1.81		
Uncertain	14	4	0.95	0.28	-	3.23		2	1.15	0.23	-	5.63		
Number of cigare	ettets per day													
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
0 < ≤ 10	139	38	0.93	0.59	-	1.46		11	0.72	0.35	-	1.48		
11 ≤	118	30	0.92	0.56	-	1.50		11	0.87	0.42	-	1.78		
p for trend							0.68						0.53	0.75
Duration of smol	king (years)													
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
0 < ≤ 21	184	40	0.93	0.60	-	1.46		11	0.71	0.34	-	1.47		
21 <	69	23	0.79	0.45	-	1.39		10	0.94	0.44	-	2.01		
p for trend							0.41						0.63	0.93
Pack-years														
Never smoker	668	204		1 (Refer	ence)			69		1 (Refer	ence)			
0 < ≤ 13	165	37	0.82	0.52	-	1.29		9	0.56	0.26	-	1.21		
13 <	86	26	0.98	0.58	-	1.66		11	1.06	0.51	-	2.22		
p for trend							0.68						0.69	0.91

Table 3 Odds ratio (OR) among premenopausal women according to smoking status by hormone receptor status

All models were adjusted by age (continuous), BMI (< 18.5, 18.5 \leq < 25.0, 25.0 \leq < 30.0, 30.0 \leq), occupation (professional or clerical work, industrial work or fishery, agriculture or forestry, other), physical activity (almost no, more than one hour per week), age at menarche (\leq 12, 13, 14, 15 \leq), age at first birth (\leq 24, 25 \leq \leq 29, 30 \leq \leq 50), family history of breast cancer in mother or sister (yes, no), parity number (0, 1, 2, 3 \leq), use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives (yes, no), referrel status (from screening, other), year of recruitment (continuous), area of residence (Southern Miyagi prefecture, other area) and alcohol drinking (never, current or past).

The present study found that history of smoking (ever, never) had no overall effect on breast cancer risk for any hormone receptor subtype. However, a few smoking-related measures were associated with the risk of ER-/ PgR- cancer: the analysis for all subjects showed that having started to smoke at an early age of \leq 19 years was associated with an increased risk of ER-/PgR- cancer, although this did not reach statistically significance. According to menopausal status, starting to smoke at an

early age of \leq 19 years was significantly associated with an increased risk of postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer, although there was no linear relationship between age at the start of smoking and the risk of ER-/PgR- cancer. On other hand, no smoking-related measure was found to be associated with the risk of ER+/PgR + cancer in either pre- or postmenopausal women. Although the significant association of an early age at the start of smoking with the risk of postmenopausal ER-/PgR-

							Postm	enopausa	I							
	ER+/F			+/PgR+ (ı	n = 36	6)			ER-/PgR- (n = 195)							
	Controls	Cases	OR	95%	CI		р	Cases	OR		95% C	21	р			
History of smokir	ng															
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
Current	161	29	0.96	0.61	-	1.52		14	0.74	0.41	-	1.35				
Past	66	10	0.67	0.33	-	1.38		9	1.25	0.59	-	2.64				
Ever	227	39	0.87	0.58	-	1.29		23	0.88	0.55	-	1.44		0.95		
Age at the start of	of smoking (ye	ears)														
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
26 ≤	113	14	0.69	0.38	-	1.25		10	0.87	0.44	-	1.75				
$20 \leq 25$	72	20	1.30	0.74	-	2.31		5	0.52	0.20	-	1.34				
≤ 19	8	0	-	-	-	-		5	7.01	2.07	-	23.73				
p for trend							-						0.71	-		
Smoking started	before first bi	rth														
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
No	112	16	0.81	0.46	-	1.44		10	0.83	0.42	-	1.67				
Yes	51	12	1.06	0.53	-	2.10		8	1.17	0.52	-	2.61				
Uncertain	9	2	1.28	0.23	-	7.28		0	-	-	-	-				
Number of cigar	ettes per day															
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
0 < ≤ 10	108	16	0.74	0.41	-	1.33		7	0.56	0.25	-	1.26				
11 ≤	88	19	1.14	0.65	-	1.98		13	1.35	0.72	-	2.55				
p for trend							0.99						0.79	0.82		
Duration of smol	king (years)															
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
0 < ≤ 21	48	7	0.69	0.30	-	1.62		8	1.36	0.61	-	3.03				
21 <	138	25	0.93	0.57	-	1.50		12	0.78	0.41	-	1.49				
p for trend							0.62						0.61	0.92		
Pack-years																
Never smoker	1829	327		1 (Refer	ence)			172		1 (Refe	rence)					
0 < ≤ 13	65	9	0.67	0.31	-	1.43		6	0.76	0.31	-	1.83				
13 <	118	23	1.01	0.61	-	1.67		14	1.09	0.59	-	2.00				
p for trend							0.78						0.94	0.80		

Table 4 Odds ratio (OR) among postmenopausal women according to smoking status by hormone receptor status

All models were adjusted by age (continuous), BMI (< 18.5, 18.5 \leq < 25.0, 25.0 \leq < 30.0, 30.0 \leq), occupation (professional or clerical work, industrial work or fishery, agriculture or forestry, other), physical activity (almost no, more than one hour per week), age at menarche (\leq 12, 13, 14, 15 \leq), age at menopause (< 48, 48 \leq < 51, 51 \leq < 54, 54 \leq), reson of menopause (natural, others including surgery), age at first birth (\leq 24, 25 \leq 29, 30 \leq \leq 50), family history of breast cancer in mother or sister (yes, no), parity number (0, 1, 2, 3 \leq), use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives (yes, no), referrel status (from screening, other), year of recruitment (continuous), area of residence (Southern Miyagi prefecture, other area) and alcohol drinking (never, current or past).

cancer must be interpreted carefully because of the wide confidence interval, this finding suggests that early exposure to tobacco smoke may stimulate the development of ER-/PgR- cancer. Cell-proliferative activity in the breast is known to be very high during the teenage period (Potten et al. 1988), and therefore during this period breast tissue may be especially susceptible to tobacco smoke (Terry and Rohan 2002; Palmer et al. 1991). Furthermore, detailed analysis of our data found that postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer cases and controls who starting smoking at \leq 19 years tended to be heavy, long-term smokers (data not shown). Thus, some subjects with particular characteristics who start smoking as teenagers may have a higher risk of developing postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer. To our knowledge, previous studies have never evaluated the effect of age at the start of smoking on ER-/PgR cancer risk. To confirm whether age at the start of smoking is indeed related to the risk

Table 5 Odds ratio	(OR) according to	passive smoking b	y hormone receptor status

	ER+	/PgR+	(n = 445)	ER	+/PgR-	· (n = 97)	ER	-/PgR-	⊦ (n = 16)	ER-	/PgR-	Pheterogeneity		
		Cases	OR	95% Cl	Cases	OR	95% CI	Cases	OR	95% Cl	Cases	OR	95% Cl	ER+/PgR+ vs ER-/PgR-
Passive sm	oking (husl	oand's s	moking)										
Never smoker	744	150	1 (F	Reference)	36	1 (Reference)	7	1 ((Reference)	73	1 (Reference)	
Current	826	176	1.13	0.88 - 1.47	36	0.99	0.60 - 1.61	9	1.72	0.56 - 5.27	94	1.17	0.83 - 1.63	
Past	487	119	1.11	0.84 - 1.47	25	0.96	0.56 - 1.65	0	-		48	0.93	0.63 - 1.38	
Ever	1313	295	1.13	0.90 - 1.42	61	0.98	0.63 - 1.51	9	1.01	0.34 - 2.98	142	1.07	0.79 - 1.46	0.78
Analyzed f	or never-sn	noking r	narried	women.										

Adjusted by age (continuous), BMI (< 18.5, 18.5 \leq 25.0, 25.0 \leq 30.0, 30.0 \leq), occupation (professional or clerical work, industrial work or fishery, agriculture or forestry, other), physical activity (almost no, more than one hour per week), menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal), age at menarche (\leq 12, 13, 14, 15 \leq), age at menopause (< 48, 48 \leq < 51, 51 \leq < 54, 54 \leq), age at first birth (\leq 24, 25 \leq 29, 30 \leq 50), family history of breast cancer in mother or sister (yes, no), parity number (0, 1, 2, 3 \leq), use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives (yes, no), referrel status (from screening, other), year of recruitment (continuous), area of residence (Southern Miyagi prefecture, other area) and alcohol drinking (never, current or past).

of ER-/PgR- cancer, further studies including cohort studies are required.

The association of smoking with breast cancer risk observed in previous studies has been explained from the viewpoint of biological mechanisms. First, tobacco smoke contains carcinogenic substances, which may increase the risk of breast cancer regardless of hormone receptor subtype (International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Hecht 2002; Luo et al. 2011). Actually, metabolites of tobacco smoke have been detected in the breast fluid or tissues of smokers (Petrakis et al. 1978). However, it has been demonstrated that specific genotypes such as slow N-acetyltransferase 2 genotype affect the enzyme activity for the detoxification of tobacco smoke carcinogens (International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Ambrosone et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2010). The effects of tobacco carcinogens may modified by polymorphism in some genes (International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Ambrosone et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2010; Rabstein et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2007). Second, antiestrogenic effects of smoking may affect the risk of breast cancer (Baron 1984; Tanko and Christiansen 2004; MacMahon et al. 1982). The null association with the risk of receptor-positive breast cancer demonstrated in the present study may have been attributable to such effects. However, the antiestrogenic effects of tobacco smoke on breast cancer risk are not straightforward. A review by Terry et al. indicated that such antiestrogenic effects may be modified by some factors including exogenous female hormone use (Terry and Rohan 2002). The difference in the results between studies conducted in Japan and Western countries may be partly attributable to differences in lifestyles among the study populations. In Japan, far fewer women use exogenous female hormones than in Western countries (IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans 2007). Although we adjusted for the use of exogenous female hormones in our present statistical analysis, other unspecified hormone-related factors might have confounded the association between smoking and breast cancer risk.

In relation to the breast cancer risk posed by passive smoking, some previous studies, mainly case-control studies, have indicated that passive smoking is associated with an increased risk of premenopausal breast cancer (Johnson et al. 2011; International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012; Hanaoka et al. 2005). Most cohort studies have found no association for passive smoking (International Agency for Research on Cancer 2012), whereas one cohort study in Japan observed a significant inverse association (Nishino et al. 2001). Although evidence related to hormone receptor subtype have been limited, one case-control study found no association between passive smoking and any of the joint hormone receptor subtypes (Gammon et al. 2004). A recent cohort study from the US indicated that postmenopausal women who had never smoked, but who had been extensively exposed to passive smoking, had a significantly increased risk of breast cancer; however, analysis according to hormone receptor subtype found no significant association with any subtype (Luo et al. 2011). In the present study, in terms of hormone receptor status, no association was observed between passive smoking from husbands and the risk of breast cancer. As there has been some variation in the estimated parameters of passive smoking among previous studies and ours, it may be unreasonable to compare the results directly. However, these studies suggest that the impact of passive smoking on breast cancer risk may not be so large for any tumor subtype.

The present study had both strengths and limitations. In hospital-based case-control studies like ours, some methodological problems are likely to influence the results. First, we considered comparability between the

Table 6 Odds ratio (OR) according to passive smoking by menopausal and hormone receptor status

					Preme	enopaus	al ^a				Postmenopausal ^b							
		ER	+/PgR-	+ (n = 16	6)	E	R-/PgF	R- (n =	63)			ER	+/PgR	+ (n = 262)	EF	R-/PgR	- (n = 137)	
	Controls	Cases	OR	95%	CI	Cases	OR	9	5% CI	P heterogeneity	Controls	Cases	OR	95% CI	Cases	OR	95% CI	P heterogeneity
Passive smoking	g (husband's	smoking	g)															
Never smoker	158	54	1	(Reference	ce)	21	1	(Refer	rence)		547	90	1	(Reference)	48	1	(Reference)	
Current	288	81	0.96	0.61 -	1.52	35	0.96	0.51	- 1.81		486	85	1.19	0.85 - 1.68	52	1.28	0.83 - 1.97	
Past	105	31	0.76	0.42 -	1.37	7	0.53	0.20	- 1.38		363	87	1.31	0.93 - 1.84	37	1.02	0.64 - 1.63	
Ever	393	112	0.90	0.59 -	1.39	42	0.85	0.46	- 1.56	0.86	849	172	1.25	0.93 - 1.68	89	1.16	0.79 - 1.70	0.74
Apply and for pr	war cmaking	a marriad																

Analyzed for never-smoking married women.

^aAdjusted by age, BMI, occupation, physical activity, age at menarche, age at first birth, family history of breast cancer in mother or sister, parity number, use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives, referrel status, year of recruitment, area of residence and alcohol drinking.

^bAdjusted by age, BMI, occupation, physical activity, age at menarche, age at menopause, reson of menopause (natural, others including surgery), age at first birth, family history of breast cancer in mother or sister, parity number, use of exogenous female hormone or oral contraceptives, referrel status, year of recruitment, area of residence and alcohol drinking.

cases and the controls. We selected the controls from among patients admitted to the same hospital as the cases. The participation rates were high for both cases and controls. However, the distribution of risk factors among the control subjects may have differed from that in the general population. To improve comparability between the cases and controls, we excluded patients with disease believed to have been related to smoking from the controls. Consequently, the proportion of ever smokers among the controls (16.6%) was comparable to that in the general population of Miyagi Prefecture (20.5%) (Report on Health Survey in Miyagi. Sendai: Miyagi Prefecture 2005). Additionally, statistical analyses were appropriately controlled for background characteristics such as area of residence and referral status. Although persistent bias might have existed, it is likely that any problems with comparability would have been weakened. Second, it is necessary to consider the possibility of information bias. In particular, some individuals might have changed their smoking habits after contracting diseases, possibly resulting in misclassification of smoking status. However, since the questionnaire was given to each woman on the day of reservation for her first admission to the MCCH before any definite diagnosis or treatment, any recall bias would likely have been minimal. Furthermore, as both cases and controls were selected from among patients admitted to the same hospital, the controls were not healthy subjects. Therefore, even though their smoking habits might have changed, the patterns of change in the cases and controls would have been comparable. Any information bias is unlikely to have distorted our present results. Third, detailed information on passive smoking was not available in the present study. Only information about passive smoking from husbands among married women was included in the analysis. Due to the lack of data on exposure to tobacco smoke during childhood and occupational passive smoking exposure, the role of passive smoking might not have been fully evaluated.

One of the strengths of our study was that breast cancer risk factors such as reproductive factors, BMI and alcohol drinking were controlled for in the analysis. Thus, our study evaluated the independent effects of smoking on breast cancer risk. Some previous studies had not been considered the confounding effects of such risk factors (Palmer and Rosenberg 1993; Nagata et al. 2006; Johnson 2005). Another strength was the low rate of missing data (8.2%) for hormone receptor status. Compared with our present study, the rates of missing data in some previous studies, including cohort studies, were relatively high (Yoo et al. 1997; London et al. 1989).

In conclusion, the present case-control study found no association between history of smoking (ever, never) and breast cancer risk for any hormone receptor subtype. There was also no association between passive smoking (ever, never) from husbands and the risk of breast cancer. However, detailed analysis of smoking-related measures showed that an early age at the start of smoking of ≤19 years was significantly associated with an increased risk of postmenopausal ER-/PgR- cancer, suggesting that early exposure to tobacco smoke may stimulate the development of ER-/PgR- cancer. Other smoking-related measures such as the number of cigarettes smoked per day, the duration of smoking, and the number of packyears were not associated with breast cancer risk for any hormone receptor subtype. Cigarette smoking, which may be a preventable risk factor, has complicated effects including direct carcinogenic and antiestrogenic effects. Further studies including bigger, pooled cohort studies are required to clarify the association of smoking with breast cancer risk.

Abbreviations

ER: Estrogen-receptor; PgR: Progesterone-receptor; MCCH: The Miyagi Cancer Center Hospital; OC: Oral contraceptive; EIA: Enzyme immunoassay; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; BMI: Body mass index.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

YN, YM, MK, YK participated in the conception and design of the study. YN, YM, MK, KF, IS, YK participated in the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data. All authors have been involved in drafting the manuscript and have given final approval of the version to be published. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by KAKENHI, including a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (23390169) and a 3rd Term Comprehensive Control Research Grant for Cancer (H23-Sanjigan-shitei-002) from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan.

Author details

¹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Miyagi Cancer Center Research Institute, 47-1 Nodayama, Medeshima-Shiode, Natori, Miyagi 981-1293, Japan. ² Division of Community Health, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8575, Japan. ³ Department of Surgical Oncology, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8574, Japan. ⁴ Department of Breast Oncology, Miyagi Cancer Center Hospital, 47-1 Nodayama, Medeshima-Shiode, Natori, Miyagi 981-1293, Japan. ⁵ Department of Pathology, Miyagi Cancer Center Hospital, 47-1 Nodayama, Medeshima-Shiode, Natori, Miyagi 981-1293, Japan.

Received: 21 January 2014 Accepted: 22 January 2014 Published: 3 February 2014

References

- Althuis MD, Fergenbaum JH, Garcia-Closas M, Brinton LA, Madigan MP, Sherman ME (2004) Etiology of hormone receptor-defined breast cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 13(10):1558–1568
- Ambrosone CB, Kropp S, Yang J, Yao S, Shields PG, Chang-Claude J (2008) Cigarette smoking, N-acetyltransferase 2 genotypes, and breast cancer risk: pooled analysis and meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17(1):15–26, doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0598
- Baron JA (1984) Smoking and estrogen-related disease. Am J Epidemiol 119(1):9–22

Cooper JA, Rohan TE, Cant EL, Horsfall DJ, Tilley WD (1989) Risk factors for breast cancer by oestrogen receptor status: a population-based case-control study. Br J Cancer 59(1):119–125

Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR, Storm H, Ferlay J, Heanue M, Boyle P (2007) Cancer incidence in five continents, Vol. IX. In, vol IX. vol No. 160. IARC Scientific Publications No. 160, Lyon, France

- Gammon MD, Eng SM, Teitelbaum SL, Britton JA, Kabat GC, Hatch M, Paykin AB, Neugut AI, Santella RM (2004) Environmental tobacco smoke and breast cancer incidence. Environ Res 96(2):176–185, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2003.08.009
- Gaudet MM, Gapstur SM, Sun J, Diver WR, Hannan LM, Thun MJ (2013) Active smoking and breast cancer risk: original cohort data and meta-analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 105(8):515–525, doi:10.1093/jnci/djt023
- Hamajima N, Hirose K, Tajima K, Rohan T, Calle EE, Heath CW Jr, Coates RJ, Liff JM, Talamini R, Chantarakul N, Koetsawang S, Rachawat D, Morabia A, Schuman L, Stewart W, Szklo M, Bain C, Schofield F, Siskind V, Band P, Coldman AJ, Gallagher RP, Hislop TG, Yang P, Kolonel LM et al (2002) Alcohol, tobacco and breast cancer–collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 53 epidemiological studies, including 58,515 women with breast cancer and 95,067 women without the disease. Br J Cancer 87(11):1234–1245, doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6600596
- Hanaoka T, Yamamoto S, Sobue T, Sasaki S, Tsugane S, Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study on C, Cardiovascular Disease Study G (2005) Active and passive smoking and breast cancer risk in middle-aged Japanese women. Int J Cancer 114(2):317–322, doi:10.1002/ijc.20709
- Hecht SS (2002) Tobacco smoke carcinogens and breast cancer. Environ Mol Mutagen 39(2–3):119–126
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans (2007) Combined estrogen-progestogen contraceptives and combined estrogenprogestogen menopausal therapy. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, vol 91. IARC Press, Lyon, France
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (2012) A Review of Human Carcinogens: Personal Habits and Indoor Combustions, 100Eth edn, IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. IARC Press, Lyon, France
- Johnson KC (2005) Accumulating evidence on passive and active smoking and breast cancer risk. Int J Cancer 117(4):619–628, doi:10.1002/ijc.21150
- Johnson KC, Miller AB, Collishaw NE, Palmer JR, Hammond SK, Salmon AG, Cantor KP, Miller MD, Boyd NF, Millar J, Turcotte F (2011) Active smoking and secondhand smoke increase breast cancer risk: the report of the Canadian Expert Panel on Tobacco Smoke and Breast Cancer Risk (2009). Tob Control 20(1):e2, doi:10.1136/tc.2010.035931
- Kakugawa Y, Minami Y, Tateno H, Inoue H, Fujiya T (2007) Relation of serum levels of estrogen and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate to hormone receptor status among postmenopausal women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer 14(3):269–276
- Katanoda K, Marugame T, Saika K, Satoh H, Tajima K, Suzuki T, Tamakoshi A, Tsugane S, Sobue T (2008) Population attributable fraction of mortality associated with tobacco smoking in Japan: a pooled analysis of three large-scale cohort studies. J Epidemiol 18(6):251–264
- Kawai M, Minami Y, Kuriyama S, Kakizaki M, Kakugawa Y, Nishino Y, Ishida T, Fukao A, Tsuji I, Ohuchi N (2010) Reproductive factors, exogenous female hormone use and breast cancer risk in Japanese: the Miyagi Cohort Study. Cancer Causes Control 21(1):135–145, doi:10.1007/s10552-009-9443-7
- Kawai M, Kakugawa Y, Nishino Y, Hamanaka Y, Ohuchi N, Minami Y (2012) Reproductive factors and breast cancer risk in relation to hormone receptor and menopausal status in Japanese women. Cancer Sci 103(10):1861–1870, doi:10.1111/j.1349-7006.2012.02379.x
- Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM (1993) Reproductive factors and breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev 15(1):36–47
- Key J, Hodgson S, Omar RZ, Jensen TK, Thompson SG, Boobis AR, Davies DS, Elliott P (2006) Meta-analysis of studies of alcohol and breast cancer with consideration of the methodological issues. Cancer Causes Control 17(6):759–770, doi:10.1007/s10552-006-0011-0
- London SJ, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Rosner BA, Speizer FE (1989) Prospective study of smoking and the risk of breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 81(21):1625–1631
- Luo J, Margolis KL, Wactawski-Wende J, Horn K, Messina C, Stefanick ML, Tindle HA, Tong E, Rohan TE (2011) Association of active and passive smoking with risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women: a prospective cohort study. BMJ 342:d1016, doi:10.1136/bmj.d1016
- MacMahon B, Trichopoulos D, Cole P, Brown J (1982) Cigarette smoking and urinary estrogens. N Engl J Med 307(17):1062–1065, doi:10.1056/ NEJM198210213071707

- Manjer J, Malina J, Berglund G, Bondeson L, Garne JP, Janzon L (2001) Smoking associated with hormone receptor negative breast cancer. Int J Cancer 91(4):580–584
- Matsuda T, Marugame T, Kamo K, Katanoda K, Ajiki W, Sobue T, Japan Cancer Surveillance Research G (2012) Cancer incidence and incidence rates in Japan in 2006: based on data from 15 population-based cancer registries in the monitoring of cancer incidence in Japan (MCIJ) project. Jpn J Clin Oncol 42(2):139–147, doi:10.1093/jjco/hyr184
- Minami Y, Tateno H (2003) Associations between cigarette smoking and the risk of four leading cancers in Miyagi Prefecture, Japan: a multi-site case-control study. Cancer Sci 94(6):540–547
- Minami Y, Tsubono Y, Nishino Y, Ohuchi N, Shibuya D, Hisamichi S (2004) The increase of female breast cancer incidence in Japan: emergence of birth cohort effect. Int J Cancer 108(6):901–906, doi:10.1002/ijc.11661
- Minami Y, Nishino Y, Kawai M, Kakugawa Y (2012) Being breastfed in infancy and adult breast cancer risk among Japanese women. Cancer Causes Control 23(2):389–398, doi:10.1007/s10552-011-9888-3
- Morabia A, Bernstein M, Ruiz J, Heritier S, Diebold Berger S, Borisch B (1998) Relation of smoking to breast cancer by estrogen receptor status. Int J Cancer 75(3):339–342
- Nagata C, Mizoue T, Tanaka K, Tsuji I, Wakai K, Inoue M, Tsugane S, Research Group for the D, Evaluation of Cancer Prevention Strategies in J (2006) Tobacco smoking and breast cancer risk: an evaluation based on a systematic review of epidemiological evidence among the Japanese population. Jpn J Clin Oncol 36(6):387–394
- Nishino Y, Tsubono Y, Tsuji I, Komatsu S, Kanemura S, Nakatsuka H, Fukao A, Satoh H, Hisamichi S (2001) Passive smoking at home and cancer risk: a population-based prospective study in Japanese nonsmoking women. Cancer Causes Control 12(9):797–802
- Palmer JR, Rosenberg L (1993) Cigarette smoking and the risk of breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev 15(1):145–156
- Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Clarke EA, Stolley PD, Warshauer ME, Zauber AG, Shapiro S (1991) Breast cancer and cigarette smoking: a hypothesis. Am J Epidemiol 134(1):1–13
- Petrakis NL, Gruenke LD, Beelen TC, Castagnoli N Jr, Craig JC (1978) Nicotine in breast fluid of nonlactating women. Science 199(4326):303–305
- Pharoah PD, Day NE, Duffy S, Easton DF, Ponder BA (1997) Family history and the risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 71(5):800–809
- Potten CS, Watson RJ, Williams GT, Tickle S, Roberts SA, Harris M, Howell A (1988) The effect of age and menstrual cycle upon proliferative activity of the normal human breast. Br J Cancer 58(2):163–170
- Rabstein S, Bruning T, Harth V, Fischer HP, Haas S, Weiss T, Spickenheuer A, Pierl C, Justenhoven C, Illig T, Vollmert C, Baisch C, Ko YD, Hamann U, Brauch H, Pesch B (2010) N-acetyltransferase 2, exposure to aromatic and heterocyclic amines, and receptor-defined breast cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev 19(2):100–109, doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e328333fbb7
- Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M (2008) Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet 371(9612):569–578, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08) 60269-X
- Report on Health Survey in Miyagi. Sendai: Miyagi Prefecture (2005) http://www. pref.miyagi.jp/uploaded/attachment/109539.pdf (in Japanese). Accessed 12 Dec 2013
- Secretan B, Straif K, Baan R, Grosse Y, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Guha N, Freeman C, Galichet L, Cogliano V (2009) A review of human carcinogens–Part E: tobacco, areca nut, alcohol, coal smoke, and salted fish. Lancet Oncol 10(11):1033–1034
- Seki T, Nishino Y, Tanji F, Maemondo M, Takahashi S, Sato I, Kawai M, Minami Y (2013) Cigarette smoking and lung cancer risk according to histologic type in Japanese men and women. Cancer Sci 104(11):1515–1522, doi:10.1111/ cas.12273
- Tanko LB, Christiansen C (2004) An update on the antiestrogenic effect of smoking: a literature review with implications for researchers and practitioners. Menopause 11(1):104–109, doi:10.1097/01. GME.0000079740.18541.DB
- Terry PD, Rohan TE (2002) Cigarette smoking and the risk of breast cancer in women: a review of the literature. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 11(10 Pt 1):953–971
- van den Brandt PA, Spiegelman D, Yaun SS, Adami HO, Beeson L, Folsom AR, Fraser G, Goldbohm RA, Graham S, Kushi L, Marshall JR, Miller AB, Rohan T,

Smith-Warner SA, Speizer FE, Willett WC, Wolk A, Hunter DJ (2000) Pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies on height, weight, and breast cancer risk. Am J Epidemiol 152(6):514–527

- Yang J, Ambrosone CB, Hong CC, Ahn J, Rodriguez C, Thun MJ, Calle EE (2007) Relationships between polymorphisms in NOS3 and MPO genes, cigarette smoking and risk of post-menopausal breast cancer. Carcinogenesis 28(6):1247–1253, doi:10.1093/carcin/bgm016
- Yoo KY, Tajima K, Miura S, Takeuchi T, Hirose K, Risch H, Dubrow R (1997) Breast cancer risk factors according to combined estrogen and progesterone receptor status: a case-control analysis. Am J Epidemiol 146(4):307–314
- Zaridze DG, Peto R (1986) Tobacco : a major international health hazard. IARC scientific publications, 74th edn. IARC press, Lyon
- Zhang J, Qiu LX, Wang ZH, Wang JL, He SS, Hu XC (2010) NAT2 polymorphisms combining with smoking associated with breast cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 123(3):877–883, doi:10.1007/s10549-010-0807-1

doi:10.1186/2193-1801-3-65

Cite this article as: Nishino *et al.*: Cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk in relation to joint estrogen and progesterone receptor status: a case-control study in Japan. *SpringerPlus* 2014 **3**:65.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com