Oocyte related factors impacting on embryo quality: relevance for *in vitro* embryo production

Fabienne Nuttinck¹

¹Université Paris Saclay, Jouy en Josas, 78350, France.

Abstract

The outcome of pregnancy is closely linked to early events that occur during the onset of embryogenesis. The first stages in embryonic development are mainly governed by the storage of maternal factors present in the oocyte at the time of fertilisation. In this review, we outline the different classes of oocyte transcripts that may be involved in activation of the embryonic genome as well as those associated with epigenetic reprogramming, imprinting maintenance or the control of transposon mobilisation during preimplantation development. We also report the influence of cumulus-oocyte crosstalk during the maturation process on the oocyte transcriptome and how *in vitro* procedures can affect these interactions.

Keywords: cumulus cells, germinal-somatic interactions, maternal RNAs, oocyte maturation, preimplantation development.

Introduction

The notion of embryo quality refers to the capacity of an embryo to develop and support successful pregnancy to full term. In cattle, most failed pregnancies result from embryonic mortality that occurs before implantation, during the first two weeks after fertilisation (Berg et al., 2010; Lonergan et al., 2016). During this window, the fertilised oocyte undergoes profound morphological changes. Embryonic cell divisions and cavitation lead to blastocyst formation. The blastomeres segregate between the inner cell mass and trophectoderm which gives rise to initiation of the first lineages, namely the embryonic epiblast, extraembryonic hypoblast and trophoblast (Artus and Chazaud, 2014). The embryonic epiblast will give rise future intraembryonic tissues to all while extraembryonic tissues will be involved in forming the placenta (Ribeiro et al., 2016; Schroder et al., 2016). Cellular differentiation and lineage specification require prior resetting of the epigenetic information that is contained in each gamete to enable a return to totipotency. It also necessitates processes to restrict further expression to lineage-appropriate subsets of Epigenetic information participates genes. in mechanisms that preside over genetic information. This consists in a combination of marks such as DNA methylation and posttranslational histone tail modifications (Kouzarides, 2007). The extensive modifications of parental epigenetic marks that occur soon after fertilisation and are referred to as early epigenetic reprograming are involved in regulating gene expression throughout early development (Canovas and

Ross 2016; Sepulveda-Rincon et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2016). A small fraction of genes within the whole genome escapes from the extensive DNA methylation erasure that occurs in the zygote. These genes include imprinted genes that display different types of DNA methylation depending on their parental origin, leading to parental-allele-specific gene expression during development. Genome imprinting plays a key role in maintaining normal embryogenesis (Elhamamsy, 2017). In cattle, genomic reprogramming has been shown to be associated with an increase in the expression of transposable elements (TEs), specifically from a subclass of these elements called retrotransposons (Bui et al., 2009). Because the potential proliferation of TEs within the genome due to autonomous copy-and-paste mechanisms can result in genome instability and may damage the embryo, this transposon mobilisation needs to be constrained to permit normal embryo development.

Mammalian embryos are transcriptionally start of development. Early quiescent at the mainly embryogenesis is governed by posttranscriptional and post-translational events. The stockpile of maternal RNAs and proteins, which are stored within the oocyte during oogenesis, sustains the first stages of development until embryonic genome activation (EGA; Vigneault et al., 2009; Deutsch et al., 2014). Inherited factors from the oocyte contribute to epigenetic reprogramming and imprinting maintenance during early development (Canovas and Ross, 2016; Lodde et al., 2017). In cattle, factors that are likely to be involved in protecting the embryo against the deleterious effects of transposon mobilisation have been evidenced in the oocyte at the time of fertilisation (Russell et al., 2017). The time frame for the embryo transcription machinery to be fully functional varies between species (Telford et al., 1990). In cattle and sheep, the major EGA that conveys the transition from maternal to the embryonic control of embryo development occurs gradually from the 8- to the 16-cell stage (Graf et al., 2014). This process is considered to be one of the most critical events governing bovine embryo viability during preimplantation development and is closely associated with the nuclear and cytoplasmic characteristics of oocytes at the time of fertilisation (Gad et al., 2012).

Throughout this manuscript, we shall focus on several aspects of the oocyte transcriptome at the time of fertilization that are known to be related to a successful course of preimplantation development, and particularly with the achievement of EGA. We shall also discuss how cumulus-oocyte interactions can refine the oocyte transcriptome during the maturation process and how *in vitro* procedures may potentially affect these interactions and subsequent embryonic development in cattle.

Corresponding author: Fabienne Nuttinck fabienne.nuttinck@inra.fr Received: May 22, 2018 Aceppted: June 18, 2018

Impact of oocyte transcriptome on early embryo development

The storage of maternal RNA enclosed in the fertilised oocyte is involved in the control of early embryogenesis (Tadros and Lipshitz, 2009). In cattle, several maternal transcripts (also known as maternal effect genes) have been shown to play an essential role during the first embryonic cleavage cycles, activation of the embryonic transcription machinery, pluripotency gene expression and blastocyst cell allocation (Bettegowda et al., 2007; Tejomurtula et al., 2009; Tripurani et al., 2011a). During oogenesis, the oocyte actively synthetises and accumulates a large collection of coding and noncoding RNAs (Susor et al., 2016). Oocyte transcription gradually decreases during terminal differentiation within the preovulatory follicle, ceasing finally with the resumption of meiosis. Maternal RNAs are stabilised and stored so that they will be available over a period of several days that include embryo transcription silencing. RNA stabilisation mechanisms such as the deadenylation and capping processes have been shown to control the translation of several key mRNAs in embryos in a timely manner (Kim and Richter 2007; Richter, 2007; Weill et al., 2012). The extent of maternal transcript polyadenylation is positively correlated with translation efficiency. For example, JY-1, a maternal effect factor identified in the bovine oocyte, is required to reach the embryonic 8- to 16-cell stage and complete EGA (Bettegowda et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2014). JY-1 transcripts display temporal variations in their adenylation status throughout the initial cleavages. The abundance of polyadenylated JY-1 mRNA is low during oocyte maturation; it increases at the pronuclear and 4-cell stages, and then decreases to almost undetectable levels after the 16-cell stage of embryo development. In contrast, the amount of total (deadenylated + polyadenylated) JY-1 mRNA, which is highest in the immature oocyte, gradually decreases to become undetectable after the 16-cell stage. These changes to transcript adenylation lead to dynamically regulated JY-1 mRNA translation during early development.

Deadenylation and readenylation mechanisms not always involved in regulating mRNA are translation. The expression of Jumonji domaincontaining protein 3 (JMJD3) during the early development of bovine embryos is a paradigm. JMJD3 belongs to the Jumonji family of genes that are epigenetic regulators. JMJD3 is a lysine demethylase associated with histone demethylation. Its activity is required for the removal of trimethylated histone 3 lvsine 27 (H3K27me3) marks during the reprogramming process (Canovas et al., 2012). The histone H3K27me3 mark is involved in the silencing of gene expression. At fertilisation, H3K27me3 marks have to be removed from the gametic chromatin in order to reactivate silenced genes, thus enabling EGA and development to the blastocyst stage (Bogliotti and Ross, 2012). The level of JMJD3 mRNA, which is high in MII-stage oocytes, decreases from the zygote to the 16cell stage. JMJD3 protein, which is undetectable in the

oocyte, is translated soon after fertilisation and persists throughout the first cleavage cycles. Although JMJD3 protein expression is dynamically regulated during the window from fertilization to EGA, maternally inherited JMJD3 mRNA do not display changes to patterns of transcript abundance between the total and polyadenylated fractions, suggesting that other mechanisms are involved in the regulation of mRNA translation (Canovas *et al.*, 2012).

While tight temporal activation of the translation of dormant maternal mRNAs is required for successful progress through the first cleavages, destabilisation and degradation of the maternal RNA pool is a major determinant for the start of EGA (Tesfaye et al., 2017). Inherited maternal micro RNAs (miRNAs) seem to be critical players in the control of maternal transcripts during early development in cattle (Mondou et al., 2012). miRNAs are a large class of small non-coding RNAs (less than 200 nucleotides) that play important gene-regulatory roles in repression of the mRNAs of protein-coding genes (Guo et al., 2010). miRNAs derive from long primary microRNA transcripts that are successively processed by the nuclear microprocessor complex Drosha-DGCR8 and by the cytoplasmic RNAse III enzyme Dicer. miRNAmediated repression leads to a reduction in translational efficiency and/or decreased mRNA levels (Dallaire and Simard, 2016). Decreased mRNA levels are associated with the mRNA de-adenylation and de-capping processes that trigger mRNA destabilisation and degradation. For example, NOBOX (Newborn ovary homeobox gene) is a maternal-derived transcription factor that is stage-specifically expressed during oocyte maturation and early embryonic development in cattle (Tripurani et al., 2011a). NOBOX is required for embryonic development to the blastocyst stage. It is involved in regulating POU5FI/OCT4 and NANOG pluripotency gene expression, blastocyst cell allocation and embryonic transcriptional activity. The 3'untranslated region (3'-UTR) sequence of NOBOX mRNA exhibits a binding site for miR-196a. After fertilisation, NOBOX mRNA and protein expression are gradually suppressed from the 2-cell to 8-cell stages as the expression of mature miR-196a increases (Tripurani et al., 2011b). The highest abundance of mature miR-196a near the 8-cell stage of embryogenesis supports its involvement in maternal NOBOX mRNA degradation at the onset of EGA in cattle.

Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), another class of small non coding RNAs, are potentially involved in the control of maternal mRNA translation and decay as well as in that of transposon activity during early embryogenesis (Barckmann and Simonelig, 2013; Russell *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). These piRNAs associate with PIWI proteins to form ribonucleoprotein complexes referred to as piRNA-induced silencing complexes (piRISCs), which bind to RNA targets with complementary nucleotide sequences, leading to splicing activity, transcriptional repression and/or degradation. A recent study using bovine, macaque, and human material showed that most small RNAs that are present into MII-stage oocytes are represented by a

piRNA-like population (approximately 26 nucleotides in length) whereas miRNAs account for less than 1% (Roovers et al., 2015). A pool of piRNAs similar to that observed in oocytes can still be detected at the 2- to 4cell-stage in bovine embryos. Testis-derived piRNAs with a length preference of approximately 30 nucleotides are not obvious after fertilisation, suggesting that embryonic piRNAs mainly derive from the oocyte. The oocyte-derived piRNAs pool is strongly enriched with transposon-derived sequences and may help to prevent transposon activity during genome reprogramming in cattle (Russell et al., 2017). To be functional, the PIWI pathway requires the presence of both piRNAs and their associated PIWI proteins. A recent study in cattle evidenced the dynamically regulated expression of a transcript coding for the PIWI protein PIWI1during early development (Russell et al., 2016). PIWI1mRNA expression displays a peak at the 2-cell stage, after which the levels fall through to the blastocyst stage. The PIWI1 mRNA expression profile, as well as the ability of PIWI1 protein to bind piRNAs, suggests its involvement in transposon control during embryonic reprogramming.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are increasingly being recognised as modulators of gene expression. These lncRNAs are a class of transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides that do not usually code for a protein (Ruiz-Orera et al., 2014; Mattick and Rinn, 2015). Recent studies in mammals suggested functional roles for maternal lncRNAs during early embryonic development (Taylor et al., 2015; Bouckenheimer et al., 2016; Svoboda, 2017). A transcriptomic analysis performed in human embryos evidenced the dynamic expression of oocyte-inherited lncRNAs which included TUBB8P7 (tubulin beta 8 class VIII pseudogene 7), BCAR4 (breast cancer anti-oestrogen resistance 4), WEE2-AS1 (WEE2 antisense RNA 1) and TUNAR (TCL1 upstream neural differentiation-associated RNA) during preimplantation development (Bouckenheimer et al., 2018). Their expression remains stable during the first two cleavages. It then declines during progression to the blastocyst stage, specifically between the 4-cell and 8-cell stage, suggesting a role in embryonic cell division as well as in the control of gene activation at the onset of EGA. The clearance of lncRNAs may involve the post-transcriptional adenylation process (Mattick and Rinn, 2015). A recent review reported the involvement of several lncRNA in control of the monoallelic expression of imprinted genes at almost all stages of mammalian development, including preimplantation stages (Saha et al., 2017).

Effect of periconceptional cumulus-oocyte crosstalk on the oocyte transcriptome

The capacity of the fertilised egg to support successful embryonic development is reliant upon the content of the oocyte at the time of fertilisation. The phenotype of a mature oocyte is the culmination of continuous and highly coordinated interactions between the germinal and somatic compartments of the ovarian follicle that occur throughout folliculogenesis, and

Anim. Reprod., v.15, n.3, p.271-277, Jul./Sept. 2018

particularly during terminal differentiation of the cumulus-oocyte complex (COC) (Li and Albertini, 2013). The LH surge induces cell signalling cascades within the preovulatory follicle leading to oocyte maturation, cumulus expansion and ovulation of the COC. Oocyte maturation consists of a stream of events that occur at both the nuclear and cytoplasmic levels and are often referred to as meiotic and cytoplasmic maturation (Sirard et al., 2006). While the oocyte resumes meiosis and progresses to the metaphase II (MII) stage, cytoplasmic organelles (including mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus) undergo important changes affecting their structure, function and/or distribution (May-Panloup et al., 2007). There is a growing body of studies that have provided molecular explanations (concerning oocytesomatic cell communication) for the underlying mechanisms of several cytoplasmic maturation features (Cakmak et al., 2016; Sousa Martins et al., 2016). Epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like peptides have been shown to play a central role in transmission of the preovulatory LH signal from mural granulosa cells to cumulus cells (CCs) in several mammalian species, including humans (Prochazka et al., 2017; Richani and Gilchrist, 2018). In turn, CCs exchange signals with the oocyte enabling both of them to reciprocally modulate the transcriptional and/or translational events that occur in preparation for fertilisation (Conti et al., 2012; Conti and Franciosi, 2018). In mice, the interaction between EGF-like growth factors and cumulus EGFRs leads to activation of the phosphatityl-inositol-3-kinase-AKTmechanistic target of rapamycin (PI3-AKT-mTOR) pathway in the oocyte. The mTOR signalling pathway is involved in controlling the translation of several maternal transcripts that are critical to embryonic development (Chen et al., 2013). A recent study in mice showed that mTOR-dependent pathways in growing oocytes are also involved in the control of oocyte translation during the maturation process (Guo et al., 2018). Up-regulated expression of the prostaglandin G/H synthase-2 (PTGS2) pathway in granulosa cells and cumulus cells following the LH signal has been reported in several mammalian species, including bovines (Sirois, 1994; Nuttinck et al., 2002). Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is the main PTGS2-related prostaglandin secreted by follicular somatic cells. Autocrine and paracrine PGE2 signalling is required to intensify and propagate the EGFlike peptide signal within the preovulatory follicle (Shimada et al., 2016). In turn, EGF-like peptides produced by CCs promote the mRNA expression of genes such as PTGS2 that are involved in the cumulus expansion process. Bovine oocytes express PGE2 binding sites, suggesting that PGE2 is involved in cumulus-oocyte coupling in cattle (Nuttinck et al., 2011). The modalities of information exchanges between somatic and germinal compartments of the COC vary as oocyte maturation progresses (McGinnis et al., 2013). Some hours before ovulation, gap junction communications between the oocyte and CCs are promptly down-regulated while the pattern of oocyte secreted factors continues to be regulated dynamically by feedback loops between the gamete and expanding CCs

Nuttinck. Oocyte RNAs related to embryo development.

(Coticchio et al., 2015; Cakmak et al., 2016).

Novel mediators of the intercellular communication that is involved in building the oocyte transcriptome during the preovulatory period have recently been highlighted. Studies in cattle have revealed that cumulus cells contribute to oocyte transcript stores by way of active RNA transfer. Before the initiation of meiosis resumes, large cargos including mRNAs and lncRNAs appear to move from the CCs to the oocyte through transzonal projections (TZP; Macaulay et al., 2014, 2016). The abundance of several specific transcripts such as RASL11B (RAS like family11 member B), KIF5B (kinesin family member 5B) and AFF4 (AF4/FMR2 family member 4) mRNAs increases in the oocyte during transition from the immature to the mature stage, while endogenous transcription of the oocyte becomes silenced. In bovines, the potential for large cargo transfer from the CCs to the oocyte via TZPs terminates around 9 h after the induction of meiosis resumption when both compartments of the COC gradually dissociate and CC projections are released. This exogenous source of RNA may potentially enrich the pool of maternal factors that are involved in the control of early embryogenesis. It has been suggested that other classes of cumulus transcripts may be exchanged with the oocyte. The transcriptional profiles of human MII oocytes and their surrounding CCs indicate that many genes expressed in oocytes are potential targets of CC miRNAs, suggesting that oocyte-CC crosstalk might also be mediated via miRNAs (Assou et al., 2013). Among the oocyte mRNA targets of CC miRNAs are transcripts coding for factors associated with chromatin remodelling such as the DNA methyltransferases DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B and SMARCA5 (SWI/SNF related, matrix associated, actin regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, member 5). An in vitro study using bovine model shown that modulation of miRNA-130b expression in maturing oocyte affects the meiosis progression as well as the proliferation rate and the glucose metabolism activity of surrounding CCs (Sinha et al., 2017).

The *in vitro* maturation process may alter cumulusoocyte crosstalk

In cattle, in vitro embryos are produced through successive steps which include in vitro maturation (IVM) and fertilisation (IVF). The resulting zygotes undergo a 7day culture period that permits them to reach the blastocyst stage. Embryo quality is assessed morphologically at the end of this culture period in order to select embryos that are compatible with the transfer procedure (Rocha et al., 2016). IVM implies that the COC achieves its terminal differentiation in the absence of a follicular environment, and thus when LH-induced granulosa cell cues are absent. While nuclear oocyte maturation appears to be progressing normally, other aspects of COC terminal differentiation may be altered. A comparison of CC transcriptomes obtained from in vitro matured COCs with those obtained from in vivo counterparts highlighted critical deficiencies affecting several cumulus molecular pathways known to support developmental potential of the oocyte in mice, humans and cattle (Brown et al., 2017). The expression of genes involved in several CC functions such as epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like signalling, extracellular matrix production, glucose metabolism, fatty acid metabolism and immune-like processes, were seen to be impaired during the in vitro procedure. The alteration of terminal molecular events in CCs before fertilisation could compromise cumulus-oocyte dialogue and hence the full development of oocyte competence. Studies using a bovine model have shown that the rise in PTGS2-related PGE2 production that occurs in CCs concomitantly with the resumption of meiosis is affected by IVM conditions and the presence of exogenous EGF (Nuttinck et al., 2008). However, PTGS2 expression remains weaker than that which is observed after in vivo maturation (Nuttinck et al., 2002). Several aspects of terminal differentiation of the bovine COC are affected by the level of PGE2 present in the oocyte environment during IVM (Nuttinck et al., 2011). The inhibition of cumulus PGE2 production reduces the kinetic of meiosis progression, oocyte MAPK activation and cumulus expansion. Recent publications have recommended the supplementation of IVM media with bioactive molecules that are involved in cumulus-oocyte interplay in order to counterbalance the alterations induced by the in vitro procedure (Richani and Gilchrist, 2018). We previously reported that the addition of PGE2 to IVM/IVF media promotes embryonic cell survival and cell lineage development during the two weeks of the preimplantation period in cattle (Nuttinck et al., 2017).

Conclusion

Disruption or deregulation of the interactions between a maturing oocyte and surrounding CCs can affect the final stages of the storage of maternal factors and consequently of subsequent embryonic development. One of the most promising options to improve the viability of in vitro produced embryos is to optimise the in vitro maturation conditions in order to preserve the integrity of cumulus-oocyte coupling, the full which will contribute to achieving developmental competence of the oocyte.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Véronique Duranthon and Isabelle Hue for their careful and critical reading of this manuscript. I would like also to thank APIS-GENE for funding under the Embryomimetisme project on the optimisation of *in vitro* embryo technologies in cattle.

References

Artus J, Chazaud C. 2014. A close look at the mammalian blastocyst: epiblast and primitive endoderm formation. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 71:3327-3338.

Assou S, Al-edani T, Haouzi D, Philippe N, Lecellier CH, Piquemal D, Commes T, Ait-Ahmed O, Dechaud H, Hamamah S. 2013. MicroRNAs: new candidates for the regulation of the human cumulusoocyte complex. Hum Reprod, 28:3038-3049.

Barckmann B, Simonelig M. 2013 Control of maternal mRNA stability in germ cells and early embryos. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1829:714-724.

Berg DK, van Leeuwen J, Beaumont S, Berg M, Pfeffer PL. 2010. Embryo loss in cattle between days 7 and 16 of pregnancy. *Theriogenology*, 73:250-260.

Bettegowda A, Yao J, Sen A, Li Q, Lee KB, Kobayashi Y, Patel OV, Coussens PM, Ireland JJ, Smith GW. 2007. JY-1, an oocyte-specific gene, regulates granulosa cell function and early embryonic development in cattle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 104:17602-17607.

Bogliotti YS, Ross PJ. 2012. Mechanisms of histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation remodeling during early mammalian development. *Epigenetics*, 7:976-981.

Bouckenheimer J, Assou S, Riquier S, Hou C, Philippe N, Sansac C, Lavabre-Bertrand T, Commes T, Lemaitre JM, Boureux A, De Vos J. 2016. Long non-coding RNAs in human early embryonic development and their potential in ART. *Hum Reprod Update*, 23:19-40.

Bouckenheimer J, Fauque P, Lecellier CH, Bruno C, Commes T, Lemaitre JM, De Vos J, Assou S. 2018. Differential long non-coding RNA expression profiles in human oocytes and cumulus cells. *Sci Rep*, 8:2202. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20727-0.

Brown HM, Dunning KR, Sutton-McDowall M, Gilchrist RB, Thompson JG, Russell DL. 2017. Failure to launch: aberrant cumulus gene expression during oocyte in vitro maturation. *Reproduction*, 153:R109-R120.

Bui LC, Evsikov AV, Khan DR, Archilla C, Peynot N, Henaut A, Le Bourhis D, Vignon X, Renard JP, Duranthon V. 2009. Retrotransposon expression as a defining event of genome reprogramming in fertilized and cloned bovine embryos. *Reproduction*, 138:289-299.

Cakmak H, Franciosi F, Zamah AM, Cedars MI, Conti M. 2016. Dynamic secretion during meiotic reentry integrates the function of the oocyte and cumulus cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 113:2424-2429. Canovas S, Cibelli JB, Ross PJ. 2012. Jumonji domain-containing protein 3 regulates histone 3 lysine 27 methylation during bovine preimplantation development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 109:2400-2405. Canovas S, Ross PJ. 2016. Epigenetics in

preimplantation mammalian development. Theriogenology, 86:69-79.

Chen J, Torcia S, Xie F, Lin CJ, Cakmak H, Franciosi F, Horner K, Onodera C, Song JS, Cedars MI, Ramalho-Santos M, Conti M. 2013. Somatic cells regulate maternal mRNA translation and developmental competence of mouse oocytes. *Nat Cell Biol*, 15:1415-1423.

Conti M, Hsieh M, Zamah AM, Oh JS. 2012. Novel signaling mechanisms in the ovary during oocyte maturation and ovulation. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 356:65-73.

Conti M, Franciosi F. 2018. Acquisition of oocyte competence to develop as an embryo: integrated nuclear and cytoplasmic events. *Hum Reprod Update*, 24:245-

266.

Coticchio G, Dal Canto M, Mignini Renzini M, Guglielmo MC, Brambillasca F, Turchi D, Novara PV, Fadini R. 2015. Oocyte maturation: gametesomatic cells interactions, meiotic resumption, cytoskeletal dynamics and cytoplasmic reorganization. *Hum Reprod Update*, 21:427-454.

Dallaire A, Simard MJ. 2016. The implication of microRNAs and endo-siRNAs in animal germline and early development. *Dev Biol*, 416:18-25.

Deutsch DR, Frohlich T, Otte KA, Beck A, Habermann FA, Wolf E, Arnold GJ. 2014. Stage-specific proteome signatures in early bovine embryo development. *J Proteome Res*, 13:4363-4376.

Elhamamsy AR. 2017. Role of DNA methylation in imprinting disorders: an updated review. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, 34:549-562.

Gad A, Hoelker M, Besenfelder U, Havlicek V, Cinar U, Rings F, Held E, Dufort I, Sirard MA, Schellander K, Tesfaye D. 2012. Molecular mechanisms and pathways involved in bovine embryonic genome activation and their regulation by alternative in vivo and in vitro culture conditions. *Biol Reprod*, 87:100. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.112.099697.

Graf A, Krebs S, Zakhartchenko V, Schwalb B, Blum H, Wolf E. 2014. Fine mapping of genome activation in bovine embryos by RNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 111:4139-4144.

Guo H, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Bartel DP. 2010. Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature*, 466:835-840.

Guo J, Zhang T, Guo Y, Sun T, Li H, Zhang X, Yin H, Cao G, Yin Y, Wang H, Shi L, Guo X, Sha J, Eppig JJ, Su YQ. 2018. Oocyte stage-specific effects of MTOR determine granulosa cell fate and oocyte quality in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 115:E5326-E5333.

Kim JH, Richter JD. 2007. RINGO/cdk1 and CPEB mediate poly(A) tail stabilization and translational regulation by ePAB. *Genes Dev*, 21:2571-2579.

Kouzarides T. 2007. Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell*, 128:693-705.

Lee KB, Wee G, Zhang K, Folger JK, Knott JG, Smith GW. 2014. Functional role of the bovine oocytespecific protein JY-1 in meiotic maturation, cumulus expansion, and subsequent embryonic development. *Biol Reprod*, 90:69. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.113.115071.

Li R, Albertini DF. 2013. The road to maturation: somatic cell interaction and self-organization of the mammalian oocyte. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 14:141-152.

Lodde V, Luciano AM, Franciosi F, Labrecque R, Sirard MA. 2017. Accumulation of chromatin remodelling enzyme and histone transcripts in bovine oocytes. *Results Probl Cell Differ*, 63:223-255.

Lonergan P, Fair T, Forde N, Rizos D. 2016. Embryo development in dairy cattle. *Theriogenology*, 86:270-277.

Macaulay AD, Gilbert I, Caballero J, Barreto R, Fournier E, Tossou P, Sirard MA, Clarke HJ, Khandjian EW, Richard FJ, Hyttel P, Robert C. 2014. The gametic synapse: RNA transfer to the bovine

Nuttinck. Oocyte RNAs related to embryo development.

oocyte. *Biol Reprod*, 91:90. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.114.119867.

Macaulay AD, Gilbert I, Scantland S, Fournier E, Ashkar F, Bastien A, Saadi HA, Gagne D, Sirard MA, Khandjian EW, Richard FJ, Hyttel P, Robert C. 2016. Cumulus cell transcripts transit to the bovine oocyte in preparation for maturation. *Biol Reprod*, 94:16. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.114.127571.

Mattick JS, Rinn JL. 2015. Discovery and annotation of long noncoding RNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 22:5-7.

May-Panloup P, Chretien MF, Malthiery Y, Reynier P. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA in the oocyte and the developing embryo. *Curr Top Dev Biol*, 77:51-83.

McGinnis LK, Limback SD, Albertini DF. 2013. Signaling modalities during oogenesis in mammals. *Curr Top Dev Biol*, 102:227-242.

Mondou E, Dufort I, Gohin M, Fournier E, Sirard MA. 2012. Analysis of microRNAs and their precursors in bovine early embryonic development. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 18:425-434.

Nuttinck F, Reinaud P, Tricoire H, Vigneron C, Peynot N, Mialot JP, Mermillod P, Charpigny G. 2002. Cyclooxygenase-2 is expressed by cumulus cells during oocyte maturation in cattle. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 61:93-101.

Nuttinck F, Marquant-Le Guienne B, Clement L, Reinaud P, Charpigny G, Grimard B. 2008. Expression of genes involved in prostaglandin E2 and progesterone production in bovine cumulus-oocyte complexes during in vitro maturation and fertilization. *Reproduction*, 135:593-603.

Nuttinck F, Gall L, Ruffini S, Laffont L, Clement L, Reinaud P, Adenot P, Grimard B, Charpigny G, Marquant-Le Guienne B. 2011. PTGS2-related PGE2 affects oocyte MAPK phosphorylation and meiosis progression in cattle: late effects on early embryonic development. *Biol Reprod*, 84:1248-1257.

Nuttinck F, Jouneau A, Charpigny G, Hue I, Richard C, Adenot P, Ruffini S, Laffont L, Chebrout M, Duranthon V, Guienne BM. 2017. Prosurvival effect of cumulus prostaglandin G/H synthase 2/prostaglandin2 signaling on bovine blastocyst: impact on in vivo posthatching development. *Biol Reprod*, 96:531-541.

Prochazka R, Blaha M, Nemcova L. 2017. Significance of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling for acquisition of meiotic and developmental competence in mammalian oocytes. *Biol Reprod*, 97:537-549.

Ribeiro ES, Greco LF, Bisinotto RS, Lima FS, Thatcher WW, Santos JE. 2016. Biology of preimplantation conceptus at the onset of elongation in dairy cows. *Biol Reprod*, 94:97. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.115.134908.

Richani D, Gilchrist RB. 2018 The epidermal growth factor network: role in oocyte growth, maturation and developmental competence. *Hum Reprod Update*, 24:1-14.

Richter JD. 2007. CPEB: a life in translation. *Trends Biochem Sci*, 32:279-285.

Rocha JC, Passalia F, Matos FD, Maserati MP, Jr., Alves MF, Almeida TG, Cardoso BL, Basso AC, **Nogueira MF**. 2016. Methods for assessing the quality of mammalian embryos: how far we are from the gold standard? *JBRA Assist Reprod*, 20:150-158.

Roovers EF, Rosenkranz D, Mahdipour M, Han CT, He N, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, van der Westerlaken LA, Zischler H, Butter F, Roelen BA, Ketting RF. 2015. Piwi proteins and piRNAs in mammalian oocytes and early embryos. *Cell Rep*, 10:2069-2082.

Ruiz-Orera J, Messeguer X, Subirana JA, Alba MM. 2014. Long non-coding RNAs as a source of new peptides. *Elife*, 3:e03523. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03523.

Russell SJ, Stalker L, Gilchrist G, Backx A, Molledo G, Foster RA, LaMarre J. 2016. Identification of PIWIL1 isoforms and their expression in bovine testes, oocytes, and early embryos. *Biol Reprod*, 94:75. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.115.136721.

Russell SJ, Patel M, Gilchrist G, Stalker L, Gillis D, Rosenkranz D, LaMarre J. 2017 Bovine piRNA-like RNAs are associated with both transposable elements and mRNAs. *Reproduction*, 153:305-318.

Saha P, Verma S, Pathak RU, Mishra RK. 2017. Long noncoding RNAs in mammalian development and diseases. *Adv Exp Med Biol*, 1008:155-198.

Schroder SS, Tsikolia N, Weizbauer A, Hue I, Viebahn C. 2016. Paraxial nodal expression reveals a novel conserved structure of the left-right organizer in four mammalian species. *Cells Tissues* Organs, 201:77-87.

Sepulveda-Rincon LP, Solanas Edel L, Serrano-Revuelta E, Ruddick L, Maalouf WE, Beaujean N. 2016. Early epigenetic reprogramming in fertilized, cloned, and parthenogenetic embryos. *Theriogenology*, 86:91-98.

Shimada M, Umehara T, Hoshino Y. 2016. Roles of epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like factor in the ovulation process. *Reprod Med Biol*, 15:201-216.

Sinha PB, Tesfaye D, Rings F, Hossien M, Hoelker M, Held E, Neuhoff C, Tholen E, Schellander K, Salilew-Wondim D. 2017. MicroRNA-130b is involved in bovine granulosa and cumulus cells function, oocyte maturation and blastocyst formation. *J Ovarian Res*, 10:37. doi: 10.1186/s13048-017-0336-1.

Sirard MA, Richard F, Blondin P, Robert C. 2006. Contribution of the oocyte to embryo quality. *Theriogenology*, 65:126-136.

Sirois J. 1994 Induction of prostaglandin endoperoxide synthase-2 by human chorionic gonadotropin in bovine preovulatory follicles in vivo. *Endocrinology*, 135:841-848.

Sousa Martins JP, Liu X, Oke A, Arora R, Franciosi F, Viville S, Laird DJ, Fung JC, Conti M. 2016. DAZL and CPEB1 regulate mRNA translation synergistically during oocyte maturation. *J Cell Sci*, 129:1271-1282.

Susor A, Jansova D, Anger M, Kubelka M. 2016. Translation in the mammalian oocyte in space and time. *Cell Tissue Res*, 363:69-84.

Svoboda P. 2017. Long and small noncoding RNAs during oocyte-to-embryo transition in mammals. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 45:1117-1124.

Tadros W, Lipshitz HD. 2009. The maternal-to-

Nuttinck. Oocyte RNAs related to embryo development.

zygotic transition: a play in two acts. *Development*, 136:3033-3042.

Taylor DH, Chu ET, Spektor R, Soloway PD. 2015. Long non-coding RNA regulation of reproduction and development. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 82:932-956.

Tejomurtula J, Lee KB, Tripurani SK, Smith GW, Yao J. 2009. Role of importin alpha8, a new member of the importin alpha family of nuclear transport proteins, in early embryonic development in cattle. *Biol Reprod*, 81:333-342.

Telford NA, Watson AJ, Schultz GA. 1990. Transition from maternal to embryonic control in early mammalian development: a comparison of several species. *Mol Reprod Dev*, 26:90-100.

Tesfaye D, Gebremedhn S, Salilew-Wondim D, Hailay T, Hoelker M, Grosse-Brinkhaus C, Schellander K. 2017. MicroRNAs: tiny molecules with significant role in mammalian follicular and oocyte development. *Reproduction*, 155:R121-R135.

Tripurani SK, Lee KB, Wang L, Wee G, Smith GW, Lee YS, Latham KE, Yao J. 2011a. A novel functional role for the oocyte-specific transcription factor newborn ovary homeobox (NOBOX) during early embryonic development in cattle. Endocrinology, 152:1013-1023.

Tripurani SK, Lee KB, Wee G, Smith GW, Yao J. 2011b. MicroRNA-196a regulates bovine newborn ovary homeobox gene (NOBOX) expression during early embryogenesis. *BMC Dev Biol*, 11:25. doi: 10.1186/1471-213X-11-25.

Vigneault C, Gravel C, Vallee M, McGraw S, Sirard MA. 2009. Unveiling the bovine embryo transcriptome during the maternal-to-embryonic transition. *Reproduction*, 137:245-257.

Weill L, Belloc E, Bava FA, Mendez R. 2012. Translational control by changes in poly(A) tail length: recycling mRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 19:577-585.

Zhang H, Liu J, Tai Y, Zhang X, Zhang J, Liu S, Lv J, Liu Z, Kong Q. 2017. Identification and characterization of L1-specific endo-siRNAs essential for early embryonic development in pig. *Oncotarget*, 8:23167-23176.

Zheng H, Huang B, Zhang B, Xiang Y, Du Z, Xu Q, Li Y, Wang Q, Ma J, Peng X, Xu F, Xie W. 2016. Resetting epigenetic memory by reprogramming of histone modifications in mammals. *Mol Cell*, 63:1066-1079.